
Copyright SartinMethodology.com - Not for Resale

JOURNAL OF THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY

# The FOLLOW UP

with Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D.



### **WAGERCAPPING!**

Publisher's Desk	1
On Line with Shane	6
Cracking the Corollary Code, Pt 2	7
The Psychology of Winning	16
Wagercapping - Bettor's Choice	20
Commentary —	28
The Art & Science of Passing Races, Pt 2———————————————————————————————————	32
The Art & Science of Passing Races, Pt 2———————————————————————————————————	32 43
Obvious Exceptions to PASS Guidelines	43
Obvious Exceptions to PASS Guidelines ————————————————————————————————————	43 45 48
Obvious Exceptions to PASS Guidelines — Ready?WIN! by the 'Capper —	43 45 48

The FOLLOW UP is published six (6) times a year by O. Henry House, Inc. in conjunction with the Inland Empire Institute. Subscription price is \$75 per year third class mail and \$90 per year first class & Canadian mail, other foreign subscribers: \$100/year. Back issues are available for \$12.50 each. California residents add 7.75% sales tax. If you have any problem with your subscription or have a change of address, please contact O. Henry House at the address below. All information in this publication is for informational purposes only.

### The FOLLOW UP

O. HENRY HOUSE, INC. 1390 E. 6TH STREET, STE 5 BEAUMONT, CA 92223

909-845-5907

between 1 and 3 PM Pacific time

Please send all correspondence to this address. This includes submission of material for publication consideration, letters, opinions, comments - whatever.

Thank you,

O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.

## HOUSE, INC. PIRCO THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY 1900 E 611 STREET -5 BEAUMONI, CA 922223

#### STATEMENT OF POLICY

The Sartin Methodology is based in Psychotherapy and its goals are NOT directed toward fostering the illusions or delusions of gamblers seeking magic solutions for picking winners. We are primarily a healing arts organization dedicated to providing an alternative solution to mainstream psychiatry's prescription of total abstinence for non-winning handicappers. Our slogan is - and always has been -

"THE CURE FOR LOSING IS WINNING"





# "You only publish THE GOOD STUFF, NEVER THE BAD!"

That's the cry we get from those few clients who are non-winners and won't follow directions. They refuse to accept the fact that we've had several articles on worst case scenarios and have published many reports from clients whose efforts resulted in woeful losses that we strove to correct. Both the bad reports and our suggestions on how to correct the problems involved, have been here in the Follow Up.

One client on the phone to Aline even charged us with faking positive records. This caused her blood to boil. She told the caller that many of those forms he called fake were supplied by her after long hours of *before-the-fact* handicapping, betting and winning with limited funds. This shut him up. But who knows what unspoken doubts still linger in his and other suspicious minds.

This is a good example of Loser Tragedy. It is quite common among horse players. I trust it is less common in our group. Since horse racing began, there has been a faction that persists in denying even the possibility of consistent success. This group has always sought some outside source to blame for failure. From the very beginning of man's journey on earth such sources were the Gods. Man created idols and graven images as guides to success or to blame for failure. Even after Moses handed down that first commandment saying:

"Thou shall not have strange gods in thy sight. Thou shall not bow down to graven images..."\*

This injunction is not exclusive with Judeo-Christianity, it is, in slightly different words, common to all monotheistic religions. Yet, if we listen closely to any horse racing crowd, we can hear the fans shouting invocations to various gods to guide their choices down the stretch, then blaming these gods or other gods when their horse fails to heed their invocations. Handicapping literature contains many lines referring to the various gods governing the fortunes of racing and handicappers. Perhaps the authors were being less than completely serious when making such references, but they appear in their books.

<sup>\*(</sup>Mixture of Douay and King James versions.)

There are very few clients making these kinds of complaints. Aline Best gets more than Shane or I because of her empathic maternalism. One person told her that he's talked to a lot of people at his track who at one time tried the "Sartin Stuff" but they found it too complex, complicated and hard to understand.

Good Lord! - That's exactly what we want. What you should want. If everyone who tried it, from one source or another, understood its alleged complexity and how to use it optimally, we'd have *no edge*. Pretty soon all our current overlays would become low paying favorites and near favorites.

Every prospective client received an Information package before becoming a client. In that package are numerous clauses about the fact that **this method** is **not** an easy **system**!

The initial information further states most emphatically:

#### WE ARE NOT SYSTEM SELLERS.

NEITHER DO WE OFFER YOU A PROMISE OF RAGS-TO-RICHES IN THIRTY DAYS OR YOUR MONEY BACK.

My singular goal is to make YOU a winner!

This can be accomplished only if you truly WANT to be a winner. This means abandoning certain popular "horseplayer" myths that are severe deterrents to success. To discourage those with typical "horseplayer" attitudes, we have left out a wealth of promotional material such as many of the glowing testimonial letters to Phillips Racing Newsletter, et al, that might tempt you to regard ours as a simple, 1-2-3 pie-in-the-sky system.

THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY IS NOT A SYSTEM! This is a method which requires cognitive effort, determination, and above all, self-esteem on the part of the user. We are not interested in gamblers or 'horseplayers'. They PLAY, while true handicappers WORK! To make this caveat even more emphatic, I ask you to NOT reply unless you qualify. Please don't waste your time or mine if you're not serious about making handicapping a profession or at least a profitable avocation.

These and other statements of warning have gone to prospective clients since 1982.

Apparently some read these words thinking they were just some reverse psychology ploy - assuming they read them at all.

Those few non-winners among us who cry out in pain, apparently don't understand the function of the parimutuel apparatus. It giveth and taketh away with inexorable justice. It taketh from the lazy who refuse to accept my Inquiry warning; who failed to study and learn the realities of what's required to win, emotionally and intellectually; **but** - it giveth great bounty to those who **do**.

Even if I searched trying to find for publication, just the BAD STUFF, it wouldn't even fill one full issue of the Follow Up. If we could fill such an issue with nothing but negatives, think how inspiring that would be. Like a general telling his troops before an attack that some of them would be dead by sunset. Our clients are now succeeding at rates we never dreamed of in the 1980's.

Today it's not handicapping problems that haunt non-winners, it's learning make proper decisions, especially when it's comes to wagering. The so-called good stuff we publish comes directly from those who have overcome indecision and are winning. From their example we expect others to learn. Those few who want more bad stuff should look at themselves long and hard in a mirror and question all the bad stuff they've absorbed from cronies, books and articles that's archaic or just plain myth, then say to themselves, "If IT CAN BE DONE. I CAN DO IT!" Since we all know it can and is being done, (winning races and money), whiners would become winners merely by abandoning their stubborn resistance!

Here's a little note that, in different words, we receive quite often.

I would like to thank doc for his response to my request, on pacelines and picking the right horse, to stop using toomany horses, and do not use the vector graph, as a ranking order, it said on page #67 of the workbook for video/audio the same thing pof course it take some of us longer to get it after we read it.

thank you R.A.CA

I offer this to all those who say we should never print things that tell you what <u>not</u> to do. Clients like the gentleman above - and he is a gracious gentleman - have always responded more positively when I tell them what they're doing incorrectly; comments and instructions from me that reaffirm what not to do are the most effective. All clients have been told many times and in many way what to do. Still, they tend often to overlook the 'to do' messages but follow, seriously and successfully, injunctions of what <u>not to do</u>.

Does this make anyone wonder why the Ten Commandments have so many more "Thou Shalt Nots: than "Thou Shalts?"

Cognitive psychologists, like Daniel Goleman, who wrote *Emotional Intelligence*, spent a week recently lecturing on PBS. He reiterated the theme that success in our kind of endeavor involves only <u>twenty percent</u> IQ. <u>Eighty percent</u> lies within the area of properly directed emotion balanced with whatever IQ an individual does have.

We all live on a kind of emotion/intelligence seesaw. As the time draws near to make wagering decisions, many report a disastrous sense of imbalance. Some become so emotionally wrought that they describe walking from their seat to a betting window or machine as "Like walking over a slippery ice rink with water boiling beneath it." Other have used terms like "sweaty palms, racing heart and churning stomachs." Then there's the person who solved it all by telling me: "I'll never win, I have a loser mentality." He's just giving up and giving in to his addiction. He's a pathological gambler and chooses not to take any curative measures.

I can offer him nothing but sympathy. The same goes for those who are pathologically addicted to alcohol or narcotics or anything else. While they need professional treatment, ultimate cure comes from within. Psychiatry subscribes to this, so does AA.

There are others who win and lose by-<u>regular</u> cycles; *not* because of any real deficiency in their handicapping skills. They're on a seesaw, teeter-tottering between a mental state dominated by anxiety-driven emotion and the kind of emotion that actually nourishes brain function, helping the client to make accurate decisions.

Decisions dominated just by intelligence can be just as faulty. I know certain clients whose "intelligence" manifests locked-in notions about the RANKING specific corollaries must have before he'll bet. If one or more corollaries ranks any horse below his arbitrary notions, he won't bet it. The sad thing is that these arbitrary standards are *not* based on anything in the Methodology to which he claims devotion.

Perhaps the depictions below of emotion/intelligence states will give you some idea of the importance of person being <u>in</u> and <u>not</u> of balance. There is nothing wrong with *emotion* per se. When it is harmoniously balanced with Intelligence it produces an <u>ideal state</u>.



Intelligence/Emotion tilted by Intelligence



Intelligence/Emotion tilted by Emotion



Intelligence/Emotion balanced

For those who like to look at so-called bad stuff, here's your chance to look and learn. Neither bad nor good "stuff" is of any value unless positive lessons can be learned from examples. Some of the report forms I receive reflect circumstances that can and are being corrected by means of direct and terse confrontation by me. Other reports are continuously cyclic, excellent one week, less so the next.

Cyclic success-failure patterns develop from clients with a short-term emotional-intelligence balance. Even positive experience and profits cannot seem to dispel the anxiety-ridden emotions lurking in the shadows of their persona. So they make the same disastrous mistake only every other week; an intermittent version of the "Over & Over" script I've often discussed before. Here, just one of several examples.

UM -- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN

		: I PRIMARY I I SUPP (FRAC (P)
		BIEILIGITHMIFI   ISIEITHTIEILINIAL
	BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE RANKS	AIPIPIPITIEIW: IPINISIPI     ISI
ī		Lininini     (FX:H:T)   P:     (S:
1	9 ween 4 2	
0327 4 1	HISSED TAT	
MINGO I		
0327 2 !	i 26. 2017 li	11015 (1)14 (1)(1)(2): 5 (3) 415151515151 2
ī		
0327 1 1	MISSED Y	
1	10 15 Del	
0324 7 1	HISSED TRIS	
GALL 1 0324 2 :		1 0:414:3:5:4:3:(2/4:4:3:3:2:3:4: 1 5
RAGIN I		
0321 9		1 2:3:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:
223		
0321 0	HISSED /	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1
. 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
0321 7 1	HISSED /	
1		
0321 5 [	MISSED /	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
NEASK I	<u> </u>	
0321 4	(28, 20)	1 314:11:13:112: 1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:
TRUEL		1 61312121312121 2111113131413121 111
0321 3 1	9,20! /	London Boll College Co
0321 2 1	(5.40) M: \$1	1 41312121312121 212121312121212121 112
****		
0321 1 1	HISSED .	! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
1	MISSED Why?	
0320 8 1	MISSED /	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
SAYLO I	Noti	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0320 7 1	1: 1 17,20	1 514:2:3:(1)(2):4: 4(3)(3):4:3:4:3(2):115
0320 6 1	HISSED	
QUEEN I		
0320 5	(7.80)	4:1:4:1:4:3:1: 1:1:1:1:1:1:1:2:2: :17
NORCI	7.80 Was 7 11 101	
0320 4 1	1 14.801	1 7 (2) 4 13 13 15 (3) (3) (2) 11 11 1 (2) 1 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
-		
0320 3 1	MISSED	:
0320 1 1	MISSED	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
TOTI		. 5245
TOTI	3 3 2 1 1	No PASS
HUTI	1 0 1 0 1 1 42,401 40,001 32.001 9,001	
AVE		! !
HUTI	11 12	•
AVEI		, 
BALI	3,0   6,7   8,0   0,0	

The circled horses are bets. There are <u>no</u> bets from BL/BL Tier 2 or 3. The instructions say BET Tier 3 Overlays regardless of Corollaries. He ignored a \$26.20 horse Tier 2 just because it had a 5 FX. <u>No other reason</u>. He bets a \$9.80 horse in Tier 4, but refuses to bet one paying \$14.00 in Tier 3 and another Tier 3 paying \$17.20 because it Ranks 4 in FX. He ignores the \$9.80 in Tier 2. IT has a 2 FX. Missing the \$5.80 Tier two winner is okay. Subtract that from the Tier 2-3 Total and he *threw away* a total of \$66.60. So he ends up Losing for the day simply because of his fixation on X, or whatever. Whenever we get e-mail, phone calls or letters from newcomers requesting our Methodology Information packet, their wording as almost always, "Please send information about your new computer program, Synthesis. They see someone using Synthesis successfully and they want it, thinking that winning is just a matter of pressing a few buttons.

We all know better. The computer programs accounts for only 20% of our success, the other 80% is in thoroughly understanding and, above all, accepting, the philosophy behind the Methodology. Once understanding and acceptance are achieved, then it is just a matter of pressing a few computer keys. That is especially true when using Synthesis with the Wagering Decision Form and the new, improved BL/BL.



## SHANE'S TECH SUPPORT LINE: **909-845-1728**

HOURS (Pacific time) Weekdays - 11:30 AM to 3:00 PM

e-mail: shane@discover.net or send your question/problem by mail

Know Thy Computer! If you are going to use a computer program, you really do need to know your computer and its operating system. There's no need to be a computer whiz or anything like that, but you need to understand the basics. At present, our computer programs are DOS )Disk Operating System) based, so you should know some basic DOS commands. Such as COPY, (to copy files) DEL, (to delete files) MD, (to make a directory) as well as a few other simple DOS commands. There are several books out there that can teach you all about DOS without having to have a masters degree in computer science. A few I can think of are DOS for Dummies by Dan Gookin, DOS for Non-Nerds by Michael Grogh, Understanding DOS, (I forget the authors name) as well as others. Another problem that comes up a lot is understanding Windows 95 and 98. If you have purchased a new computer in the last four years or so, it will have one of these operating systems already installed in the computer. Getting to know Win 95 or 98 is a bit more complex. I took a college course on the Win 95 operating system which helped me understand how to use it. There is a book called "The PC Bible" by Robert Lauriston I recommend getting. It contains just about anything you would want to know about PCs and peripherals such as printers and printing, modems, and all other types of hookups to the computer as well as covering Win 95 and 98 and the Internet. This book covers a lot more than any one college course can and, above all, is easy to understand.

**RE: FOLLOW UP DELIVERY.** With Bulk Mail, Post Offices are allowed to hold up mail for ten full days, This seems to happen ONLY in suburban offices near major cities. For this reason many bulk subscribers are receiving their Follow Up as much as a month late. Collectively I suppose this is okay since all issues will follow in regular sequence. The other alternative is FIRST CLASS mail which costs \$15 more but guarantees on-time delivery.

Some clients get confused when they see DIFFERENT 2nd Call Beaten Lengths in Vital Factors and the consensus screens. The BL's on Vital Factors represent the paceline YOU used. The other set show 2C beaten lengths based on the PACE OF TODAY'S RACE.

An e-mailer wrote that every Methodologist would have the K. Derby winner EXCEPT those accepting dad's instruction against betting ten furlong races. He's ALWAYS encouraged clients to handicap the Derby. What he said was: DON'T handicap horses FROM 10 furlong lines. Use ONLY common route distances, preferably a mile 1/16h and mile 1/8th, when HANDICAPPING the longer distances. The difference between his interpretation of what he said and what he actually said could cause disaster.

Part 2 DOC SARTIN

## Cracking the Corollary Code

"Cracking the Corollary Code" in his praise for Synthesis (Follow Up 73). So the title we're using has dual authorship for which I thank both.

Last issue we covered detailed descriptions and explanation of all the Primary and Supplementary corollaries. More important was my discussion of the vital <u>interrelationship</u> between each corollary and the significance thereof. I also explained Fractals and how to use them in conjunction with the Primary and SUPP readouts.

In the same Follow Up, there were also articles on Energy and Fractions. So going over the incremental Fractional/Energy Readouts is not necessary this time since I've been discussing them for years.

Now we'll examine, in depth, The Energy Generator, the Quantum Rater, Vital Factors; The "Cigar Graph," Early-Late Diff. and Vector Graphs.

Next issue, the rest of the Graphs.

#### First the QUANTUM RATER:

(all readouts from original Synthesis)

This was a 12 horse field going 7 furlongs. I used 9 of the 12 for initial entry since a lot of clients still enter at least that many horses despite all warnings against such folly.

#### HOL 6-28-97 9 7 D EQUALIZED LINES 2ndC 3rdC FnlC BLI BL2 BLS BLF 0.00 0.00 81 12 45.4 110.4 124.1 1.75 1.00 7.0 D 22.4 81 13 -1.5 3.25 1.00 1.50 3.75 45.0 110.1 123.2 7.0 D 22.0 45.2 110.2 123.2 0.00 85 18 7.0 D 22.1 0.00 0.13 0.00 0.00 0.50 0.50 1.00 7.0 D 23:0 48.0 110.2 123.0 44.2 109.3 122.0 2.00 3.00 6.75 9.00 7.0 D 22.1 87 12 -2.0 1 1.00 4.00 45.0 109.1 122.1 1.00 0.13 7.0 D 22.2 6 COMMA 1 92 10 -2.0 1.25 1.00 44.4 109.2 121.4 9.50 6.25 7.0 D 22.0 WINNI 2 2.00 86 12 -2.0 2 2.50 2.00 44.4 109.2 122.4 2.00 7.0 D 22.1 86 10 -1.0 2 2.25 2.25 46.2 111.0 123.1 7.0 D 22.4 9 COOLx 3

Now, the race After HIDING all entrants with a ranking of **over** 6 in Primary corollary rank. I always go initially to the top 6 and encourage all clients to do likewise to prevent them from trying to evaluate <u>too many</u> horses.

#### POR/POH & LONG SHOT POTENTIAL

	D-RATER NAME	Po	r/Poh F 1	F 2	F 3	s c	SC/BL	POR-R	POH-R	B-97 9 7 D LS/P-R
1 '	YINNI	POR POH PCT	59.59 57.88 97.12	57.37 57.93 100.98	53.17 54.01 101.59	58.46 57.35 98.11	6.25	152.34-	139.90- 1	108.65- 1
2	COMMA	POR POH PCT	58.67 58.49 99.70	58.05 58.20 100.26	53.00 52.38 98.83	58.36 58.33 99.96	0.13	151.59-	2 138.81- 2	104.89- 6
3	ROCKW	POR POH PCT	58.74	58.14	51.89 51.89 100.00	58.26	2.00	150.55÷	3 138.71- 3	104.99- 5
4	CALIF	POR POH PCT	59.46 59.46 100.00	56.90 56.87 99.96	52.11 52.13 100.04	58.15 58.13 99.96	.0.13	149.38-	4 138.60- 4	105.08- 4
5	RIVER	POR POH PCT	57.08 56.99 99.85	56.96 56.87 99.85	53.23 53.31 100.15	57.02 56.85 99.70	1.00	147.25-	5 137.22- 5	105.15- 3
6	COOLx	POR POH PCT	57.52 57.12 99.32	55.44 55.44 100.00	53.46 53.78 100.61	56.46 56.07 99.32	2.25	145.75-	6 136.39- 6	105.64- 2

R=Rank W/W=Wire to Wire LS/P=Long Shot Potential

A Northern California client says we should include this in every issue. It is one of the main things that "turned him around". So we are utilizing this space to repeat this notice from Follow Up #66

#### **XTRACTION & PROJECTION**

Some clients are being harmed by their tendency to use the PROJECTION - EXTRACTION options in advanced Sartin programs:

#### SYNERGETIC MATCH UP, THOROMATION, PACE LAUNCHER, SYNTHESIS

I get the impression that some clients do so simply because, like Mt. Everest, the option is there. Good enough reason for mountain climber Sir Hillary, but NOT for clients who are truly seeking success.

XTRACT sprint from Routes ONLY when the 6 furlong time is EQUAL TO or FASTER THAN that of the fastest Sprinters in the race. The horse should show a consistent tendency to be on or near the lead at the 6 Furlong call in its Routes. This is a horse crying out for a Sprint despite its trainer's persistent attempts to make it a Router. It should have won or be within on length at the 6 Furlong call. I see too many Xtractions where the horse was behind as much as or more than three lengths at the 6 Furlong call. I also helps to scan a horse's complete past performance lines to see if it ever did well in a Sprint.

Projection is dangerous unless a horse shows some indication that it did well in a Route ANYWHERE in its past performance record. Horses that Project well fall into two dichotomous categories: 1) Those that ran fast 6 Furlong times and won the race, and were on the lead or within one length at the 2nd call. 2) Sprinters that did less than well, were behind at the 2nd call but had extremely high 3rd Fraction and Hidden Energy rankings. I see too many projections where the horse had neither of these indicators.

Again, it also helps to scan a horse's complete past record to see if it ever did well in a ROUTE.


This screen contains Fractional and 2nd Call times in feet per second as well as the POR, POH and LS/P. After Hides we can see that WINNI has the fastest POR and POH. It is also #1 LSP. Its closing odds were 5.1 to 1. In F-1 it's <u>slower</u> than the pace it ran against. However, in 2-F it gains with a 100.98, or .98 faster than the pace of its pace race. It continues to gain on the pace with a 3-F of 101.59, 1.59 FASTER than the 3-F of its pace race. It still lagged at the 2-C, behind by 6.25 lengths, even though it was steadily gaining ground after F-1.

The number 2 Ranked POR/POH contender is COMMA but it has <u>no</u> LS/P.


COMMA also has a deficient F-1, 97.12% or 2.88% slower than the F-1 it ran against. It makes a TT move. Its 2-F is 100.26, only .26% faster than the 2-F it ran against. A slight gain but it loses all of it back and MORE in 3-F with 98.83, or 1.17% LESS than the F-3 of its pace race. It had an upside down V running pattern  $\Lambda$ .

Analyze the remaining 4 horses and apply the same reasoning.

Next, the VECTOR GRAPH. This does not necessarily Rank horses in order of their win potential. It ranks them in order of the degree with which they overcame their pace race. A wire-to-wire winner would thus appear low on the list. In this example the winner and place horse just happened to be one and two, It's a 7 furlong event from HOL. At the time at HOL, <u>Late</u> was dominant in races of 7 Furlongs.




The Early-Late Difference Graph shows both Win and Place horse are dominant LATE. The Show horse, WALTZ, was the earliest contender in the field, the Counter Energy horse, but I eliminated it for cause.



For exotic bettors the graph, along with the Vector Graph provides viable answers available in no other readouts. Your <u>records</u> of the Win, Place and Show Energy Patterns will tell you whether Win Energy is Early or Late by distance and surface.

Most often the Place Horse runs counter to the winner. In this case it was the Show Horse.



Anyone keeping serious records at HOL during the time frame in which this race was run, would know that LATE was dominant for Win at 7 furlongs. In this case Late was also applicable to the Place Horse, since its sprint line was extracted from a Route. When so Xtracting, the altered line almost always becomes More Late than the original line. This fact has previously been discussed in past Follow Ups as well as in several manuals.

Now, the Line Graphs for Chaos Formula and Longshot Ratings.

#### CHAOS FORMULA & LS RATINGS

#### 

This graph will only reveal the TOP 5 in each category. My personal fail-safe device for those entering too many horses. There is just <u>no way</u> you can make it show more than the top 5 Chaos Formula contenders and those with a degree of Long Shot Potential.

For this very reason some clients don't look at it. They put in too many contenders and don't want the screens limited to only five each. For Win only, these screens do a job for you that some clients refuse to do for themselves.

We see the ultimate winner, WINNI, ranked first on both the Chaos and the Long Shot Detector screens. In such cases we go to the <u>second</u> Ranked Long Shot Detector Horse as being best in this category.

Exotic Bettors should enter more horses before HIDING for WIN ONLY. Regardless of Corollary Rankings or BL/BL Tier Levels, the three graphs most beneficial for Exacta and/or Trifecta selections are the Early-Late Diff, Vector and Long Shot Potential graphs.

#### VITAL FACTORS

#### VITAL FACTORS -- ATM

HOL 6-28-97 9 7 D

1 2 3 4 5	CALIF1 RIVER1 COMMA1 WINNI2 ROCKW1	168.5-4 167.2-5 169.1-2 169.8-1 168.8-3	SC R 58.1-3( 45 56.8-5( 46 58.3-1( 45 57.4-4( 46 58.3-2( 45 56.1-6( 47	.2) 0.1 .2) 1.0 .1) 0.1 .0) 6.3 .2) 2.0	3F R 52.1-5( 53.3-3( 52.4-4( 54.0-1( 51.9-6( 53.8-2(	38.0) 37.1) 37.4) 36.3) 38.1)	89.0-5 90.9-2 90.5-3 93.9-1 88.4-6	93.00-3 92.87-5 93.05-2 93.55-1 92.89-4	SPN R 88.42-3 88.02-4 91.17-2 93.67-1 87.67-5 83.92-6
14.1	HIGH LOW AVE	166.3	58.3 ( 45 56.1 ( 47 57.5 ( 46	.0)		38.1)	88.4		93.7 83.9 88.8

\* = Wire to Wire

This screen has several functions. For those who like to see **times** at 2-C and 3-F, and those wishing to see **Rankings** in these positions. It also gives S-C Beaten Lengths, Actual Entropy numbers, Total Speed figures and Ranks and The Sartin Pace Numbers, you'll find them here.. Except for times in seconds and tenths, all these Rankings appear on other readouts. Those who still overemphasize the importance of 2-C, will be mislead in a race like this, as well as many others. The winner ranks only 4th on 2-C, over 6 lengths behind the leader. All its other Rankings are #1.

The three lines below: HIGH, LOW, AVE. have more importance. Note that WINNI's 2-C time equates exactly with AVE.

#### **CHAOS POWER & FRACTALS**

CHAOS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS -- ATM

HOL 6-28-97 9 7 D

	<del>, </del>							RAN	IKS				COMP	FI	RACTA	ALS	]	BAL
#	NAME	L	N	Т	SR	LS/P	SPN	FX	Σ	T/S	T/P	LS	RANK	Е	L	N		
1 2 3 4 5 6	CALIF RIVER COMMA WINNI ROCKW COOLx	1 1 2 1 3	1 1 3 2 2		85 86 87 92 86 86	4 3 6 1 5	3 4 2 1 5	2 6 4 1 5	4 5 2 1 3 6	3 5 2 1 4 6	5 2 3 1 6 4	22 29 19 12 29 30	3 4 2 1 4 5	1 5 3 2 4 6	3 5 2 1 4 6	5 3 2 1 6 4		8 8 3 3 8

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

Another screen that is duplicated elsewhere. As in a motion picture, where the same scene is shot from several angles, this one gives a close-up view of its readouts. Perhaps a reminder of something you may have missed on other screens or, for those who download, the SUPERSCREEN. T/P is the same as TPP on other screens and is a very important corollary. So is Balance.

#### CHAOS POWER & L.S. RATINGS - COMPOSITE

CHAOS FORMULA POWER AND LONGSHOT RATINGS COMPOSITE -- ATM

HOL 6-28-97 9 7 D

#	NAME	L	N	Т	SR	POR R	POH-R R	LS/P R	W/W	EP R	TOT/S R	T/PP R
2 3 4 5	CALIF RIVER COMMA WINNI ROCKW COOLX	1 2 1	1 3 2		86 87 92 86	149.36-4 147.25-5 151.59-2 152.34-1 150.55-3 145.75-6	137.22-5 138.81-2 139.90-1 138.71-3	105.15-3 104.89-6 108.65-1 104.99-5		56.85-5 58.33-1 57.35-4 58.26-2	93.00-3 92.87-5 93.05-2 93.55-1 92.89-4 92.70-6	95.93-5 96.52-2 97.01-1 96.35-3

For those without SUPERSCREEN here we see SR, POR, POH, LS/P and, IF a contender went wire to wire,\* an asterisk under W/W. It also has the EARLY Pace Ratings, Total Speed and T/PP (Total Pace Potential) in numbers and Rankings. For those confused by numbers other than Rankings, this screen can add to your confusion. If so, avoid it.

	THE CIGAR GRAPH  QUAD-HATER-GRAPHIC ATM	
PACE X	YI EP R PACE	FINISH
CALIF 1	-0.21- 3	-1.12- 3
RIVER 1	-1.49- 5	-1.22- 4
COMMA 1	0.00- 1	-9.66- 2
HINNI 3	-0.98- 4	-e.09- : X
ROCKH 2	-0.07- 2	_1.22- 4
C00Lx 2	-2.26- 6	-1.52-

This is <u>not</u> a Pace Graph. Two different Pace Graphs, by increments and by calls, are also in the program. The CIGAR GRAPH performs a *unique* function and was designed for those with some semblance of skill in analyzing the Match-Up. The graph simply matches the horses *setting* the Early Pace with the other contenders. It shows how well the **pace setter** fared *after* setting the pace compared with other contenders you left in for consideration.

COMMA is the pace setter. Note its longer, thick dark line and the 0.00 and 1 Ranking after its name. Here is where some clients get mixed up. The thin line and the numbers and ranking following it, are <u>not</u> just Final Fraction delineations. They represent the horse's <u>total</u> deficiency, relative to the others <u>and</u> its projected Finish Rank.

WINNI has a .98 deficiency Early but makes it up by exhibiting 0.00 deficiency in its TOTAL (Finish) Performance. The most important function of the CIGAR GRAPH is keeping track of the TOTAL performance of the Pace Setter. If nothing else this graph will help get rid of Early-itis, a disorder still suffered by a few clients; a carry over from the late 1980's and very early 1990's.

#### THE ENERGY GENERATOR

ENERGY GENERATOR -- ATM

HOL 6-28-97 9 7 D

#	NAME L	N	Т	Total R	DEF	HE R	FX R	%Med	E/EP R	L/EP R	T/PP R	ESP
2 3 4 5	CALIF1 RIVER1 COMMA1 WINN12 ROCKW1 COOLx3	1 3 2		168.46-4 167.17-5 169.07-2 169.82-1 168.77-3 166.34-6	2.65 0.75	59.31-2 58.82-4 62.24-1 58.66-5	92.36-6 92.64-4 93.16-1 92.53-5	68.11 69.02 68.19 69.26	78.43-4 79.36-1 79.34-2 79.34-2	76.98-4 77.01-2 77.81-1 76.75-6	95.93-5 96.52-2 97.01-1 96.35-3	S/P E/P S/P EAR

HI/LO Difference = 3.48 Average = 168.27

In the past, a few clients have said they HIDE from this readout. <u>Not</u> a good idea. They're using TOTAL ENERGY as the basis for eliminating contenders. Total Energy is our version of CLASS. It has proved to be a more effective CLASS designator than all the other figures we've examined: Earnings per Start, APV, In-The-Money Finishes, Competition Level success, ad infinitum, don't hold a candle to Total Energy as Class. Still, the highest class rated horses don't win ALL the races, no matter <u>how</u> Class is measured.

In this race WINNI just happens to be the HIGH Total Energy Horse. The 2nd high is COMMA, who finished LAST. #3 TE horse, ROCKW, finished next to last. Incidentally, those were their rankings even BEFORE hides. COOLX was 8th. Waltz MD was ranked 6th. There is no denying that the HIGHER Total Energy horses DO win more than their lower ranked competitors. But it's not enough of an edge to use as a means to HIDE non-contenders. Use the Primary Corollary RANKINGS, paying close attention also to the SUPP. corollary Rankings.

In fact I just told a client who said he was confused by all the readouts to focus <u>only</u> on Primary Rankings, Supp. Rankings and BL/BL. Anyone, with his frame of mind, wanting a simple answer to everything, should do likewise.

The ENGEN also reminds you of how far back you went for a paceline. In this race, Under L, all contenders were taken from their last line except WINNI, 2 back, COOLx, 3 back. The N is how the horses were designated under N (Need to Lead). T is for Tandem horses. There were none in this race. Next to TOTAL is each animal's <u>deficiency</u> from the HIGH Total Energy. Then come readouts we see elsewhere. These are in actual NUMBERS as well as ranking. HE (Hidden Energy) is next. Look at the huge margin WINNI has over the rest of the field. 62.24. Nearest HE competitor, RIVER, 59.31.

Some clients have problems deciding the on the value of these numbers relative to each other. Simple record keeping would solve that. If you won't keep records, ignore numerical readouts.

FX is next. You'll find it in several screens because of its singular predictive power. Then comes Median Energy percentage. This stirred a lot of commentary in articles and books when it first came out. James Quinn even developed what he called Energy Pars.

There is no such thing! Percent Median <u>parameters</u> can be effective, but only when part of *your* records kept by distance, surface and range of Total Energy.


The next three readouts are important and valuable to all who'll take the time to study them. E/EP is Early Energy POTENTIAL which differs from just Early Pace or EPR. L/EP is Late Energy POTENTIAL, Not the same as LATE Energy or LPR. Both determine a horse's POTENTIAL to run early or late. T/PP, Total Pace Potential is derived from these two ratings that together produce BALANCE.

As an example of the difference between Early Pace and Early Pace POTENTIAL, look at WINNI. It has the SECOND best Early POTENTIAL, but ranks 4th on Early Pace itself.

#### BOTTOM LINE/BETTING LINE

		WIN	ODDS	ONLY	
			ATM		
		BOTTOM		BETTIN	IG
		LINE		LINE	
NO	NAME	LS		ODDS	BAL
1	WINNI	22.5		EVEN	3
2	COMMA	18.5		5-2	3
3	CALIF	17.0		5-2	8
4	ŔIVER	13.3		4-1	8
5	ROCKW	12.8		9-2	8
6	COOLx	12.3		9-2	8


BL/BL is the <u>most important compounded Corollary of all</u>. Yet, I pray that you do not look only at Tier Levels in this readout. Note first that it says "FOR WIN ONLY," so don't expect place and show horses to follow in Tier Level order. Look closely at the BOTTOM LINE numbers. Observe the fact that WINNI is 22.5, FOUR full points ahead of COMMA. Pay attention to the projected odds under BETTING LINE. WINNI is projected at EVEN but pays \$12.20 - \$6.20 and \$5.00 to Show. The lukewarm favorite in the race was WALTZ, M.D. who ranked 6th in Total Energy and was 7th Ranked on the Primary Corollary Line Scores.



The reason I put more money than usual in this race is because *every single* horse in it, including the favorite, was an overlay. When the pubic is *this* confused, VALUE always follows.

ndex	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	16		*	Şir.	Fln.	To \$1
2153	Winning is Good, V Espinoza	116	10	5	9,43	6hd	•	41,	11/2	5.10
	Cool Cadiflac, G Almeida		2	11	ehd	<2	-	511/2	2*	8.30
(4255)	Weftz'n M.O., L.Pincay Jr	118	- 4	8	912	71	-	ghd	3hd	3.50
4201	Invictus, M Pedroza	116	9	1	3211	31	•	100	42	16.00
4325	Dending Islander, C Black	116	1		12	12	•	11212	512	35.40
4176)	California Candi, J Scott	116	5	2	51	ind	•	35	6114	
4366	Twin Fells, F Martinez	116	- 7	3	419		٠	914	7111	44.70
	Chief Fire, B Blanc		11		10 1	ghd	-	10	Bux	
4301	Obtle Venture, J Matos	113	3	7	9177	11214	-	717	93	5.00
1243	Rivermentsdream, O Serrio		6	8	112		•	2hd	107	5.60
	Rockwell College, R Barber		12	4		101	•	12.	111	33.70
4227	Commenche Raid, A Sols	116		10	115	8172	•	end	12	6,10
	riched Glendower.									
Clai	med — Weitz'n M.DGalleon i	L Summe	rim(	ı As	ang-Al	BHees.	ir.			
	16-WINNING 18 GOO	00				12.20		26	1.00	
	2COOL CADILLAC								6.00	
	4-WALTZ'N M.D.								1.00	
~										444
	: 5:13 Time: :21.4 :44.3 1:09									
9 <b>600</b> -	Emma Goodwin Tr-Brent S	Sumja O	Wil-	Pau	( H Bk	xom. M	utue	i Pool	\$312,7	48.50:
Doub	le Pool \$90,262. Exacta Po	ol \$222.	226.	. Ou	inelle	Pool \$3	13,34	<b>50</b> . Sug	perfect	a Pool
\$160.0	73. Triffecta Pool \$220,765.	Pick Thr	ee P	ool:	<b>\$86</b> .19	<b>18.</b>				
					•					

Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D



## The Collective CONSCIOUS

The late Carl Jung is famous for his exploration, writings and therapeutic applications dealing with PERSONAL and COLLECTIVE UNCONSCIOUS. His thesis was based on Archetypes, Symbols and Mythology as well as Racial Memory. Collectively, these and other factors, integrate each individual into various typology designations: Human Types, governing Attitude and Behavior.

I'll stop here for those who think that references to Jung, et al are too esoteric and intellectually oriented to be in a handicapping journal. It would be helpful for us all to bear in mind that there have been *more* books sold to the general public on Jungianism and other esoteric subjects, than <u>all</u> the handicapping books and articles ever published. Not just MORE, far, far more. It truly amazes me that any aspiring handicapper could resent my using parallel material that could help him/her to suceed.

I have a friend in the Northwest who keeps telling me I'd make more money if I used smaller words and skipped all psychological or philosophical references. True. But as the warning in our information package indicates, my motivation for expanding my practice into the field of handicapping was to help troubled gamblers become successful handicappers. Money was not the object then, nor is it now. I haven't taken any salary since 1996. My income is Social Security, investments and what I earn at the races.

Helping people by leading a revolution against Mainstream Myth is not a lucrative endeavor. Its rewards are spiritual and enhanced every time one of YOU sends me a winning report.

This is no esoteric piece. Among other things, it is about how the attitudes of others, usually in the majority, affect our COLLECTIVE CONSCIOUS. Unless we maintain eternal vigil, we tend to vacillate in our procedure, even our beliefs, based on emanations transmitted by others and picked up by our MIND-BODY RECEPTORS causing us to make NON-winning mistakes, most of which we catch <u>after</u> it's too late to capitalize on the error.

A good example is the client who missed the winner of the Kentucky Derby because some stranger next to him said the winner didn't qualify according to 'dosage'. More about the Derby in the column, GOOD STUFF.

We're all aware of spoken or written peer pressures that keep us from winning. But in this case I'm referring to unspoken/unwritten negative communication. Scientific study resulting from experiments conducted throughout the world, proves that our **brain**, **organs** and **endocrine system** transmit electromagnetic fields that extend far beyond the physical body. Some of these transmissions have been known to extend for distances of many miles. But I'll leave that claim to

Parapsychology for continued study and proof.

We need <u>no proof</u> of the kind electronic transmissions that constantly are being communicated by one individual to another. Studies of this phenomena through externally projected electromagnetic brain thought-waves, body language, aura, as captured by Kirlian photography and other fascinating studies in Subtle Energy, have been tested and accurately measured for decades.

Just ask yourself, how many of someone else's sentences have you accurately completed during a conversation with that person. Maybe you're too polite to have actually finished another's sentence. But the more often you've quietly completed such sentences, knowing precisely the words another person was about to utter, the better you'll be at PASSING races and having an innate sense of when to go home or not go to the races at all on a given day. This ability, so irritating to others, is not ESP. It constitutes a high level of sensitivity, insight and intuition.

On a more serious note, mass hysteria is one of the more undeniable and identifiable manifestations of mind-body transmissions. In a subtle, sometimes unrecognizable way, an undercurrent of Mass Hysteria projects itself on almost all of us at a racetrack or off-site wagering center. Of course, we are always receiving transmissions from those in our immediate area: friends, acquaintances, even strangers. But we're also receiving messages from the rest of the crowd being emitted through mind-body transmissions that could well be having a negative effect on our decision-making processes.

Since most of the patterns of these transmissions originate in thought processes governed by mainstream "popular wisdom" or just plain myth, we're being barraged by non-winning emissions. This is what I call the COLLECTIVE CONSCIOUS because we are at least vaguely aware of what our receptors are processing. Tidbits of confusing gossip, Jockey-Trainer and a mass of other opinion, including answers to the eternal question, "who do you like?" and other peripheral data are constantly swimming through our ears.

This means that our conscious minds require a strong antidote against such toxins floating airborne around us like viruses or germs.

By the same token, a person more sensitive to mind-body, aura and other emanations, might benefit greatly in the company of a strong-minded charismatic winner. Mind-Body Transmitters and Receptors happily work both ways.

The notion that the human brain is a computer; so often called the greatest of all computers, is pure metaphor. The brain does <u>process</u> Information and plays a large role in directing the course of that information. Yet that is only part of its function. It is also a RECEPTOR for neuropeptides supplying BODY information. Unlike a computer it does not respond coldly or mechanically to incoming data. Our brain is extremely subject to FEAR and CONFUSION directed both by BODY organs, endocrines and external stimuli.

For those who can remain cold and calculating, using only their brain power to handicap and wager **successfully**, this article is not for you. Unfortunately for me, I have never actually met such a person. I have met those who claim their brain works like a computer devoid of any input

causing hesitation, indecision or anxiety. They put up an enviable front but upon closer examination I find them subject to the same emotions as the rest of us. They are well suppressed. BUT, 'When you prick them, they DO bleed?' They DO see Darkness at Noon.

They survive, even prosper, because they have developed a mental, immune system that has strengthened their psyche to a degree of outer toughness making it possible for them to weather the occasional slings and arrows of outrageous fortune. They have records that PROVE, as do the few I offered in my article, DOOM & GLOOM, showing that positive days far outnumber the gloomy ones. They are soldiers of handicapping fortune who do not retreat at the first sound of enemy gunfire.

We have many who are so fortified in our group and the list grows steadily. Sadly, we have a few who are not sufficiently fortified; At least, not YET. There is still work to be done.

The major personality difference between the two factions was well expressed by BARRY MEADOW when he said in his book Money Secrets, "Playing five races a day five days a week, it's NO BIG DEAL to lose for MONTHS at a time." He's the only one I know who carries the idea of negative expectation that far. But NEGATIVE EXPECTATION is the chief difference between "Them" and us. We EXPECT to win consistently. As a result our suffering becomes magnified by adversity.

In this issue I've reproduced a **losing** day and followed it with five successive **winning** days. If your anxiety is severe enough you won't take solaces in the fact that the odds are five to two against continuous losing days. Sadly, those with this kind of anxiety tend to force themselves into losing situations. Just as some have a deep inner, almost subconscious sense of how to find and make VALUE wagers, others are driven by their negativity to seek and find the opposite.

Although they deny it vociferously, they actually want to lose. Nobody offers solace or pity to a winner. They buy the drinks and dinners. The non-winner gets to wallow in sympathy and blame others instead of self for failure. Why Not? Entire religions and Legal Systems have been built on the need to establish guilt or blame. Society has never blamed itself for the tragedies caused by its concept of adhering to "Conventional Wisdom."

In handicapping, **not winning** is a majority event. So, if the majority is always right, **winning** becomes **wrong!** If virtually all the literature, lectures, seminars and audio-video presentation on handicapping supports a status quo with limited profit expectations, what possible Motivation or Inspiration does the average recipient of such material have to surpass that status quo. It's kind of like the world before 1492, where it was generally believed that the world came to a demarcation point beyond which everything dropped into oblivion.

SUMMARY: The COLLECTIVE CONSCIOUS can negatively or, under perfect conditions, positively effect YOUR success. This means you must constantly RE-ENFORCE the belief system dominated by your merital set during your most successful cycles and FOCUS on your cyclic Wagering Decision Form results. As you do so, you'll find more and more overlays staring you right smack in the face. This NOT some illusionary fantasy. It reflects the ACTUAL EXPERIENCE of those who have DONE IT and are now consistently succeeding; some for the first time.

Above all remember handicapping and wagering success is NOT a mechanical procedure. It

is a MIND-BODY process requiring a healthy balance between the effect of EMOTIONS on the MIND and how the MIND relates to emotions. Using them in coordinated balance enhances the capacity of the mind. VISUALIZING from this enhancement will cause you to see beyond the obvious; to see things in the Past Performances and in your Readouts you NEVER saw before.

Some even go so far as to call it MIND-BODY-SPIRITUAL Unity.

When the MIND is strongly focused on a particular endeavor and, what is commonly called, "The Heart," is focused on achieving the goals of the mind, there is a big open GAP: the WILL. When we're overcome with zeal in our mind on which our heart is SET, we tend to discount the WILL, that binds a mind and heart into a WHOLE. This binding is most essential and infinitely more important than just "wanting."

Focus on the POWER of the WILL and the mind and heart will follow. The computerized Wagering Decision Form is designed specifically to enhance the power of the WILL and turn what we euphemistically call: Handicapping" into a more definitive term: WAGERCAPPING!

Hope you all saw the Peter Jennings ABC News report on "INFORMATION OVERLOAD. It was almost a repetition of what I said in Follow Up #73: ABC did an excellent in-depth report of what happens to human emotions when confronted with the mass of information now available with modern technology. A wealth of studies show that a mind barraged with information overload is stigmatized to the point of trauma rendering most of us almost catatonic when trying to make valid decisions based on excess information. I witness a lot of this every time I go to an off-site wagering center, It is even more pronounced at tracks themselves.

There is certain basic information of which we should all be aware. But much of the excessive ancillary information - or MIS-information on the Internet and magazines can be more harmful than helpful.

#### INFORMATION isn't KNOWLEDGE! KNOWLEDGE isn't INTELLIGENCE.

We live in what is described as the Information Age. Hence, we tend to confuse Information with knowledge. Information is raw data. Knowledge is an accumulation of relative, interrelated data as tempered by the effects of history, insight and empiricism. Those confusing information with knowledge are destined to become the victims of the now rather than beneficiaries of the infinite. Intelligence is the native ability to synthesize information and knowledge into a perspective that produces positive results. These facts are true in WAGERCAPPING and in life.

## WAGERCAPPING Bettor's Choice

When I wrote that clients today were having fewer handicapping problems and that most troubles came from making proper wagering selection, a strange thing occurred. Some of you suddenly became quite careless about handicapping itself. With Synthesis, especially with the TrackMaster download, handicapping has now been relegated to following directions and using a modicum of sense about contender and paceline selection and interpretation of corollaries.

out of hand. I'll attempt to do so in a manner somewhere between the request of the person who wanted me to show "bad stuff" and the one who wanted to see only material about "how to", nothing but "positive" communication or about the mistakes people make. I published a number of "bad stuff" reports in Follow Up 73, 74 and in this issue, always keeping erring clients anonymous. I pointed out mistakes that had cost them real money. Failing to specifically describe these mistakes and how to correct them, would be totally irresponsible on my part. When irresponsibility costs a client hard-earned money it is inexcusable.

I realize there are dozens of handicapping books whose titles and authors I've been requested to never mention. Most of you have told me that you read them all. I've also read them. For the most part they're positive. They tell the reader "how to," based on the author's rules and examples of how they did it. They never show mistakes, their own or anyone else's. Apparently they never make mistakes and their customers are all error free. A consummation devoutly to be wished but one not consistent with real life. Oh, wait. I did read about an author's mistake in the current Horseplayer Magazine. He had all the winners but accidentally put down one wrong number on his pick six card. It cost him \$72,666.

I can be like so many contemporary handicapping titans. It's easy. Here's **how to** do it. Bet only on winners, pass all races that won't pay overlay prices and, above all, have a copy of the <u>following day's</u> Results Charts on hand to assure that you succeed with the first two rules. See what I mean? <u>How to</u> is no problem. That's why so many big name professionals are doing it.

Realistically, at one time or another, sometimes even yesterday or last week, I've made all the mistakes you have. I make fewer now because I call myself every rotten name in the book when I make a careless mistake and strive to correct my errors with enough diligence to prevent me from repeating them. Note I said 'careless' mistakes. Even when exercising maximum care and effort, everyone will make an occasional mistake that is costly. I agree with Alexander Pope, "To Err Is Human." He ended that statement with "To Forgive is Divine." I wish he'd said "Correct" instead of Forgive. I'm not empowered to offer absolution and redemption.

I do know that I would not be forgiven by clients if I failed to offer ways to correct mistakes

when requested. Such failure on my part would also result in no letters to Vox Pop, since they all come from clients who received corrective advice, some of which was not given diplomatically or even politely. Wishy washy, non-confrontational counsel is for cowards and never cured anyone of anything.

In the last Follow Up I stressed the importance of getting the majority of winners in the top THREE Tiers of BL/BL. I said when an overlay appears in the Top 3 to **ignore** Corollaries. I also said that when a <u>big</u> overlay appeared in Tier 4, or even 5, to pay <u>close attention to positive</u> <u>corollaries only</u>. I leave defining 'BIG overlay' entirely up to you.

Having made this point I'll now show you some Wagering Decision Forms that conform to the sub-title of this article: "Bettor's Choice." Three were submitted by the person who goes by the nom de plume, "Capper." His were from AQU and FG. One is from Aline, another from an anonymous donor. On each of these Forms you'll see some Missed, where underlays were hidden. When you see an underlay actually bet it's because the SECOND win bet was on such a big overlay that a properly proportioned total bet created a positive situation even when betting horse paying as low as \$4.30 (Not recommended but it's on Capper's Forms from AQU only). It was 'Capper's answer to a query from Tim Gagas about handling the bevy of low-paying favorites winning so many races at AQU. As high as 45% during this period. 'Capper adjusted to the reality of that point in time.

When he did bet on these low paying favorites, his <u>other</u> win bet in these races was on a BIG OVERLAY: 12-1 or higher.

Here's how that works:

Total Bet \$20. Bet \$12 on the \$4.30 winner =  $6 \times 4.3 = $25.80$ . He made \$5.80 on the race. Had his 12-1 horse won, his return would have been  $4 \times 26 = $104$ . That's ONE way to handle periods when you're SURE that a lot of low paying favorites are likely to win.

'Capper's abilities, based on his <u>attitude</u>, can best be duplicated by reading his regular column in the Follow Up. I tried to positively teach this kind of proportional betting for years, but most clients clung to 60-40 and bet <u>only</u> on the top 2 RANKED choices, not <u>their</u> top 2. No matter how hard I preached HOW TO, my injunctions about the POWER OF 3 in the Yellow Manual and various Follow Up articles were ignored by many. Some even said they didn't know HOW to proportion a bet. That's just 3rd grade arithmetic.

Heavily tilted proportional betting may be okay during a period when low price favorites are dominating the winner's circle at a given track if the person doing the handicapping is a proven winner and knows it with such a degree of confidence that he <u>answers</u> questions instead of <u>asking</u> them. My experience tells me this applies to about 2% of all handicappers, but to as much as 60% of our clients.

With so much negative experience in dealing only with the <u>positive</u>, now instead of focusing on HOW TO, I include a lot of how NOT to do material. The result of this change in procedure can be read in Vox Pop. More clients are winning using the Synthesis Wagering Decision Form and having their errors <u>corrected</u> than ever won before from <u>any</u> How To manual, book or article.

			ALL TR	ACKS	SARTIN WAGER	METHODO ING DEC	OLOG ISI	Y N	FO	RM ·	1	MIN							•		
	1	вотто	M LINE-	BETTING	LINE	RANKS	A	E P	L P	PT	E H			E	T S	. 1		L		#	_
	DRESS	1	2.	3	4	55	Ъ 2		R   .	1 3	1	1 (1			1		1	1	1	_ <u></u>	
ţ	SA0318- 5 CHICH SA0318- 2	8.80 13.60	-	-			7	6	1	1 2	1	4 3	2	1	0	Ð	5	2	2	_2	
	MR. B SA0116- 5		12.00				5	4	2	2 2	1	2 (2	2	2	2.	1	2	2	2	_3	
	SA0116- 4			MISSED	· ·				_		-	+,	╀	_	$\vdash$	_		H	H	_4	
į.	HILLT - SA0116 - 3	8.00					2	2	2	1 1	1	1 (2	1	1	1.	1	2.	1	<del> </del> ┺	<u>    5</u>	
1.	PBLAG SA0116- 2	25.00			·		3	6	1	2 2	2	2 (2	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	_6	•
	CAPRI SA0116- 1			LOST		1	16	2	в	8 6	8	в	7	8	8	_	•	_	8	_7	-
89.Jr	TOT	4	1									neri e Denne	u i Hi	FOR Fa	\$	i Gran	u(s) Vo	ing.		na Je Vil reten	i Pilijat Opta gla
i Ne		55.40	12.00					 4 :							i delig Vilopia					n vi Live	
	AVE MUT	13.85	12.00	<del> </del>											٠.					3	: .
	AVE	l			1	1			1												

SARTIN METHODOLOGY SA -- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN

				D11																
							,		DD	IMA	DΥ	1	,	SI	JPP	, ,	FIR	۸C	!P	!
							! _	ļ.,				e !	10						ΝĀ	•
	-						B	E	늰	CIT	D	r i			s		٠,	~;'	¦s	
	1	BOTTO	M LINE-		LINE	RANKS	A	2		PIT	Ė	w [ -	LIP NIX		131	P!	1	- ;	!5	! #
0	ii.	1_1	2	3 !	4	5	بطباب	R	<u>R L</u>	<u>R   -</u>	<del>! !</del>	<u>-ir</u>	<u> </u>	<u>; t</u>	<u> </u>	F ;	<u></u> +	÷	ب-	<del>; "</del>
10	ALLEG			1		1	- 1	1 1			į į	n!	جرا	į.	! _!	٠. ا	- i	. !	. !	į ,
W)	0310 7 i	22.60	į				4	4	2!	<u> 211</u>	Ш	)	1([	ш	131	1	3	±į.	÷	خخ
	EMMA I	Į				1	1	1 1		1	; ;	_   .	٦.	!_	! !	. !	. !	. !	. i	! .
ર	0310 6	10.40	i	1		_i	2	L	5	112	<u> 151</u>	٨Щ	<u> 212</u>	! 2	щ	1	1	4	بنه	<u> 2</u>
0	O'REY						_	1 1		ŧ		-	4	ŀ	1 1	Ī	_ }	_ !	_ !	į
*	0310 3			PASSED		_	_ i 4	2	4	41.	141	416	<u>2]4</u>	<u>! 4</u>	2	2	3	2:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
•	1 0010 0 1			*****				1	-1	-	1 1	-1'	7	ł		}	- {	ij	1	1
	0310 1 i			MISSED			Ì	i i		_ i_	1_1			<u>l</u>	لبا	ليا		<u> </u>	!_	<u>. 4</u>
	, <u>nara r</u>		-	HEDDED				1	1	$\neg$	$\Box$	- i	1	ţ	1 1			- 1	- {	1
	Y020611			MISSED			i	1	i	- i_	i i	<u> </u>	1	!	لــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	Ш				5
<b>\</b>	7 <u>030611</u>			1110000				$\top$		$\neg$	1	1	-	1	1	٠,		ļ	1	1
3/06	020510		••	MISSED			i		i	i	L	_i_	İ	ĺ	! 1				_!_	; 6
8	030610			1110000	ĭ	!	1	i		T	7			-	1	1	;	1	ł	[
•		12.60	}		<b>¦</b>	į	1 3	i 2	iзi	113	13	116	2) 1	11	2	2	2	2!	2!	7
Laues-	0306 9	_14.0V	<del></del>		<u>                                     </u>	<del>-</del>	1	1	. !		1	$\Box$			Π.	Ī	: :	1	- 1	1
્યું.	JACK		27.80		!	-	1 3	11	i 5 i	1 ! 2	13	1 1	12	13	1	1	1.	11	11	! 8
~ ~	0306 8		21.00		<del>                                     </del>	+	<del></del>	1		1	!		7	1	!	,		: :	1	1
Ŭ	BIG J			į	į	1	1 3	1,	lai	112	13	2 /	ı G	iı	1	2	1	2	21.	9
0	0306 7	7.80			<u>i                                     </u>		<del>-                                    </del>	15	121	-	1		<del>د م</del> ت	<u> </u>	<del>                                     </del>	!				<u> </u>
01	FREE	i			<u> </u>	ì	• •	.   -	1 . 1	210		11/	<u> </u>	d i	2	1	i a i	2 !	1	110
	0306 5		10.20		<u> </u>	_!	:	113	•	414	ـــب		مبد	+*	1	•				!
	LORD		1		ļ	]		.!.	!	21:	113	2 1	7 2		2	12	19	7	2	111
	0306_3		16.00		<u>L</u>		ظـــــ <u>ا</u> ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	12	للبإ	بنع	دبد	4.6	كباث	44	+4	-	-	1	-	+**
	DANCI			Ī	1		! .	.!.	! .!		.!.		$\gamma_{1}$	J.	2	1 2	1	17	4	12
,	0306 2			7.40	<u> </u>		ئبـ	11	بعب	2	::5	بو	٠,	4	<del> 4</del>	<del>; -</del>	1		7	1.25
4	1						-	Ţ			}		į	į	1	Ì			1	13
	0306 1			MISSED			_ļ_	ᆜ		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	i_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ـــــ		ا		117
	TOT		1	!	1	į.	- 1													
	WIN	4 _	i 3	1 . 1	1		!													
	TOT		1	1	1	1	, 1													
	MUT		54.00	i 7.40	İ															
	AVE		!	1	1		1													
	MUT		18.00	7.40	İ	_L	1													
	AVE		1	1	1		1													
	BAL		4.0	5.0	i		!													
	Unu	<u>W.1 Y</u>	·																	

(1) In this AFTER HIDES Form, Aline Best has no contenders BL/BL Tiered beyond THREE. She has no need to look at any corollaries other than BL/BL. Only when horses are in the 4th or 5th Tier do we examine corollaries; and then we only look for positive indicators worse than THREE.

SARTIN METHODOLOGY
SA -- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN



					ļ	1		PR	IM	AR	Y	1	1		SU			FR.			₽	
						В	E	L	cl:	T	н :	F						E   :	ь :	N.	A	
1	BOTTO	M LINE-	BETTING	LINE I	RANKS	Α	₽			T	ΕļΙ	M				S		-	1		S	
	1	2	3	4	5	L	R	Rļ	R	<del>-</del>	+	→F	X	N	4	+	P		+	-+	5+	_#
UM PR						_	5	-	2	3	<b>,</b>	4	6		٦	3	3	6	3  .	٦		.1
0324 8			45.60	<del></del>		9	2	╧┼	4	2	-	-	9	-	7	4	1	×  -	1	7	寸	<del>_=</del>
PUDDY	-	40				. 4	2	2	7	٦	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2		2
0324 6	<del></del>	17.40					~														İ	
LAZAR	j		11.20			4	5	1	3	1	1	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	1	1		_3
0324 3 TWILI	<del></del> -	i	****		i							7				-	- [	- 1		- 1	]	•
0321 7	ł	•	15.40			4	2	4	1	2	4	3	3	3	3.	1	3	2	4	4	4	_4
A KIN								-		ı	- 1							_	_ [			_
0321 6	41.00					_2		2	1	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	4	긔	1	1	$\dashv$	5
DIXIE	, , , , , ,		i .						7	ا د	4	1	ا ټو	-	۱,		4	4	٠, ١	-	.	_6
0321 5	8:20	<u> </u>	1			4	4.	1	1	إك	-	٠,	-	+		+		*+		-		~
SIR D						٦.	1	_	2	٠, ا	2	-	3	,	- 1	,	,	٦	5	2		7
0321-4	16.60		ļI		<u> </u>	-		-2-	-	┪	-			·	ी							<del></del>
0-04-0			MISSED		•	1					, T						ļ			i		8
0124 9 HONES			141725715											3,00		-				1		
0124 8		•	PASSED			4	2	2	1	3	2	2	1	1	ᅬ	1	2	2	2	1	긕	9
PHAEN																_		الم	_	_ [		
0124 7				25.00	<u> </u>	8	()	4	5	3	5	3	4	4	5	.5	5	2	.5	5		10
CYRAN						۱.		١.			_		٦	3	,	2	2	4	2	2		11
0124 5		21.20			<del> </del>	6	5	1	2	4	2	4	-	-3	+	~	-		<del>-</del>	2		
GRAND	,		ļ	F0 40		١,	13	1	4	5	4	٦	(2)	4	4	2	3	$^{\circ}$	$\widehat{2}$	$\overline{}$		12
0124 3			ļ	50.40	<del></del>	╁╌	13	7	7		-		U				~					
0124 2			MISSED			}																13
<u>V-4-3-6-</u>		· · · ·	113000					T										}				
0124 1			MISSED			<u> </u>	$oldsymbol{\perp}$	<u> </u>	L	<u> </u>	Щ	1						⊢	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	14
						1		1	1									ļ.		ļ		15
0123 9			MISSED				┼-	╄	╀	┝	├─	$\dashv$		┢	-		$\vdash$	-	$\vdash$	├	╁	12
DOOR			20.00			1 4	١,	4	3	4	4	1	1	2	4	1	1	1	1	3		16
0123 8			30.20	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	┯	+	1-	+-	1	1			-	1		_		_	_	İ	
STOP 0123 7		}	9.80			5	: l 3	2	3	4	4	3	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	<u> </u>	17
RENEW	<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>	1 2.00			1	Т	T	$\mathbf{I}$	Γ				1			١.,	ا		_	1	İ
0123 5				10.80	)		14	/2	4	16	[3	(3)	4	4	2	5	(3)	42			<del> </del>	18
		,	•						1								1	ì				1.0
0123 4			MISSED		<u> </u>	╁	+	╁	┿	╂—	╁	┝╌┤		-	⊢	-	├	┼─	+	╁	-	19
NATIV	1.	1	`	1		Ι.	۱,	2	12	6	٦	4	2	١٦	2	3.	١٦	2	3	12		20
0123 2		<del> </del>	11.20	<del> </del>		┿	5 4	44	44	10	12	1.21		1.3	-	<del></del>	<u> </u>	+=	<del></del>	1	•	<del>1 2 x</del>
TOT			1 ~	3																		
WIN TOT		2	- 6	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>	-																
		38 60	123.40	86.2	0 _	_																
AVE						-																
MUT		19.30	20.57	28.7	3	_																
AVE	:	1				-																
BAL	3.0	5.0	5.3	5.7	<b>_</b>	_l .																

(2) Top THREE tier overlays are automatic. Bet the TOP two overlays in the Top 3. Start with your minimum required return (\$7.00) and the HIGHEST remaining overlay. In TIER #4 the \$25 winner is suspect; but at that price 3 horses could have been profitably bet. The Corollary Ranking of FX in the \$50.40 and \$10.80, 2 and 1 respectively, warrant a wager without question. Look at the other corollary rankings, a bevy of 1's 2's and a triad of 3's.

			aqu	sarti Wagerin	N METHO	100 101	1 I	Y FOR	ıM		· 14	ΙΙŃ	ī										(3)
	вотто	w ring-	BETTING	LINE F	ANKS		E P R	L	CIP	T	Y B		FX	P	E N	- 1		FR	L L	N	P A S	#	
SUNSH 326 5			MISSED			4	4	3	2	5	2	3	(2)	i		3	2	(2)	2	2)		1	
PUNCH															_							_	
326 3			PASSED			_7	1	4	4	2	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	-	_2	
PRINC 325 9	.	6.60				5	1	3	2	3	4	11	(2)	2	3.	(2)	2	(J)	2	الد'		_3	
CAPTI		0.00																٦					
325 7	4.60					4	1	7	1	3	6	0	(1)	ч	4	1	1	1	ᅰ	4		_4	
325-5			MISSED		<u> </u>		, ,						). 	1	£.		-75					_5	. <del>.</del>
FROST		( <u></u> .	J'ASSED	t <del>er</del> er er er		7	4	2	4	4.	2	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3		_6	
PIRAT			are leader			4	÷	4	્	٦	4	1			3	2	3	1	3	3		7	• • • · · ·
324 8 MATT'		12.60																- T	,			£	i .
324 7		11.20		,-		4.	1	4	2	2	2	2	_3	2	3	2	2	13.	2	2	-	8	
YODBL 324 6			20.40	·		4	١,	4	1	3	4	2	2	3	3	1	3	2	3	3		<u>.</u>	
MISS			20.70										<i>/</i> -			L	_	L	1	1			
324 2	4.30				- 2	2	4	1	1	1	נ	D)			J.	1	1	1	1	1		10	
BE AN 324 1		İ		PUSS	40 3 X O	7	5	4	4	7	6	4	3	4	5	5	5	1	5	3		11	
SKIP						Γ.	_											Ī.					,
32110		35.80				_5	4	3	2	7	4	1	<del>  1</del>	2.	1	1	1	1	1	1		12	
IRON 321 8	- 1	47.40				7	4	1	2	4	2	2	3	2	2	4	4	4	4	3		13	
BLACK		_1/_1/								Γ.			Γ_		۰	,	_	_		_			
321 7			LOST		<del> </del>	14.	6	3	5	4	3	7	-7	7	6	6	6.	6	8	7	$\vdash$	14	
RAMPA 321 5			PASSED			8	2	3	4	3	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	3	4	4		15	
RINGA						_	Ι.								١,	٦	١,	4	,	7		16	
313 6 SONG	8.40		 			5	4	1	3	1	1	1	4	2	┝	1	Ľ	4		-	-	10	
313 2	6.20					3	1	13	(1)	2	2	E,	(2	1	4	(1)	1	1	1	I	<u> </u>	17	
COVER						_	٦	2	2	٦	1	2	4	,	2	4	7	4	3	2		18	
310 9		21.80		· · · ·	ļ	5	3	1	1	-	1	-	-	^	_	1	ľ	-					
310 8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MISSED	<u> </u>		-	$\vdash$	╀	-	├	┝	-		-	-	┝	┝	-	$\vdash$	┝	-	19	
MR. T _310 5			11.20	<u> </u>		6	2	4	3.	3	4	(2	14	3	4	3	4	12	4	4		20	
TOT			•		NO BELS								סטמ									LE	
WIN	4	6	22		- 1			ES					=		1 (								
TOT	23.50	135.40	31.60	<u>.</u>	9 70			ES				٠,	=		1								
AVE	1	İ	i			1 71	ງຣ ວຣ	SE T	יע	ابا.	72	1 )	=			2							
MUT		22.57	15.80		9 70			SE	D				=			4							
AVE BAL		5.0	4.7		7.0																		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>• = • *</del>				G	RO	AL SS W	W	II		<b>e</b>	\$20	F	EI	R. 1	RA	.CE = =	:	\$	32 92 60	7	

(3) Here is 'Capper's answer to periods of low priced favorites at AQU. He bets these favorites as one of his bets when they completely dominate his corollary array. His second bet in each race was on a horse with odds of 12-1 or better. Again my reminder, 'Capper is a skilled Wagercapper with **no** anxieties so he qualifies for the subtitle of this article, "Bettor's Choice."

			aqu V	SARTI NAGERIN	N METHO G DECIS	DOL	OG F	Y OR	M		W	ίΝ										
	вотто	M LINE-	BETTING	LINE R	ANKS	A	P	L	P	TÌ	E I	N		N		Т		AC L	И	P A S	_#	
SPICY 310 3		9.30	-			5	1	3	2	3	3	نـــاء	2 2	2	2	2	2	2	3		_1	
JAMET 310 2	14.20					_5	2	2	1	2	1	2	2 1	2	1	2	2	2	2		_2	
WINLO	12.00					5	1	3	1	1	3	1	1 2	3	1	2	2	3	4		_3	
DEVIL 3 4 2			43.20			6	2	4	4	4	4	3 (	ولز	4	3	3	1	3	4		_4	
FAIR	7.40					2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1		_5	•
3 4 1		<del></del> +	MISSED				1.1 2.1	·	×2.	٠		(* 6					L	·	٠.		6	
BRUSH 226 6	6.20				S. St. Santager	4	3	1	•	2	2	2	1 1	1	1	1	3	1	1		7	
TRULY 226 4	9.20	31.00				5	1	4	2	1	3	1	د له	4	3	3	3	4	4	54	8	
THIRT	5.20	-31.00				5	2	3	1	2	1	2	ىلد		1	1	1	2	3		_9	٠
CHECK	2.40	17.20				4	4	2	3	1	1	2	4	2	3	1	4	2	2	L	10	
CAPTA		8.30			-		1	4	1	2	4	1	4 4	4	4	4	2	4	4		11	
224 4	· <del>-</del>	10	MICCED	· · <del>-</del>																	12	
224 3 BEFOR			MISSED			7	4	,	3	4	1	4	3 /	1 2	3	3	4	3	3		13	
221 7 BUCK			12.80			4	Γ	3	,	,	1	,	4	2 3	2	1	3	2	2		14	
221 6 TOP G		6.10				4			1	1	,	3	7	, ,	1,	2	2	2	1		15	
221 5 TALAV	7.90			40 M		6	Ť	†	4	1	2	4	3	4 2	4	4	4	4	2		16	
221 3 ONE F			Passed	9/60			T	1	1	7	1			1	Τ.			Π	١,		17	
221 2 CARTA	4.60			<u> </u>		4	T	1	1	2	1	1				7	T	1	2		18	-
<u>215 8</u> STRIK			PASSED			-3		T	1	_	3	2		3	T	Τ,	T	<b>T</b>	1,		19	•
215 7 BRUSH		<del> </del>	PASSED			4	1	Τ	Ī	Т			1		٦		Т		╁	T		
215 6 TOT			PASSED			7					•	UEI									20  CLI	
WIN TOT	<del>  7</del>	<u> </u>	2 '	No BED	ļ <u>-</u>	-  5	RΑ	CE	S	BE	T	<b>.</b>	= =		14 12				_	٠.	02.	_
MUT	57.50	71.90	1.	9150		1	11		ED			(T)	=		3	l						
MUT	8.21	14.38	28.00	9 60				ST SS		,			=======================================		1 4							
BAL		4.7	6.5	610	<u> </u>	_			**		; <b>T</b>	o s	רוכי	כל			ን <b>ል</b> በ	- <del>प</del>		<b>\$</b> 2	ខេច	
						ŧ	JR		Ş	WJ	IN	<del>.</del>	9 <b>4</b> U	r	C.P		'W	- = =		<b>\$</b> 9	50	

<sup>4)</sup> Here 'Capper does the same thing at AQU. His lowest paying bet wins paying only \$4.60. His other bet was on a 20-1 horse. He proportioned his bets to assure a profit regardless of who won.

	SARTI	N METHODOI	LOGY	
fg	 WAGERING	DECISION	FORM	 WIN

	BOTTC	M LINE-	BETTING	LINE F	ANKS	A		L P			н	W	FΧ	P	E	S	T	FR		N	P A S S	_#
325 6 .			MISSED											_		_			_	_		_1
HUNTI			i i										_	Ì	l		İ	•				_
325 5			25.00			4	3	2	2	4	2	3	(2	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	-	_2
CLOWN							,			_ 1	- 1		(1	_		_	2	2	,	4		-
325 3		23.80				4.	13	3	2	3	3	3	بند	2	1	2	2	-	<del>-                                    </del>	┍╧┤	-	_2
ZARBE		in the state of th				- 6	7	A. 7	3	٠	4	٦	$\mathcal{C}_3$	5		ے ا	3	2	3	5-2	1	. Á
325 2	100	1.0	48.00		TO A SECTION AND	6	4	3	-		•	귀		17	3 - 5							_
ALAME 325 1	8.40				l literatura in Programa	5	2	3	ı	2	3	1	(; ] (; <u>3</u>	1	2	2	2	2	3	2	*1. Z	5
MOCHA	9.30						Ž, 3						7						:_			_
324.8			PASSED			8	2	7	2	7	9	5	$\zeta_2$	3	5	2	2	2	3	5		_6
VERSA		Ī.				٦	,	,	,	4	2	[ ۲ ]	(,	-	2	7	٦.	-	٦ .	۱, ۱		7
324 7	11.00				<u> </u>	2	12	4	┝┷┤	4	~		-\-	┝╩╌	4	-		-	_			
UNDER			36 60		}	7	12	4	اءا	2	3	4	(3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4		8
324 3			26.60			-	┼	1								_	_					
DESER		6.60				اء	1	3	2	2	2	2	Ù	1	2	1	2	1	2	2		9
324 1 CRUCI		0.00	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	⇈	1	П					i i								
320 9		20.20				6	2	4	2	1	4	2	_5_	2	4	2	3	1	4	5	<u> </u>	10
VARYA							Ī							١								l
320 7	6.20		·			2	1	4	1	3	4	1	<u>(1</u> )	[2]	2	1	1	1	1	1	⊬	11
JIRHA						i _	١.	١.			_	1	17	1	,	٦	2	1	1	1		12
320 5	7.60				<del> </del>	5	4	11	1	3	2	1	+	1-	+	٤.	1	┸	├┷.	┝┷	一	
GOD'S		ŀ		1	ľ	٦ ا	-	4	2	2	4	2	(2	1 2	4	١,	12	2	2	2		13
320 3 CRUCI		<u> </u>	21.20		····	┤╌╸	┿	╈	†	~		1	_	Т.						Г	$\Box$	
325 6	1	i	6.40			4	3	2	2	3.	3	13	$\mathcal{L}_{3}$	(2)	3	(		2	2	2	▙	14
MOCHA									1	1	İ	İ		ľ	١.				,	١.	1	
324 8		8.00				5	11	13	11	2	4	3	12	12	4	11	11	11	12	14	—	15
TOT		1 .		1		=						٠					_					
<u> WIN</u>	44	4	5		ļ.——								_					1 €	a)	ns		
TOT	] ,, ,,	50 60	127 20			1			ac		, ,	зе	t	=	4	28	ខប					
MUT	33.20	20.00	127.20	-	<del> </del>	P	AS	35	ÉD					=			1					
MUT	ı	14.65	25.44			M	IIS	53	ES	ĺ	1	၁န	t)	-			1					
AVE					1	G	RU	)5	S	RE	T	JR	Ñ	_	\$	1	, Ū	95	ī			
BAL		4.5	4.8	1		. N	E	Ľ		RE	T	UR	N		=	\$		8 1	.5			

(5) 'Capper goes now to FAIRGROUNDS in New Orleans. All these race were done Off-Site at the San Bernardino, California wagering center. AFTER HIDES all his overlays were in BL/BL's Top 3 Tiers. All but ONE winner was in the Top 3 FX, with 5 being ranked NUMBER ONE and 4 ranked number TWO. This leads me to believe that those who tell me that X is not as potent in the East and Midwest as it is elsewhere, are NOT selecting contenders and pacelines with the degree of skill they may think they possess. They should Re-Read contender Paceline instructions and practice on dark days.



After 5 examples of **positive**, I'll now briefly show what some clients are doing <u>incorrectly</u> that's costing them a lot of money. I snipped and pasted from just SIX Form reports out of dozens, picking them at random. Collectively, based on only TWO DOLLAR WIN BETS, just these six oversights represent a LOSS of \$271.80. Plus the money bet on the two horses that did <u>not</u> win.

SARTIN METHODOLOGY

			Λ <del>N</del>	MOENTING	DEC 1310	M POR	11 ; W	1.14		
							OIMADY			. LEDAG'
				7	•		RIMARY		SUPP	
					<u>-</u>	BIEIL	:C:T:H	HFi	ISIE!T:	TIE!L!N!
:	BOTTOM	LINE-B	ETTING	$\mathbf{W}_{t,i}$ :	i i	AIPIP	PITIE	1W1	IPINIS!	P: : : :
<u> </u>	1 1	2 1	3 :	NO BOT	1 XaX	LIRIR	<u> </u>	1 1 FX	INITI	P1.1-1-1
PUEBL		1		<b>*</b> */	' <del>در س</del> ت '	_1.1	13-12-12:		1 1 1, 1	41
0320 5	riks <u>y</u> lti.			53.00		3Y2) 5	13 (2)14	141(2	) 4   4 (2)	2)(2)(2):
		The second second	1	and the second s	and a second of the second of	<del></del>				

						В	E	PR L	IMA C T		F	1	s	SU	PP T		RA	
,	BOTTO	M LINE	BETTING	LINE	RANKS I 5	A	P R	P	PIT	E	W	FX	P N	N T	~	P  P	]	
FIBON 0328 1				17.40		17	4	1	4 5	4	(3)	(2)	4	( <u>2</u> )	4	4 4	4	(2)
MOUNT 1226 4				34.00		14	2	4	3 5	5	4	Ä	4	4	2	2 6	<i>(</i> 2)	2
SO DR 210 8				56.00		(7	2	3)	4 4	4	2	(2)	3	4	4 4	(27	4	4
CORNE :				61.80		(6)	(2)	4 {	<u> </u>	//	; 4	(3	/1	: 4 (	(2) (2)	<u>)</u> 4	; ;4	Ą
GRAND 0124 3				50.40		(3)		4	4 5	4	ব	2	4	4	2/2	3	2	1

Now let's look at some options. Cramer says he'll bet three horses when they're all overlays. That's One opinion and is dependent on the odds of the other two. My options are, bet the full \$10, or if you're anxiety prone, just put \$3 on the horse and maybe back it with a \$3 Place Bet. Result, just using the 5th example from above, win bet only:  $1.5 \times $61 = $91.50$ . I don't know what it paid to place,

None of these winners had a balance of over 7. Most were lower. ALL six had a an FX Ranking of 2 or 3. They also had a number of 2 and 3 rankings in other vital corollaries.

Some of the clients submitting these reports cannot financially afford to pass up 30, 50 and 60 winners. What causes them to do so? I know the answers. (1) They're hooked on <u>arbitrary</u> standards for <u>specific</u> Rankings. (2) They confuse BL/BL TIER LEVELS with Rankings and refuse to accept the fact that standing at the finish line of most races, the first FOUR finishers will go by in a blink of the eye.

AGAIN, BL/BL TIER LEVELS ARE NOT RANKINGS!

**DOC SARTIN** 

## Commentary...

# The Length of a Horse and the Value of Opinion

How long is the average race horse? This is a question that has been posed and answered for generations. The old *Systems & Methods* periodical published by Gamblers Book Club had dozens of articles and controversial letters about the subject. Nine, ten and eleven feet were all suggested and elaborated upon in various issues of S&M as well as in American Turf Monthly and other magazines.

The true answer is that it doesn't matter one iota how long a real horse might be. It hasn't mattered since the advent of the oldest adding machine. Now in the computer age, applying a contemporary scientific method, a horse's length becomes an algorithm based on the **physics value** of a length, or portion thereof, beaten or gained.

What <u>does</u> matter is the mathematical value of a length relative to **distance** and **velocity**. This is a problem I solved by contemporary physics and have applied to all our computer programs since 1987.

Before that time I used a uniform 10 feet per length, a concession to a consensus of "popular wisdom." Brohamer used 10 feet in his epic book <u>Modern Pace Handicapping</u> solely for commercial reasons. Tom understands contemporary reality. However, the book's publisher, WM. Morrow, felt that readers would never understand the true physics value of a length relative to time and distance. The accuracy of their assumption can be witnessed daily via some of the myths adhered to the vast majority of horseplayers and perpetuated by tomes written by users of the Internet.

In the **First Fraction** of a race, when horses are traveling at maximum velocity and energy expenditure, we can see with the naked eye that distance behind is more easily overcome in the first eighth or a mile. Then the value of lengths ahead or behind becomes increasingly vital as contenders approach the second call. In the final split and coming down the stretch, <u>all</u> horses decelerate most rapidly. The ones that <u>appear</u> to be accelerating are merely <u>decelerating</u> less. Hence the <u>value</u> of a length must be altered accordingly.

To compensate for the reality of a length by velocity and incremental distances run, I have used three different values for a length. Long range research on hundreds of races has proved our formula is far superior to the uniform 10 feet (or any other uniform) value of a length in determining the winner and near winners of races at all distances and on all surfaces.

Morrow was correct. The handicapping public will never understand this. Most highly regarded pundits do not. They confuse the actual length of a horse with the value of a length in a mathematical formula. While my derivations are scientifically accurate they are referred to by some as pseudo science.

That claim is based on their ignorance of contemporary science to begin with. Add the fact that their ego won't allow use of a computer resulting in a viewpoint remaining as fixed as any prototypical cigar chewing checkered jacketed "Horse-PLAYER of the 1920's". (not that I have any objection to cigars or checkered jackets), just with the fixation with a 1920's mind-set.

This archaic mind attitude still dominates much of the literature of handicapping as viewed through articles in the major handicapping periodicals and books. This phenomenon seems unique to the horse racing field where writers and editors alike seem to ignore the fact that, as we approach a new millennium, there is a **new paradigm** for virtually every aspect of life. All other publications, be they targeted for popular readership or a specialized audience, are filled with articles about the Paradigm Shift in medicine, philosophy, general perception, science and life style. This is kind of ironic. I began writing about the "Paradigm Shift" in 1987 when readers were still pronouncing paradigm as PARADIGEM instead of PARA-DIME. Some still mispronounce the word but it doesn't stop them from winning with the new paradigm manifest through Synthesis.

Neither horse-racing writers nor editors seem to realize we're on the threshold of a new millennium and living under a <u>new paradigm</u> for all subjects because the <u>subjects</u> themselves have had distinctive <u>value</u> alterations for much of the past decade and a half.

In any handicapping procedure utilizing LENGTHS and/or minute portions of lengths, the value applied to such separations is often the difference between winning and losing. Perhaps that is why final time handicapping procedures are so popular: they make it easy to figure the distance separating horses crossing the finish line - the first three or four - at least.

The problem is that <u>it's easy for all to figure</u> which is why so many race goers bet on favorites and near-favorites. This is true for anything that is easy. That's why I'm chagrined when someone complains that our Methodology is NOT easy. This may have been true once. But today all the work has been done through research of the vital factors contained within the computer program itself. Little work is essential for the user. But that "little" is a lot for those refusing to study directions in manuals and Follow-Ups.

I get weekly Wagering Decision Form responses from dozens of clients. It no longer amazes me when I see clients wagering at the same track, same races, but getting different results. On the same day one client loses <u>all</u> the high paying races, the other wins them. Why? Mark Twain had the answer long before I was born" "It's a difference of <u>opinion</u> that makes horse races," he said. I know that the overwhelming majority of horse**PLAYERS** do not share my concepts (regarded as opinion) and I'm happy about it because it's their money I win.

Going home with your money because of differing opinions is not the same. That saddens me.

I do not want to shape opinion, per se. It's like chopping down totem poles and putting up crosses. Rather than molding opinion I prefer the idea of injecting **reality** into the opinions of others.

There's a vast difference. I can say that in honesty because I have no set opinions about handicapping. My venture into this field was a voyage of discovery, not one to justifying opinions which, fortunately, being a babe in the woods, I had none. I still don't have any opinions about handicapping specifics, other than one insisting that a study of long records of proven fact must always supersede opinion.

Christopher Columbus had an "opinion" that if he sailed west long enough he'd hit India and bring home to Queen Isabella and hubby Ferdinand the riches of Asia. Instead he hit the West Indies and gave the natives various kinds of "pox" diseases. The natives, in turn, killed off a lot of his sailors.

Things like that are bound to happen when setting out on a voyage inspired by "OPINION." Chris was so fixated with his opinion that the native populations of the continent where his journey ended are still called "Indians."

So it is with handicapping "opinions." They can "kill" you at the mutuel windows, blighting your selection process with a kind of pox.

Our efforts are aimed at detecting hidden <u>facts</u> that override opinions based on someone *else's* research - or lack of it. For example, there's a race published we'll show you next issue where the winner's last race was 220 days ago. He was only 6-1 on the Morning Line, 5-2 on OUR Betting Line. After a HIDE was 2nd on Bottom Line and paid a mere \$10.60. Of twelve reports that included this race, only three clients won it. Nine lost because their <u>opinions</u> dictated that a horse laid off for 220 days was beyond consideration. Remember one of our strong points is betting TWO horses to win.

Virtually all opinions are born from a union of myth with personal prejudice. The value of "expert" opinion can be determined only by a careful assessment of the overall record of the expert him/herself. Racing "statistics" are generated from mass data covering many decades. Handicapping "statistics," the stuff we read about in books and magazines, are also allegedly compiled from mass data. I don't know whether or not that's always true. I do know that most currently published data is processed through the "subjective" opinion-based prejudices of those offering this "data" material.

In scientific research there is a system of "objective" checks and balances that processes all data published in a professional journal. Yet, even in the most prestigious of these, fraudulent information is frequently discovered <u>after</u> publication. Book and magazine editors in our field <u>do not</u> make any attempt to verify the information they publish. They don't even try to determine if content is original or plagiarized. It's a crying shame.

Amazingly enough, the same editors and publishers would never release a book dealing with any of the sciences or even a novel, without thoroughly researching the accuracy and

originality of the work.

Anything based on someone's opinion of apparent mass evidence is by definition prejudiced against minority evidence. Racial or ethnic prejudice springs from the same source.

Rest assured I am not referring to opinions that are based on rational self-examination or long term experiential proof, so long as it does not remain in stasis after those opinions are formed. To be valid an opinion in our field, must be subject to current proof and current fact, not the facts of another era of even of last week.

I also believe in *gut feelings* which, when proven accurate, are actually mind-body revelations to which we must adhere. The important thing is to be able to distinguish mind-body (gut) feelings from delusional hunches. Unfortunately, in the case of many handicappers and horseplayers, so-called gut feelings and hunches are nothing more than delusional projections of their prejudiced opinions.

Are you letting misguided, prejudicial opinions bias you against current reality? That's certainly true of the 95% of those lose daily wagering on races. WHY join their ranks when you can be among the 5% who win the money lost by the 95 percent?

No one is completely devoid of handicapping opinions. I've found that winners base their opinions on facts derived from research. Non-winners express opinions based on something they read or heard without checking for accuracy.

Any opinions you may have that help make you a consistent winner, are more than okay with me. Opinions of non-winners don't impress me in the least. Sorry.

#### **TIERS vs. RANKS**

Confusion over what we mean by BL/BL TIERS is causing some clients to miss out on very profitable overlays. While Tiers can also be constructed vertically, by our definition. TIERS are laid out in horizontal layers. For example, the only distinction between Tier 1 and Tier 4, even 5, is the mutuel price, NOTHING ELSE!

RANKS, on the other hand are linear distinctions that enumerate an order of preference for given corollaries and compounded summaries.

Confusing the two or trying to define them as being the SAME, is causing otherwise bright clients to overlook OVERLAYS than are TIERED above 2 or 3. Those doing so are taking a very POWERFUL piece of artillery and using it like a slingshot. There are several examples of this error contained in This edition of the Follow Up.

## The Art & Science of PASSING Races ~

Part 2

Until I began getting visual feedback from the new computerized Wagering Decision Form, I never fully realized how many clients were betting races in chronological order and passing only those with too many First Time Starters or Foreign horses with no usable lines. Granted, I've insisted that you <a href="https://example.com/handicap">handicap</a> most of the other races in a search for Overlay opportunities. The reason for this is that the kinds of races that produce the most VALUE are often those that "conventional wisdom" says to ignore. Handicapping virtually all the races is one thing, betting them all is another.

Perhaps a new term is necessary. I'll call it "WAGERCAPPING." This means handicapping solely for the purpose of determining **if** wagering on two horses to win has a greater potential for profit than for loss. Many do this, others just haphazardly utilize certain readouts as their guide to bet virtually every race on a card.

Let's review some of the Wagering Decision Forms in this and other issues. The average number of <u>missed</u> per 20 race cycle is 7. This means the race, IF bet, is LOST since the winning horse was hidden because it offered no value. Where we see the actual word LOST, it means the winner did not appear in the top 5 Tiers of BL/BL even after HIDES.

In a particular report we find 10 MISSED but not a single PASS. Granted, the client did not BET every race on the cards of March 24, through March 31. He bet 6 races on the 24th, 8 on the 28th and 6 on the 31st. TWO Wednesday's and ONE Sunday. Apparently he skipped only those races with too many first time starters or foreign horses with no North American lines. With that many races bet per day it is apparent that he didn't PASS any races AFTER handicapping them.

### SARTIN METHODOLOGY OP --- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN

	: PRIMARY ! ! SUPP :FRAC !P!
ı	RIFILICITIHIFI ISIEITITIEILINIAI
PETTING LINE BANKS	A!P!P!P!T!E!W!  P!N!S!P!      S!
BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE RANKS	L!R!R!R!
Lost	-(3-50) (3-50) 23 3 1
AGGRE   22.60	6(3)5:5(2)4:4:(3)5:5(2(2)3)(2(3))
033110	
0331 9   MISSED	
PAYDA	4 2 2 2 4 3 2  1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2    3
0331 8 1 -   (19.80)	
MISSED	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
O331 5   MISSED	F
0331 4   (8.40)	1. 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
to the first the first of the second of the	Property of the state of the st
0331 2 MISSED	
COLON   032810   24.60)	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 3 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7
TRICK !	1
0328 9 (7.80)	[ 2;1;3;1;1;3;1; 1;1;2;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;1;
1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 9
0328 8 MISSED	
O328 7 ! MISSED	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0328 7 MISSED	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0328 6 (11.00)	1 2:3:1:1:5:3:1: 1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:1:
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0328 5 MISSED	
MISSED	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
O328 4. I FISSED	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0328 2 (8.60)	512:4:1:2:4:2: 1:1:4:1:1:1:4: :14
QUIET	4131212121212 3121212121212121 115
0324 9   (9.601)	
0324 8 : MISSED	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0324 7 : MISSED	
MICCEN	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
0324 6   MISSED / 057	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
H00SI   7.4	
PEGAM	4:1:1:1:2:2:1: 1:1:1:1:2:1:1:1:   20
0324 3 ( 9.00);	; 4:1:1:1:2:2:1: 1:1:1:1:2:1:2:1:
TOT!	1
WIN: 3 1 3	<del>-</del> i
TOT: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	<u>o</u> :
AVE	
AVE	i !
BAL! 2.6   4.3       7.5	<b>_'</b>

I offer this report with no negative criticism implied. One doesn't criticize a person for having a tumor, liver or heart disease. Neither do we fault the individual suffering from a virus or germ. A caring practitioner can only offer a means for *cure*.

In this case the cure is learning the art and science of when to pass races *after* handicapping them. In his defense, I'll note that, based on betting \$20 per race, \$10 on each of two horses to win, he grossed \$494. However, after betting \$400 he only had a net profit of \$94, or \$31.33 per day. His ROI was 1.235, or 23.5% profit.

In the next issue (#76) read my excerpts in Meet The Press from an article in Horseplayer Magazine by Bill Finley. It's about New York's alleged biggest bettor and possibly greatest winner. The subject, Richie Schwartz, is adjudged "AS ONE OF THE BEST" by Jerry Brown, formerly of the Ragoizin Sheets, now of ThoroGraph. Schwartz is alleged to have bet from 20,000 to 30,000 dollars per DAY!. But, author Finley states, "Even if you're among the BEST, you are probably working with an edge of about 5%." Schwartz doesn't disagree with the statement so I assume it's true (If anything in this article is true).

FIVE PERCENT?? No wonder he has to bet at least \$20,000 per day! HorsePLAYER Magazine is aptly named. If New York's alleged best can only make 5% on his huge bets, than the client whose records I'm using in this article is 4.7 times better than the Big Apple's best. And that's without even PASSING races.

Perhaps I should stop this article right now and agree with Dick Mitchell's oft quoted statement that an overall profit of <u>twenty percent</u> (20%) is <u>professional</u> level. God help us amateurs who are dismayed at less than 49% profit over a 20 race cycle. No wonder Mark Cramer was so amazed at the 258% and 141% PROFIT we earned him on his two submissions of \$100 each to test the efficacy of BL/BL.

Come to think of it, Mitchell was citing Cramer's "Amazing 30 day 34% Profit" in the book *the Odds On Your Side*. 34% Profit averaged with Barry Meadow's stated 8% potential, equals 22%, from which Dick could come up with his rounded number of 20%.

Cramer has come a long way since that book was published in 1987. So, I trust, has Mitchell. This is the trouble with all handicapping books clients and newcomers proudly proclaim to have read. They're outdated. But, and this is the point I've tried to make all along, they <u>still</u> have a great deal of influence on both the outlooks and *expectations* of their readers.

I'll offer a hypothesis based on this client passing 4 of those races where he shows as MISS. His total wager is reduced to \$320. His net profit is still \$94, but his new ROI is 1.29, almost 30% net profit. This puts him pretty close to Mitchell's statement about

Cramer's results being 'amazing'! And remember, he did not bet that \$22.60 5th Tier horse with 4 corollary rankings of 2 and 3, including a 3 in FX.

He obviously had his own good reasons for this decision. So I'm not criticizing. I just penned in LOST on this and the \$7.40 5th Tier winner. I would expect everyone to lose the race with the \$7.40 horse. However, when I see a \$22.60 winner in a higher Tier, I'll either bet it or pass. It might even be a 3rd bet, but betting 3 horses to win can be profitable if one correctly proportions the total bet.

Considering the fact that this \$22.50 winner had <u>eight</u> positive corollaries and only six negative, I'd also take a long look at the rankings and the odds of the 4 horses on the Tiers below it.

Many Value Bettors outside the Methodology will bet three horses to win per race, lifthey're overlays. Mark Cramer wrote me a stern letter about this, saying he often bet 3 to win.

Now to the nitty gritty: WHEN to PASS and HOW to know when to PASS.

First, my observations of those who take laptop computers with them to the track or off-site betting parlor, handicap and wager at several tracks, This includes those using the Multitrack option on the new Wagering Decision Form program. They choose two, three or four tracks where they had what they call "good luck" (there is no such thing) the previous day or week. With little consideration for ancillary factors they choose their pacelines from the best SR's or whatever they use. They hide horses with odds too low for them to bet, wager on any horse paying \$7 or more and the highest overlay in the top three Tiers of BL/BL. Sound okay, doesn't it?

Not quite. They're doing everything **mechanically**: following a set of guidelines, but functioning like automatons. They're only using **one** part of their brain, their Frontal Cortex with no sensitive, emotional intelligence for balance. They're simply not examining races with fully functioning Mind-Body awareness of what they're seeing before them. Downloader's are especially susceptible to this kind of oversight. That's why I always buy a Daily Racing Form to go along with my download information, just so I won't miss something, particularly that *total feel* for a race I can't get as well from just depending on the mechanics of downloading. With so many more races now in the DRF, I only have to buy one form. On several days this has actually increased my profit over \$100. Just ask Shane, Guy or Aline.

During a period when, as Tim Gagas reported, favorites were winning 42% of the races at AQU, a person at that track may have had to accept \$6.80, even \$6.40, If they can only wager at that track. When a winner is an obvious standout, according to your long-proven records, then you may accept lower odds or have to miss or pass so many

races it's really not worth leaving home.

These periods dominated by low paying favorites tend not to last very long. It happened last year at Belmont. 42% favorites winning for a certain period but followed by a sharp decline and a plethora of longshots. I'll repeat something I've previously discussed. Tracks in financial difficulty <u>card</u> races so that obvious choices have the most apparent chance of winning. This is done to attract more action. Both AQU and BEL have been in financial trouble for years.

Aquaduct's Winter meet suggested move to Florida was one seriously considered solution.

Saratoga has <u>always</u> had a good attendance and handle because of its history, charm and age-old reputation as a Spa for summertime recreation. So, as opposed to AQU and BEL; it has been dubbed, the 'graveyard' of favorites. Not necessarily true, but like so many good ol' racing sayings and maxims, the notion still dominates racing news stories and is accepted as gospel.

Go over the Result Charts in this article and you'll see some of those races where I wrote "PASS" have more than one horse with odds too low for my personal minimum 5-2 requirement. In <u>full fields only</u>, I almost always PASS races where more than one entrant has odds less than 5-2. This also applies when the top three closing odds horses all have low odds, even if not as low as 5-2. In these kinds of races one of the three will most often win. Its quite taxing to decide which of the three will be the most likely winner.

Peter Tolan who writes hit TV shows and movies, also wins a lot of money at the races. He has one solid rule for passing races. If he doesn't *feel* - and I stress FEEL - that he completely <u>understands</u> a race, he **passes**. Those who just download races mechanically without getting any feel for the races - take note.

Aline Best always takes advantage of the question mark (?) option in her TrackMaster download. When she has <u>any question</u> whatsoever about the horse, she marks it "?." When she ends up with several horses marked with a "?.", she <u>passes</u>. By the same token she also uses the \* (Star) option to remind her when some contender is, in her judgment, a standout. In speaking with clients, she's somewhat shocked when she hears they've <u>never</u> used these options.

#### Santa Anit

Copyright 1999 - Equibese and Senta Anita Park.
All finishes confirmed by Eclipse Photography, Inc. Wednesday, April 7, 1998. 74th Day of a 84-Day Meet.

and Jockey ounty, R Valdez a Melesa, J Gonzi	113	7	1				41		
UERY, IT PEUBL	1 *************************************				•	•	• .	1.77	4.40
	118	1	3		•	•	. 212	211	2.00
e Mosesal, J QUI ki	118	ė	3				514	3nd	4.80
30 100, AP1900	122	ž	ĭ	-		-		411	40.70
LUCKY CHEMI, J K	110	ā	9	. •			621/2	5nd	15.90
con, K lone	418	ă	5				1 hd	67	5.20
MISTER A SUM	110	ĭ	7			-	821/2	7**	48.4
LIMS, A HOURQUE	411	- 5	Ř			-	7110	PO.2	39.90
BOUMON, E ATTE	120	10	Ř				ghd	gnk	5.70
SUB BULL CATORY.	118	ř		1			10	10	15.2
MOTORCUCK, 17 U.S.	A Char	۰		r					
							44	3.00	
BOFT BOUN	TY				10.0	•			
PRINCES MI	EU98A								
								4.20	
	100 <u></u>				***********				
	Lucky Cherm, J Arobin, K Tohli	Go Too, A Pulido	Lucky Cherm, J Mitchener, 122 2 2 (bbin, K Tohlii	Lucky Cherm, J Michener, 122 2 4 7 7 orbin, 17 Orbin	Lucky Cherm, J Michener. 122	Lucky Cherm, J Michener. 122 2 4	Lucky Cherm, J Michener, 122 2 4  fobni, K Tohii	30 100, A VISBO	30 TOO, A PISOO.  LUCKY Chem. J Michener. 122 2 4 - 505 4/4  LUCKY Chem. J Michener. 122 2 4 - 62½: 5nd  fobin, K Tohil

\$1 EXACTA (7-1) PAID \$13.98 \$1 TRIPECTA	(7·1·	4) P				(4-3)	PAID (	H1.00
6627—SECONO RACEL & Pro	rior. Lenie	ga. #	uree loe \$1	812,00 0,000.	<b>в.</b> П	<b></b>		4 
Index Horse and Joday Wt.	PP	डा	*	1/2	*	5¥.	Fin.	To \$
2012 Treasured Realty, J Gorzalez	2 5 5 3	5 2 1 4	2hd 3114 6 43 514 1hd.	51% 51% 2hd	(Trul	11 211/1 31 5hd 5 41 y Misty	314 4314 513 6	8.70 8.50 4.40 3.00 7.20 1.30
Barry Abrams.  1—TREASURED REALITY.  4—ENABRU 2—PRIZED POLICY.  On: 1:31 Time: 21.81:45.04:57.51'11:  Issas Reality-Seros Treasure Tr-Jude T I	10.8		rck: Ci	18.4	Q 1	8.00 8.40 Y. Wor	5.40 4.50 4.00 ter-b.f.	.95 Cu

Mutual Pool \$168,216, Exacts Pool \$137,183, Daily Double Pool \$73,165, Quinella Pool

\$1.EXACTA (1-4) PAID \$60.60 .	\$3 GOINGTTY (1-4) LIGHT \$1 and
STORRY DOUB	LE (7/1) PAID \$113.00
<b>4. Division</b>	11/2 2 2

6628—THIRD RACE, 8 Furlongs, Purse \$20,000, Meldon Claiming, 4-

	Horse and Jockey	W٤	PP	ST	74	1/2	*	Str	FIn.	To \$1
9037 3537 545 545 550 7	Stribe Denos, D Soremon Showgun, 1 Puglel	120 120 122 115 122 120 120 122 122	6 4 9 8 2 5 1 7	291347568	43Vt 3hd 15 22 7hd 9 83Ya 61 5hd	47 2½ 14½ 32½ 51½ 62½ 83 7hd 9	•		421/3 54 63 718	16.30 2.30 2.10 2.50 4.70 61.70 85.40 90.70 68.70

B PRINCE OF STRIPES 3.20
Off. 2:04 Time: :21.88:45.09:58.05 1:11.29 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-ch.g.94 Green Dencer-Sweet Diva Tr-Wayne Murty Own-Cainan & Racing Ventures. Mutuel Pool \$237,085, Exacts Pool \$154,485. Quinella Pool \$21,050. Trifecta Pool \$163,101, Pick Three Pool \$57,835.

\$2 QURNELLA (4-6) PAID \$45.20	\$1 TRIFECTA (6-4-9	PAID \$254.20
	•	
	A INC. T A SEC COS A.VAS	overled acted the

ST RYACTA ML4) PAID SOC.10

FOURTH RACE, One Mile, Purse: Note: originally scheduled on the turf. Claiming price \$62,500-

-			_	_				_	_	
ndex	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	डा	14	٧,	<u>*</u>	Str.	Fn	Tosi
6475 6494 5491 6096 6543	Grabseu, E Delehousseye	118 115 118 Xeeme time Pr	uspi	1 5 2 4 3 ector ict, T	11 5 2hd 31% 41 is Prize ake A	1hd 3 <sup>½</sup> 21 4hd 5 e, Lony Left (G	1 hd 31 2 ht 4 t hs 5 pliner, B), Tro	1 hd 22 3 hd 41 5 Meento	13 21% 3hd 41% 5 all (Fr), 1(GB), 1	1.00 2.70 2.90 22.30 6.30 Mildsie- Winning
~	- Sam Britishian	Y MOT	щ.							

7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2.20
7-MOUNTAIN BIKE 2.80	2.04
VAC 1-mountain	2 20
TI BEMEGAWING	
Off: 2:34 Time: :23.29 :47.19 1:11.57 1:23.77 1:36.56 Track: Cloudy M	indo Wi
Off. 2:34 Time: :23.29:47,19 1:11.57 1:23.77 1:30.50 17ack. Closely in	,
Oli, 2,007 Tallot Inches & Constant of Con	

ch.g.95 Synastry-Misty Writer Tr-Carla Gaines Own-Ackerman & Bone, Mutt \$253,846, Exacts Pool \$196,857, Quinella Pool \$29,337, Pick Three Pool \$50,571. \$1 EXACTA (2-7) PAID \$5.40

ND \$5.40	\$2 GOINETTY (3-1) LYIN seron	
\$1 PICK THREE (1/	5/1,2,6) PAID \$512.30	

4.00 2.60 2.10

\$1 PICK THREE (7/1/5) PAID \$690.40

Note: Note	Charts 6630—FIFTH RACELON	e Mil	a. P		s49,	000. k	laide	n 3-ye	ar-old	e enc
S484   Prestigious Chief, C.McCarron   123   2   3   21   21   21   21   21	Up, Dred in Carro		PP	ST	14	1/2	¥	St.		To \$
No Scritches.  2—PRESTIGIOUS CHIEF	6464 Prestigious Chief, C McCarnon. 6320 Macward, K Desormasca	123 115 115 117	26753814	7 6 1	3hd 8 8 5 1 7 6 1 7 6	8 61 3hd 741/2 1hd	31% 51 4hd 7hd 2%	211/2 23 51/2 81/2	211/2 37/k 42% 541/2 811/2 71	11.40 2.00 4.60 4.20 13.00 15.10 5.00 8.5
ch.c.95 Chief's Crown-I'm Prestigious Tr-Shertie Monroe Own-Desity, Eth a bidly sesson, Mutuel Pool \$301,066, Exacta Pool \$199,709, Triflecta Pool \$210,515, Quinella F. \$30,414, Pick Three Pool \$58,075.  RE PERCETA (2-67) PAID \$48.10	2—PRESTIGIOUS CHIE 5—MACWARD 7—NATIONALORE	. 00 1	-24	47 1	-37.38	Track	: Clo	.20	3.20 3.80 uddy. V	Vinne
	ch.c.95 Chief's Crown-I'm Prestigio ston, Mutuel Pool \$301,066, Exacta \$30,414, Pick Three Pool \$58,075,				Monn 3. Trite	cta Po	oi \$21	0.515	. Quine	ta Po
SZ QUINELLA (2-6) PAID \$34.40	\$1 EXACTA (2-6) PAID SAULTO				CKT	IREE	(8/1,2	1.6/21	PAID 1	558.4
us po ST Vs Vs 34 Str. Fin. T	6631—SIXTH RACE. 0 in California. Ci	me M almir	ille. 1g p	Pun	811 812,5	,000. 00-10.	4-yes 500.	r-oids		p, br

	in California.	WL.	PP :	ST	<b>34</b>	₩.	*	Str.	Fin.	To 51
8514 6346 6595 6529 6524 6521 3033 6445	Medicine Merv, D Screncon Ensyster, L Pricary II. Prizad Mesr, C Bleck	119 118 118 118 118 118	4 5 1 7 3 8	351547	214 614 33 514 8 8 114	32% 76 2hd 6hd 6 5 %	41% 12hd 71% 31% 81%	3272 5hd 4172		13.40 4.00 8.20 18.20 5.50 26.10 20.20
(3)	imed — Essyrider-Lorraine E 2—MEDICINE MAP 8—EASYRIDER 4—PRIZED MAX	W				254		.00	3.40 2.20 2.80	

Mutuel Pool \$264,758. Exacts Pool \$183,635. Quinella Pool \$25,313. Trifecta Pool \$232,786. Pick Three Pool \$83,033. \$1 PICK THREE (1,2,6/2/2) PAID \$244.50 \$1 TRIFECTA (2-6-4) PAID \$125.20

\$1 EXACTA (2-6) PAID \$32.10 \$2 QUINELLA (2-6) PAID \$34.20			\$1 TF	SPECT	<u> 7 (2</u>	<del>4-4)</del> P	VID 2.	244.50 125.20	
6632 SEVENTH RACE.	61/s Note	Fur	ion gini	ys. Pt alty sc	ree \$5 heduk	10,00 1d or	i fine ii	<u></u>	
Index Horse and Jockey	WL.	PP	ST	. 14	1/2	34	Str.	FM_	To \$1
6471 Dianehill (Ire), A Solts	.120 .118 .122 .120 .122	3 5 2 4 1	3 2 1 4 5	1 <sup>1/2</sup> 21 3hd 41 5	1 1/2 221/2 3 hd 421/2 5	:	2342 33 42 5	127 310 44 5	1.90 1.90 9.70 4.90 15.90

SCHEEK	and man that the production of	9.40	240	
_	1_DIANEHILL	2,740	2-10	
Oxec	3—DIANEHILL	2.80	2.20	
102	2-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-		2 20	
110	2-MEMORANDA			
- OH. 44	us v	JUY. ***	# 10H ~U.1.3	U Ca
OII: 4:0	R I I I III. 22.00 . 1000 I I O . O . I I I caballa Michiga	Pool C	269 550	Fxact

ehill-Very Subtle Tr-Brien A Lynch Pool \$227,007. Quinella Pool \$33,486. Pick Three Pool \$65,961.

\$1 EXACTA (3-5) PAID \$4.40	\$2 QUINELLA (3-5) PAID \$4.40
\$1 PICKTHREE (2/2/3	) PAID \$238.20
CC22-FIGHTH RACE, 6% Furlons	s. Purse \$20,000. Maiden Claiming.

	3-year-olds. Clair Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	34	1/2	34	St.	Fln.	To \$
		400	5		5hd	53	_	2172	1412	2.00
383	Roanoke's Cash, C Andey	-120	2	7	71	71	-	-Thd	2hd	3.0
495	King's Honor, D Flores	.,120	- 2	2	1	221/2	-	4472	31	34.5
430		.,120	- 6	1	2hd		-		411	
		120	3	8	42	3133	•	3 pd		16.
422	Life Late and Local a variance was	120	ā	5	g10	7114	-	53	55	4.8
404	Fightin Mad, B Blanc		Ť	7	71	86		ghd	62	4.5
442	Desert, L. Pincay Jr	-120	- 1	- 2	4271	61/2	-	7,11/2	7N.	13.
504	Hera Roomer, J Valdivia Jr	120	- 1	- 1	0	0	•	82	ģ9	41.
~~	Charles and Control (1977)	120	•	9	9	9		8-	9-	
				3	3211	4hd	•	9	9	10.
ೲ	Abbott's Panono, N Hoorquez retched — Captain Al, Chuck's Bro			-	LANGE D	-ales	Hiche	v Image	. Recti	ır. Wi

ļ	kie Free.	,		
	.A.CS	7—ROANOKE'S CASH	3.20	2.80
	1000	2_KING'S HONOR	4.00	3.20
ļ	تا کور د	A DAM MA WA	**********	. 9.00
		0-10-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11		

Off: 4:37 Time: :21.97 :45:20 1:11.18 1:17.88 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-ch.g.96 Roanoke-Cashierette Tr-Clifford Sise Jr Own-Gary W & Timothy R Burke. Mutuel Pool \$232,522. Exacts Pool \$149,041. Daily Double Pool \$118,479. Trifecta Pool \$187,399. Quinella Pool \$21,959. Superfecta Pool \$134,442. Pick Three Pool \$90,210.

\$1 EXACTA (7-2) PAID \$10.40	\$1 PICK THREE (2/3/3,5,7,12) PAID \$103.20
\$2 DAILY DOUBLE (3/7) PAID \$11.60	\$1 TRIFECTA (7-2-8) PAID \$150.10
\$2 QUINELLA (2-7) PAID \$9.40	\$1 SUPERFECTA (7-2-8-4) PAID \$1,198.30
	of 5 7 12 Died Chymoni \$188 078 No tickets with

6 winners, 53 tickets with 5 winners paid \$750,20, Total Pick Six carryover for Thursday \$92,794.42.

\$1 Pick All numbers: 1,7/1,4/4,6/1,2,6,7/2,6/2,6,9/3,5/2,3,5,7,12. Pick All pool \$30,105,49 tickets with 8 winners paid \$490.40.

On-Track Attendance 5,741. Mutuel Handle \$1,326,110. Inter-Track Attendance 10,273. Mutuel Handle \$3,273,917. Out of State Attendance N/A. Mutuel Handle \$2,444,534. Total Attendance 16,014. Mutuel Handle \$7,044,581.

Santa Anita Charts

Los Angeles Turf Club, Inc. Senta Anita Park, Arcadia, California.	8411 Lake Windermere:   Puglisi
Copyright 1998 — Equibase and Sentz Anits Park.  All finishes confirmed by Eclipse Photography, Inc.	6124 Many Sweet Rewards, Gonzalez 120 6 3 321/2 321/2 4nd 6nd 7.50 6556 Torrendre, E Ramaenvry
Thursday, Apr. 8, 1998. 75th Day of a 84-Day Meet.	6556 Torreitina, E Parisaneriny
6634—FIRST RACE, 2 furlongs, Purser \$38,000, 2-year-old maldens,	lower blessing, 8 branc
Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST ¼ ¼ ¼ Str. Fin. To \$1 Go Go, I Enriquez	Scratched — Amende Jean, Free Compenion, Just Dazzle
6548 A-Future Promise, J Gonzalez118 9 1 6 1 2 - 2114 3.90	Clamed — Torrentne-Jeffrey DeHaven-Nick Canani 12—YOUR PLACE ANYTIME
All In A Days Work, D Screnson	6-WIND FLOWER 10.40 8.80
6548 Pret Jak Ceals, R Valdez	Off: 3:05 Time: :22.08 :48.90 :59.26 1:06.07 Track: Cloudy Good. Winner-ch.f.96 Out of
A-True Victory, G Bosg	Place-Yours Anytime. Tr-David LaCrolx Own-Meadowbrook Farms Inc. Mutuel Pool \$252,417. Exacta Pool \$163,208. Trifecta Pool \$190,500. Quinella Pool \$25,334. Pick
* No Scratches	Three Pool \$74,044.  \$1 EXACTA (12-5) PAID \$110.20  \$1 TRIFECTA (12-5-3) PAID \$1,706.50
1	\$2 QUINELLA (5-12) PAID \$121.00 \$1 PICKTHREE (1/2/12) PAID \$609.20
Off: 1:01 Time: :21.75 Track: Cloudy Good, Winner-ch.f.97 Faistaff-Key Mist. Tr- Jeanne Mayberry Own-Thomas & Thomas Racing, Mutual Pool \$128,015, Exacta Pool	6639—SIXTH RACE. 1 mile on turf. Purse: \$62,000. Allowance. 4-year- olds and up. Claiming price: \$100,000.
\$84,666. Quinelle Pool \$13,862. Triflecte Pool \$100,455.	Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST ¼ ½ ¼ St. Fin. To \$1 6543 Robynhood, C McCarron 118: 2 3 31 31 3hd 2½ 1½ 530
21 EXACTA (6-1) PAID 57.40 \$2.40 \$2.40 \$2.40 \$2.40 \$2.40	6543 Robynhood, C.McCarron
6625-SECOND RACE 1 mile. Pursus \$64,000. Allowence. Sycor-old	6436 Sidon, A Solit: 118 5 4 21 214 21d 317: 43 1.90 6310 A-Stanton Harcourt, D Flores 118 3 6 41d 416 42 41 5 2.30
ridex Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST W. Vs. 14 Str. Fhr. To St	Scretched — Hening
(8502) Smooth Player, Detehraneave 122 1 3 3hd 42% 44% 21 13 3.50	Scretched Herinja 3-ROBYHHOODS 1289 4.98 OUT 3-LEXCON 3.49 OUT OUT OUT
6007 Lorine, C. McCarron 120 3 2 43 3nd 32n 31 312 8.70	Off: 3:37 Time: :23.78 :47.27 1:12.45 1:24.85 1:37.71 Track: Cloudy Good, Winner-
Artec, L Phrosy Jr 118 2 4 114 114 5 5 5 32.00 Scratched — Saint Elle (tre)	<ul> <li>b.r.94 Robyn Dancer-Beautiful Bid. Tr-Jenine Sahadi Own-Kallenberg Thoroughbred Inc.</li> <li>Mutuel Pool \$286,919. Exacta Pool \$169,588. Quinella Pool \$24,489. Pick Three Pool</li> </ul>
1—SMOOTH PLAYER	\$66,754. \$1 EXACTA (2-3) PAID \$15.10 \$2 QUINELLA (2-3) PAID \$13.40
Off: 1:31 Time: :22.52:46.47 1:11.52 1:24.80 1:38.32 Track: Cloudy Good, Winner-	\$1 EXACTA (2-3) PAID \$15.10 \$2 QUINELLA (2-3) PAID \$13.40 \$1 PICK THREE (2/12/2) PAID \$1,366.20
br.f.96 Bertrando-Shy Pirate. Tr-Dan, L. Hendricks Own-Spohler and Wygod, Mutuel Pool	6640—SEVENTH RACE, 5 1/2 furlongs, Puras; \$65,000, Allowance, 4- year-olds and up.
\$239,364. Exects Pool \$132,397. Daily Double Pool \$78,358. Quinells Pool \$16,963. \$2 DAILY DOUBLE (8/1) PAID \$42,40 \$1 EXACTA (1-4) PAID \$32,20	Index Horse and Jockey Wr. PP ST 1/4 1/2 1/4 Str. Fin. To \$1
\$2 QUINELLA (1-4) PAID \$27.40	9624 Crivile NWRburis, L.Pricosy Jr117 5 4 2 1 2 2 - 11 13 0.60 6429 Driggs Remet E Delahousaye117 4 1 6 6 - 52/2 2 8.00 6543 Three Card Willia, A Solis
6636—THIRD RACE. 1 mile. Purse: \$28,000. 3-year-old maidens. Claiming price: \$50,000-\$45,000.	8571 White Bronco, O Vergara
Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST 14 15 14 Str. Fin. To \$1	
MET Devictor () Various: 100 0 8 02 old 1019 shd onk 700	# (CS 5-ORVILLEN WILBUR'S
6495 Siew O' Aces, R Valdez	1 65 2-THREE CARD WILLIE
6542 Night Verse, [Enriquez 118 5 4 511/2 631/2 6nd 5nd 622 17:00 6644 Farm Land, N Rodriguez 108 4 5 7 7 7 7 7 67:00	Fig. 4:08 Time: :21.97 :44.65 1:09.70 1:16.34 Track: Cloudy Good, Winner-b.c.95 Out of Place-Squalking, Tr-Richard Mandella Own-The Thoroughbred Corp et al. Mutuel Pool
Screened Dobe Three	\$291,178. Exacta Pool \$244,350. Quineta Pool \$33,251. Pick Three Pool \$70,686.  81 EXACTA (8-4) PAID 87.10 \$2 QUINELLA (4-5) PAID 813.00
2—BRODRICK 6.40 4.20 6.40 4.20 2.00	\$1 PICK THREE (12/2/5) PAID \$166,20
Off: 2:04 Time: :23.34:48.11 1:13.05 1:25.79 1:38.97 Track: Cloudy Good, Winner- b.g.96 Line in The Sand-Hall Baba, Tr-Brent Sumja Own-Imagination Stable, Mutual Pool	6641 —EIGHTH RACE. 6 furlongs. Purse: \$20,000. Maiden, Fillies, 3- year-olds, bred in California. Claiming price: \$32,000.\$28,000.
\$297,758. Exacts Pool \$252,326. Quinella Pool \$37,059, Pick Three Pool \$87,058.	Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST 1/4 1/2 1/4 Str. Fin. To \$1 6526 Plying Lesson, Delehoussays
\$1 EXACTA (1-2) PAID \$33.20 \$2 QUINELLA (1-2) PAID \$34.80 \$1 PICK THREE (8/1/1) PAID \$119.50	Christle Crest R Valdez 115 7 5 4hd 5hd 4/2 2hd 14.70
6637—FOURTH RACE, 6 furlongs, Purse: \$23,000, 3-yeer-olds, Claiming price: \$20,000-\$18,000.	8528 Spending Spirit, L. Princey Jr
Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST 14 Vs 34 Str. Fin. To \$1	-X. Lit Alice, J Sieiner
Star Stone Country, P Toeceno	6525 Mon Over, D Flores
6510 Taken, O Vergera	8025 Wild And LASTY, C. Balck
8372 Grandstandin V Daz	8006 Juanita Juanita, F. Martinez
(8425) Angels Bounty, L.Pincay Jr	7—CHRISTIE CREST 18.40 6.40 3.60 7—CHRISTIE CREST 13.20 6.00
Scratched — Ordained Power, Quinton's Quick Claimed — Lee's Jewel-Been & Nielsen-Alike R Mitchell, Herculano-Dolan Racing & Graham & Calmed Library (Date Appell & Record Control Cont	6—HEART'S DESIRE
Sairtz et al-John K Dolan, Angels Bounty-Lemaku Stable-Amonio C Avia 2—8TONE COUNTRY	Continental-Sweet Session, Tr-Warren Stute Own-Max, R Walters, Mutuel Pool \$284,078, Exacta Pool \$190,329, Dally Double Pool \$121,841, Trifecta Pool \$245,323.
OH S—TRIXACTA 8.40 3.60 3.60 3.60 4.60	Quinella Pool \$28,968. Superfecta Pool \$151,434. Pick Three Pool \$114,057
Off: 2:34 Time: :21.97 :45.48 :58.33 1:12.06 Track: Cloudy Good, Winner-ch.g.96 Exclusive Era-Patti's Armada, Tr-Bryce Gale Own-David Marshafi, Mutuel Pool \$280,268, Ex-	\$2 DAILY DOUBLE (5/11) PAID \$20.80 \$1 EXACTA (11-7) PAID \$81.00 \$1 TRIFECTA (11-7-6) PAID \$227.90 \$2 QUINELLA (7-11) PAID \$73.00
acta Pool \$165,608. Quinella Pool \$26,082. Trifecta Pool \$181,750. Pick Three Pool \$52,724.	\$1 PICK THREE (2/5/11) PAID \$126.40 \$1 SUPERFECTA (11-7-6-3) PAID \$1,343.00
\$1 EXACTA (2-6) PAID \$70.00 \$2 QUINELLA (2-6) PAID \$67.20	Pick Six Winning Numbers: 1/2/12/2/5/11. Pick Six Pool \$457,106 plus \$92,794.42. 5 tick-
81 PICK THREE (1/1/2) PAID \$334.00 81 TRIPECTA (2-6-3) PAID \$480.30 6638—FIFTH RACE. 5 % furlongs. Purse: \$20,000. Malden. FIHles, 3-	ets with 6 winners paid \$69,639.40. 131 tickets with 5 winners paid \$835.40. \$1 Pick All Nos: 1,8/1,4/1,2/2,6/5,12/2,3/5/4,5/7,11, Pick All Pool \$36,613. No tickets with
year-olds, Claiming price: \$32,000-\$28,000. Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST W V: W Str. Fin. To \$1	8 winners. On-Track Attendance: 6,245. Mutuel Handle: \$1,412,570. Inter-Track Attendance: 11,201.
3037 Your Place Anytime, C Black	Mutuel Handle: \$3,310,020. Mutuel Handle: \$2,738,083, Total Attendance: 17,446. Mutuel Handle: \$7,480,853.
	1100 ((10), (

Santa Anita Charts

Les Angeles Turf Club, Inc. Santa Anita Park, Arcadia, California,	\$2 QUINELLA (3-4) PAID \$53.40 ST PICK THREE (8/3/4) PAID \$53.00
Commission 1995 — Equipose and Senta Anta Park.	6656—SIXTH RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$20,000, Melden Cleiming, Fil-
All finishes confirmed by Eclipse Photography, Inc. Saturday, April 10, 1998, 77th Day of a 84-Day Meet.	Heat asked area area area.
6651 —FIRST RACE, 6 Partongs, Purse \$38,000, 4-year-olds and up.	PASS OF KISK
Claiming price \$10,000 college.	Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP SY 1/4 1/2 1/4 Str. Fin. 10.57
NOW Horse and Jockey Wil. PP ST N. Yi N. St. Fin. To \$1	6362 PTINCESS Lauren, VESIARCIA 120 6 3 5hd 43 211/1 27 240
188 1 8 2nd 29 249 25 4.40	Close To Heaven, F Ahra/800
102 Jadele, C Antey	
	8428 Not Thru Plying, E Arreege
3352 McFig L Pincay Jr	121 2 10 11 11 11 10 12 53 90
*Philed up. Scratched — Free World.	Windoenealtrinywings, C Black120 6 5 41 711/2 1011/2 11 3.50
Claimed — Cole N Calculating-Miss Messing-Dean Poderson.  3 — COLD N CALCULATING	/ No Scratches.
7.1 1—PATH TO POWER	7-PRINCESS LAUREN
7—JADALA 7,40 Ori: 12:30 Time: :21,92 :44,49 :56,74 1:09,70 Track: Clear & Fast, Winner-b.h.92 lt's	8—QAMINEE
reservo. For Steine Tr., Irse F DeLime Own-D Serber & DeLime, Mutuel Pool \$311,595.	Off: 3-11 Time: 21.91:45.70:58.99 1:12.23 Track: Clear & Fast, Winner-b.f.96 Bold
Exects Pool \$175,046, Outrets Pool \$31,550, Trifects Pool \$144,219.  EXECTA (3-1) FAID \$18,46 \$2 QUINELLA (1-3) PAID \$17,00	Badgett-Lauren Leigh Tr-Sherrie Monroe Own-Betty, EW & Judy Johnston. Mutuel Pool \$440,265, Execta Pool \$314,164. Quinella Pool \$45,916. Trifecta Pool \$342,100. Pick
\$1 TRIFECTA (3-1-7) PAID \$196.50	Three Pool \$79,055.
6652—SECOND RACE. 1% Miles Turf. Purse \$55,000. Allowence/	\$1 EXACTA (7-6) PAIO 324.60 \$1 PICK THREE (3/4/7) PAIO 3159.30 \$2 QUINELLA (6-7) PAID 321.80 \$1 TRIFECTA (7-6-8) PAID 3123.40
Ctaiming, 4-year-olds and up. Ctaiming price \$60,000.  To \$1 Vi. PP ST Vi. Vi. N Str. Fin. To \$1	
	6657—SEVENTH RACE, & Furiongs, Purso \$30,000; Allowanco/Claim-
5317 Messa, CA7907	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
6475) to Of Mars, C Stack 118 2 4 114 4 48 5 hd 73 4 14 4 00 6445 Colombing, D Porms 118 4 2 4 114 4 hd 5 hd 73 4 146 4 00 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Invites bloom and Jordan Wt. PP ST W 92 W. Str. Fire ToS1
8319 Mont D'Armaud (F1), E-Armage	8525 Queen's Vision, C Andrey
8/43 The Editor (GE), E Deletroscoye 118 3 7 8 8 8 6 4 8 8 8 4 3 20 5	(8606) Chichim, L. Pincey Jr
1 / 6 CONNOISSEUR BAY	6526, Sweetcalegearshelee, Discussy., 122 3 2 1hd 211/2 3 3hd 57 2.70
ALS THE Entry (DIS, E Delatebuseys: 118 3 7 5 5 5 5 3.26 1/10 Scriptine.    He Scriptine.	SESS   Expossive Thomas, J Validata_it118   2   1   2   1   2   2   1   2   2   3   3   70     (800) Chichim, L Pricery Jr.   122   7   8   5   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   3   2   7   2   2   2   7   3   2   7   2   2   2   2   2   2   2   2
-Ort 1:01 Time: :24.11 :48.28 1:12.45 1:37.80 1:50.29 Track: Clear & Firm, Winner-bic.95	8526 Shera Valentine, O Vergers
Nureyer-Ferminine Yifee Tr-Nell Drysdale Own-David & Jill Heerensperger, Mutuel Pool \$363,734, Exacts Pool \$211,542, Daily Double Pool \$178,490, Culnella Pool \$33,597, Tri-	AR.20 15.60 7.80
lecta Pool \$193,709.	2_EXCESSIVE THERESA
\$1 EXACTA (6-1) PAID \$29.20 \$2 DAILY DOUBLE (3/8) PAID \$30.20 \$1 TRIFECTA (6-1-2) PAID \$147.10	7—CHICHIM 3.20 OII: 3:42 Time: :21.80 :44.92 :57.45 1:10.47 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-br m.93
	Present Value-Krie's Queen Tr-David Holmans Own-Fontana or Fontana. Mutuel Pool \$592,365. Exacta Pool \$386,962. Quineta Pool \$54,105. Trilecta Pool \$423,844. Pick
6653—THIRD RACE. & Furtongs. Purse \$47,000. Maiden 3-year-olds, bred in California.	Three Pool \$98,549.
Index Horse and Jockey Wil. PP ST 14 V2 14 St. Fin. To \$1	\$1 EXACTA (8-2) PAID \$199.90 \$1 PICK THREE (4/7/8) PAID \$1,021.50
114 230 17 mg	\$2 QUINELLA (2-6) PAID \$234.60 \$1 TRIFECTA (6-2-7) PAID \$1,523.90
6597 Cheek Carrey, J.Affertz 120 7 1 6hd 6hd 74 31 7.60 6775 Danue Descrite, I. Pricay Jr. 120 7 1 6hd 6hd 74 31 7.60 6175 Danue Descrite, I. Pricay Jr. 120 79 3 83 71% 61 4% 7.50	6658—EIGHTH RACE, One Mile Turl, Purse \$75,000-Added, "La Puen-
6450 Richard Richin, CAribuy	to Stakee." 3-year-olds. Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST W 1/2 W Str. Fin. To \$1
Chapman, O'vergate 1.1.1.2.1 5 5 11 21 5 6d 7112 69.60  L.O. Than, J. Swener 2.2.5 5 11 21 5 6d 7112 69.60  Lo. Than, J. Swener 2.2.5 5 9 9 9 8 41.90	
	(8418) American Spirit, E Remsammy
1 S. THE MORRIS MONROE	B484 Company Approval, Delehousey 118 1 4 8hd 6hd 51 5hd 41 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub> 8.00 Bratch-fel, C Rollins 115 9 8 71 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub> 11 9hd 71 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>8</sub> 52 18.40 [9055) Marbus Sociolis (GB), Avarido, 118 2 2 10hd 9hd 104 83 61 20.70
7 BLEWFY 3.60	1 leaves manual variation (V. Carinava 114 R. R. 2) 34 45 617 71 9:10 i
Off: 1:31 Time: :22.03 :45.65 :58.28 1:11.24 Track: Clear & Fast, Winner-br.g.96 Snow	6407 Buck Trout, GAlmetis
Chief-Lady Habit Tr-Mehrin F Stute Own-Charles I Gold. Mutuel Pool \$356,975. Exacta Pool \$227,976. Quinelle Pool \$34,684. Trifecta Pool \$262,975. Pick Three Pool \$135,436.	(6541) Digatessure, L. Prichy II
\$1 EXACTA (8-4) PAID \$24.50 \$1 PICK THREE (3/6/8) PAID \$51.10	Scratched — Actin Time.
\$2 QUINELLA (4-8) PAID \$35.80 \$1 TRIFECTA (8-4-7) PAID \$142.50	OK 5-FIGHTING FALCON 8.40 4.20 3.40 5-IN FRANK'S HONOR 4.40 3.00
6654—FOURTH RACE, One Mile. Purse \$30,000. Fillies and mares, 4- year-olds and up. Claiming price \$25,000-22,500.	4.AMERICAN SPIRST
Index Home and Jockey Wr. PP ST Vs. 1/2 14 Str. Fir. To \$1	Off: 4:12 Time: :23.73 :48.45 1:12.01 1:24.26 1:35.56 Track: Clear & Firm. Winner-b.c.96
18519 Wortheverycent, D Flores	Manila-Loviller Laura Tr-David Holmans Own-Livitsanos & Recachina, Mutuel Pool \$624,790, Exacta Pool \$391,516, Trilecta Pool \$433,477, Quinella Pool \$54,130, Pick
(6519) Miss Lifele, F. Alvarado 118 1 8 4147 31 3hd 3hd 3146 6.20	Three Pool \$120,623.
,6519 Bleesed, 8 Blenc	\$1 EXACTA (5-9) PAID \$12.50 \$1 TRIFECTA (5-9-4) PAID \$51.70 \$2 QUINELLA (6-9) PAID \$14.20 \$1 PICK THREE (7/8/5) PAID \$1,318.90
,6645 Brus Talerd, V Espiroza 118 6 4 52 6hd 51 84 68 28.60 Erna's Espiro, C Arriby 21 21 1 11 hd 114 51 7114 200 5519 Winhul Sty, R Vaddez 113 7 3 3hd 6114 8 8 15.50	
Scretched Service	, day 0100, 0100 m 00000000000000000000000000
Lurie & Militariell et el-Warren State.	Index Horse and Jockey HL FF S1 N 22 N Su. Feb 1005
7.00 4.00 3.00 5.00 4.00 5.00 4.00	4092 Prince in Kennucky, N Rodriguez 106 3 3 4214 43 - 3414 22 10 80
1—MISS ULELE	Money Order, J Gonzalez
Off: 2:04 Time: :23.23 :45.80 1:11.82 1:25.30 1:38.81 Track: Clear & Fast, Winner- gr.m.94 El Prado-Adduce Truley M Robbins Own-Barry & Judith Becker, Mutuel Pool	6450 Acitation, G Almeide
\$309,884, Execta Pool \$204,281, Quinella Pool \$33,784, Trilecta Pool \$206,936, Pick	118 8 6 5 64 - 74 77 33 70
Tree Pool \$80,586.  81 FYACTA (1-6) PAID \$20,40	1 000 1 End-boundary 120 10 1 200 317 - 517 907 510 1
81 EXACTA (3-5) PAID \$20.40	A Paley Ir. O Verpara
	20180360 — Clocke a Coulet Origin Land Lived Supplies 1 company 1 colored 2 company 1 colored 2
6655—FIFTH RACE. About 616 Furlongs Turf. Purse \$47,000, Fiftes and mares, 4-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$42,500-55,000.	7—NO SHAM
Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST 14 12 14 Str. Fin. To \$1 (6484 ) arthropic Cartet I Procedure 118 4 4 1hd - 119 12 13 3.30	1-MONEY ORDER
8479 Compat (GR) C Plant 119 3 10 8hd 72 - 21 21 20.10	Our Sweet Sham Tr-Craig A Lawis Own-Rubin Brown, Mutuel Pool \$448,925, Exacts
(8529 Gem De La Femme, G Gornez	Pool \$315,567. Daily Double Pool \$167,830. Tritecta Pool \$365,530. Quinella Pool
Haye (re), JVakiwe Jr	
19042 Lazy Susan, F.Aivarado	)
115 8 7 and RV garage 115 9 9 40	

\$1 TRIFECTA (4-3-1) PAID \$290,60

#### Santa Anita Charts

Los Angeles Turf Club, Inc. Sente Anite Park, Arcadia, California.
Copyright 1999 — Equibase and Sente Anita Park.
All finishes confirmed by Eclipse Photography, Inc.
Sunday, April 11, 1999. 78th Day of a 84-Day Meet.

Index Horse and Jockey	WŁ	PP	ST.	V4	٧,	44	Str.	Fin.	To \$
6531 El Cielo, J Valdivia Jr	11B	4	1	211/2	2372		100	14 224 334	.54 3.00
6531 Jack The Bull, A Solis		2	3	1nd	13	-	26 31	2214	
6531 Cee Me Tizzy, I Enriquez		1	2	ġ₩2	3hd	-	31	331/2	8.9
6531 Prospectneus, C McCarron Scratched Bay Street Blues.	122	(GB	. <del>ā</del> }.	-4	4	•	4	4	4.8
2-JACK THE BUL					3.0	0 2	<b>.2</b> 0 (	OUT	
A SO 2- LACK THE BUIL	1					2	40	OUT	
								OUT	
1-CEE METIZZY									
\\ 1—CEE METIZZY.									a.94 E
Off: 12:31 Time: :23.95 :45.75	5 1:08.44 1	:14.	70 T	rack: F	latning	à Fi	m, Wa	nner-b.	g.94 E
Off: 12:31 Time: :23.95 :45.75 Prado-Only Above Tr-Craig Di	5 1:08.44 1 51:08.64 Ow	:14. n-He	70 T orlzo	rack: f	laining bla, M	jå Fi iller T	m, Wa rust, C	nner-b. DAPW	g.94 E /ebbe
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	5 1:08.44 1 51:08.64 Ow	:14. n-He	70 T orlzo	rack: f	laining bla, M	jå Fi iller T	m, Wa rust, C	nner-b. DAPW	g.94 E /ebbe
Off: 12:31 Time: :23.95 :45.75 Prado-Only Above Tr-Craig Di	5 1:08.44 1 51:08.64 Ow	:14. n-He	70 T orlzo	rack: f on Sta 4,546.	Raining bla, M Quinel	å Fi iller T Ia Po	m, Wi rust, ( ol \$18,	nner-b. DAPW	/ebbe

\$1 EXACTA (4-2) PAID \$2.90	\$2 QUINELLA (2-4) PAID \$4-20										
6661-SECOND RAC	E. 6 Fus up. Clai	lon	gs. F	urse ice \$	\$23,0 20,000	10. FT	llies a ICO.	nd me	ree, 4-		
Index Horse and Jockey	WŁ				1/2	*	Str.	Fin.	To \$1		
(6217) Enkl. C Black	118	2 7	4	21 12	213	:	211/2	1hd 21/2	7.00 1.10		

FICHER	HOLZE BUG TOCKEN	111	rr	٦.		72		Ju.	1	10.41
(6217)	Enkl, C Black	118	2	4	21	214	-	2172	† hd	7.00
6506	Flores, N Rodriguez	106	7	14	12	13	-	111/2	211/2	1.10
	Marquee's Prized, Fl Valdez		6	3	4114	272	•	41	3219	10.20
	Sente Fe Express, C Antisy		3	5	313	3272	•	2271		15.90 3.20
	Morel's Love, L Pincey Jr		1	4	, ·	7		· -	- 274	
	Syrister Move, G Almeide Flying Hosses, E Arreage			É	52	ghd .	~	62		30.30
	Sorriches		· [	7			A T			
£	Cale Call Chains the				an Di		بار بار. مارا بار	-	Little D	armen.

Claimed — Enid-Ed & Sharon Hudon-D Wayne Lukas; Flares-Bob Ballert & Miss Pages

7	₹	ď	•	تث	ĹŇ	o _		-		-	-	 •	 	_		. 16.00	. 8	.20	4.00	۱. پر
Ĺ	) -	4	. 7	_	1L	W	Ξ.	-	-			 	 		<del></del>		1	.20	2.50	)
:				<u> </u>																

Off: 1:03 Time: :21:36::44:21:56.73 1:10.14 Track: Reining Fast, Winner-gr.m.94 Moscow Battet-Astolat Tr-J Eric Kruljac Own-Aldabbagh, Grenier & Grenier, Mutuel Pool \$246,372, Exacts Pool \$225,376, Daty Double Pool \$133,540, Quinella Pool \$36,882.

\$1 EXACTA (2-7) PAID \$24.00 \$2 QUINELLA (2-7) PAID \$15.00 \$2 DAILY DOUBLE (4/2) PAID \$34.20

6662—THIRD RACE. 1% Miles. Purse \$45,000. 4-year-olds and up.
Note: Originally scheduled on the turf. Claiming price \$50,000-

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PΡ	ST	14	λ5	74	St.	Fin.	To \$1
6444 6444 8570 2304	Adorfinsky, G Almeids	118 116 113 Jr118	4 2 3	4 5 2 1 3 Miss	11/2 45 34 5 2/2 ile (GB	11/2 44 33 5 2hd	11 45 32 5 27 Winds	1hd 34½ 21 ° 4½ 5	1 hd 2nd 35 47 5 tursery	2.80 1.50 8.00 6.30 2.50 Crime,
	cant (GB), Shannlar (Fr).					•	•	•	•	

7.80	3.40	2.60	
OK10-LAGO	3.20	2.40	
7-TAKE A LEFT		3.20	

Off: 1:34 Time: :23.51 :47.51 1:11.46 1:38.89 1:50.02 Track: Raining Fast, Winnerbr.h.33 Nijinsky 2nd-Adorable Micol Tr-Mike Chambers Own-W Quinn Chin, Mutuel Pool \$231,567, Exacta Pool \$135,462, Quinella Pool \$22,269, Trifecta Pool \$77,320, Pick Three Pool \$103,151.

\$1 EXACTA (3-10) PAID \$9.90 \$1,PICK THREE (4,5.5/2/3) PAID \$69.50 \$2 QUINELLA (3-10) PAID \$8.00 \$1 TRIFECTA (3-10-7) PAID \$36.80

6663—FOURTH RACE, 8 Furlongs, Purse \$20,000, <u>Maider</u>, Claiming, 4year-olds and up, bred in California, Claiming price \$32,000-28,000.

knows	Horse and Jockey	W.	PP	ST	<b>%</b>	1/2	*	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
	Special Flag, C Black	122	- 5	11	12.	92 412		5hd	1 nik	14.10
6574	Tryptofame, F Alvarado	122	4	7	52	4 12	-	312	22 3214	4.80
6474		120	1	8	101	7117	•	41/4	3211	41.90
6574			10	3	†1	1 hd		137	4nk	5.50
6578			7	4	2hd	2hd	-	21	5**	46.40
	Cee's Marts, V Esonoza		2	12	7hd	84	-	74 85	6173	27.70
	Bovisdue, J Scott		11	1	4hd	Shd		g5 .	7272	5.60
8474			12	ż	31/1	311/3	-	ghd	84	2.50
	True Bounty, C Antey			5	ē١	103		104	- <u>9</u> 2	18.90
	Slews ignobility, C Nakatani		3 B	ğ	ĕ114	6292		92	10574	4.40
8578	Madeira Guy, G Gomez			- 5	ghd	111		11110	1100	21.20
8479	Rundkeethel, R Valdez	115	ğ	10	118	12	-	12	12 .	67.30
	wiched - Apieceofthepuzzle,		rdari	an,						
_	_ 5-SPECIALFLAG					30.20	13.	80	9.60	

	- I choose where is a seal asset in a		
	5—SPECIAL FLAG	13.80	9.60
UASO	4-TRYPTOFAME	6.20	5.00
[PI	1—KALAHAR! SON		12.20
·			

Off: 2:06 Time: :21.53 :44.66 :57.56 1:10.58 Track: Raining Fast, Winner-br.g.95 Avenue Of Fiags-Special Idea Tr-Martin F Jones Own-Jones & Stampfil, Mutuel Pool \$407.297. Exacts Pool \$302,470. Quinella Pool \$43,413. Trifecta Pool \$330,179. Pick Three Pool \$81.613.

AA TUA ATA 15 41 BAID 600 40	\$1 PICKTHREE (2/3/5) FAIO \$397.2
\$1 EXACTA (5-4) PAID \$90.40	\$1 LICK LUKEE (\$310) LVM 4441 T
99 OUINELLA (4-5) PAID \$72.40	\$1 TRIFECTA (5-4-1) PAID \$1,365.5

6664—FIFTH RACE, 83: Furlongs, Purse \$15,000, 4-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$12,500-10,500.

	Comming burga a 1 5 to	~	7.5	~.					
index	Horse and Jockey Wt.	PP	51	14	۲,5	*	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
7167	Windstrike, J Gonzalez118	4	-5	51/2	3hd	_	1152	15	12.10
1079			Ž	95	8,45		5hd	Şua	21.40
6581	Rough Hustle, J Steiner120		9	93	93	•	81	32	21.20
5465	Barrybrown, C Antiey121	6	3	thd	1,1	•	221		10.70
	Penal Code, O Vergara115			611/2	52	-	3171		5.50
	Asimottemrich, F Álvarado118		10	11	22/2	•	10 <sup>1/2</sup>	6217	5.50
	Candelotto, A Pulido118	7	1	312	2474	•	g 1 1/2		15.50
6581				10hd	10 <sup>V4</sup>	-	44	onk	4.10 28.30
1157			11	42	71	-	ond.	103	18.50
0.749	Mi Profe, J Scott	•	Ö	7.5	'i	•	3,1	10-	10.50

	•		
AN	4-WINDSTRIKE28.20	11.80	9.00
() P-	4—WINDSTRIKE26.20 12—SILK LINEN :	20.20	10.80
_	10-ROUGH HUSTLE	******	10.60

Off: 2:42 Time: :21.53 :43.83 1:06.82 1:15.68 Track: Raining Fast, Winner-ch.h.94 Retsina Run-Wind Warning Tr-Rafael Becerra Own-Juan Rogers, Mutuel Pool \$476,051. Exacta Pool \$344,682. Trifecta Pool \$386,942. Quinella Pool \$49,569. Plck Three Pool \$84,065.

\$1 EXACTA (4-12) PAID \$269.90 \$1 TRIFECTA (4-12-10) PAID \$5.719.50 \$2 QUINELLA (4-12) PAID \$207.60 \$1 PICK THREE (3/5/4) PAID \$605.60

6665—SIXTH RACE. 1½ Miles. Purse \$47,000. Maiden tillies and mares, 3-yeer-olds and up. Note: Originally scheduled for the

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PΡ	ST	1/4	<b>γ</b> ⁄	*	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
6483	Touching, D Flores	116	8	5	31	3hd	3,4	1 hd	131/2	1.40
6368	Kickanoo Song, G Gomez	123	- 4	2	21/2	22	100	22	2′′2	2.70
6509	Prnetop, I Ennouez	115	2	1	4nd	41/2	4157	34%		11.60
6412	Qualistative, L Pincey Jr	123	9	8	9	9.	9,,,	517	-4 V1	26.00
5412	Hales, A Solis	123	7	5	5172	51	511/2	41/2	54 6272	4.50
6505	Primetime Star, A Pulido	115	6	9	84.7	6 <sup>2</sup>	61	6 <sup>21/2</sup> 73	741/2	9.80
6575	No Etal, J Vaktivia Jr	123	1	4	7hd	7hd	<del>7</del> 3			20.20
6509	Smiling Spirit, J Steiner	116	3	7	61	g5	8,	81	841/2	27.30
6774	Charges Slov. I GOOTEIST	115	5	3	14	11/2	21	9	_9	36.20
Scr	atched — Canoniza (Ira), Flying	g Carous	tel, l	<b>KORY</b>	MOOOL E	ialdçal	i, Maki	ng Up,	Penpo	M (NZ),
					•					

11—TOUCHING 2.80 3.40 2.80 3.40 3.20 3.20 3.16 Time: 23.56 :47.95 1:12.34 1:37.88 1:51.06 Track: Raining Wet/Fast. Winner

Dir. 3:16 Time: :23.56:47.95 1:12.34 1:37.88 1:51.05 Track: Raining Wet/Fast. Winnerb.1;96 Krie, S.-Royal Linkage Tr-Robert Frankel Own-Stronach Stable. Mutual Pool. \$443,686, Execta Pool \$299,410. Quinella Pool \$445,615. Trifecta Pool \$361,512. Pick. Three Pool \$69,951:

STEXACTA (11-4	PAID 96.00	. \$1 CC	THURE (S	4/8/7,8,717	PAID \$410.20
\$2 QUINELLA (4-	11) PAID \$6.00°		\$1 TRUPE	STA (11-4-2)	PAID \$43.80
CCCC-	SEVENTH RAC	L: 1% Miles:	Purse \$130	1.006-Quer.	"San Bernar-

١,	dine Handicap	." Grad	e il.	ΑH		<b>SP</b> 10	4-70	BL-010	e and r	<del> </del>
	Index Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	34	1/2	*	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
	(6476) Classic Cat. G Stevens	122	2.	4	4	32	34	1 1/2	1372	.40
	6478 Budroysle, G Gomez		3	1	21	272	tha	22	28	3.40
	(6415) Kinsman (ire), CMcCarron	115	4	2	1175	112	21/2	38	315	3.90
l	16331 Pomoryo (Chi), K Desormeau	t 116	1	3	3110	4	4	4	4	10.10

NO SCIENCE.		
	2.10	OUT
2-CLASSIC CAT2.50	2.60	оит
4—KLINSMAN		00T

Off: 3:47 Time: :23,12:46,74 1:10.09 1:34.86 1:47,77 Track: Raining Wet/Fast. Winner-ch.c.95 Mountain Cat-Sahele Tr-Bob Baffert Own-Gary M Garber. Mutuel Pool \$300,809. Exacta Pool \$274,703. Quinelle Pool \$33,382. Pick Three Pool \$107,750.

\$1 EXACTA (2-3) PAID \$2.70 \$2 QUINELLA (2-3) PAID \$4.20 \$1 PICKTHREE (4/6,7,8,11/2) PAID \$67.00

#### 6667—EIGHTH RACE, One Mile, Purse \$38,000, Allowance/Claiming. Fillies and mares, 4-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$82,500.

Index	Horse and Jockey V	ML.	ΡP	ST	٧,	¥χ	*	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
6394 6394 6489 6489 6291 5382 (2068) 6489	Hard Ack To Follow, L. Pincay Jr	18   18   18   121   18   18	1 4 8 7 5 6 3 2	1 8 5 2 3 7 4	22/2 73 42 8 1/2 31 6hd 51	1nd 73½ 41 8 22½ 3nd 6nd 5½	11/2 72/2 41/2 8 21/2 31 6hd 5hd	12 51½ 310 71 21 4½ 6hd 8	12 21 31 41 52% 6hd 73	13.60 2.80 5.80 43.40 23.70 6.00 5.40 1.70

•	1-HARD ACKTO FOLLOW31.20	12.40	6.20
AK	1—HARD ACK TO FOLLUW	5.00	3.60
<b>U</b> 11	10—PACHIRA		

Off: 4:17 Time: :23.16::46.75 1:10.81 1:24.24 1:37.68 Track: Raining Wet/Fast. Winnergr.1.95 Broad Brush-lienia Tr-RB Hess Jr Own-Accurate Assessments Inc. Mutuel Pool \$327,504, Exacta Pool \$210,526. Trifecta Pool \$273,650. Quinella Pool \$33,124. Pick Three Pool \$107,234.

\$1 EXACTA (1-4) PAID \$61.80 \$1 TRIFECTA (1-4-10) PAID \$422.40 \$2 QUINELLA (1-4) PAID \$53.40 \$1 PICKTHREE (6,7,8,11/2/1) PAID \$122.10

#### 6668—NINTH RACE. 6 Furlongs. Purse \$47,000. Maiden fillies, 3-yearolds, bred in California.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WŁ	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	14	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
6528	Success in Excess, O Flores	120	8	1	1172	1412		15	+31/2	.90
¥	Kinky Kinky, C Antley	121	6	10	941/2	81		4217	21*	12.70
6397	Got The Memo, A Soks	120	10	3	521/2	42		3214	34	6.40
		400	2	5	32	23		5µq	4 1/2	21.50
6528	Lady Luckster, R Valdez	115	4	8	72	7 2		63	53	57.90
6528		120	. 1	6	4nd	3hd	-	570	611/2	5.20
	Minnieprize, G Stevens	120	3	7	ghd	6hd	•	72	700	14.30
4028	Cheryl's Girls, C Black	120	7	4	ghd	95		811/2	83/2	9.50
·6528	Sumcanity, F Alvarado	120	- 5	9	10	10	-	10	ğ۱۷۶	80.60
~==	Broadbill, K Desonneaux	120	ğ	Ž	21	51		ğ1/z	10	13.80
Sa	sirhed She's Grand.		_	_	_					


SUBSTRUCTURES CONTROL	•	
8-BUCCESS IN EXCESS	3.00	2.40
0 05 5-KINKY KINKY	.7.40	4.80
8-SUCCESS IN EXCESS		3.40


Aug. 8-60 Times -21 12 -44 08 -55 74 1-10 58 Tracks Raining Wat/Come 127

Here are 8 example races, 7 of which the PERCENTAGES would favor PASSING. Percentages are just "probabilities." Even in these kinds of races, passing, based on percentages is no sure thing. So, these are NOT rules, just guidelines to consider before making a decision. The race I've numbered "6" is one we're bound to MISS since all entrants, except the less-than-even odds favorite, are OVERLAYS.

Race #1: Top two favorites are BOTH underlays. Two or more Underlays in a race usually means one of them will win. Race #2 The only REAL value in this race is WORTHY at 13-1. Unless it has very strong readouts, don't be deluded into betting it. PASS. Race 3, 4 & 7 Same reasoning applies as in Race #1. Race #5 Two Underlays and a 2.7-1 horse in a 5 horse field. Unless MORONI @ 12.4-1, shows extremely well on your corollaries, pass. But if you find this entrant in your top TWO, bet it to win and PLACE. Time spent analyzing YOUR records should ultimately give you a good FEEL for passing. But, don't make the mistake of passing just because of pure anxiety. One client did that and passed 18 out of 20.

#### TO PASS OR NOT TO PASS





Please don't confuse any of the above with the Del Mar Race that CIGAR lost to DARE & GO. Cigar's odds were ten cents on the dollar. All five of the other entrants were BIG OVERLAYS!

### Obvious Exceptions to Pass Guidelines

We all know that rules are meant to be broken when defied by some **un**common sense and right brain logic. This applies also to <u>guidelines</u>. Here is the 6th Race at Santa Anita on April 8, '99. Why does it differ from the examples previously shown?

NMC	CARRON.	CHRI	_ R	W	3.0 T C P S	W%	LIFE	R W	P S 2 3	EARN]	INGS 572	W% (25)	<b>)</b>	3/6 EPS 8,631
<b>SA</b> I	IADI. JI	ENINE	7 16	4	1 1	<b>2</b> 5	CURR		0 1 0 2		,718 ,200	(33) (25)	,	9,906 8,550
** 13 * *							PAST T-RT	4 1	· U 2	JH ,	, 400	روع)		0,000
		•		100			OFF-T							
ML:	= 9/2			EQU	UALIZE	, NOR	ALIZED		DJUSTE	ED J.				Vie₩-3
	2 ROBYNI	HOOD		-			AGE=5	STYL	E=NA	Ψ		90		
L 1	DAYSTRK	RCOL	)ISTS	ISTC	2NDC	FINC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	PR		
1	15SA				112.7		7.10	5.70	5.70	4.30	78	90	1	
2	40SA	2FM	6.5Tp	47.4	111.9	136.5	8.60	5.70	5.70	1.10	81	90	2	
3[	95SA	7FM	6.5Tp	46.6	111.4	136.4	10.60	3.50	3.50	0.00	83	89	3	
4	155SA				113.0				1.10	0.00	76	87	4	
5	180SA				110.1					1.50	89	87	5	
6	222DMR	5FT	6.5Dp	46.3	110.2	135.6	14.30	5.80		4.00		87	6	
7	236DMR	8FT	6.0Dp	46.1	110.2	134.5				7.50		87	7	
8	699HOL	2FT	6.5Dp	46.2	109.7	135.6	4.10		2.00	3.00		83	8	
9	844HOL				109.7			13.10		16.30		90	9	
Α	879HOL	8FT	7.0Dp	46.1	110.3	134.9	4.50	3.50	3.50	5.80	85	86	A	
В	914SA				110.3			0.00	0.00	0.00		76	В	
С	943DMR	6FT	6.0Dp	46.4	111.1	136.7	2.70	2.00	2.00	1.50	80	73	С	

- 1: It is an 8f Turf Race, where the Favorite, 2nd Favorite <u>and</u> the winner <u>all</u> had to be projected from sprints. When the two favorites <u>both</u> require projecting, it is only fair to also project the ultimate winner. The Favorite was also a DIRT horse on the Turf.
- 2: I have frequently stated that BALANCE is of lesser importance in Turf Races. Also Turf Winners usually have good LPR, TT & HE Rankings. One client recently brought me several Turf Races he <u>lost</u>. I said look at LPR and HE. In all cases they were ranked 1 or 2.
- 3: In this race the Winner, ROBYN, had better BEFORE HIDE rankings in virtually *every* corollary than both the Favorite and second Favorite.
- 4: For what it's worth the winner was ridden by McCarron, a top jockey and trained by Sahadi, currently winning at 25%.
- 5: When an OVERLAY is this strong (2nd Ranked), paying \$12.60 and the TOP ranked horse is an entry in a 5 horse field that would pay \$6.60, you can't afford to pass the race because you're left with ONLY two betting propositions: the 3rd Favorite Entry and the biggest Overlay. This is much like the race where CIGAR was lost to DARE & GO. It's not a stakes race but it *is* a \$62,000 Optional Claiming race in which <u>all</u> 5 five jockeys were given mounts to win, not for exercise.

Look at these projected and adjusted lines and see a horse crying out for a Route.

-SA0408- 6	8.07 \$62,000	
BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE	,

Before	Щщ	CS										
HIDE#	PN	CNAME Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKI	STSIC	M/L	DAYS	AGE
(1)	1	TAVILI	89	93	3	23.3	EVEN	SA	9.0T	7/2	20	5
(3)	2	ROBYN3pV	83	89	0.1	15.8	7-2	SA	6.5T	9/2	15	5
(2)		STANT1	81	90	7	13.8	4 - 1	S۸	8.0T	7/2	54	5
(7)	ScR5.	2NANINI p'	80	95	7	11.5	- 5-1	Ş٨	6.5T	3/1	355	6
		LEXICIPY		90	8	11.3	5-1	SΛ	6.5T	2/1	40	4
				90	7	9.8	6-1	S٨	8.00	5/2	33	5
		LEXIC2pV	79	89	14	4.0	12-1	SA	6.5T	2/1	40	4

#### SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

													1			1		PI	111	1				St	JPI	,	
			В			PR.	ΙM	SU	P.P	F	RΛ	СŢ	l			E	L	C	T		17	F	5	[17]	Σ	T	T
##	PNCNAME LUNT	SR		S		LS	R	LS	R	E	L	N		ESP	SCDL	PR	1 -	R		E	¥	X	P R	X	2 3 1	S	P
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	3 LEXIC1p 3 LEXIC2p 4 SIDON2	89 81 83 82 79 85	17 (10) 8 14 7	1237654	.1 3 6 5 7 2 4	12 27 25 30 42 31 29	3	6 23 16 25 32 22 16	5 6	1735624	4	3 5 7 6		LAT LAT SUS SUS PRE LAT	8.0 7.0 6.0 7.0	3 6 7 2 4 1 5	36567	1 5 3 4 7 2 6	6 4 7 3	1235764		2735461	<u> - ന</u> ്രിധ 7 6 4	2 7 3 5 4 6 1	7	1635724	7

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

SA0408- 6 8.0T \$62,000 BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

HIDE#	PN	CNAME Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRK	DISTS	M/L	DAYS	ACF
(1)	1	TAVILI	89	93	3	23 8			9.0T	7/2		
7 17									- • • -	,		5
( 2)		ROBYN3p					9-5	S۸	6.5T	9/2	15	5
(3)	3	LEXIC1p	82	90	6	20.3	9-5	SΛ	6.5°	2/1		4
(4)		SIDON2	0.0	00				-				.,
1 7/	*	2100112	00	90	ິວ	18.0	5-2	SA	8.OD	5/2	33	Γ,

#### SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

			В		TO		IM	SU	PP	F	RΛ	СТ			E	ĮĿ,	PI	415   T	11	F	r -	S	F	ויונ  Σ	T	T
##	PNCNAME LONT	SR					R	LS	R	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL	R	1 *	] .	1 4 1	E	W	X	P R	Х		S	P
1 2 3 4	1 TAVIL1 2 ROBYN3p 3 LEXIC1p 4 SIDON2	89 83 82 85	3 6 5	1 2 4 3	1 4 3 2	10 18 21 21	1 2 3 3	5 13 15 15	3	1 3 4 2	1 (2) (3)	1 2 3 4	LAT LAT SUS PRE	3.0 7.0 6.0	3 4 2 1	2003	1 3 4 2	1 4 3 2	1 (2 3 4	1 NO 4	- (A) TO 4	1(2)2 3	-{NJ3 4	1(2)3 4	1 3 4 2	1 4 3 2

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

MOSX	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	34	. Y2		Str.	Fin.	To \$1
6393 6515 6438 6310	Robynhood, C McCarron Lexicon, G Stevens	118 118 118	2 4 1 5 3	3 1 2 4 5	31 18 5 21 4hd	31 18 5 213 413	3hd 12 5 2hd 42	2 <sup>½</sup> 11 5 3 <sup>½</sup> 41	2 <sup>1/2</sup> 2 <sup>hd</sup> 3 <sup>hd</sup> 4 <sup>3</sup> 5	5,30 1,50 2,30 1,90 2,30
O	2—ROBYNHOOD 3—LEXICON 1—TAVILDARAN		•••••			-46444000	3.	40 (		<b>1</b> 2222
5.5.94	l: 3:37 Time: :23.78 :47.27   Robyn Dancer-Beautiful Bi  el Pool \$286,910. Exacta P  54.	d. Tr-Jeni	ne S	isha	di Ow	n-Kalk	enberg	Thor	oughbr	ed inc.



#### Ready.....? WIN!

by the 'CAPPER

Sounds easy doesn't it. We all know it really isn't. And then when we do win and win regularly we start doing things contrary to what we did to create the winning and POOF we are right back in the losing mold again. It's what we *did* that breaks the winning habit.

One of the biggies that I encounter are those who fall in LOVE with a horse. They forget all about paceline selection, all about corollaries and certainly all about Synthesis and BL/BL. They forget or choose to ignore the little consistencies in their handicapping that produced the consecutive winning days, weeks, months, cycles. All of a sudden after a steady increase in their bankroll they become clairvoyant. *Or so they think*. Imagine! All the work to get it right, paceline selection, odds/value determination, the dutched bet, passing certain races, and correct use of Synthesis. It suddenly goes down the tubes and all this winner thinks he needs in order to win is the Racing Form and a few minutes???

He will not be a winner for long.

How do we keep the win streak alive? Use the Methodology. Do not give in to the drive to be one of the crowd, to know who will win without doing the work. Dr. Sartin has given us the basic tools with which to win. Once we overcome our bad habits and start winning by using these tools there is still work to be done. Championship teams do not repeat by staying static. They continue working, working harder not to become complacent and return to the bad habits that kept them from winning in the first place. To stay in the winners line in handicapping may be even more difficult. And it requires continued effort. Strive to make sure the new, winning habits are not eroded by complacency and the adulation of the few who see you continuing to return to the cashiers window. And all they want to know is who da ya like this time? After all, handicappers and horse players alike are all looking to delve into the same money pool. We, however, are willing to do the job to get there and to stay there.

How do we keep on top of our winning? One way is by looking at how we can better use what we have. When was the last time you bet on more than two horses in a race? More than three horses? Never? In the Follow Up we see time and again how even a small wager can put us ahead if we look at how, when and why we use multiple bets in our winning strategy. This strategy will never win for you unless you use it the first time. Make it a new, good, winning habit. A recent example was a race being simulcast in from Gulfstream Park. I had worked the race down to four contenders. The lowest odds among the four was 9/2 going up to 25/1 for the number four BL/BL horse. Going back over the read outs and the past performances I could find no way to eliminate any of the four. So I bet them all. I put 50% more on the 9/2 horse to even out the return should he win. The wager is \$9.00 using the minimum and the longest odds horse does win and returns \$52.00. Does it sound foolhardy? Could you do it? Am I betting against myself? NO! And I do NOT use this strategy every race or even every day. However, I am not

afraid to use it and it *is* a profit maker. In this case it netted a profit of \$43.00. It will not always win and the longest shot in the group will not always win, however, since I have LEARNED to make this adjusted, uncommon investment I am much farther ahead. Both in win percentage and in Dollars earned. This is one way I stay ahead of the break even point. It is part of how the Methodology can work for each of us. It is how the Methodology works for me.

The KEY point is to not try and change the program or the horses the program gives us as contenders and winners. In order to optimize the winning potential of the programs CHANGE the way YOU look at how you use the results. The program finds the horses it is up to you and me to best use them.


We cannot be ready to win if our approach is to take the Methodology program results and try to fix them using "mainstream illogic". We cannot be ready to win if we cannot change our bad, losing habits into profitable winning habits. We cannot be ready to win if we are not open to accepting the cutting edge handicapping advancements inherent within the Methodology. We cannot be ready to win until we know that we go to the track in order to go to the bank rather than having to go to the bank in order to go to the track. We have to be in the winning mode not just today but everyday.

Til next time

The 'Capper with a race day reminder......work the plan, be profitable.

A post script to recap the Kentucky Derby. The Kentucky Derby field was the perfect setting for the multiple horse wagering strategy\_mentioned earlier. With the favorite at 9/2 multiple winning bets was the way to go. Once the horses are entered in the program we face the question about how to bet them. Questions come up about legitimacy of races in New York and in Kentucky and Florida. Does it matter? The horses DID run those numbers. Can they be ignored? Remember these are three year old horses still improving. I ended up betting not 4 but 5 horses to win! I used Adonis (18/1), Charismatic (30/1), and the field (11/1) all at \$10 and Stephen Got Even (5/1), and Menifee (7/1) at \$15 to assure a profit on the race. Once again this proves to be a successful approach as Charismatic lit up the boards at \$64.60 with the third highest mutuel ever at Churchill Downs for the Derby. I have \$60 in the race and take out \$323 for a profit of \$263. The program works. The approach works.

A Reminder.....work the plan, be profitable.





#### Sartin's Tools for 1999

- The *One on One Video* and/or *Audio* series with Workbooks Videos \$99 Audio \$60 (Same content in both sets)
- The Pace Launcher series, especially, the top of the line Synthesis
   PL3 \$279 PL4 \$479 Synthesis \$679 with its adjunct program in the and... TrackMaster version of Pace Launcher 3, 4 and Synthesis
   Only available to PL3, PL4 or Synthesis clients \$50 if purchased at the same time
- The **Wagering Decision Form Program** for Synthesis users only -Both TrackMaster and BRIS/Manual Entry versions available - \$199 (specify)
- •••PLUS, NEW WDF & TRACKMASTER FOR SYNTHESIS CLIENTS All In One program! (see info pg 66)

Sartin Methodology Manuals:

**The 55% Solution,** \$32

The Psychology of Winning, \$25

The Tandem Race \$29

#### and these back issues of the Follow Up:

Issue #59 ~ Introducing Pace Launcher with new Pace Line Selection Guidelines

Issue #60 ~ The Era of the Corollary with Sartin Glossary of terminology

Issue #61 ~ Value Wagering and advanced Pace Launcher corollaries, Pace Launcher 4

Issue #62 ~ Step by Step instructions given in detail for a full 9 race card

Issue #63 ~ Answers given to ALL problems encountered prior to this issue

Issue #64 and #65 ~ Both issues are dedicated to helping you make profitable Decisions

Issue #66 ~ Introduction and explanation of Synthesis

Issue #67 ~ Benefits unique to the TrackMaster download and Money Management

Issue #68, #69 and # 70 ~ Exploring TODAY'S realities in Handicapping Success and how they differ from the past.

The flaws in even the best material written before 1992

Issue #71, #72 and #73 ~ An objective testing of our Bottom Line/Betting Line with real money by Mark Cramer. New concepts in making a profit with virtually NO traditional concepts.

Back Issues of the Follow Up are \$12.50 each

# Turn Your Tool Box into a Treasure Chest!



GLOOMSDAY IN OLD L.A. - A VALUE HANDICAPPER'S NIGHTMARE

Santa Ani	
Les Angoles Turi Ch.s. Inc. Sants Anto Peris, Arcada, California. Copyright 1996 — Equitage and Santa Anto Peris. All fereines confirmed by Eclipse Photography, Inc.	6612—EEYENTH RACE, 61% Plantengs, Plante \$200,000-Added, "Potento Orando BC Hamiltong," Grade R. A Hamiltong for 4-year-olds and
Seturday, April 3, 1999. 72nd Day of a 84-Day Most.	trace Horse and Juckey Wt. PP ST '4 Ys '4 Str. Fin. To \$1
6606-PRET RACEL One Mile. Purse \$54,000. Allowance/Clebring.	(640) 80 Jug. J Valdwark 119 2 4 36 33 - 279 1 nm 80 5129 DO-Early Pronest, M Gerose 111 3 1 11 1nd - 1nd 274 21 90
Prillion and maron, 4-year-olds and up, Claiming price \$40,000.  Polic Horse and Jophny Wr. PP ST '4 It '8 St. Fn. To S1	5440 Gold Land, X Desarmenus
270 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(9531) Kons White. O Flores
2700 door	Screened — Nevel Academy, 5—842 JAG
5002 8 8 8 6 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	4—00LD LAND
No Screenie. 4—A LADY FROM DIXTE	Off: 3:47 Time: :22.56:45.59 1:08.99 1:15.09 Track: Cloudy Fast, Without-brig.93 Kie-
1 3 SHIFTY HUBBEY	ven-in Hopes Tr-Tim Pinfield Own-Julius H Zolezzi, Mutual Pool \$652,540, Exacta Pool \$454,978, Quinelle Pool \$84,519, Pick Tives Pool \$153,643.
1—COOKTH VYCKIE	SI EXACTA (3-4) FAID SE.00 12 GUINELLA (3-4) PAID 810.40
ch.f.95 Oktoberd Send-Wicked Wilchcraft Tr-D Wayne Lukas Own-Mr & Mrs Robert D Randal, Mulasi Pool \$334,151, Exacts Pool \$260,060, Quinete Pool \$36,467.	11 PICK THREE (7/1/2) PAID EXELSE  6613—EIGHTH RACEL & Purionys. Purso \$46,000. Moldon 3-your-side.
11 EXACTA (4-7) PAID 612.30 22 QUINELLA (3-4) PAID 817.99	
6607—SECOND RACE, SY Furiongs, Purso EME, 200. 4-year-olds and	hidex Horse and Joshey Wt. PP ST 14 V: 14 St. Fn. 10 \$1 6539 Freespool K Decomment (2012) 4 m4 21 1270 - 16 17 3.90
Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PF ST W Vs N St. Fis. To \$1	6478 Chief One L Promy is a 200 100 41 317 2176 2176 19:30
SelfE U.A. J. R. Vinder	Terburga O Flores Program 1 270 1270
6403 Teraharus A Boles	America, U Power & Transport & Program & St. 270, 21 Co. 2 C
2167 Observ Policy C Artists	1394 Gold Regard, G Stevens
Historian Artist A Public 116 2 4 219 21 7 18.90	Specified — Furious Victory, Hillies Why.
Chines Chross Fully Priz & Labo Forms State-Link Cores.  3—LR. A	12.50 to the leading of the leading
5-6YCAMONE 6FINIOS	CHL 422 Times 21.98 (44.27 -96.38 1:08.34 Treats Closely Feet, Whites 5.4.98 Caligor Counter-Broadell Th-Ted West Own-Desparado Seblies Inc. Multat Pool \$846.525. Ex-
OR: 12:07 Time: 21:37 ;44:80 1:10:08 1:18:80 Timb: Claimly Fast, Wilman-olt-93 Mul- tering-Captain's Bay Baba Tr-Ted West Own-Goods, Harray & Johnson, Multi-II Post	acte Foot \$454,266. Trifecte Poul \$623,566. Quinette Foot \$66,586. Pick Three Foot
\$460,163. Execus Pool 6379,646. Daily Deutes Pool \$23,054. Quinning Pool \$62,910.	\$131,100. \$1 EXACTA (6-1) PAID 987.00 \$1 TRIPECTA (6-1-19) PAID 9000.00
ST GUNNELLA (S-II) PARO STELEO SE DARLY DOUBLE (4/3) PARO STELEO	\$2 GUNNELLA (1-4) PAID \$67.48 \$1 PICK THREE (1/2/5) PAID \$34.20
6608-THING TACK THE SHEET THE PARTS STREET ASSESS PROVIDENCE	6614-HHTTH FLACE, About SV: Purlongs Turf. Purso \$47,000. 4-year-
ola States, "Pillios, 3-year-olds. Index Horse and Jodey Wt. PP ST Vs. Vs. Str. Fin. To S1	olds and us. Claiming price 662,006-86,006. Index Horse and Jockey WI, PP 3T Vs. 16 No. Str. Fir. To \$1
##\$179 Record to A Scale 118 E S SE SEN 1770 91 177 2 701	\$482 Cyrano Storms (Inst. A Softs
	5402 Sod Naca, O Flores 118 4 19 8279 8279 - 41 31 33 33
8903 (Sribinov) (*14) (Concomment 118 2 8 8 8 8 14 17 2 520 530) (Sribinov) (*14) (Concomment 118 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 1 4 1 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 2	5494 Shrar Widget, V Expinors
#2 abracy   E.00 3.00 2.00	6543 Feernes Protection
CASYAT PRACE 4.00 1.00	Schemen (He), G Gorner
Off: 1:24 Time: :24.22::48.66 1:12.63 1:37.06 1:49.86 Trade: Cloudy Firm, Whysir-b.f.96	Calmed — Featless Pirate-Jethry Sangare-Ted West.
Kris 8 Symbolically Tr-Nell Drysdale Own-Mr & Mrs Martin J Wygod. Mutuel Pool 3068,736. Exects Pool \$309,681. Culnelle Pool \$06,671. Pick Tives Pool \$180,477.	4—CYRANG STORMS
B1 EXACTA (6-0) PAID 813-00 S12-00 S12-00 S14-00 S14-00 S14-00 S17-00 S14-00 S17-00 S1	S-BOLD 198/UE
6609-POLINTH RACE, 1 1/18 Miles, Purse 854,000, Allemanos, 3 years	De Bergersc-Red Lory Tr-Jack Van Berg Own-Kents & 3 + U Stable, Mutuel Pool \$801,327, Exacts Pool \$373,420, Trifects Pool \$433,799, Quinete Pool \$58,687, Pick
Indian Horse and Journey WIL PF ST N Vs N Str. Fin. To \$1	Three Pool \$185,872.
6484 A-Phytor's Gold O-Porms 120 2 5 2*8 22 2*9 13 14 40	\$1 EXACTA (4-1) PAID 98.80 \$1 PICK THREE (2/5/3,4,9) PAID 92.40 \$1 TRIFECTA (4-1-9) PAID 9101.50 \$2 QUINELLA (1-4) PAID 93.00
8434 Caledonin Colours, Darminium	
(8437) A-57th Of Pro-Hurt National 118 3 2 31% 316 416 51 65 40 (854) Nurricum a Eye, F. Martines 118 4 1 5 <sup>3</sup> 5 <sup>2</sup> 8 6 6 36.30	6615—TENTH RACE, 1 1/14 Miles. Pures \$30,000. 4-year-olds and ve. Claiming price \$16,000-13,000.
1-FNOTR'S GOLD	Index Horse and Joseph Wt. PF ST Vs. Vs. 35 SF. Ph. To \$1 (640) Set Clumento (Angl. Pinosy Jr 120 4 5 3 Vs. 36 23 24 12 Vs. 17 60 (1814) Set Clumento (Angl. Pinosy Jr 120 4 5 3 Vs. 36 23 24 12 Vs. 17 60 (1814) Set Clumento (Angl. Pinosy Jr 120 4 5 3 Vs. 14 14 15 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
6—AND SYS 2.10 5—CALEDONAM COLOURS 2.10	(6514) Fernon, A.Puldo
Off: 2:02 Time: :22.74 :46.09 1:10.60 1:36.40 1:43.04 Track: Cloudy Fast, Winner-	5490 Indiguantis, I Envisuas
br.e.98 Seeking The Gold-Nijheius Street Tr-Bob Beffert Own-Golden Eagle Farm, Mutual Pool \$712,784, Execus Pool \$480,178, Quinelis Pool \$89,352, Plot: Three Pool \$125,375.	5507 Hollywood Man, M Gercks
ET EXACTA (1-4) PAID SE.00 12 OUTHELLA (1-4) PAID 94.00	1236 Schwier Road, O'Gorner 118 1 2 14 21 324 6ret used 3:20 Scherched Sehers King.
\$1 PICK THREE (NE/1,3) PAID \$12.30  664 O-PIPTH RACE, 131 Miles, Purse \$790,000-Quer, "Earlie Anits Der-	Clemed Bet Quemano-Oolen & Karp-John K Dolen; Hollywood Men-Werd Rench-Brien Koriner; Road-Affiched Bello-Nick Caneril.
by." Grade L3-year-aids.	4
Tribin Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST '4. '4' '4' Str. Fin. To \$1 (5237) General Challengs, 0 Steward	5—RAGGED \$HOES
6456  Privative   Office   120 2 4 575 576 51 21 2576 240	Batty-Querrente Tr-Senterd Shulmen Own-Goldstein & King, Muluel Pool \$377,196. Ex- acts Pool \$251,795, Trileots Pool \$319,846. Quinelle Pool \$34,063. Pick Three Pool
#\$147 Charameter, Efficacy Ir 120 8 7 619 619 61 519 41 44 30 (8522) Wells Theil Wells, CAndary 120 5 5 71 8 8 619 52 70 20	\$147,344.
5607 HonestLady, K Desormanus	11 EXACTA (4-3) PAIO 518-00 \$1 PICK THREE (9/3,4,9/4) PAIO 529.00 \$1 TRIFECTA (4-3-5) PAIO 514629 \$2 QUINELLA (3-4) PAIO 519.00
Pic Scratches.	\$1 Pick Af numbers: 3,4/3,5/5,6/1,3,6/2,7/1,6/2,4/1,5/1,3,4,9/3,4, Pick Af pool \$71,912.
7-0ENERAL CHALLENGE	153 tickets with 10 winners paid \$313.60.
Office42 Time: :23.48 :47.30 1:11,48 1:36.29 1:46.92 Track: Cloudy Fast, Winner-	6616—ELEYENTH RACE, One MHo Turf, Purso S4E,000, 4-year-olds and up. Clahming price \$80,000-46,000.
ch q.96 General Meeting-Excellent Lady Tr-Bob Seffert Own-Golden Eagle Farm, Mutual Pool \$2,225,845, Exects Pool \$1,181,807, Trifects Pool \$1,066,819, Quineks Pool	Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST 4 Vs 4 Str. Fin. To \$1
\$132,031. Pick Tives Pool \$192,547.	8488 Cure, G.Stevene 118 8 8 8 2 62 510 314 13 1.70 6475 Paw The Chy, A Sole 118 7 2 210 21 214 213 1260 6475 Payer (GB), V Esonocas 118 2 1 1 10 11 10 3 6 20
## ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ###	6494 Mendesterre, P Toscere
6611 - HIXTH RACE, About 4's Furlange Yuri, Purso 350,000, Allow-	4337 Professor Fr. E. Ramsermy
Index Horse and Jockey Wt. PP ST '4 Is 4 Str. Fin. To \$1	5475 Sarriarang (GB), D Flores
8441 Corar, L. Promy Jr	Scratched — Liego, Litré Blessington, Moumain Sha (CN), Claimed — Clure-Granja Marcos à Vandoren-H Paime,
#429 American ClinCome 122 II 3 377 479 . 51 479 4.30	5—CLUME
8441 Sphrotchicuster, K December 120 2 8 6 719 - 85 878 930	3.00 On: 5:54 Time: :23.35 :45.57 1:12.01 1:24,37 1:36.18 Treck: Cloudy Firm. Winner-
\$387 Crowned K/4, D Flores	ch.h.93 Thespical-Garimpeiro Tr-Bob Salfert Own-Allen E Paulson. Muluel Pool
HQ SETHETHIN.	\$464,028. Exacts Pool \$321,732. Daily Double Pool \$182,052. Trifects Pool \$356,111. Quinels Poor \$43,109. Superfects Pool \$237,753. Pok Tives Pool \$154,867.
1—COZAR	11 EXACTA (6-7) PAIO 221.00
8—QALLANT GEORGIO	SI QUINELLA (6-1) PAID EISAS \$1 PICK THREE (3,4,9/4/4) PAID \$15.00
zene-Mischief Brewing Tr-Devid Holmens Own-Ridder Thoroughbred Shible. Mutuel Pool \$651.667. Exacta Pool \$439,125. Quinete Pool \$61,366. Tritecte Pool \$464,756.	Pick Six winning numbers: 1/2/5/3.4.8/4/6. Pick Six pool \$1,564,444, 2,334 tickets with 6 winners paid \$174.40, 27,166 tickets with 6 winners paid \$13,60,
Pick Three Pool \$197,540.	On-Track Attendance 35,023, Mutual Hendle 86,483,417, Inter-Track Attendance 23,000.
11 EXACTA (1-0) PAIS \$121,30 \$1 PICK THREE (1,3/7/1) PAID \$17.20	Mutual Handle \$5,522,521, Out of State Attendance N/A, Musual Hersia \$5,573,497, To-

## POOM AND GLOOM

We experienced a day similar to this one and put it in the last issue of the Follow Up. On that day at least we made a few dollars. This time we only won the 3rd and 5th race. We took \$6.80 in the 3rd because the odds dropped after we bet. The 7th, The Santa Anita Derby was a cinch but only paid \$7.60. SO, even PASSING several races, We lost money. There's a BAD report for you skeptics.

I've read all the books on Value Handicapping, but never one that contained a full day's Result Charts that looked like those on the opposite page. I have read chapters or articles in which the author says, in effect, "When 6-5 is an Overlay." I must not be very bright since their explanation of how they narrowed the race down to the 6-5 horse being an Overlay, still remains a mystery. In fact the whole concept of the self-made Odds Line is a mystery to me. This is why I admire Mark Cramer so much for being able to make one.

I also admire Vic Palermo for <u>now</u> betting one or both of his top TWO overlays if they are big Overlays. He's in Las Vegas, has lots of tracks to choose from and is very, very patient. So are those who do multiple track wagering via the internet or by phone betting. Jay Tyne in Florida, who holds out for 10-1 or better when it's one of two clear choices. He has his own definition of clear choices.

He says that Synthesis gets these big overlays at least as often as it gets horses going off at under 7-1.

With such a stringent rule I wonder if he'll report the same success next week or even next month. People do have a way of changing betting philosophies depending on their current emotions.

The big question in the minds and hearts of those who hold out for overlays on a day like this is, "Do I continue value betting?" Well, for one thing such days are rare and are compensated for by many days in which hundreds of dollars can be made with just \$20 bets. However, I'm fully aware of the inner turmoil that goes on in the guts of the anxious when they encounter such a day. I've been there. It's the reason I held out for instructions and computer programs that produced more winning selections as opposed to true overlays only.

Value Betting is not recommended for those who are anxiety prone. The Anxiety Neurosis, coupled with Depression, is North America's <u>biggest</u> single mental health problem.

Our Mad Dogs and Englishman, Tim Brothers, handicapping via TrackMaster and the internet from Bristol, U.K., seems immune. He goes merrily along winning our U.S. dollars and accepting his rare losing days when they come. I guess when you've lost an Empire on which the sun never set, losing a day at the races is inconsequential. Besides, Tim handicaps <u>several</u> American and Canadian tracks at a time.

When one track lets him down, he makes his profit from one or more of the other tracks. And, this is a chap who has to HIDE from the Morning Line printed on the Synthesis readouts downloaded from TrackMaster. He, like so many other clients, has also learned the fine art of PASSING races. Not the easiest thing to learn, I freely acknowledge. This art of passing races seems to be the prime forte of all Value Betting authors, particularly those who claim instances where 6-5 is an overlay. Some will also take even odds if, in their uncanny minds the horse should 2-3, or in any instance where the horse's closing odds are one full point higher than their odds line. So, if we're to believe these authors, they do not suffer on days like Saturday, April, 3. Wanna buy the Brooklyn Bridge??

Unlike many others Value Betting advocates, Mark Cramer freely admits to having some losing days, despite his mystic ability to pass many races. He has learned to live with such occasions. He was also highly impressed with the his return on investment from our BOTTOM LINE/BETTING LINE results with his money, as he reported in the C&O Report (soon to be reborn as the C&X Report).

No matter what they may claim, <u>one-horse-to-Win</u> bettors cannot enjoy the ROI potential we all have. Mark has repeatedly told me that he is not exclusively a one horse bettor. In fact, he admits he'll bet <u>three</u> to win, if the odds are right.

Those who are anxiety prone to the point of neurosis are less subject to reason than most. But for those with enough equanimity to accept reason, let's dissect a losing day like the one we used to start this column. To begin with it was an 11 race card. This means two or three more races than we'd see on a normal day. Regardless, the average client who follows our guidelines confines him/herself to actually betting an average of FIVE races per card, per day. Some of us bet SIX on days when overlay opportunities abound. Still, I average five. Aline Best hovers between three and five.

So, how much can you lose betting \$20 per race, \$10 to win on two choices. Even if you only win ONE race paying \$7.60 under these circumstances, you lose but \$82. If you lose all FIVE, you're down \$100. With all the reports coming from people using the computerized Wagering Decision Form showing daily profits of from LOWS OF \$169 to a HIGH of \$303 - PER DAY. Remember, that's betting only \$20 per race.

For another reality check, let's take a look at my credit card statements: Taking my family to a nice local restaurant for dinner, wife, daughter, son and his wife, the check comes to \$324, including tip. I'm sure you take your family to dinner as much or more than I do. I also know that prices in Banning-Beaumont are low compared to any large city. Prices rise when one goes into the Palm Springs area. So, when a little while ago, Tom Brohamer and his wife Shirley took me and my spouse out to dinner in Palm Desert, the dinner with wine, no hard liquor, came to just

under \$300. That's for only FOUR persons.

To me this puts losing \$100 in a day at the races into a kind of perspective reflecting <u>real life</u>. The issue is how much does one actually spend on recreation, entertainment without whining or complaining or even suffering any kind of anxiety attack after so spending. The real point is: Anyone who cannot <u>afford</u> to lose \$100 should <u>not</u> be betting on horse races!

Simple solution: Learn to WIN Value races before you bet.

Ours is a Risk/Reward avocation. You must know the exact ratio of your risk/reward from well-kept records. If you don't have the kind of tenacity that allows to for a losing day now and then without whining and/or suffering anxiety symptoms; if you don't (won't) follow a pattern that consistently shows your REWARD outweighing your risk, then stop betting, especially Value Betting.

Until you do recognize a pattern of Reward exceeding Risk, just make \$2 bets and have fun.

Keep records, however, and you'll see that over a period of time you'll wind up either losing more that way or gaining NOTHING. You'll simply lose in little dribbles that are lower and slower so that your underlying anxiety won't recognize them. However, at the end of a season or a year, you and your bankbook will.

Never mentioning books, articles and/or statements made by real or self-proclaimed handicapping experts, suggested by S.C. from VA (with which I complied in Follow Up 73), is counterproductive. These author-experts have exerted far more influence on the minds of all handicappers (not just horse-PLAYERS) over many decades, than <u>anything</u> I've contributed. Much of their work, be it factual or mythical, is archaic by the standards of <u>today's reality</u>. Yet their words and rules have been deeply ingrained in us all.

Many of us have overcome, accepted the New Paradigm. But what about newcomers to the Methodology who've never been exposed to the concepts of the Handicapping Revolution? They admit they've read a number of the books that are mainstream "recommended." Hence, they begin by being somewhat brainwashed by YESTERDAY'S concepts that are mostly inductive presumptions.

Even the works of some of yesterday's ethical authors must be subjected to a current Reality Test. Newcomers have no popular source from which to make accurate judgments of what works and what is hogwash. If only Ainslie would write another book. His authority status and ethical standards as an *objective* analyst would do much to clarify the drastic changes that have taken place in horse racing and handicapping just since 1992.

To illustrate what I mean by general attitudes, even those open to some controversy, here's a portion of a letter:

#### A letter from a non-client:

Dear Sir:

I have just received and reviewed your audio/ video handicapping package. I would be very interested in obtaining the software and books that are mentioned in it.

I was quite impressed by the video presentation and would like to learn more.

I am a beginner to handicapping. Eight months ago I stared buying handicapping books, and now must own at least half the number of titles offered by the "Gamblers Book Club". I have books by Quinn, Beyer, William Scott, Davidowitz, Jim Bradshaw, Ragozin, Huey Mahl, Litfin, Gordon Pine, Charles Carrol, Mark Cramer, Glendon Jones, Tom Brohamer, and others.

handicapping and of the books by authors mentioned above; if the subject of pace comes up it usually begins with a discussion of Howard Sartin.

The final result was that those books he read, together with the opinions of his loser friends, overwhelmed him and he never joined us. S.C., on the other hand, discarded his entire racing library in favor of the Methodology, so I figured I owed him one. But where S.C got the idea that I was constantly "wishing for acceptance from such experts, the mainstream we are wagering against for the parimutuel dollar," I'll never know.

I want these people to scorn me and always recommend and do the very opposite of what I propose. That's the only way we can make our parimutuel dollars, True, I get a little peeved at the duplications manner in which some of them have literally stolen and slightly disguised my formulae. But that's to be expected, even among friends.

Philosophy, science, medicine, social mores and customs have always been dominated by the mainstream for the simple reason that there were more of them so they're "conventional wisdom" dominates the media of the times. Journalism, in its many forms of mass appeal has always shaped opinion more than literature or the kind of written or spoken concepts with only specialized significance.

The history of handicapping follows a parallel pattern. The majority of the handicapping public has always followed the axioms of those whose rules and guidelines get the most public exposure. For they are perceived as enlightenment; the light that shines on the majority.

Now, for what it's worth, here's a little story on whose moral you may wish to ponder: It is late afternoon, just before twilight. A man stands in front of his house searching his pockets. The windows shades of his house are all drawn, so it's already dark inside. He cannot find his house keys in his pocket and starts searching the ground. He calls his neighbor to help him look. After several minutes of frustration, the neighbor asks: "Where do you think you lost them?

The house owner replies. "Oh, I know I left them in the house."

The exasperated neighbor angrily responds with,. "Then why the hell are we looking out here!"

"Because there's MORE LIGHT out here," the householder replies.

When a gloomy day occurs, look within to find the courage to overcome the ensuing anxiety. Even as extremely profitable days are the precursors of a losing day, so do losing days foretell a brighter future. It follows as night the day. This bad Saturday is a matter of record. Sunday was profitable, though not sensationally so. The next racing day, Wednesday, produced enough opportunity to wipe out all losses and ease even neurotic anxiety. Thursday and Friday were even better. For that matter, so was the following Saturday and Sunday. I have stop somewhere or the Follow Up will contain nothing but Result Charts. Morale: The householder was seeking what we all seek. But it lies within. Within ourselves. In his case, within the darkened house. Success in anything, particularly stochastic events considered gambling, lies not in the light that shines from the majority. But within the darkness that many are afraid to explore. There are those of us who would rather lose while searching in the light of conventional wisdom, than win by probing the darkness and seeking that winning light tat comes from WITHIN!

The ratio of potential success over the 5 days following our GLOOM day and from research covering many months and tracks is, for those who accept and <u>follow directions</u>, FIVE-to-TWO positive!

Ironic. 5-2 are the minimum odds I'll accept before betting. I also PASS an average of 4 races per day, as does Aline, Shane, Dick Fritts, K.C. Jones, Dick Resch and dozens of other proven winners, all of whom wager on races at LEAST two tracks per day.

#### **Important Tidbits**

ANY overlay that appears in your top 3 tiers of BL/BL should be considered on the basis of WIN PRICE alone rather than corollaries. If an overlay appears in BL/BL Tier 4 or 5, look for the HIGHER ranking Corollaries only. Tiers are NOT rankings. Consider horses in the top 3 BL/BL Tiers as equal, separated only by the MUTUEL.



In ALL races, following instructions about contender and paceline selection and use of corollary readouts IS the Methodology. Those who deviate from a Methodology they claim to be using, are perhaps newcomers who haven't had time to absorb enough of our material. Veterans who HAVE absorbed it all, should look inward for their reasons, both for their deviation and NON-winning. Newcomers have an excuse. Veterans have only themselves to blame for not consistently winning.

Please, for your sake, FOLLOW DIRECTIONS!

#### **GOOD STUFF!**

in the state of th

# The 1999 Kentucky Derby

Dedicating a lot of pages to <u>one</u> race, showing how and why so many clients won it, is not the purpose of this article. I exaggerate the importance of the Kentucky Derby only to demonstrate what happens when clients do follow directions. In fact most make pointed references to following directions in their missives. The many examples also show something we've known for a long time: The Auto Adjusted, Normalized and Equalized lines from the TrackMaster download produce results that are far superior to those from BRIS.

The state of the s

Every year, since GATO DEL SOL's big Kentucky Derby upset in '82 we've had a bevy of clients reporting that they won the Kentucky Derby. I did too, except for SILVER CHARM, who ran Early. Client response this year was the most impressive. Sunday and Monday morning, following Saturday's Derby, we got faxed with readouts, phone calls and e-mail confirming parimutuel victories with the CHARISMATIC atop all readouts and paying \$64.60 to win. Some had the \$727 Exacta, boxing the 3 top tiered BL/BL horses. One caller and one writer had the big \$5,866 Trifecta, boxing their top 4 (as instructed).

I won't just tell you about it, I'll show you a few fax and e-mail confirmations and let them speak for me.

But first on a sadder note, a few reasons why some clients **did not** win the race. They refused to follow directions I've offered about winning the Derby for 25 years. Vic Palermo, one of the winners, has my home phone number, so he was first to call. He said he remembered a 1986 Seminar at the Aladdin Hotel in Vegas, his first, where I said to get rid of the front-runners without strong readouts in HE, Sustained Pace (now CPR) and 3rd fraction.

He followed those guidelines and, on his readouts and one other we received, CHARISMATIC came 3rd, but with obvious signs in its corollary readouts. He bet it, which is more important.

The only disturbing thing about the two users who did not have winner CHARISMATIC #1, even though both bet it, is that they downloaded from BRIS. TrackMaster downloaders had the winner on top. TrackMaster lines are Normalized, Equalized and Adjusted <u>automatically</u>. Those from BRIS are not. In light of the distinctly different attitudes personified by BRIS and TrackMaster, I feel no compunction to improve BRIS' output.

Guidelines for winning the Derby have been repeated in many a Follow Up and a lot of people remembered and profited. CHARISMATIC's last race at KEENLAND was a stand-out RAW or Auto-Adjusted on the original hand-entry Synthesis' BL/BL and on the TrackMaster download. All one had to do was enter the best pace line from the longest distance run with no less than a win or place in a stakes.

So why did some miss it? It was the horse's best performance in a Stakes; but at a mile and one-sixteenth. Some failed to recall that until the recent past, *no* 3 year old vying for a Derby spot was <u>allowed</u> to run in a race of **more** than 8.5 furlongs. It was changed to a maximum of 9 furlongs. So what? Synthesis, downloaded from TrackMaster, equalizes, adjusts and normalizes all lines and gives an appropriate penalty to horses running a shorter route than the 9 fur. most Derby entrants ran in qualifying races.

#### NO EXCUSE THERE.

D. Wayne Lukas was the trainer. So trainer-happy clients couldn't exclude the horse on that basis. I will admit, when caught by the TV camera, D. Wayne looked like the most surprised man at the track when his horse won. Antley, the jockey, was coming off a weight problem layoff, so he was rested. There was one client who was going to bet CHARISMATIC until a perfect stranger told him the horse didn't qualify because of dosage. I wouldn't know. I've never looked at dosage or that other, more recent index that allegedly is required by a horse to win the Derby. Mike Helm had CHARI on top using Synthesis, and he's North America's leading authority on Breeding and Pedigree.

I cannot help but believe it was all the hype in magazines, the Form, and the bold prognostications of so-called experts that allowed the winner to go off at 31-1. It was 8-5 on my BL/BL Betting Line and was Projected at EVEN on one readout we received. The answer is simple: Those who followed directions WON the race.

Those who didn't, LOST. So what, it's only one race.

The tragedy, if one feels losing the Derby is tragic; the directions are so simple: nothing complex, fancy or esoteric. With apologies to S.C in VA., <u>many clients</u> are still paying more attention to the hype - the printed and spoken words of so-called experts - than they are to The Follow Up. Horseplayer Magazine had 20 experts, 10 from the East Coast, 10 from the West, plus a long Derby article by James Quinn. Not one even *mentioned* Charismatic. American Turf Monthly's regular Derby features also failed even to mention the horse.

Andy Beyer picked the horse that placed. He's getting better in his Derby choices. One day he's liable to WIN it. He said the Derby was a fluke and staked his claim on MENIFEE again - another 2nd place finish for the Great One.

On a following page you'll see a compendium of examples from DRF experts: ONE, Steve Klein, had Charismatic on top. He's known as their "Kentucky Expert."

Following the parade of Racing Form experts are some communications and readouts from our group. I abandon policy and use their actual names because they deserve credit. No addresses however, or they'd be deluged by people seeking advice.

#### **KENTUCKY DERBY**

DOCTOR HOWARD SARTIN, Ph.D. O.HENRY HOUSE, INC. 1390 E. 6th STREET, SUITE 5 BEAUMONT, CA 92223

Dear DOCTOR HOWARD SARTIN, Ph.D.,

ONCE AGAIN I HAD THE DERBY WITH YOUR PROGRAM.I STILL HAVE THE LETTER YOU WROTE ME YEARS AGO ON HOW TO WIN THE DERBY, IT STILL WORKSI!!!YOU, SHANE AND THE REST OF YOUR STAFF ARE THE BEST!!! I HAVE BEEN WITH YOU SINCE 8-23-93 FROM THAT DAY FORWARD I HAVE HAD BIG PRICED WINNERS. AT THE FAIR GROUNDS ON MARCH 21,1998 I HAD THE 2<sup>nd</sup> RACE I PLAYED A \$1.00 EXACTA BOX FOR \$12.00 AND WON \$429.50 FOR A PROFIT OF \$417.50, ALL I HAVE OF THIS WIN DUE TO A COMPUTER CRASH IS THIS COPY OF THE WINNING TICKET AND THE RESULTS OF THAT DAY. THE WINNER WAS #1 BL/BL, I ALSO HAD \$2.00 ACROSS ON HIM, BUT WIFE MY CASHED THE TICKET BEFORE I COULD COPY IT. THEN THERE IS THIS YEAR'S DERBY THE WINNER WAS #1 BL/BL AND #3 LPR AND # 2 HE ALSO #1 ON THE INCREMENTAL PACE GRAPH. I BET \$120.00 ON THE DERBY; I CASHED OUT \$646.00. FOR A PROFIT OF \$237.00, TOGETHER FOR A GRAND TOTAL OF \$913.00 AND A PROFIT OF \$763.00. TOGETHER!!!

NOT MANY PEOPLE CAN SAY THAT THAY HAVE HAD THEIR BEST WIN IN HORSE RACING. I HAVE THE 1999 ARKANSAS DERBY. IT IS SPECAL FOR THIS REASON.MY FATHER IS 89 YEARS OLD HE HAS HAD CANCER TWO TIMES AND WON BOTH TIMES .MY FATHER DID EVERY THING FOR ME AS A KID, HE IS A GREAT FATHER! HE EVEN LOANED ME AND MY WIFE THE MONEY TO START OUR TITLE COMPANY. HE HAS ALWAYS HAD FAITH IN ME AND IN ANYTHING I DO, THERE IS NOT ENOUGH GOOD I CAN SAY ABOUT HIM. ANYWAY HE WENT TO THE TRACK WITH US ON DERBY DAY HE LET ME MAKE THIS BETS AND HE I BET \$42.00 ON VALHOL IN

THE ARKANSAS DERBY I DID NOT KNOW HE WAS A MADIEN AT THIS TIME. WE WON \$554.40 FOR A PROFIT OF 512.40 I LET HIM CASH THE TICKETS AND HAVE ALL THE MONEY. WATCHING HIM CASH THE TICKETS WAS THE SECOND GREATEST FEELING

THANK YOU DOC!! AS SOON AS

THE PITURE OF THAT DAY ARE DEVOLPED I WILL SEND YOU ONE. AT THAT POINT I EVEN CONSIDERED QUITTING HANDICAPPING FOREVER. HERE ARE VALHOL'S PRINT OUT AND THE ARKANSAS SPORTS PAGE THE DAY FOLLOWING SOME GOOD ARTICALS ABOUT THE DERBY IN THE PAPER. NOTE\*\*\* ECTON PARK AND ANSWER LIVELY ODDS WERE BELOW 5-2 AND WERE OUT. ALSO PLEASE FIND THE DAILY RACING FORM EXPERTS PICK FOR THE DERBY.... WHAT A JOKE NOW THAY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THE DERBY FIELD LESS THAN 20 THERE STUPID, I WISH THAY WOULD LET 50 RUN IN THE DERBY. HERE IS SOME LIQUOR AND CIGARS; I HOPE THE HAM FEED ALL OF YOU. I DO HAVE ONE QUESTION FOR YOU AS I WOULD LIKE TO SWEEP THE TRIPLE CROWN COULD, DOC COULD YOU GO INTO MORE DETAIL ON THIS LETTER YOU WROTE ON WINNING THE PREAKNESS & THE BELMONT LONG AGO.

THANKS

K.C.JONES

Two lines whited out because of their highly personal nature.

CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3 \$1,000,000 PR=95

#### BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE


PNo	NAME Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKE	ISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE	4/140
11	CHARI1	100	94	6	20.1	9-5	KEE	8.5D	20/1	11	3	iv \$6400
5	STEPH1	95	87	10	14.3	7-2	TP	9.0D	12/1	33	3	
3	ADONI1	99	94	6	14.0	7-2	AQU	9.0D	30/1	19	3	
10	FIRST1	98	95	8	12.3	9-2	HIA	9.0D	50/1	26	3	
16	K ONE1	94	87	10	9.0	6-1	TP	9.0D	12/1	33	3	
17	KIMBE2	92	91	17	7.3	8-1	FG	8.5D	12/1	19	ż	
15	VALH01	93	94	16	4.5	12-1	op	9.0Đ	12/1	19	3	
13	MENIF1	95	94	15	4.0	12-1	KEE	9.0D	5/1	19	<u>,</u> 3	
2	EXCEL1	93	91	15	3.0	20-1	OP	8.5D	3/1	27	3	e <u>e komunika sa sa</u>
8	CAT T1	94	94	16	3.0	20-1	KEE	9.0D	8/1	19	3.	
12	VICAR1	94	94	14	2.0	20-1	KEE	9.0D	6/1	19	á	
9	PRIME2	90	94	23	0.0	20-1	SA	8.5D	7/2	26	3:	
												•

SYNTHESIS	POWER	RANKINGS	AND	FRACTALS

					1		PR	(II	1		- 1				ุรเ	JP!									
			L	T.	E	L	Cl	Τĺ	H	F	F			S	F	Σ	T	Т	ļ		_		_		
_		,——	S	lol	lp:	ΙpΙ	P	T	E	W	X		$\overline{}$	P	X		S	P		_	F	RA(	CT		
#	PNCNAME LONT	SR	P	T	R	R	R					LS	R	N				P	LS.	R	E	L	H	ESP	SCBL
1	2 EXCEL1	93	2	x	وا	5	7	5	4	6	х	46	5	9	х	7	8	9	43	х	Y	9	6	LAT	8.0
12	TINODA E	99	9	2	5	171	2	6	6	3	1	30	2	3	1	ļзi	2	2	11	2	1	2	4	SUS	2.0
3	5_STEPH1_ 2	1	5	5		(I	4	4		2	8	30	2	2	8	2	5	5	22	4	X	5	2	LAT	8.0
14	8 CAT T1 1	94	17	8	13	Y	6	2	Ψ̈́.	Y	9	52	8	9	9	X	7	8	43	x	7	8	Y	S/P	3.0
5	9 PRIME2	90	li	z	ΙŢ	اةا	9	x	7	9	Y	63	Y	Y	Y	9	X	Z	53	Y	Z	z	9	LAT	,8.0
6		98	16	1 = 1	12	ا و ا	3	3	9	7	3	36	4	4	3	6	3	3	19	3	3		7	S/P	1.0
17	11_CHARI1	100		Ū	17	3	ī	3	2	1	(2)	19	ľΩ	$r_1$	2	n	1	1	6	(I)	4	(1)	O	SUS	4.0
8		94	ĬΥ		14	X	6	8	Y	Ϊ́х	6	55	a /i	X	6	Y	6	7	40	8	5	ľŤ	Ιx	S/P	2.0
9		95	6	J ". I	6	8	ă	7	8	8	7	48		8	7	l a	5	6	34	6	6	6	8	sus	3.0
lá		93	8	4 <sup>-</sup> 1	1,	7	7	9	z	7.	5	58	ΙxΙ	7	5	z	8	X	42	9	2	Y	z	PRE	
1,1	16_K ONE1 2		ľx	1 - I	8	4	اجُ	1	1	1	g	33	3	5	Ř	4	4	4	25	1	8	1 -	3	LAT	8.0
15		92		Y	Z	~		Ÿ	15	5	4		6	6	Ā	5	٩	v	35	7	و	1 -		LAT	9.0
14	17 KIMBE2	92	•	Ľ	Ľ	٧	3	ئا	$\subseteq$	ک	_	1,	Ľ	Ľ	L	Ľ	Ĺ	1			Ĺ	<u> </u>	لسّا		

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

K.C. Looked at almost all K.D. contenders and bet the CHARISMATIC along with STEPH. Both bets were based primarily on Late Pace, and Hidden Energy. STEPH had an X for Early, meaning worse than 9th. It also had an 8th Ranked Factor X. This is why we bet on TWO horses to win. It covers a lot of incorrect interpretations.



# 1 WINNER IN THIS GROUP. DALLY FACING FORM SALINDAY, MAY 1, 1999 DERBY PAGE 27 THE DAILY RACING FORM EXPERTS ALL IN A

Daily Racing Form Online: www.drl.com

# **KENTUCKY DERBY** 1999

DAVID GREWARG WICE HAMMERSLY Vicar Menifee Men	<b>T</b>			֝֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	7				
PRIVE BRIAD FREE DAVID GREVNYG MICE HAMMERSLY JOE HITSCH JAY HOVDEY STEVE RLEN DAVE LITEN Three Bring Vicar Adonis Menitee General Challenge Stephen Got Even General Challenge Worldty Manner Cat Thief Three Bring Cat Thief Cat Thief		C		CF)		C			
Three Ring Vicar Adonis Menitee Prime Timber Chairsmatic Prime Timber Worldty Manner Excellent Meding Menitee General Chailenge Stephen Got Even General Chailenge Worldty Manner Cat Thief Three Ring Cat Thief Cat Thief	VISSENDER HAMINESSIV	KOSHHEO.	JAY HOVDEY	STEVE KLEIN	CAWELITHM S	A JAY PERVIAN	MARTY MCGEE	MIKE WATCHINAKER	MACE WELSH
Stephen Got Even Meniee wheat	r Adonis lee Menfee Aing Cat Thief	Menifee General Challenge Vicar Stephen Got Even	Prime Timber Stephen Got Even General Challenge Menifee	Charismatic General Challenge Prime Timber Menifee	Prime Timber Worldty Manner Cat Thief Vicar	General Challenge Prime Timber Stephen Got Even Three Ring	Stephen Got Even First American Worldy Manner Valhol	Menifee Prime Timber Worldly Manner Stephen Got Even	Menifee Vicar Three Ring General Challenge

From: "Richard J. Resch"

Organization: self

To: "Shane Sartin" <shane@discover.net>

horse across the board and were they ever thrilled! The horse even had the daughters were visiting relatives in Louisville on Derby Day, they bet Like all of you I am sure, had the derby winner on top!! My wife and

Date: Wed, 5 May 1999 08:17:15 -0400 From: "Mark Cramer"

To: shane@discover.net

Subject: to Howard, from Mark

top trackmaster SR number.

Keep up the good work!

Synthesis! Next time Barry says that it simpossible to find a 500% I was going to say "hope you're recovering well from your hernia operation," but given your help from Allah, I know you're well. Congratulations on having the Kentucky Derby Winner on top on overlay, I'll show him your printout.

**Date:** Mon, 3 May 1999 17:12:05 EDT

Subject: Kentucky Derby

To: shane@discover.net

From: MDahl:

points above the next horse, and crushing the corollary readout--is truly one Synthesis/Trackmaster-downloaded BL/BL as the TOP pick--almost 6 line score of the finest testimonials to the Sartin Methodology that could be made...I To have the longest shot to win the Derby in nearly 60 years jump off my today... Thanks so much to the entire Sartin family for such great work!! can only imagine how the mainstream bunch and dosage experts are feeling

HOWARD,

电线流流 医腺管炎

**经定理性的 数约** 

THE ENCLOSED READOUT ISN'T PART OF A WAGERING DECISION FORM 20 RACE CYCLE HOWEVER, IT WAS YERY INTERESTING READOUT AND THE RESULTS WERE EVEN MORE INTERESTING

MARKED THE PACELINES FOR ALL 20 HORSE
AND AVGRAGEOTHE \*TMPP\* SRS. THAT GOT
THE FIELD DOWN TO WHERE SYNTHESIS HIDNE
GOT IT DOWN TO G. FRED TANAKA

DOC COMMENTS: "IMPR" stands for Track Master Past Performances: lines all Equalized, Adjusted & Normalized. It took us 18 months working closely with the Trackmaster folks to make these adjustments. They payoff comes daily, especially when compared to the BRIS download which moved the Derby and Preakness winner to 3rd or 4th. Both clients using BRIS DID bet Charismatic, however, because of the odds:

				- 1		YN	TH	ESI	S	PON	BR	F	ίΑί	١K.	IK	G:	S ANI	D FRAC	TA	LS				•	٠.			•	1	
					.==		==	~ × =	<b>#</b> =		==		-	=		. 1			1		PI	RI	М.			ł	St	UPI	2	- 1
		•	*-		E	3   L	T	PR	ΙM	SU	PP	Н	FF	ΑS	CT	ı			Ε	L	IC:	T.	Н	F	F	s	F	Σ	Т	T
.==	===	*====	**==:		·   7	\ S	0					П				1	===	=====	P	Įρ	P	т	E	W	x	Р	x		s	ъſ
##	PΝ	CNAME	LdN	េនរ	łΙ	ıP	T	LS	R	LS	R	11	E	L	N		ESP	SCBL	R	R	R					R				P
1				-		·   -	-		-		-	IJ	- [	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	[ - ]	-	- [
1	2	EXCE		9:	1   12	:[1	7	34	5	25	5		9	6	3	П	LAT	8.0	X	3	4	4	3	3	7	4	7	31	5	6
2	2b			90	15	7	8	48	8	39	8	П	7	8	7	Н	SUS	6.0	7	15	5	х	6	7	8	9	g	вl	6	В
3	5	STEP	ii.	99	i   8	3	2	25	2	12	2	Ш	8	2	2	П	LAT	8.0	Y	1	2	3	1	2	5	2	5	11	5	5
4	6	DESER	21 3	1 84	20	19	ĮΥ	64	Y	51	Y	П	Y	Υİ	χ	Н	S/P	6.0	8	9	8	8	x	x	$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$	Ϋ́	v	Ϋ́	9	v
5	7	ANSWE	31, 2	84	17	Y	9	59	х	46	ΙxΙ	П	6	x	Y	П	E/P	]	2	x	7	9	v	Ÿ	â	â	اه		٦	ψĺ
6	8	CAT 1	1 1	. 94	10	15	5	32	4	28	7	Н	5	5	6		S/P	3.0	٦	R		1	Ė	â	اء	71	2	6	4	2
7	9	PRIME	31 3	1 88	119	8	ΙxΙ	55	9				хI	9	8	ı	sus	6.0	9	٦	ا ۾ ا	9	7	ă	Ϋ́	ýΙ	Ϋ́	9	7	
8	11	CHARI	1	100	1 3	12	1	15	1	6	1	П	5	i	٦	ı	SUS	4.0	6	3	١٠١	51	ز ر	1	1	1	<b>^</b>	31	41	7
9	12	VICAR		94	-	l x	4		6	7	ā		٦	4	5		S/P	2.0	4	7	-	2	4	-	<u> </u>	#1	\$1	5	-	1
10	13	MENIF	-	95	1 -	4	3	28		16	٦	1	4	1	4		SUS	3.0	5	4	3		2	2	2	31	7	7	2	4
11	15	VALHO			1 -	6	6	41	7	27	6		î	7	3	۱	PRE	3.0	1	*	4	31	*	4	7	اد	4	4	4	3
32:	:		=====	====	====	==:	===		===	=====	==	1	~   ==	- =	ا - ==	'	====	.====	<del> </del>	 	*   ===	'  ==:	ا ت ===	フ   ===	<u>ا</u> ک	-==	∠ j ==:	/  ==:	⊃   ==:	/  ==

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

		. (	CD0501			D ST LINE -	3 \$1,00 - BETTIN	•	95		
HIDE#	PN	CNAME Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE
(5) (3) (7) (4) (1) (6) (8)	11 5 13 8 2 12	CHARII STEPHI MENIFI CAT TI EXCELI VICARI VALHOI	100 95 95 94 93 94	94 87 94 91 91	7 9	21.5 17.8 16.5 10.3 9.8 9.3 8.8	8-5 5-2 3-1 5-1 6-1 7-1	KEE 8.5D TP 9.0D KEE 9.0D KEE 9.0D OP 8.5D KEE 9.0D OP 9.0D	20/1 12/1 5/1 8/1 3/1 6/1 12/1	11 33 19 19 27 19	3 3 3 3 3
(2)	2b	GENER1	90	95	14	3.0	20-1	SA 9.0D	3/1	26	3

Subject: Re: Kentucky Derby

Date: Mon, 03 May 1999 10:14:28 -0700

From: "MICHAEL WONG" <kingm@myna.com>

To: shane sartin <shane@discover.net>

#### Hi Shane:

I haven't written in some time. How's the Doc, yourself, and everyone at O.Henry? I hope you are keeping in good health. I just thought you'd like to know that using PL4 Trackmaster I had this very memorable of Derby winners. He came out top BL/BL at Even money with Adonis next at 9/5.

Thanks to you and everyone at O.Henry again.

PRACTICE LIMITED TO PEDIATRIC DENTISTRY

EDWARD J. PIESMAN, D. M. D.

Thank you, Howard. Thank your, Synthesis.

At 31-1, I included Charismatic for a win bet and got the Exacta, too. Doing just as you suggested.

Hi Doc.

(3)

( 1)

Just to say that I'm listening to and heeding your instructions. I'm trying hard to pass cheap winners. But sometimes a winner comes up #1 BL/BL and everything else - Like CHARIS in the K. Derby. It ran just like you've always said. Thanks for everything.

Sincolely Sava DERBY

SA

8.QD

SA 8.0D

KENTUCKY BEFORE HIDES SAVA ROWTHAM CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3 \$1,000,000 PR#95 BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE HIDE# PN CNAME Ld LS ODDS TRKDISTS M/L DAYS AGE SR PR BAL **W**( 5) KEE 8.5D 94 3 24.0 **EVEN** 2071 CHARIL 100 5-2 HIA 9.00 5071 (4) FIRST1 98 4 18.3 10 P( 1) OP 8.50 KEE 9.00 3/1 27 EXCELI 93 91 6 14.8 3-1 3-1 5/1 19 MENIF1 95 94 16.3 13 3/1 26 2) 2Ь GENER2 88 91 11 10.0 5-1 FG 8.50

20 - 1

HIDES CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3 \$1,000,000 PR=95 BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE AGE ODDS TRKD1STS M/L DAYS HIDE# PN cNAME Ld PR BAL CHARIIW 100 3 24.0 **EVEN** KEE 8.5D (3)11 FIRST1 3 22.5 EVEN HIA 9.0D 50/1 26 (2) 10 98 MENIF1 P KEE 9.00 95 8-5 5/1 19 (4) 13 94 6 21.8

8 14.0

94

AA

DESER2

DESER2

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS PRIM IEILICITIHIFIFISIFIZITITI !##!PNcNAME LdNT: SR! L!P!T!LS!R!LS!R!!E!L!N!!ESP!SCBL!!R!R!R! : ! : !R! ! ! !P! 1 861 8121412714120141141414141S/P1 4.0114141413141414141414141414 1 11 6 DESER2 : 2:10 FIRST1 | 3|11 CHARII 1 951 613131171311413113131311SUS1 2.0112121312(2)13131313(2)13131 1 4113 MENIF1

Dear Doctor Sartin

I just wish to thank you for everything. It been more than horse-racing for me. I didn't place my first bet till after 4 years with you wonderful people. It work and I didn't know how I was doing it, today. I put 150 dollars in the window go back the next day and pick up my results. I have hit 200 plus exacts and I dollar box on a 450 trifecta.

I also enclosed a copy of my winning ticket for the Derby the first time I ever bet it. The guys asked me my pick, they had fun with me on it. I bet one guy who just got on my nerves, I said that my horse would closer to the finish line than this, 8 Cat Thief. He gave me 10 to 1 odds. He kisses my X now. I know Dr. Sartin my ego is talking, I don't mean to brag as much as to say how far I have come in this methodology. It's a 6 dollar ticket 12 dollar investment, got back 195. Thank you.

In closing a little thing I would have said at your dinner. "The man who knows the ego, the man with 2 juniors in his family. Only George Forman as more juniors under one roof, here's Dr. Sartin"

CHURCHILL DOWNS 05/01/99 81 RACE 8	LG0709 05/01/1999	CHURCHILL 05/01/99	DOWNS 81 RAC	E 8	LG0 05/01/1	709 999
\$6 WIN 3	\$6	\$6 WIN	11	- 		\$6 W
062B0-7BE77-3A89F	horses as	1	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =		= = = RBY	\$6 ==
	kyou Cari	uk you Art les	,			

1 PHONAMEL	1F	2F	: 3F+TOTAL PAGE	
1 3 ADONI1	2->	5->	2->	i
2 5 STEPH1 6->		2->	3->	
3 8 CAT TI	3->	J->	6->	S
4 10 FIRSTI	1->	1-)	4->	
S 11 CHARIL	5->	4+>	(1-)	Ň
8 13 HENIFI	4->	6->	5.)	r
			RITOT/S RI TOP RIBAL	<del>-</del>
1001	!!			
111 3 ADONEL !	001148.54-3113	18.04-2 105.15-5 5G.B4	-2:93.53-21100.02-21 5	;
1 21 5 STEPH1 1	951147.18-4113	37.27-41106.30-2155.43	-6193.20-41107.71-41 7	;
1 31 8 CAT T1 '11	94:146.95-6:13	16,97-61105.30-4:56.90	-3:93,10-5:107.43-6: 10	:
1 4110 FIRST1		)7.02-31105.47-3157.32	-1:93.09-0 107.95-0: 5	}
1 5111 CHAR11	(100) 148. 87-1) 13	18.13-1 106.65-1 56.56	-5 03.63-1 <mark> 108.14-1</mark>   5	ıW
1!			-4:93.20-41107.49-51 10	

CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3 \$1.000.000 INCREMENTAL PAGE GRAPH Copyright SartinMethodology.com - Not for Resale
MARY GIONET PROVES THAT HANDICAPPING IS NOT FOR MEN ONLY

		TART					Enintorn 1 11				·		
			/ c	:D050	11 6	10.0 D S7	r 3 \$1,000	,000	CK=XS				
					/	MARY	SHOWS WHY	WAGERO	APPIN	IS	NOT	FOR ME	N ONLY II
		/\	لتمد	ستسل		-							
_	mary-				BOT	COM LINE	BETTING	LINE					
	-822								5145		_	-	-
	PNCNAME LA	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE			
		)						/-		-			
. √ <i>P</i>	11 ¢HARIL	100	94	б	21.4	8-5	KEE 8.5D	20/1	12	3	_		
M.								20/1	20	3			
	3 ADONI1	99	94	6	20.0	9-5	AQU 9.0D	30/1	20	,		<del></del>	
	i						TP 9.0D	12/1	34	. 3			
	5, STEPH1	95	87	8	15.3	7-2	TP 9.0D	12/1	3-1	• -	_		
				_			HIA 9.0D	50/1	27	3	•		
	10 FIRST1	98	95	6	13.5	4-1	HIA 9.00	30/1	47.	•			-
				_	11 0	5-1	TP 9.0D	12/1	34	3			
	16 K ONEL	94	87	a	11.0	J1	(**)	, -		_			
		05	. 04		1,0.0	5-1	KEE 9.0D	5/1	20	3			
1)	(13)HENIF1	90	77	7.7	10.0	<b>J L</b>	1122 577-	-,-			-		
1,	V8 CAT TI	94	94	11	3.3	20-1	KEE 9.0D	8/1	20	3			
.0.	No day in	77	. 74	11	3.3	20 1	,	-,-					
3	τ /		`										
	$\smile$									<b>~12</b> -			

33.	- 17.7			+ %	Ŷ.	31	NT	iE:	315	3 1	201	1EI	t I	IMAS	KIN	GS'	V	(D	r	SV.	KT.	<b>.</b> S	Ξ.		- 10	\$ ( <del>-</del> 1 ) (	*,
			-		J.	_	1		PI	(I)	1		1	1		1	St	JP!	•	. 1							
	3				L	T	Б	L	(c	T	H	r		•		9		Σ	Т	T				_			
_		<del></del>			9	o	P	P	P	T	E	W	X				X	1	,8	P				RΛ	. 1		
	PNC	HAME Ld	NT	SR	P	T	R	R	R			ŀ		LS	R	H				P	LS	R	E	ľ	N	ESP	SCBL
Ι-	-	<del> </del>			-	Н	$\vdash$	⊢	⊢	-		$\vdash$	-		H	$\vdash$		_				$\vdash$	$\vdash$				
$\lfloor {f 1} \rfloor$	3	ADONI1		99	5	2	3	4	2	5	4	3	1	22	2	2	1	3	2	2	10	2	11	2	4	SUS	i 1
2	5	STEPH1	2	95	2	15 l	7	И:	4	4	1	2	5	24	3	3	5	2	5	5	20	4	7	5	2	LAT	7.0
3	a	CAT T1	1	94	4	7	2	М	6	2	7	7	6	37	6	7	6	7	6	7	33	7	5	7	7	3/12	2.0
14	10	FIRST1		98	1	3	11	6	13	3	6	5	3	27	4	4	וכו	5	ונ	3	18	3	12	3	5	S/P	1
5	111	CHARI1		100	1	1	15	3	1	1	2	1	2	16	l i l	lı.	2	1	1	1	6	11	lэ	1	1	SUS	0.0
اء	13	MEMIF1		95	1	6	14	۲,	1	6	5	6	Ā	34	5	6	4	6	5	6	27	6	4	6	6	sus	2.0
2	~~		7	7.7	12		12	ふ	12	ļ	13	,	5	27	14	=	, ,	<b> </b>	آما	آما	22	5	6	I 🗸	1	LAT	
7	16	K ONBI	2	94	0	11	٦º	ك	12	1 -	دا	1	יכן	- '	["]	[5	Ľ	Ľ	•	Ľ		ப	Ľ	Ľ			,

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late

Ky Derby, 3 May 1999 10:27:33 -0700

From: "Dick Fritts"

Date: Sat, 15 May 1999 Subject: Charisma!

To: <shane@discover.net>

From: Bryk To: shane@discover.net

Hi Shane.

I feel like a broken record saying it again... the Derby was easy... Charismatic on top! (if you diregarded the dosage numbers, which haven't performed very well in the last decade or so.) At worst I think

Please pass this email to your Dad.

others might have got him second or a rare third.

Hi Doc.

Needless to say you did it again!! This time it was too easy. Any student of the Sartin Methodology who missed this huge payday should be BANISHED from forever using your Name, guidance and/or software. Even an idot would have gotten Charismatic as the top BL horse.

Thanks again,

DOC COMMENTS: Dick's a old U.S. Army Colonel. To him, handicapping is like war and he takes no guff from the troops. Being an old Divinity student I may sometimes feel the same as he but professional ethics dictate that I'm not allowed to say it.

From: "EKIC HACKETT" .... To: <shane@discover.net>

Hello Shane. This is Eric Hackett. Last week I renewed my subscription and asked to have my renewal start with issue #73. I made a mistake. Could you begin my subscription with #74? I already have #73. Should I send back # 73? Please advise. Eric

P.S. By the way I had Charismatic on top like probably everyone else who follows the guidelines.

MIKE KEATING

CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3 \$1,000,000 PR=95

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

PNCNAME Ld SR	PR BAL	L8 ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L DAYS	AGE 1. 8/480
11 CHARI1 /100				20/1 11	
5 STEPH1 95	87 6 1	.7.3 5-2	TP 9.0D	12/1 33	3
3 ADONI1 99	94 6 1	.6.8 3-1	AQU 9.0D	30/1 19	3
10 FIRST1 - 98	95 6 1	.5.5 7-2	HIA 9.0D	50/1 26	3
16 K ONE1 94	87 6 1	4.0 7-2	TP 9.0D	12/1 33	3

EX \_\_\_\_\_ TRI \_\_\_\_ OU \_\_\_\_ BET \_\_\_\_ WON \_\_\_\_

-									1.						1.	1	71.1	٠, ٦	. 12.		15 7 67.	-	٠.										_				
		•					4				٠	SY	N	Гŀ	ie:	318	3 )	POI	ir)	₹ 1	RAN	ΚIJ	IG	ıs	Al	ND.	F	SV.	CTAI	រន				•			
			•					*			-=		1			PI	ZII	4.			ĺ		1		SU	ĮŖĮ	٠.							-			
		•								1	L	T		8	L				F	F	ļ		١	S	F	Σ	T	Т			-	==	==				
	-		=	* 5						.	ន	ol	1:	Ρĺ	P	p	T	E	W	Х	*=:		1	P	X		S	P	<b>~-</b> ,		F	RΛ	C'I'		3 C 1 3		
11	Ħ	F	N	٦Ņ	A	MB	L	TAL	S	١Į	P	T	1	₹	R	R					LS	R	١	N	.			P	LS	R.	E	ļΓ	N		ESP	SCBL	l
.	•	-	-		-				[	٠	- 1	-	1	-	_	-	_	-	-	-		-	ı	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	<b> </b> -	-	Н			ĺ
1	1	l	3	7	D	ON	II		99	)	4	2	1:	2 [	4	2	4	4	3	1,	20	2	1	2	1	3	2	2	10	2	1	2	4	1	SUS	1.0	l
1:	2	1	5	. 5	T	ΕP	H1	3	9.5	3	2	5		5	1	4	3	1	2	4	20	2	1	3	4	2	5.	5	19	4	5	5	2	П	LAT	7.0	ı
1:	3	1	0	F	I	RS	T1		98	3	3	3	1:	ιļ	5	3	2	5	5	3	24	3	1	4	3	5	3	3	18	ᆲ	2	13	5	П	S/P		ł
14	4	1	1	C	Ή	AR	11		100	) [	1	1		3	2	$\langle t \rangle$	2	2	1	2	13	1	K	1)	(2)	$\Box$	1	$\mathfrak{g}$	6	➂	3	(1)	<b>(1</b> )	П	SUS	3.0	l
1	5	1	6	ľ	; (	ON	<b>B</b> 1	3	94	ij	5	4		4	3	5	1	3	4	4	24	3	١	5	4	4	4	4	21	5	4	4	3	П	LAT	7.0	ĺ
											-		٠,	_ `												- 17 8					_				8895	***	

FRACTALS WRIGHTED: E=Barly L=Late N=Normal

# 

Horse and Jocksy	PP	54	79	**	1m	Str	Fin	Ta \$1
Charlematic, Antiey	16	7/10	741	711/2	314	2nd 82	) Dic	31 30
Mentee, Day	18	147	17115	15119	IŽM	gZ	214	7.00
Cat Thief, Smith	10	21/2	211/2	2117	100	1 /2	3174	7.40
Prime Timber, Flores	13	10/10	119	1 nd	e d	4195	4no	8 30
s Excellent Meeting, Descrimeaux	5	1857	10 117	10.3	15 171	7171	514	4.60
-Kimberitis Pipe, Albarado	12	900	9.3	gna	4.7	50d	8114	11.60
Worldly Mannet, Balley	ÍΪ	- jnd	j٧٠	317	21/2	1377	71/2	14.50
I-K One King, Solls	ġ	19	19	181	\$4.72	10153	81	11.60
f-Lemon Drop Kld, Santos	19	134	18/4	17115	17 (1)	1472	gr/k	11.60
Answer Lively, Perrel	7	4 (7)	4 10	⊿nd	# 155	181	10/2	37.00
a-General Chaffenge, Stevens	14	12/1/2	12.1	1200	7hd	ğhd	1114	4.80
Ecton Park, Davis	1	jend	1500	1377	16.7	131	12/2	11.60
Desert Hero, Nakstani	Ă	chd	6"	ghd	iihd	ind	(2)179	19.70
Stephen Gol Even, McCarron	ĭ	4100	, Ya	ghd	iond	164	14 177	5 to
	7	177	(19	ind	41/2	12177	152	11.60
f-Valhot, Martinez First American, Delahoussays	15	1 g Pel	ເວົາ	ġ'n.	1300	1570	162	34 90
	13	171%	14 4	19	185	174	1744	18.70
Adonis, Chevez	17	1179	ghaf	1414	9114	jė5	1834	8 20
Vicar, Sellers	2	gve	1014	1012	19	19	19	25.60
Three filing, Valazquez a-entry.	-	•	10	14		13	1.5	23.00
f-mutuel field.								
		12 MUTU	ELS PAI	0				
11 - CHARISMATIC					\$4.60	27.8	0 14.44	)
I - CATTHIEF		***************************************					5.80	

\$2 THIFECTA (11-13-8) PAID \$5,966.20.

Dear Mary

Again, thank your dad for the Kentucky Derby. I keyed our number one horse, CHARISMATIC with the right combination and hit the TRIFECTAL

\$2 EXACTA (11-13) FAID \$727.80. \$1 SUPERFECTA (11-13-5-9) PAID \$24,015.50.

(\$5,866) Sect regards.

Doc Comments: No need to thank me. YCU followed directions and YCU did the work and made the best. Thank YCU!

While nobody can make a living on Triple Crown races or the Breeders' Cup it still doesn't hurt to win them. What hurts both you and myself is when you get all excited about winning them, have the horse on top (if you enter it off its last line) and then LOSE by not betting it.

However, my own hurt is greatly diminished when I get so much feedback from those who win the Derby once a year and many other races on a daily basis and on 20 race cycles.

I offer my heartfelt thanks to all of you who so considerately sent me your notes and readouts. Little gestures like this from you are very big with me and are truly appreciated.

Let me also express my thanks to those who sent hams, whiskey, cigars, etc. as gifts thanking me for the win.

As I've done with K.C. Jones from Arkansas, I've sent a personal thank you note to all who were so generous. I don't deserve the thanks, <u>You do</u>! You entered the horse and you had the nerve to bet on a 31-1 Overlay. Don't worry, I'll still smoke the cigars and sip the whiskey and I'll share the food with my family and friends.

#### THE PREAKNESS

A somewhat anticlimactic race with CHARISMATIC coming out on top. As per my directions, using the best Derby qualifying race for a paceline, <u>never</u> the Derby itself. Historically, too many Derby horses encounter Derby problems that disguise their true ability. The readouts show the race unfolding with CHARISMATIC beginning its move on in TT where he ranked 2nd. Then he poured it on and at the end of the far turn took over, his #1 Total Energy figure allowing him to hold on against MENIFEE. Exactly how I described the unfolding of the race to K.C. Jones in an instruction letter written in response to those gifts he sent me after his Derby win.

K.C. knows how to make a guy feel good. Here's his e-mail sent on the Sunday after the Preakness:


Subject: 124th PREAKNESS

Date: Sun, 16 May 1999 07:08:51 PDT

From: "Kimbrough jones"
To: shane@discover.net

I HIT AGAIN! CHARISMATIC WAS RANKED 2 TT, 2HE, 3 3F #1BL/BL

I BET \$44.00 TO WIN ON HIM, STACY HAD \$10.00 ACROSS ON HIM. WE MADE \$574.60



					F	OMI					NG LINE				
	HI	#ac	PN	CNAME	Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDIST	S M/L	DAYS	AGE	
	{	4)	W 6	CHAR	12	100	94	4	20.5	9-5	KEE 8.5			3	
	i	7)	11	STEE	H2	95	87	7	18.3	5-2	TP 9.0		13	3	
	ì	6)	9	ADON		99		4	17.8	5-2	AQU 9.0	D 30/1	13	3	
	ì	2)	5 4			95							34	3	
	ì	5)	7			93			8.0	7-1	OP 8.5	D 5/1	13	3 3 3 3 3 3	
	ì	3)	ρŚ			95				8-1	KEE 9.0	D 5/2	13	3	
	7	1)	, 3	CAT		94					KEE 9.0			3	
	ì	8)	₹13	VICA		94			5.3	10-1				3	
	•	٠,		7101		•	-								
						CV	NET LE	CTC	DOMED	RANKINGS	AND REAC	TALS			
						31	111111	ISIS I			THE LICES	*****			
						21	====	INTER:		****	AID PIOC	PR			JPP
			. •		1	BI BI	====		SUPP	****	AID FIGE	B L C	THF	FSF	E T T
٠.		. (v.			.===	B	L T	PRIM	SUPP	FRACT	*******	PR BLC PPP	THF	F S F X P X	ETT
	 ##[	PNC	 Name	Ldnt	SR	B	L T	PRIM	SUPP	FRACT		B L C	THF	FSF	E T T
	##	PNC	NAME	Ldnt	SR	B	L T	PRIM	SUPP	FRACT	ESP SCBL	PR BLC PPP	T H F T E W	F S F X P X	ETT SP P
	** **		NAME CAT		94	B A L	LT SOPT	PRIM LS R	SUPP LS R	FRACT ELN 688	RSP SCBL	PR B L C P P P R R R	T H F T E W	F S F X P X R - 7 7 7 7	E T T S P P 7 6 7
		 3		F2 2	94	B A L	L T S O P T	PRIM LS R 37 7 33 4	SUPP LS R 	FRACT    E   L   N     6   8   8     3   4   5	ESP SCBL	PR B L C P P P R R R 1 8 5 3 6 4	T H F T E W 1 7 8 5 6 6	F S F X P X	ETT SP P
-	1 2	3 4	CAT	T2 2 B1 1	94	B A L	L T S O P T - 7 7 3 4 5	PRIM LS R 37 7 33 4 35 6	SUPP LS R 	FRACT    E   L   N     6   8   8     3   4   5	ESP SCBL S/P SUS SUS 1.0	PR B L C P P P R R R 1 8 5 3 6 4 5 5 3	T H F T E W	F S F X P X R - 7 7 7 7	E T T S P P 7 6 7
-	1 2	3 4 5	CAT BADG	T2 2 B1 1 F2 2	94 95	B A L  12 7 11 4	LSP 7 7 3 4 2 1	PRIM LS R 37 7 33 4	SUPP LS R 	FRACT  B L N  6 8 8 3 4 5 5 5 6	ESP SCBL S/P SUS SUS 1.0 LAT 1.0	PR B L C P P P R R R 1 8 5 3 6 4	THEN 1 7 8 5 6 6 5 7 2 2 1	F S F X R 7 7 7 3 5 4 1 1 1 1	E T T P P - 7 6 3 4 5 4 5 (2)
-	1 2	3 4 5 6	CAT BADG MENI	T2 2 B1 1 F2 2	94 95 95	B A L  12 7 11 4	L OT - 7 3 5 (1)	PRIM LS R 37 7 33 4 35 6	SUPP LS R 34 8 21 4 23 5 6 1	FRACT  6 8 8 8 3 4 5 5 5 6 (2) (1) (1) 8 6 4	ESP SCBL S/P SUS SUS 1.0 LAT 1.0 LAT 5.0	PR C P R S 5 4 7 1 6 8 6 7 3 5 6 7 3	THEN 1 8 5 7 6 5 7 2 3 4	F S F X P X R - 7 7 7 7	E T T S P P 7 6 7
-	1 2 3 V4	3 4 5 6 7	CAT BADG MENI CHAR	F2 2 B1 1 F2 2 I2 L2	94 95 95 100 93	B A L  12 7 11 4	LSP 7 7 3 4 2 1	PRIM LS R 37 7 33 4 35 6 15 ①	SUPP 	FRACT  6 8 8 3 4 5 5 5 6 2 9 1	ESP SCBL S/P SUS SUS 1.0 LAT 1.0	PR R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	THE 1 7 6 5 5 7 2 3 4 4 3	F X R 7 7 3 5 5 1 1 7 6 2 2 2	E T T P P - 7 6 3 4 5 4 5 (2)

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

		ght 1998,						
10th Race — 124th running of the 1 3/16 miles, 125 pc \$650,000; Second, 1	xunds. ext	<b>set 121</b> fo	x Excalle	ert Møet	iro, a 🖽	r-olds at ly, Yalui	Pimilco Of Rac	in Saltimore e: To winner
Horse and Jockey	PP	<b>81</b>	3%	1/4	*	24.	Fin	To \$1
Charismatic, Antiey	6	4	10 <sup>17</sup> 4	10 <sup>nd</sup>	8112 712	13 31	1 1/2 2 hd	8.46 2.00
Menifee, Day	5		12172	11.	gi <del>li</del>	รู้เห	3214	58.00
Badge, Luzzi		12	ghd	942	101	71	23	11.00
Stephen Got Even, Stevens	11	10			11114	71 87	£ 572	15.60
Patience Game, Nakatani	8	13	13	121 71	500	6nd	634	19.80
Adonis, Chavez	9	5	2hd	ind	24	2nd	734	E 24
Cat Thiel, Smith	3	3	210	110	100	and	áo4	17.40
Kimberite Pipe, Sellers	2	1	327	21/4	812	406	91	51.70
Valhol, Predo	12	8	100	šhd	0,1	106 93W	10574	24.00
Vicar, Albarado	13	. 9		34	32	1127	1194	102.80
Torrid Sand, Doocy	1	2	514	912	12 <sup>15</sup> 4nd			102.80
Worldly Manner, Bailey	10	. 7	ghd 110d	.4"		12 13	12 13	8.60
Excellent Meeting, Desormenux	7	11		13	13	13	13	0.00
•			Jels Pai		•			
<ul> <li>CHARISMATIC</li> </ul>		.,				, 18.60	7.60	5.60
S-MENIFEE				************			3.60	3.20
A. BADGE							·	0.80
Time: 22,50, 45,27, 1:10,31, 1:35,2	14 1.EE 22	Teach Coo	ditions: (se	Winner	-00	3 hv Ba	ii Baba-S	ummer Souaf
	24, 1:55.32.	ITECK COIN	BIOUTIE, 143	C 113 = 101	-~-		. Caba C	
Trainer — O. Wayne Lukas.								

We had even more Preakness Win e-mail and readouts than we got from the Derby, but I'm not going to beat this horse to death.

Note the opening fractions - Result Chart. 22:5-45.27-110:31 (in seconds and tenths). Much too fast for the early leaders to win at a mile 3/16ths. Antley better be careful in the Belmont and watch the pace very carefully and make his move sooner or he'll end up having only a double crown. We'll be at press before the Belmont.



# END THOSE SINGLE-TRACK, LOW PAYOFF, LOSING BLUES

One program does it ALL...

# Synthesis for TrackMaster with MULTI-TRACK WAGERING DECISION FORM

Handicap and wager as many tracks as you choose without having to <u>change files</u> – 20 STRAIGHT RACES – tracks of your choice all on ONE SHEET.

- FOR PHONE, YOUBET, OR ON SITE WAGERCAPPING
- VISUALIZE WHICH TIER YOUR OVERLAYS ARE COMING FROM

The new Synthesis Wagering Decision Form with TrackMaster download will turn more non-winners into successful WAGERCAPPERS than any previous program. Whether it's used on the spot or from next day Result Chart entry as a visualization tool. The only problem has been when a single track or even two tracks have failed to produce enough overlays to make you a profit.

Now Wagercap as many tracks as you like simultaneously and smoothly without having to go back and change files. Treats as many tracks as you wish as you would one track – FAST – EASY – EFFICIENT – and USER FRIENDLY!

TRADE IN YOUR TRACKMASTER SYNTHESIS & WAGERING DECISION FORM AND UPGRADE FOR ONLY \$50

Don't have the Wagering Decision Form program?
TRADE IN YOUR TRACKMASTER SYNTHESIS
& GET THIS PROGRAM:FOR \$199

#### SPECIAL PRICING!! UPGRADE TO SYNTHESIS!

From PL3 and include the new WDF/TrackMaster Program - \$628 From PL4 and include the new WDF/TrackMaster Program - \$578 **A \$50 SAVINGS!** 

If you purchased the Wagering Decision Form (for TrackMaster) after May 15th, 1999 and want this all-in-one version... just return both programs (TrackMaster and WDF) with a check for \$20

# LOOK - 5 different tracks on one day ~ SAME FORM SHEET!

BEFORE HIDES

TOP 3 TIERS ONLY

SARTIN METHODOLOGY
ALL -- MAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIR

	i sorre	M. LINE	BETTING	LINE	TERE
eg or highlight			1	4	5
DO: 1		4.00.40	7 27 1 2 3 4	· · · · ·	
PI0515-11	* .		39.00		
CHARL	<u>, 25 (6 - 51</u>		表章	i'	1 <sub>2</sub> 1 1
P10515+10	18.80	, ·	5 t. 1	1 4 4	7
MINGL	=	23 21 3.	· ·		
CD0515-10	6.40				
PERFE	7. 1				
PI0515- 9	79.00	·			
STAR					
GG0515- 9				69.20	
CRASH					
DE0515- 9	l :	İ	22.40		
CENSO					
1100515- A	9.20		<b>.</b> 1		
SEATT					i
CD05158			[		9.80
FOIL	I				
DE0515-B	i	<u> </u>	12.00		
SKIDO					
1100515-7	1		LOST		
TLOOR			1		
GG0515- 7	!	ļ	16.60		
GIÓ R		<del></del>			<del></del>
CD0515: 7	56.20	1			l
THE O	1-18-48				
BB0515- 7	1	i	16.40		
TEXAS	<del> </del>	<del> </del>			
	1	1	!		
<u> </u>		<del></del>			8.60
BIOGI	ł	1			i
DE0515-6	<del></del>	<del></del>	. f. 10		
PALGT GG0515- 5	15.80	i			
CARSIL	13.40		<del> </del>		
CD0515- 5	ł	İ	•		_11.20
ADVER	<del></del>				
BE0515 - 5	14.60	l	1		l
PIRST	1		<b>†</b>		<del> </del>
CD0515- 4		1	10.00		1
AIAVG	<del> </del>				
DE0515-1	13.00	1	1		
	13.00				
TOT	1 :	1			
<u>HIM</u>	<del></del>		7		
TOT	·	1	1		}
	163.00	ļ	121.80	_69_20	10.60
BVA		i	, ·	•	1
	20.18		17.69	69.20	10.20
AVE	l				
DAL.	د.د ا		. 5.1	8.0	7.3

								PRIMARY							SUPP   FRAC							
				•				រៀ				P		s!			т					
		MART THE	-	T.THE T	** FDC		P	5	Ъ	T	ĸ	м	: 1			s		-		- 1	- 1	
	HOTE	M LINE-	1 1	111111	1000	. [		R	Ř	-			r.	N			P	2.1	::	1	1	
DO I		*			ودو ومرد د د	į			-	i.,.	·			1.								
PI0515-11	7 % 9	15 1 15	30500		- 5 to 11		2	4	2	5	5	1	(2	1	3	ı	2	ш	3.	ב	<u> </u>	
CIARI	577	8 : 53	7		4.6 3		3	25	6	Ш		٠.,	11			3.		٦.				
P10515-10	18.60				1 (g. 3)	-5	8.	بحإ	1	2	괵	ч	بد	щ	4	1	ч	1	1	Щ		
MINGL			PASSKO				,	: l		,	_	,	(2	,	١,	١. ا	,	١,	١,	ارا	•	
CD0515-10		<u> </u>		<del></del>	<u> </u>	. 5	μ	1	1	μ.	4	-4	74	+	-	-	-	^	-		_	
PERFE	يت در ا				1	4	,	4	2	,	۱,	IJ	6	,		2	4	1	2	5	4	
P10515- 9	29.00				$\overline{}$		┡	۳	^	+	1	-	- 12	•	~			т	_	_	_	
STAR		)	69.20	<del></del>	7	- 5	۱,	١,	١,	,	1	1	(3)	3	3	3	13	ı	ı	1	5	
GG0515- 9			. 87.48				_	_	-	Γ		_	_	Γ.				Г	Γ		Γ.	
CRASH. DE0515- 9		! I	22.40	Į.		6	lı.	b	دا	2	2	ב	(3)	3	3	١	ı	بدا	1	ı.	_5_	
CENSO							Г	Γ	Γ	Г	Г				П	Ι.	١.	Į.	ł	l I		
1100515- B	2.20					_2	12	ᄓ	۲	2	٦.	ı	-1	4	J.	L	1	1	ㅗ	1	ユ	
SEATT					$\leftrightarrow$		١.	ì		1							١.	,	Ĺ.	١. ا	_	
CDQ515- 8				9.RQ	. 3-7	5	11	14	ļa.	ĮJ.	4	4	_4_	1	4	1	4	12	بدإ	4	3	
FOLL			$\leftrightarrow$			۱ ـ	L	ł_	١.	١.	5	اما	5	4	5	ı.	اءا	15	5	=	<u></u>	
BB0515- B				12.00		-7	-	톼	4	3	2	٠.	<del>                                     </del>	3	12	-	13	17	┝╾	۲.	_	
5KIDO			. 004	•		io	١,	6	7	6	6	6	5	19	7	B.	7	8	٦.	2	10	
100315-7			LOST			1	1-	1.2	۲	۳		-		Γ	_	Г	m	1	1	1		
HOOLE		16.60			1	6	Ь	ы	12	12	2	١,	6	1	2	2	La.	17	با	دا	11	
GG0515- 7.						$\vdash$	1	Т	Г	Γ	П	Г	1	П	-	Г	Γ	Γ	Γ	1		
CD0515- 7	56.20					L	٤	L.	1	3	ı	1	LL	1	ı	1	1	14	11	12.	12	
TIE C						i	İ	1	1	ĺ		ı	1	١.	١_	١.	<u> </u>	Ĺ	١.	L		
BR0515- 7		25.40			-40	┞╼	ļ٨	14	12	ļz.	12	12	1	Ā	z	1	Z	ㅗ	ļã,	12	13	
TEXAS	ł		M155			ኪ_	ĺ.	l.		١.	5	5	١,	٦.	5	_ ا	5	١.	l_	<u> </u> _	14	
CD05156	ļ	ļ	7:11.23		(9.60	410	12	44	۳	+*	12	12		12	12	12	13	13	۱-	1-2		
BIOGI			0.40			١.	١,	١,	١,	l٦	,	,	6	1	,	l	l.	12	h	12	15	
BE05156		<del> </del>	8.40			<del> </del>	+	┿	†*	1	*	•	-	٣	_	-	1	1	1	<del>ا</del>	_	
ΓΛΙΩΤ΄ GG0515+_5	15.60					lэ	12	4	lı.	13	4	3	<b>[{2</b>	1	2	1	12	Ŀ	<u> 12</u>	Ιz	16	
CARSI					_	_	Т	Т	Τ	Т	1	П	١.	П		Γ	Г	П		Г		
CRU515- 5		1	11.20	<del></del>	<del>/</del>	فل	<u>lı</u>	11	L	1	1	L	L	3	1	1	u	11	11	خأ	117	
ADVER.							Ē	ĺ	ı	ı	ĺ	ŀ	١.	ļ		l	İ	ŀ	ł	1	]	
DE0515. 5	14.60					1 2	دل	11	11	1	ı	11	u	μ	1	1	₽	11	ļ٦	μ	18.	
FIRST.				ŀ		1	١.	١.	L	ł_	١.	1.	1.	1	١.	,	١,	١,	١,	١.		
CD0515- 4		<u> </u>	_18_00	ļ		1.4	43	ļ.	17	13	13	1	ш	1	1	1	14	14	٠,	ماسا	113	
AIAVG				ŀ	Ì	ĺ.	l.	١.	١.	١.	١.	١.	lz.	١.	١.	١.	١.	١,	١.	١.	20	
080515- 3	ەق.دىـــــ		<u> </u>	<del></del>	}	┷	11	1	**	1	•	++	111	**	-		++	•	•	-	140	
TOT		١,	6	,	1	1																
TOT		<del>                                     </del>	—~─		<del></del>	1																
MUT		סס. כנ	159.20	21.80	9.60	ļ																
AVE		1		-	i.	1																
MUTT		16.50	26.53	10.90	9.60	.1																
AVE	i.				1	1.																
BAL		4.5	4.8	6.0	10.0																	

The advantages of Multi-Track Wagering - 5 Tracks all on one form

The example above shows us one day, May 15, with Synthesis Multi Track downloaded from TrackMaster...

Races Passed - 1 • Races Lost - 2 • Races Bet - 19 - at 5 different tracks!

Cost @ \$20 per race = \$380 • Gross Profit = \$1,744 • NET PROFIT = \$1,364

NO MORE BEING CONFINED TO 1 or 2 TRACKS
& GETTING TRAPPED BY TOO MANY WINNING FAVORITES!

← Indicates movement to <u>BETTER TIER</u> after HIDEs



Dear O. Henry House,

Enclosed is my Pace Launcher 4 disk with all of its installations recalled. The new Synthesis is fantastic. I especially like the Quad Rater additions, the POR/POH by fraction percentage readouts are my favorite so far and a time saver. I used to do them with a calculator. So far, Synthesis with the TrackMaster download has produced winners in the top 2 BL/BL 7 out of 13 races with average mutuels of \$13.82 top 3 BL/BL 9 out of 13 races with average mutuels of \$12.55. This is after I hid horses with odds too low to bet.

S.R., Washington

DOC COMMENTS: Not all winners at Washington tracks are from other states.

... #2 BL/BL continues to be most profitable, am really getting in good groove right now since I've been off work (injured on job). Karen wants me to quit driving over the road and stay home and bet horses. Been averaging between \$6 - \$8 hundred a week since I've been off.

Also, getting great "feel" when to pass races. Confidence right now is at an all time high.

Until next time

R.A., Illinois

#### A note from a HAPPY NEW CLIENT

Hi Mary,

...My first day at the track I came home with \$300 more than I went with Thanks to Sartin Methodology.

Thank you, L.B., California

Dear Doc & All,

Thanks again for standing behind me for all these years.

Enclosed are most recent Synthesis/Wagering Form results. I've got to be extra careful now when there are strings of 4 + 5\$ horses coming in a row.

Lost of fun to have the Kentucky Derby and Preakness winner as such a standout on the Synthesis readings. Friends and family thought I was quite the clever one, but I told them it was SARTIN who did all the work - I just bet it.

T.O., Nevada

DOC COMMENTS: This client sent me 12 20 race cycle Wagering Decision Forms - 120 straight races. She made profit in every 20 race cycle -- She followed directions.

E--MAIL

Subject: Fear!!!

What a destructive force fear can be. Not a revelation to you Howard! I have had three months and a bit more of fear in my personal life and guess what... yep, it has translated directly into my Synthesizing efforts! I won't bore you with the details but suffice to say all is well now and hey presto... it all works again. It's hard to believe how much this endeavour mirrors the psyche (hope that's the right word) and so it would appear to be almost essential to address that first or at least bet when harmony reigns. As you have always said: It's 80% in the mind and I've experienced it first hand! Hopefully, on an even keel now I can resume building again... until the next little hurdle (do you have hurdle racing over there?)

Hope you are well

T.B., United Kingdom

DOC COMMENTS: This lesson, learned by him the hard way, is something for all of us to understand and conquer.

Shane,

...By the way, I had Charismatic again. Don't understand the public sending Menifee off as the favorite at 2:1. Oh well, that what's so good about the public, they are so wrong so often!!

Tell your dad thanks -

D.R., California

My current program is Pace Launcher 4. Would you please send information on the latest program and the cost to update.

Also I still have Entropy II and I find it hard to believe that anything can replace it as a corollary program I would like to Keep it.

C.E.W., New York

DOC COMMENTS: Entropy is in Synthesis. A lot of clients ignore its power. C.E.W. is one who profitably recognizes it.

Hi Shane & Doc,

...I am doing well with the syn/trkmaster program at GP and Lrl and do not want to miss an issue (of the Follow Up). The program is fantastic and the info in the One on One tape series and the Follow Up articles are great.

I was glad to see you published my e-mail messages with you and Ellis Star at TrackMaster. They are doing a great job with the data and they offer excellent customer service. I hope more clients will get on board with the Syn/trkmaster program. The program and the support from Axcis are fantastic.

Thanks for your help,

P.W., Missouri

DOC COMMENTS: This is a typical response to TrackMaster's superior service. I'm also gratified that he got so much benefit from our Video/Audio series.

Dear Mary Jr.

Hope everyone is fine and your dad is enjoying his Mag Steps\*. Enclosed my printout of Preakness. Had SuperFecta. Didn't bet it. Box 4 horses for "E" and "T". The 50-1 shot showed 5-2 on my BL/BL.

Had:		•	
\$10 to win #6	18.20	91.00	
\$10 to win #11	lost		
\$10 across WPS #4	18.20	91.00	•
\$24 4 horse Box "E" 11,6,4,5		47.60	лееded #4 in there
\$24 4 horse Box "T" 11,6,4,5		1024.00	
\$10 Show 4 horse	18.20	<u>91.00</u>	
		\$1344.00	

Thank you. Best day I ever had. I use my postal jewelry (rubber band around my wrist). I pop it a few times - need to get better. Should have had S.F. - 18,000 dollars. I had it... Again every one at work laughed at me - I told them #4 - hot horse....

OH BOY - Thank you!

C.K., Texas

PS Hope you don't take this as bragging. I work hard on this. I will improve more.

DOC COMMENTS: He has every right to brag about his Wagercapping.

The \*"Mag Steps" are magnetic insteps he sent me to keep my feet from aching. They work!

Hi again Shane,

GOOD NEWS... 1st race at OP, 1 caught #5, Gentle the horse paid - hold your hat on - \$251.40 to win and \$51.80 to place. BL/BL - Like the Doc says "don't let these longshots get away".

Thanx

G.M., New Jersey

I would like to thank Doc for his response on pacelines and picking the right horse, to stop using too many horses, and do not use the Vector Graph as a ranking order, as it said on page 67 of the workbook the Video Audio. Of course, it takes some of us longer to get it after we read it

Thank you,

R.R., California

Pablito e Pablo,

I feel like a broken record saying it again- the Derby was easy- Charismatic on top! (if you the ultimate in handicapping programs. I started disregard the dosage numbers, which haven't down this road nearly ten years ago with Scott's performed very well in the last decade or so.) At "Investing at the Racetrack", then bought a handheld worst others might have got him second or a rare armed with a velocity program based on Tom third.

horses in the top 3 or 4 depending on line selection. When using the Derby lines or all Derby horses purchased Synergetic Match Up from you several the top 3 Preakness finishers come up in reverse years ago. Kind of got away from racing for the order. Otherwise, had them 2, 3, 4 with a past couple of years but having just retired at 55 questionable Adonis on top in my 'best of last 3' 1'm back for good. analysis.

See y'all in New York\*

P.B., California

\* Belmont

Paceline selection. Always use the best qualifying PRE Derby paceline for Triple Crown races. Horses must not be penalized for problems incurred in the Derby.

Dear Doc

I am so excited about Synthesis - it is a great program. I would have never believed that so many double digit horses would be possible to get but they are getable. I have enclosed the results of many of those kinds of winners. You will see horses that paid \$20, \$30 and \$40 or more.

I am still in the learning stages with Syn but have made good progress. I have only used Syn for a couple of months. There is so much potential that I can hardly hold back my excitement. Doc, this is a wonderful program that is easy to use and understand.

Read the Follow Ups and listen to my (tapes) as often as I can. Even though I have read and listened to the tapes several times I still find little things that I did not catch before.

B.N., Louisiana

Dear Doc, Shane, Mary and all the staff,

Let me now say that Synthesis is, without a doubt, Brohamer's fine book which was, of course, only Also had Charismatic and the Place & Show an extension of your Methodology. Having had good success with that I joined your group and

My wins come from my top 3, almost exclusively and that along with the Early/Late and a quick look at the pace match up give me a picture that is meaningful. I stress, FOR ME, since I believe and DOC COMMENTS: Good outcome. Incorrect as you have often said, that you must make the Methodology and the program you use your own. The answer is there.

> For the last two weeks playing four tracks (no more than 2 a day) my ROI has stayed above 70% the last three days (only playing 1 track a day - Hia & Spt) I handicapped 19 races with the winner coming out of my top three 19 times. I played 16 of the races and won 15.

> One additional thing, I have Synthesis installed on a Gateway notebook that I take with to the OTB. I have found that the scratch of a top horse can alter your printout dramatically. Being able to relook at the race without the horse is much more meaningful than simply drawing a line through it on your printout. This has allowed me to cash tickets that I would have otherwise have torn up.

> My thanks for all your work, for a great program, for your tapes and publications and also a personal thanks to Sam Wada.

B.Z., Alabama

DOC COMMENTS: A very gratifying letter. When he says pace match up, he is talking about the Match Up Graph in Synthesis.

Dear Doc, Shane & Staff,

Please renew my subscription to the Follow Up and send any that I have missed.

PL4T just keeps picking nice winners for me as the 1st place in the Kentucky Derby with #11 on top will prove. For the Doubting Thomases I am sending the Work Up sheet.

When my friends at the track asked me who I liked to win the Derby, and I told them #11, they looked at me like I came from Mars. Oh well, when they have horse racing on Mars you can bet I'll be using PL4T.

Thanks for everything

Your friend and 'pupil'

D.W. Texas

PS My wife said the program is as Charismatic as the horse was!! Sending blank check - please renew me for 2 years....

```
$1,000,000 PR=95 PFT=202.75
   CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3
               BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE
                                            TRKDISTS
                                      ODDS
                               LS
                     PR BAL
PNCNAME Ld N T
                 SR
                                      EVEN
                                            KEE 8.5D
                100
                           5 22.1
11 CHARII
                                                       30/1
                            17.3
16.0
                                                 9.0D
                                       5-2
                                            AQU
                     94
                           5
                 99
 3 ADONI1
                                       3-1
                                            ΤP
                                                 9.00
                                                       12/1
                 95
                     87
                         . 5
 5 STEPH1
                                       9-2
                                            HIA 9.0D
                                                       50/1
                           7 12.5
                     95
10 FIRST1
                 98
                                                       12/1
                                                 8.5D
                 92
                          10 11.0
                                       5-1
                                            FG
                     91
17 KIMBE2
                          10 10.5
                                       5-1
                                            FG
14 ECTON2
```

CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3 \$1,000,000 PR=95 PFT=202.75 ENERGY GENERATOR

```
HE R| Fx R| %Med|E/EP R|L/EP R| TPP R|BAL|ESP
| # | PNCNAME LdNT | SPR | Total R |
                                                                                 99 167.94-2 | 88.7-5 | 55.9-1 | 67.55 | 78.5-2 | 77.6-3 | 70.7-2 | 95 | 167.19-4 | 92.1-1 | 55.4-6 | 66.58 | 77.9-4 | 78.0-1 | 70.5-4 | 98 | 167.91-3 | 88.0-6 | 55.5-3 | 68.01 | 78.6-1 | 77.3-6 | 70.6-3 | 88.0-6 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-1 | 78.6-
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               5(| sys |
                3 ADONI1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               5 EATH
  2| 5 STEPH1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               (STP
   3 10 FIRST1
                                                                            100 168.07-1 91.2-2 55.9-2 67.11 78.4-3 78.0-2 70.8-1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               STSUS *
   4-11 CHARII
                                                                     1. 92 | 164.84-6 | 90.9-3 | 55.4-5 | 66.11 | 77.0-6 | 77.5-4 | 69.9-6 | 10 | LAT | 1 | 92 | 165.15-5 | 88.9-4 | 55.5-4 | 66.46 | 77.2-5 | 77.4-5 | 70.0-5 | 10 | LAT
  5 14 ECTON2
|6|17 KIMBE2
```

CD0501 8 10.0 D ST 3 \$1,000,000 PR=95 PFT=202.75 VITAL FACTORS

```
SPN R
  PNCNAME Ld TOTAL R
                             SC R
                                                                     93.82-3 93.53-2 91.92-2
   3 ADONI1 167.94-2 56.94-2(109.5) 0.7 54.51-5(
                                                            48.4)
                                                                                        90.67-3
                                                                     95.74-2 93.20-4
     STEPH1 167.19-4 55.43-4(111.4) 0.6 55.88-1(
                                                            47.2)
                                                                     90.44-6 93.39-3 87.17-4
3 10 FIRST1 167.91-3 57.32-1(109.1) 2.7 53.71-6(4 11 CHARI1 168.07-1 56.56-3(110.0) 1.6 55.28-4(
                                                            49.1)
                                                                     97.25-1 93.63-1 96.77-1
                                                            47.8)
  11 CHARI1 168.07-1 56.56-3(110.0) 1.6 55.28-4(
14 ECTON2 164.84-6 54.96-6(112.1) 6.2 55.86-2(
                                                                     93.40-4 92.87-6
  14 ECTON2
                                                                     93.00-5 92.88-5 85.67-5
  17 KIMBE2 165.15-5 55.22-5(111.7) 1.6 55.40-3(
                                                            47.7)
                                                                               93.63
                                                                                         96.77
                                                            47.2)
                                                                     97.25
                          57.32
54.96
                                                 55.88
                                  (109.1)
       HIGH
               168.07
                                                                               92.87
                                                                                         85.17
                                                                     90.44
                                                 53.71
                                                            49.1)
                                  (112.1)
               164.84
        LOW
                                                                               93.25
                                                                                         89.56
                                                            47.9)
                                                                     93.94
                                                 55,11
                          56.07
                                  (110.6)
         AVE
               166.85
```

\* = Wire to Wire

Hello all at O. Henry House -

I'm sending in my 2nd and 3rd 10 race cycle sheets. I'm so happy!! I am doing very well! I have circled the winners I had at Santa Anita.

I'm making decisions and I'm not worrying about what others say is the right thing to do. I want to do better each 20 race cycle. Again, thank you for changing my whole outlook on life. I will do better. Thanks

B.V., New Jersey

SARTIN METHODOLOGY
SA -- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN

		! ! PRIMARY									1	,		SUPP		FRAC			E	2	
-					·	В	_			ľ¦Η	F		SI	•		T j	E	L	N   A	•	
ing the second	BOTTO	M LINE-	BETTING	LINE	RANKS	A	P į J	PįE	? j 🤈	ľE	W	•		N [,	SĮ	P	ી.	14.		3	موراند
	1 1	2 .	3	4	5	إنا	R L	RĻI	<u>:  </u>	ᆜ_	Щ	FX	N	T	<del></del> -	Pļ	+	_	نـــ	<u>3  </u>	_∄.
. XIE		1				2	3	,   :	  -	, I,	1 11	 	7 H	i	11	i i	1	1	1	i.	1
0321 5 1	(8.20)					<del>-21</del>	<u> </u>	-	-14		1		$\overline{\lambda}$	-1	<del>- j</del>	1	Ī	<u> </u>	T	1	
SIR D   0321 4	16.60					<u> 3 i</u>	1	<u> 3 i :</u>	<u>Li</u>	<u> 2   4</u>	<u> 11</u>	1	<u>J)i</u>	1	1	1	11	2	<u> </u>	4	_2
KONA					1	1		_ ! .	. [	. !_	1	]	_ ]	<u> </u>	1	. !	- 1	۱.	1 1	- !	3
0321 2	26.80)			ļ	<u> </u>	<u>2  </u>	1	<u>3   '</u>	1 [ ]	1   2	11	1	<u>ا'لل.</u> 1	1	<u>1  </u>	ᅪ		<del>- 1</del>	井	<del>-</del>	
MISS	\/\	22 12	)	 	; ! ; !	7	3	3 V	2)	1 (2	3	15	2)	3	3	3	5	4	4	i	4
0319 7   SWEET	<u>}</u>	20.40		<u> </u>	1		Ĭ	$\overrightarrow{1}$	<u> </u>		Ī			Ī	Ī	1	1	1	1	-	
0319 5	1		7.20	i)	<u>i i</u>	7	4	<u>4  </u>	3   :	2   3	14	<u> </u>	31	31	21	<u> 3 İ</u>	<u>3</u> ]	4	4		<u>5</u>
DREAM						. !	_	_   .	_ [	-   	12	] 	  グ1	  3	4	31	11	41	51	i	6
0319 4			PASSED	<u> </u>		9	ᆁ	<u> </u>	<u>21</u>	7   5	12	<u> </u>	اريك ا	31	긕	۱	<del></del> 1	-	4	- <u>†</u>	<u> </u>
SPECI			PASSED		.	8	5	4	4	3 İ.3	14	4	3	4	<u>5 i</u>	<u>5 i</u>	<u>5 i</u>	<u>5 j</u>	<u>4 i</u>	辶	<u>7</u>
0319 3   FESTI			FASSED	1	1		Ī	ī	Ī	Ī	1	]			Ī	ļ		_	_ [	- [	•
0319 2		16.00	/	<u>i                                      </u>	<u>i                                     </u>	2.	4	ᅶ	<u>3  </u>	4 ] :	11	1 1	2	2	2	<u> </u>	2	1	1	井	<u>8</u>
SI CH						9	*1	1	41	] 111		1 6	l ( 1)	   1	71	5 I	7	21	1	į	9
0310 8	<u> </u>	$\overline{}$	PASSED	1	1	_ 9	- 1	+	<del>-3 ]</del> .	<del>-   -</del>	1	1	1					_	Ť	T	
ALLEG 0310 7	(	22.60	)	1		5	6	<u> 2 j</u>	4	<u> 2   2</u>	<u> 12</u>	4	(2	2	4	2	4	2	21	_	<u>10</u>
EMMA				ĺ	1		- !	_ [	_ [	. !	.	! _	ا کیا			-				į	11
0310 6	(10.40)		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2	1	41	1	2 4	11	1 2	10	1		1	الحا			<u>-</u> -	<u> </u>
	!		MICCED				1	1	1	1	1	1	! 	1	1	,		<u>.</u>	Шĺ	Ĺ	12
0310 5 C'REY	<u> </u>	1	MISSED	n RAC	<u>e/</u>			i	寸	寸	T	i	Ī	1	ı	1	Ī			_	
( ) 3		<u> </u>	PA 3529	A RAC 4.20		4	121	4	41	<u> 3   4</u>	<u>1   4</u>	1 2	14	4	2	2	3	2	2	_	13
CANDI	Î .			1	!			  2	3	1	 112	1 2	   2)	12	l 12	12	13	l 2	1 121	-	14
0310 1	<u> </u>	6.40	<u>}                                    </u>	<u> </u>		<u>. 4</u>	W	ا کا	4	<del>4</del>	14	<u>.                                    </u>	15/	1	15		<u> </u>	1		1	
MAJOR 0305 4	l l	25.60		<u> </u>		2	<u>i</u> 2 j	2	1	4	<u> 4 i 1</u>	1	<u>(2)</u>	<u> 11</u>	<u> 1</u>	1	1	1	11		<u>15</u>
LORD	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	No bei	1			1	_ [	.!.	! _	! .	!	_	_	_			1	16
0305 2	<u>i</u>	<u> </u>	LOST	<u> </u>	9.50	8	2	6	3	<u> </u>	<u> 5   5</u>	1 6	14	15	15	<u> 15</u>	<u> 5</u>	<u>  6</u>	<u>  6  </u>	_	10
HURRY	!	28.40	1/	1	1	1 9	ا 16:	121	6	3	2   2	:   2	13	12	12	із	2	<u>[ 2</u>	2		17
0305 1 HALF	<u> </u>	20.40	<del>,y</del>	<u></u>			Ť			1	T	T	Î	T	1	ļ .	1	Ī		١	
0303 8	Ĺ		LOST			7	12	6	5	6	74	1	6	<u> 16</u>	<u>13</u>	13	<u>13</u>	<u>  3</u>	14		18
AMERI	1			_		  111			اء	1	 3 6		16	  5	16	16	16	1 16	131		19
0303 7	<u> </u>		PASSEL			<u>  4 4</u>	10	1 1	6	61	<u>3   0</u>	1	1.0	1	10	1	1	Ī			
KLINS 0303_6_	!		PASSEI	NoBOT	NOBET	<u>і</u> з	<u> 1</u>	3	1	2	4   2	2 2	(2	<u>] 2</u>	<u> 11</u>	12	11	<u>j 2</u>	2		20
TOT	i —	1	1	1114	IN 5	ĺ							_								
WIN	<u>i 4 </u>	<u>i 6</u>	<u>! 1                                   </u>	1 1	<del>                                     </del>	ļ	B	EI	•						-		\$ ?	28	Ū		
TOT	1 60 00	1110 40	    7.20	   4.20	9.60	l I	_	AS						,	-			5			
MUT		1119,40	1 / . 20	1 3.4	<u>, , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	i				EDi	10	st)	•		-			3			
, AVE	•	19.90	7.20	1 4.20	9.60	Ì		ON				•			-			11			
AVE				Ī		!	_			5 Y				•	-			94	_		
BAL	2.3	4.8	7.0	4.0	8.0	1	N	ΕT	1	RC	FI	T			-		\$	66	3		

AND, TO WRAP IT ALL UP, A FEW MORE WORDS OF JOY FROM OUR DERBY/PREAKNESS

WINNERS...

Hello Doc,


Just to say that I am listening to and heeding your instructions. Trying hard to skip races with cheap winners that show up on my first line at Hollywood. I'm doing real well. Here's a copy of my Kentucky Derby ticket to prove it.

S.R., California

Subject : Kentucky Derby

Hi Shane:

I haven't written in some time. How's the Doc, yourself, and everyone at O. Henry? I hope you are keeping in good health. I just thought you'd like to know that using PL4 Trackmaster I had this very memorable of Derby winners. He came out top BL/BL at Even money with Adonis next at 9/5. Thanks to you and everyone at O. Henry again M.W., Canada



Subject: The Derby

Hi everyone!

This is so great!. Everyone said I was crazy, but when I saw those numbers in Syn I knew to follow instructions rather than listening to the horseplayers around me... Thanks so much!!!

G.F., California

Dear O. Henry House...

Synthesis is great! My wife and I are well on the way to the down payment on the house we want. Thank you all so much.

L.O., Florida

My sincere thanks to all who wrote, e-mailed, phoned and sent gifts. We didn't have room to print all the Derby and Preakness reports and tickets - we could have dedicated the whole issue. However, I think this sampling gets the point across that winning any series of races is highly probable when directions are followed. This was stated by almost everyone: 'following directions'. Doc

#### E-mail from Mark Cramer:

Dear Howard,

I was going to say "hope you're recovering well from your hernia operation," but given your help from Allah, I know you're well.

Congratulation on having the Kentucky Derby winner on top on Synthesis! Next time Barry says that it's impossible to find a 500% overlay, I'll show him your printout.

Also thanks for the encouraging words about my writing. After reading your comments about C&O in various issues, I've decided to revive it as C&X. To do this, I'll be spending much more time at the races, and the Chilean or French races won't do, because the readers want to see U.S. races.

I'll be returning to Canterbury to spend at least five weeks at their live summer meet. I gave them three winners in four suggested value bets when I was a guest on March 13 there. But I think they were more impressed with what I did on Sunday, when the three horses I recommended as possible wagers were all going off at odds below fair value. I told the audience that I was going to pass, and on one occasion they say me cancel my bet when the odds drifted below fair value. I think the people who invited me were more impressed by my discipline than the winners I had.

...Finally, glad to see you're escalating the space you give to passing races.

Cheers,

Mark

DOC COMMENTS: This came several days after the Derby, before we publicized it. Mark's buddy Mike Helm has Synthesis and they're in regular communication. Since Mike is North America's top expert on Breeding and Pedigree, he must have felt that Charismatic had no breeding impediments.

GREAT TO SEE MARK HAS DECIDED TO GO BACK INTO PRINT!!! We look forward to the new C&X REPORT.



Tribute is deserved by Mike Helm and his City Minor Books. P.O. Box 176, Berkeley, CA. 94701. His Sire and Pedigree Ratings are the best on the market. Furthermore, his flow of upgrades and add-ons comes exactly as promised. Nice to find a "good guy" in this business.

The great Charlie Whittingham died recently at age 86. He was the only famous trainer I ever knew well enough to call a friend. I shall always remember him for his colorful language and reference to jockeys he didn't use as "size 3 hats." Perhaps his most sage statement is one that all of us should remember. He said, "The top three LOUSIEST handicappers are Owners, Trainers and Jockeys."