

The FOLLOW UP

with Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D.

EVOLUTION

ISSUE # 74



INDICAPPING REVOL

Cracking the Corollary Code

Publisher's Desk	1
On Line with Shane	5
Cracking the Corollary Code	6
The Art & Science of Passing Races	15
Vox Populi	31
Information Defined	36
ENERGY!	42
The Psychology of Winning	49
My Aching Helper	53
The Predictability of Corollary Predictions	58
The Positive Power of Negativity	64
Value Wagering ~ Questions	67
Boxed In? by the 'Capper	73

The FOLLOW UP is published six (6) times a year by O. Henry House, Inc. in conjunction with the Inland Empire Institute. Subscription price is \$75 per year third class mail and \$90 per year first class & Canadian mail, other foreign subscribers: \$100/year. Back issues are available for \$12.50 each. California residents add 7.75% sales tax. If you have any problem with your subscription or have a change of address, please contact O. Henry House at the address below. All information in this publication is for informational purposes only.

The FOLLOW UP

O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.
1390 E. 6TH STREET, STE 5
BEAUMONT, CA 92223

909-845-5907

between 1 and 3 PM Pacific time

Please send all correspondence to this address. This includes submission of material for publication consideration, letters, opinions, comments - whatever.

Thank you,
O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.

STATEMENT OF POLICY

The Sartin Methodology is based in Psychotherapy and its goals are NOT directed toward fostering the illusions or delusions of gamblers seeking magic solutions for picking winners. We are primarily a healing arts organization dedicated to providing an alternative solution to mainstream psychiatry's prescription of total abstinence for non-winning handicappers. Our slogan is - and always has been -


"THE CURE FOR LOSING IS WINNING"

O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.
PIRCO
THE SARTIN
METHODOLOGY
1390 E. 6TH STREET #5
BEAUMONT, CA 92223



© 1999 O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.

All right reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Past Performance and Results charts copyrighted by Daily Racing Form, Inc.



AS PROMISED this is the issue where I comply with the request to refrain from referring to "so-called author experts." I do, however, insist upon leaving Mark Cramer and Tom Ainslie out of this category. They are colleagues and highly simpatico. The fact that Cramer is not about to employ computer handicapping is explained in my response to a Vox Pop letter.

**KUDOS - Cubed and Exponential TO:
PETER TOLAN**

Following his EMMY WIN, Peter scripted the critically acclaimed flick, *Analyze This*, starring Billy Crystal and Robert DeNiro. It was the #1 box office money-maker for two and a half weeks before it went to 2nd. It is a laugh riot from the opening lines.

Crystal plays a Freudian psychoanalyst who reluctantly takes DeNiro as a patient. The laughs that follow are from the belly and uncontrollable, derived not from physical comedy but from Tolan's shotgun dialogue.

My wife, who is usually dismayed at the current use of four letter words in movies, approved of the ones in this opus because, she says, they were classically germane to the characters and plot. She really verbalizes that way. There is also a 2nd dimension of sophistication in this comedy. Peter studied psychoanalysis well. At one point the term "Transference Neurosis" is used. This is a condition exclusive to Freudian analysis and is rarely found even in psychoanalytic literature. When analyst Crystal is confronted by FBI members of the Organized Crime Division, they identify themselves as O.C.D.

"You know what that is?" say one agent. Crystal ponders a moment and replies, "Obsessive Compulsive Disorder!"

This is by far the best comedy to come from tinsel town in over a decade and should be slated for a Best Picture 1999 Oscar. Tolan is not very confident, however. No true comedy has ever won that award. *It Happened One Night* with Gable and Colbert had comedic overtones but it was more romance than outright comedy.

Whatever the picture's Academy fate, it has to go down as the **best** written laugh riot of our generation. Peter really found something sensational to do between handicapping and wagering this time.

On a sadder note we saw the final issue of the C&O Report this week. They decided to stop publication and, as they put it, "Gone to Stud." Taking that statement literally would indicate herds of future generations of Cramer's and Olmsted's. However, I think they meant "voluntarily put out to pasture." In its latter years C&O was written mainly by Cramer with some help from his friend Collville.

The sadness of this is that Cramer, as of now, will have no voice not encumbered by a specific, limited format, such as when writing articles for WIN Magazine and American Turf Monthly. Today, handicapping and its literature has fallen into such a state that a voice like Cramer's is sorely needed on a regular basis.

Cramer's final written warning, on the front page of the last issue, was a conviction that creative handicapping, as opposed to technocratic methods, was the only way to beat the game. I applaud this attitude and only wish there were enough creative handicappers to fulfill Mark's poetic sentiments. If there are some creative ones out there, I know they're not technocrats because almost all the techies I see advertising their wares seem to be using some version of formulas that were created right here. Speaking personally, I'd rather win my share of parimutuel dollars from a technocrat than someone creative.

I have to go along with him on this since for years I've literally begged for *someone* (beside Mark) to come up with something truly new and creative in the spirit of Mark's farewell editorial.

Cramer's last article for us (Follow Up #73) ***Are You Betting TOO Much?*** had a great deal of effect on many clients. One in particular, started winning after taking seriously Cramer's section on goal setting. He now sets a daily profit goal and when he reaches it, goes home. In so doing he leaves his "friends" in the lurch and they all report to him later that they tapped out before day's end.

Previously they intimidated him to likewise tap out. With friends like these he needed no enemies.

—————0—————

On a personal note I spent some time at Loma Linda Hospital getting a Hernia repair. No big deal except when I was told by the chief surgical appointment maker that my surgeon was an Iraqi who'd fought in three wars with Sadaam Hussain's armies (as a doctor, I hoped).

I immediately dug out my copy of the Koran and, in pre-op, was about to inscribe my chest and belly with Islamic scripture. They hit me with a epidural needle before I could write any more than, "May Allah mercifully guide your hand."

In the end there was far less residual pain from this operation than the one performed on the other side six years ago by two infidels. Tolan, take note for your next comedy.

—————0—————

On a more serious note, here is an e-mail message from Patrick Bryk, well known author-critic for Phillips Racing Newsletter and other publications. He uses the new computerized Wagering Decision Form, TrackMaster version. He writes:

"Follow Up 73 is just chock full of great stuff.

My personal favorite is the idea of using the Wagering Decision Form as an after-the-fact Visualization Learning Device. This will be most helpful to those, like myself, who tend to OVER ANALYZE THEMSELVES before betting, often into confusion/paralysis.

I should also like to note that THOSE WHO POOH POOH this idea or think it's too far out or just plain wacky, are the ones who need it MOST!"

Pat's a really bright guy and gives these matters a lot of careful thought. He continuously researches all aspects of handicapping and betting so his words are more than mere opinion.

While he's never read my mail, he assumes correctly that a number of clients do pooh pooh the notion and thus miss out on some big overlays. Personally, I've learned more about profitable handicapping from Visualized Focus on past realities than I have from reading any book or article. Visualized Focus is a minor form of self-hypnosis. It gives me insights and abilities to interpret past performances and readouts better than anything else I know of.

It's also an amazing tool for helping you know when to pass races and/or when to get up and leave.

— 0 —

More from our e-mail file: "Loved the section in Follow Up 72 where Guy and Aline work the same races. A good demonstration of why you need to find applicable corollaries from your own work. Perhaps another race day or 20 race cycle with two or three different people involved would hammer home this idea to any doubters."

I do this sort of thing all the time without naming the participants. We get a lot of races from the same day with wide deviations in the lines selected by one client over another. Cases where two or three clients will have an excellent day while several others suffer losses in the exact same races. Naming Guy and Aline is one thing but naming some of the others would tend to upset some egos that are already fragile enough.

For example: While counseling a client with a few problems centered around making money as opposed to handicapping, per se, Mary Jr. burst in telling of a call she'd just received from another client who had made through his use of Synthesis, eighteen THOUSAND dollars the previous week. Since the client I was counseling was striving for about \$100 a day, his face did not light up with glee when hearing of the other client's success. It made Shane, the two Marys and myself very happy but it didn't do a thing for the guy working on his own problems which, at that moment in time, were focused on making profit in the hundreds, not multiple thousands.

More about making consistent profit in our Money Matters column.

Individual problems encountered in our endeavor are almost all psychological. Clients put up a facade. They pretend, often quite sincerely, that their problems lie in understanding complexity of terms or procedures. In truth they can understand all of the above and more if **they so choose**.

Author-lecturer Daniel Goleman puts it quite succinctly. He uses children in his example. One kind fails to get a good grade in math or English or whatever subject. He takes this as rejection and goes through life saying to him/herself, "I'm just no good at math" - or whatever the subject may have been. This, Goleman calls the pessimist syndrome. Another kid similarly fails and internalizes the idea of working and studying harder and preparing longer. Never is a moment of thought given to the notion that he/she is "No good at_____".

This is the optimist syndrome. A good example who most of you know personally, is Tom Brohamer. He read in Phillips Racing Newsletter that the Sartin stuff was complex, difficult to understand with instructions not written in an orthodox style. He beat a path to my house within days, stayed until he "got it." and forsook making Beyer Figs in favor of the old Phase III Methodology. Now he's internationally famous but it hasn't gone to his head.

It's 20% IQ. 80% psychological or, what Goleman calls "Emotional Intelligence." More on that later in this issue.



Got the tapes and workbooks. Just want to tell you how great they are. Doc has done a first class job on these. If more people would take the time to study these I believe problems would drop drastically!
D.W., Nebraska

Was just doing so-so until I watched your video tapes. Turns out I didn't understand the REALITY as it is today until I watched the tapes. Now I'm really doing great....
G.F. Colorado

3 Videos Set ~ \$99.00
5 Audios Set ~ \$60.00
Include workbooks

....thank you for Video Tape #3. The best thing I've ever been exposed to. C.D. Minnesota

Audio tapes are sound portion of videos. The same information is contained in both.

**ON LINE
WITH
SHANE**

SHANE'S TECH SUPPORT LINE:

909-845-1728

HOURS (Pacific time) Weekdays - **11:30 AM to 3:00 PM**

e-mail: shane@discover.net
or send your question/problem by mail

I'll start with a few but important items that seem to worry some of you enough to e-mail me:

1) Printer problems: I addressed this in the last issue. There are so many different printers with so many different configurations that there is no universal answer to all problems. We've been able to solve many of them through phone calls and E-mails, but some problems linger on.

Printer differences is the main reason some of our more elaborate graphics do not print as seen on the screen. In Synthesis 2A we will use line graphs only. That way graphs will print out with the same ease as letters and numbers do now.

Before purchasing a new printer, or for that matter, computer, you should do as Dad has written before in the Follow Up. Take your current computer disk(s) with you to the computer/printer store and make sure they work to your satisfaction before buying.

2) The PR in Synthesis-TM version: This is the ONLY TrackMaster designation used in any of our programs. It supposedly represents the class power of each entrant against the class power of the race itself. Whoever created it is a classic handicapper who probably passes most of the races from which we get our highest mutuals. We just ignore it unless there's 8 or more points of separation. Test it for yourself on dark days.

You'll notice that on most of the example races in the Follow Up, Dad whites out the PR. We have found too many horses with ratings in the 70's that win races PR'd in the 80's.

PR will NOT appear in Synthesis 2A.

GRAPHICS PROBLEM SOLVED! Clients Rich and Diana Fisher were getting garbled on-screen graphics due to a new high resolution "Diamond Stealth" video card. The problem was not the graphics themselves, but the horses names and numeric readouts contained within the graphic screen. Their solution was to switch to a different video card. Now their graphic screens are normal.

Y2K Watch - All of our Pace Launcher series (including Synthesis) are safe from the Y2K situation. Problems you may incur will come from your computer... Most computers purchased in the last two years are Y2K compliant.

Cracking the Corollary Code

DOC SARTIN

title courtesy Tim Brothers, Bristol, United Kingdom.

Hi Doc, Shane and the two Mary's!

This is the briefest of e-mailettes to tell you of my biggest win with dollars down.

Tup 0118 race 7. Phantom's Darlin came up 3rd on Synthesis so I bet it (taking the two highest M/L odds from the top three)...Came in at 69.20 T.B., United Kingdom

***...when in doubt just use the primary corollary line score.
Use the Supp line score to verify, support and break ties.***

In both of Mark Cramer's two articles in the C&O Report about the profits made in objective tests of the Synthesis BL/BL program, he suggested that, for some, effective interpretation of Corollaries might be confusing, even to the danger point of increasing the potential for human error. He was in favor of making BL/BL a stand-alone program that produced profits automatically.

That was **before** we created the computerized Wagering Decision Form with the capacity to HIDE horses with mutuels too low for a wager. We also included an UN-HIDE so that horses hidden for WIN that often placed or showed could be viewed by Exacta and Trifecta bettors.

I also realized that if BL/BL was going to be used by some as a stand-alone readout it would have to be improved. Despite the fact that many users said improvement was impossible, I was determined to make it even better. As far as I'm concerned, **nothing** is impossible; not when I had so many of your reports on hand revealing the fact that some of you were still getting double-digit winners on BL/BL's Tier 4.

With these reports to guide me, I went to work.

Many things that may seem impossible using linear mathematics can be solved by the mathematics of Chaos physics. Now, horses that once appeared in the 4th, or even 5th Tier on BL/BL, are showing up third even before HIDES. After HIDES they often move to second and, in a few examples, even FIRST.

Many long time clients had some early problems in properly using the new computerized Wagering Decision Form, as did most newcomers. When they finally started using it as directed and as it is intended to be used, they now report that by properly utilizing the HIDE feature, a good number of winning horses that initially appeared on Tier 5 on BL/BL, are now moving to Tier 3 or better. A worse case scenario was a \$54+ winner that moved from 6th to only the 4th BL/BL Tier.

Were I to completely dismiss Mark's argument about BL/BL being an **automatic** stand-alone program, I might be doing some of you a disservice. I know for a fact that a few clients continue to ask such questions as which corollaries are best by distance, surface et al. My response has always been that people should observe from their own records corollary combinations that are the most predictive by any given situation at their track(s).

Aline Best is just one of the many winning clients who maintain that after viewing a few racing cycles from her Wagering Decision Form program, they note unmistakable patterns emerge that apply at almost every track under virtually any circumstance. These same winners also stress that one shouldn't be too hasty about eliminating contenders from corollaries BEFORE HIDES. They ultimately Hide horses with odds too low to bet or with highly dubious readouts. But if they are plagued by even the slightest doubt, they review question mark horses AFTER HIDES before completely eliminating them. When they still have too many questions about a race, they pass!

They also point out that the program will UN-HIDE as well as Hide and stress that horses with corollary rankings of 5 or 6 often emerge 2nd or 3rd after appropriate hides. I repeat this fact for the benefit of those who insist that hiding a horse with odds too low to bet, can move another contender up by only ONE Tier. They're mistaken.

HOW TO INTERPRET COROLLARIES

This is the "how to" article many of you have asked for. I have yet to hear from a verified consistent winner who thinks success and profit can come from BL/BL alone. In fact, I received many calls and letters protesting the idea that the corollaries were not essential. These messages came from winners who resent the idea of a program that is purely automatic. A lot of NON winners don't necessarily share that attitude at this point in time. But, were they given a program that based its predictive powers on **one** component like BL/BL, they would cry foul. System sellers have tried that approach for many years with little success - for them or their customers.

So let's put aside that dream and examine, one by one, the corollaries and their relationship to each other. First SUPERSCREEN:

After Hide

HOL1111 7 7.5 D CL 3+ \$17,000 CP=\$20,000													
BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE													
HIDE#	PN	CNAME	Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDIST	M/L	DAYS	AGE	
(4)	6	EL P.2		92	84	2	20.3	9-5	FPX 6.5D	6/1	10	4	WIN \$3420
(3)	5	INDIS1x		85	87	6	18.3	5-2	SA 8.0D	10/1	24	4	
(5)	7	TWILI1		85	81	8	14.5	7-2	SA 7.0D	6/1	30	4	
(6)	9	SAHAR2x		84	86	7	14.0	7-2	SA 8.5D	7/2	24	9	
(2)	4	COOL 1x		81	86	9	13.0	4-1	SA 8.0D	20/1	30	4	
(1)	3	REBOU3		81	85	8	5.0	10-1	DMR 7.0D	5/1	24	6	

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

														PRIM										SUPP									
				B	L	T	PRIM			SUPP			FRACT							B	L	C	T	H	E	F	X	S	P	L	T	P	
				A	S	O														P	P	P	T	E	W	F	X	P	P	L	T	P	
				L	P	T	LS	R	LS	R				E	L	N	ESP		SCBL			R	R	R			R	R	L	T	P		
##	PNCNAME	LdNT	SR																														
1	3	REBOU3	81	8	4	5	37	4	25	5	5	5	5	PRE	5.0	5	5	6	5	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	6	4	5				
2	4	COOL 1x	81	9	3	6	26	3	25	5	6	6	4	PRE	6.0	6	2	5	2	1	4	6	4	6	4	6	4	5	6				
3	5	INDIS1x	85	6	6	3	23	2	12	2	3	3	2	PRE	6.0	3	4	3	4	4	2	3	2	3	2	2	3						
4	6	EL P.2	92	2	1	1	15	1	5	1	1	1	1	PRE		2	1	6	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1						
5	7	TWIL11	85	8	5	2	23	2	14	3	2	2	6	EAR		1	6	2	1	6	5	2	3	2	5	2	3						
6	9	SAHAR2x	84	7	2	4	23	2	16	4	4	4	3	PRE	5.0	4	3	4	3	2	1	4	2	4	3	3	2						

				PRIM									
				B	L	T	E	L	C	T	H	F	F
				A	S	O	P	P	P	T	E	W	X
I#	PNCNAME	LdNT	SR	L	P	T	R	R	R				
				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	3 REBOU3		81	8	4	5	5	5	6	5	5	6	5
2	4 COOL 1x		81	9	3	6	6	2	5	2	1	4	6
3	5 INDIS1x		85	6	6	3	3	4	3	4	4	2	3
4	6 EL P 2-WIN		92	2	1	1	2	1	1	6	3	1	1
5	7 TWILI1		85	8	5	2	1	6	2	1	6	5	2
6	9 SAHAR2x		84	7	2	4	4	3	4	3	2	3	4

After BALance comes LSP, Long Shot Potential, placing contenders in order of the degree by which they OVERCAME the pace of the race they ran against in YOUR paceline choice. The Vector Graph is a graphic representation of this figure. It is vital that you realize that both the graph and the numbers do not represent a ranking of overall ability but only how a horse overcame the pace it ran against. For instance a 0-0-0 contender that set the pace, going wire-to-wire, will appear well below a horse with Vector figures like -0.32 -0.16 +1.27.

Here is a case where the #1 LSP topped the Vector graph and won with a double digit mutuel. The horse 2nd from the top placed. The race just happened to run that way. **COOLx** was 5th ranked on the Primary Corollaries, 4th on SUPP Corollaries.

LSP and VECTOR Graph records are excellent tools for modeling, profiling and detecting BIAS by distance and surface.

TOT ranks Total Energy. TOT is the best class determinant we've been able to find since things like APV, Earnings and in-the-money finishes began losing the power they had through the 1980's.

EPR is Early Pace. LPR is Late Pace. Since these ranking are dichotomies, I tried eliminating them from the Line Score Rankings hoping to improve them. It did not. Use these rankings in conjunction with your E-L Diff. Graph, especially if you wager on exotics. CPR is the average of EPR and LPR.

TT is Turn Time, the 2nd FRACTION (not 2nd CALL). I have heard some people state that Turn Time is the same as Ability Time. They misinterpreted Tom Brohamer's book. He never said that. He said it was a good way of determining whether horses who just broke their maiden can win against proven winners. Maidens and Late Runners have a tendency to loaf in the 2nd FRACTION.

HE: Hidden Energy is a Chaos math composite of a horse's ability in the 2nd and 3rd Fractions. A good ranking here indicates a horse that may have moved slower out of the gate but began pressing and/or overcoming the pace in the final two splits. A high HE ranking can also be earned by a horse who has either an inordinately fast TT or 3rd Fraction only. The way to tell is

to go to Menu Screen #2, FPS and ENERGY and determine from the **numbers** themselves just how its ranking was achieved.

FW, Factor W is a Chaos math alteration of the original Factor W, once, before racing underwent a big change, our strongest readout. It is a composite of weighted Early Pace with Late Pace and evaluates Total Performance.

FX, Factor X has become one of the most consistently potent of all corollaries at a wide range of tracks. Viewing a large sample of client responses, Winners with FX Ranking of 4 or over are found in only 10% of all races. This statistic is based on following all the instructions from past Follow Ups.

SUPP COROLLARIES

			SUPP				
			S	F	E	T	T
			P	X		S	P
			R				P
-----			-	-	-	-	-
#	PNCNAME	LDNT					

1	3	REBOU3	5	5	6	4	5
2	4	COOL 1x	4	6	4	5	6
3	5	INDIS1x	2	3	2	2	3
4	6	EL P 2-WIN	1	1	1	1	1
5	7	TWILI1	3	2	5	2	2
6	9	SAHAR2x	2	4	3	3	4

SPR, Sartin Pace Rating, is a Chaos math composite of Vital Pace Factors that in most cases is as, or even more, predictive than FX in its TOP 2 rankings. But since it contains more factors, it does not always equate with FX. All Corollary rankings are dependent upon directions being followed. That includes choice of contenders and pacelines. Since not everyone follows directions and users don't all pick the same pacelines, the value of any corollary is dependent on your initial contender/paceline decisions. This is why we have so many corollaries. It allows you to win regardless of skill or your personal subjective quirks and prejudices.

We've already gone through FX. It appears twice so that it will not be ignored or overlooked.

E is Entropy combining a contender's Rate of Velocity with its Rate of DECELERATION. Previous to our Methodology, research on Deceleration was ignored because of Newton's assertion that for every unit of Acceleration there was an equal, opposite unit of Deceleration. That formula applied to infinity. It does not apply to the various short distances at which races are run. Entropy is of less value when races are Projected or Xtracted (see **COOLx**, **Indisx** and **SAHARx**).

1975 The late Huey Mahl mentioned Deceleration calling it, for some reason of his own,

deacceleration, but he never truly quantified it, stating that he would leave that for others to investigate and research. In his 64 page book *The Race Is Pace*, Huey touched on many things, including Energy, but never quantified it. Throughout the book he says he'll leave it to others to pick up the ball and run with it.

To quote one of his final statements in this seminal work:

"The furtherance and variations of these ideas can be extended to new heights of perception and profits for anyone accepting the challenge."

It is truly shocking that of all his thousands of readers, I was the only one who did so. Perhaps the others did not know the 3rd and most important dictum of exploratory science:

3. To see what has not been seen before, look where no one has looked before.

Back to our definition of terms:

TS is Total Speed, a combination of Final Time and Pace Times.

TPP is Total Pace Potential, derived from Early and Late Energy POTENTIAL which is weighted by class and, based on my own records and those of most other successful users, a very VITAL corollary.

Moving to the third chart we first see FRACTALS - E(arly) L(ate) and N(ormal). Early and Late here do not necessarily correlate with other designations of Early and Late. Rankings under Normal designate a horse's ability in a race run with a Normal, predictable Match-Up. Fractals are based on Chaos Formulae that detects movements within Fractions themselves that cannot be detected by the naked eye when viewing pacelines from the Form or Downloading. As with all Rankings, Low is best. For those who keep saying they cannot (will not) understand Fractals, I say, keep track of how many winners one or more of them produce or ignore them completely. They're like an electric light switch. Either switch it on without understanding exactly why the light comes on or — get a candle.

			FRACT				
-----			----			-----	
#	PNCNAME	LDNT	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL
-----			----			-----	
1	3 REBOU3		5	5	5	PRE	5.0
2	4 COOL 1x		6	6	4	PRE	6.0
3	5 INDIS1x		3	3	2	PRE	6.0
4	6 EL P 2 WIN		1	1	1	PRE	
5	7 TWILI1		2	2	6	EAR	
6	9 SAHAR2x		4	4	3	PRE	5.0

ESP. Early, Sustained, or Late Energy Running Style. Determined not by position calls but by the amount of Energy yielded by each horse from the paceline(s) you've chosen to use. Making profitable decisions from this readout is contingent upon proper and consistently correct contender/paceline selection and keeping a MODEL: distance & surface. SCBL: Number of lengths behind at the 2nd CALL. A lot of clients Model this by distance and surface to determine the Winning parameters of lengths behind at 2-C. Those who select too few BL's as a cutoff point say they regret doing so. Those who profit from a wider parameter range say they get a satisfactory number of winners but with average mutuels that are LOWER than when they ignore this readout.

APPLYING COROLLARIES BY RELATIONSHIP IN AN ACTUAL RACE

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS																																
			PRIM										SUPP																			
			B	L	T	PRIM			SUPP			FRACT								PRIM				SUPP								
			A	S	O	L	P	T	LS	R	LS	R	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL			E	L	C	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	
			L	P	T	L	P	T	LS	R	LS	R	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL			P	P	P	P	T	E	W	X	P	X	P	P	
##	PNCNAME	LdNT	SR																													
1	3 REBOU3		81	8	4	5	37	4	25	5	5	5	5	PRE	5.0	5	5	6	5	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	6	4	5	6
2	4 COOL 1x		81	9	3	6	26	3	25	5	6	6	4	PRE	6.0	6	2	5	2	1	4	6	4	6	4	6	4	5	6	3	6	3
3	5 INDIS1x		85	6	6	3	23	2	12	2	3	3	2	PRE	6.0	3	4	3	4	4	2	3	2	3	2	3	2	2	1	2	1	1
4	6 EL P.2		92	2	1	1	15	1	5	1	1	1	1	PRE		2	1	1	6	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
5	7 TWILI1		85	8	5	2	23	2	14	3	2	2	6	EAR		1	6	2	1	6	5	2	3	2	2	3	2	5	2	2	2	2
6	9 SAHAR2x		84	7	2	4	23	2	16	4	4	4	3	PRE	5.0	4	3	4	3	2	3	4	2	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4

At first blush this race may seem like a no-brainer. Yet, after HIDING the low odds favorite, the 6-1 ML horse, going off a closing odds of 16-1 and paying \$34.20 to win was certainly **not** obvious to most and even some clients lost it. All horses were taken from their LAST line or 2nd back except **REBOU**, 3rd back. Now that we all know how to "break the corollary code," let's get down to interpretation to learn why **EL P** won.

It had the highest SR but from Fairplex which translates to about an 84 at HOL. But in combining our research with TrackMaster's, we've learned that the AUTOMATIC Track-To-Track adjustment between FPX and longer ovals is as close to perfect as I've ever seen. Not so consistently accurate is PR, the only purely TrackMaster readout we have. So, we ignore PR where the number is ironically the same as its adjusted DRF Speed Rating: only 5th best. By trusting the adjustment between FPX and HOL our Betting Line Odds are 9-5 (too many clients ignore our Betting Line when making wagering decisions).

EL P ranks 1st on both PRIMARY and SUPP. Corollary Line Scores. Why then did some our own people lose? Look under TT. It ranks 6th. It has the SLOWEST 2nd Fraction of any other horse in the race. They must have bought into the fallacy that Turn Time equates with ABILITY Time. I can see where a ONE HORSE bettor might have eschewed a horse with ANY 6 ranking. That's why we wager on two horses to win.

AFTER ONE HIDE

Look under EPR it is second. It had the fastest First and Third fractions (#1 FX) but loafed in the 2nd Fraction (Turn Time) which at 7.5 Fur. does not get the same interpretation as it would at shorter distances. Despite its low TT ranking it is #3 in HE, meaning it made a huge move in the final fraction. Look at SCBL. In its pace race it had the lead. In this race it is second to **TWILI**. To be 2nd here it must have made a big move at the end of the second fraction that carried through the final split. Noting this fact we can ignore its TT and look at Fractals Early: #1, This tells us that it must have made that second fraction move late because Fractals are minute increments within the incremental times we see in the DRF or downloaded lines. Now we know that we can rely on **EL P**'s ESP designation of PRESSER. Add this to its #1 LPR, FX, SPR, FW, Entropy and TPP rankings. To top it all off **EL P** it has the LOWEST Balance: 2. And the horse has the HIGHEST Total Energy, which means it has more Energy to spare than all other contenders despite being only 2nd best EPR. Thus, it has the ability to Sustain its pace through the end of the race as shown the #1 CPR Ranking (comparable to our old Sustained Pace readout). Although not derived from the same formula, when CPR is supported by FX, and/or TPP, it is a very healthy sign.

INDISx is an extracted line taken from an 8 furlong route. Our second win bet will either be this horse or **TWIL**. I'm always wary of Xtracted or Projected lines. In this case I'm even more wary of **INDIS** because of its EPR, LPR, CPR rankings of 3-4-3. Its sprint line could not have been very outstanding, it was only 3rd EPR and had no LPR or CPR to compensate. **TWILI**, on the other hand, went wire to wire in its paceline race -as did **EL P**. **TWILI** is an Early horse, in fact, #1 Early. Despite its 6th ranking Late it is 2nd ranked CPR, FX, TOT, Primary, TPP, TS, and E-L Fractals.

Adding to my "Other" win bet decision is the fact that **TWILI**'s Energy Distribution is EARLY while **EL P**'s is Presser. Each of these contenders has rankings in the readouts that support those running pattern designations. The way I read the scenario of this race is:

TWILI takes command through the first and second fractions with **EL P** pressing right behind in the first fraction. **Coolx** makes a big second FRACTION (TT) move, as do the other Xtracted horses. However, their move is short lived. **EL P** takes over and continues moving fast till it crosses the wire, paying \$34.20.

I made this race an easy one. I'll make a series out of this "Cracking the Corollary Code." It was inspired by Tim Brothers, a client from Bristol, England, who crossed the pond expressly to spend a week under my tutelage. He also attended my Tribute Dinner. From his home in the United Kingdom he now downloads from TrackMaster and through the internet bets with a U.S.A. facility. He does not get running odds but uses the Morning Line on his TrackMaster - Synthesis readout to determine his HIDES. Here is a letter from him that was in Follow Up #73:

CRACKING THE COROLLARY CODE

Hi Doc, Shane and the two Mary's!

This is the briefest of e-mailettes to tell you of my biggest win with dollars down.

Tup 0118 race 7. Phantom's Darlin came up 3rd on Synthesis so I bet it (taking the two highest M/L odds from the top three)...Came in at 69.20 T.B., United Kingdom

I pay tribute to his British bulldog determination and his willingness to eschew nationalism for profit. English Racing, the odd design of the tracks themselves and the brevity of meets, is not a suitable training ground for handicapping and betting North American races. They have what they call a "Form Book," which I've seen. It bears no resemblance to our Daily Racing Form or the TrackMaster download. No pacelines, no times (other than final), no meet long enough to make any kind of variant, especially track-to-track. It always makes me wonder, if a Brit can hack it, why do some Yanks still have so many lingering problems?

As I continue this article into a series we'll look at more complex races and further decode corollary readouts, including those in the Quantum Rater, The E-L Diff. Graph, plus all other graphs. Stay tuned.

SYNTHESIS

THE ULTRA - ADVANCED SOLUTION SYNTHESIS—so named because it synthesizes ALL viable corollaries from every viable Sartin readout since 1975: Total speed, Entropy, Quad-Rater, Total Pace Potential, Incremental Match Up Compugraph and more. Many other features have been added or revised and honed into finite chaos formula ratings, adding extra corollaries for more secure wagering decisions with SYNTHESIS.

\$679 *Full price*

Upgrade from Pace Launcher:

From PL4 purchased after August 1997	\$229
From PL4 upgraded from PL3 after August 1997	\$329
From PL4 purchased <i>prior to</i> August 1997	\$379
From PL3	\$429

CA residents add 7.75% tax

The Art & Science of PASSING Races ~

Time marches on!

There was a time when we focused almost exclusively on picking contenders and pacelines. For most of you that time has passed. For others who haven't reached that level we continue to provide articles and client examples on those subjects. Clients who are following my directions send positive letters to Vox Pop. A few others apparently think they have a better way, refuse to accept our directions and find themselves in the great circle of non-winners.

Just look at some of the winning races you see published in the Follow Up. Note the number under the heading: L or Ld, indicating the Paceline they used. Note how seldom successful users go back 4 and how often the predictive line is no farther back than 2, with 3 being acceptable when dictated by a little common sense. Directions for going back more than 3 have been quite explicit and nothing further is needed for anyone with a Follow Up subscription who also reads it.

HIDE #	PROGRAM #	PACELINE #			BOTTOM LINE	--	BETTING LINE			M/L	DAYS	AGE
HIDE#	PN	CNAME	Ld	SR	PR BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDISTS				
(1)	1	INDOM1	83	80	6	18.3	5-2	SA	7.0D	5/2	39	6
(4)	5	MOONL2x	83	74	9	17.8	5-2	BM	8.0D	7/2	20	4
(6)	7	MISTE3	83	79	7	16.3	3-1	FPX	6.0D	6/1	28	4
(3)	4	ENGEL1	80	79	3	15.8	7-2	HOL	7.0D	5/1	12	5
(5)	6	TWIN 3	81	76	11	12.5	9-2	FPX	7.0D	12/1	19	7
(7)	8	PIRAT1	79	79	6	12.5	9-2	HOL	7.0D	4/1	12	4
(2)	3	GOALT1	74	79	13	2.0	20-1	HOL	7.0D	8/1	12	4
(8)	9	DAZZL1	70	80	15	0.0	20-1	SA	6.0D	30/1	23	4

Instructions for contender/paceline selection previous to 1997, were led, for the most part, by Tom Brohamer. While his handicapping has been somewhat restricted since he moved to Palm Desert and a golf course, he now uses Synthesis and has openly stated that he's discarded most of the techniques that he formerly used and taught. He's also severely altered his concept of the so-called "playable" race. Pre-1996 guidelines were producing too many low paying contenders, forcing him to distribute \$300 between win and place on a selection to make a profit. He now bets far less, makes much more.

With current guidelines more races can be handicapped. Races that were once deemed "UNplayable" are now producing much MORE profit for LESS investment. Tom Ainslie, once a conservative three races a day bettor, now finds at least five wagers a day and states that his profits have **more than doubled!** It seems to me that if two of the most respected handicappers of our time can alter their outlook and mental set, so can those of us who are less renowned. This would apply in spades to those whose skill record is not yet renowned at all.

Both Brohamer and Ainslie, along with every other winning expert I know, believe that if my contender/guidelines are valid, the only problem left for the handicapper is to know when to pass a race. They don't mention readout interpretation or wagering decisions because, for them, these have never been a problem.

The accuracy of my guidelines has been substantiated by hundreds of clients and, perhaps more important to the skeptical, by Mark Cramer in his two C&O Report articles in which he (and the Maryknoll Fathers) profited a total of \$499 over 2 four day sessions a month apart. He sent us two \$100 wagering banks instructing us to wager \$2 ONLY on 100% BL/BL overlays. His **gross** return was \$699. I did not personally do the handicapping. I only consulted on the wagering choices. You can read Cramer's second BL/BL article in this issue.

There have always been two schools of thought on the subject of "Playable Races." The original school, promoted before the era of value focus, was to only bet into races where a stand out contender possessed virtually all of the virtues attributable to winners: Earnings, Class, Breeding, Speed, Recency, Top Trainer and Jockey, Post Position all played major roles in the final betting decision. When these factors all became common knowledge, prices on such horses dipped to under two to one and, in many cases even money or less. In fact some old systems were built on betting only the **BOLD TYPE** horses paying even or odds-on in the DRF Consensus.

If using French terms in English composition is now passe, so are the above reasons for selecting contenders. So why do we find many horse "players" still using them? Because they were the most substantive instructions from books, magazine articles or other informational matter first read or heard about by the vast majority of race goers who keep passing it on through word of mouth. We can still read as current gospel such notions in some contemporary books and articles written by authors who should know better. If not the authors, then at least the editors of such publications.

Today, using the DRF Beyer Figures, is a big reason so many who believe in them are betting on low paying favorites. Beyer, himself, apparently doesn't believe in them - at least those in the DRF, or why would he admit in print to a losing 1996. Probably nothing wrong with the Figures themselves. It's over-proliferation that causes them to land their users on favorites and near favorites. This should be okay with us. They give us more OVERLAY opportunities.

Of course, if you just want to get a few winners without regard for making a profit, you can join the mainstream and use them.

Almost every losing or break-even author in one popular turf magazine extols them. Other authors, all in the minority, who are documented winners of races **and** money, discount their value. You should hear Tom Ainslie's private opinions regarding these and some other "Figures."

Today, the true experts PASS races in which they do not find Overlays. Each has a slightly different definition of what constitutes an Overlay, based on their personal odds lines, subjective or computerized. The point is they pass races for the exact opposite reasons applied by most experts of yesteryear. The old method was to pick winners without regard to price. The new generation of experts still seek winners but demand that they pay profitable mutuels.

It's good to see that both Ainslie and Brohamer have become part of the new generation, though Ainslie's writings did acknowledge value betting, even recommend it to those with the ability to discern. There have always been exponents giving lip service to Value Handicapping. You know the names of the present group and have probably heard of those few who touted value in the past. I've been to the races with many in the present group and watched them bet on

2-1 contenders because their subjective personal odds line said they should be going off at EVEN odds.

But I always wondered, was this VALUE or rationalization.

Barry Meadow is the only member of the new breed who has ever openly admitted his profit margin. He says FOUR PERCENT! On his book *Money Secrets at the Racetrack*, he said that 8 to 10% profit was as much as we could earn and we had to expect long strings of losses that might go on for months. I cannot accept such a figure and I hope you won't.

Even at Meadow's maximum we'd only profit \$100 for every thousand dollars wagered. A grim prediction for the \$20 bettor.

At an 8 to 10% return I doubt if anyone would ever go to the races except to watch the horses run. Yet the book sold very well. My own experience with clients has been that they would quit handicapping if they believed such a low expectation was optimal. I received a letter this week from a client saying he was only making a 13% on his money. He added that he was new to the Methodology and expected to do better.

He will if he's not influenced by negative statements such as Barry's who also alleges that one should have a starting bank of at least \$2,000. Even Dick Mitchell said we needed at least a \$1,000 bank. No reasonable person, regardless of income, would ever consider betting enough per race to think 8% to 10% was enough profit to justify the effort of handicapping and going to a track or off-sight wagering center. Certainly no telephone bettor would. The cost, in money and emotional stress, would be prohibitive to most of us.

Consider this: betting \$200 per race with only an expected return of 7% (the average of what Meadow admits making and his top expectation figure) means betting \$1,000 per day for a profit of only a mere \$70. Not enough to buy your buddies meals or drinks. Maybe that's why some experts I know who accept Barry's "Money Secrets" never pick up a tab.

As for that, just read the excerpts from Mark Cramer's two C&O Report articles about the profits he got from his investment in our BL/BL. The first was in Follow Up #70. The other was shown partially in #71, and fully in this issue. Barry's allegation that "It's no big deal to lose for months at a time," comes as a big blow to us. If I lost 4 days at a time, I'd be back doing therapy for those on the couch or, more probably, be on the couch myself.

It is vital for all clients to set higher goals and not be victimized by the contaminated ideologies of any author or so-called expert who would deflate reasonable goals. Goals arising from the optimism of Tom Ainslie's books of old and Brohamer's and Cramer's writing of late, stem from the experience of winners today and are optimal.

Since **today's** profit-making winners pass races for virtually the opposite reasons their forerunners had, it is of prime importance that we never pass a race based on old maxims; never pass a race a lot of the experts define as "Contentious," "Confusing" or "Chaotic." These are the kinds of races in which the public creates false favorites and where winners pay double digit mutuels; the kinds of races that fit perfectly into the philosophy of certified winner Buddy Alvarado, who said: "Whatever the experts say to do, I do the opposite. THAT'S how I win!"

We have supplied forms and a new computer program for isolating VALUE contenders that,

when directions are followed, almost always end up in the TOP 3 Tiers of our new, Improved BL/BL on the Wagering Decision Form program. Thus, our recent focus on VALUE has been proven most profitable for those who don't resist it.

Heretofore in the Follow Up I've made a big point of showing 20 race cycles in which **no** races were passed except when there was more than one FTS (First Time Starter)* or horses with less than 2 North American pacelines and foreign horses with NO North American record. Those were the only exceptions so a lot of maiden and 2 year old races were shown. No attention was paid to distance, surface or class or adjustments. These factors are for record keeping, not your Wagering Form!

If such a form included class, surface and distance, its true purpose would be distorted. Users who tend to weigh their ability to win by any or all of these three factors develop a false self-fulfilling prophecy, lose the big picture which is FOCUS and CONCENTRATED VISUALIZATION of their profit printouts AFTER HIDES.

This a difficult point to get across to many who are still steeped in maxims about claiming drops and rises and other so-called class determinates. Self-imposed prejudices about their ability to win Turf races, routes, distance switches or whatever. There is little I can do to convince such people that they're letting these notions hurt them, minimizing their profit potential. Their attitudinal convictions merely substantiate my comments about the fact that some handicappers do not truly want to win. They would rather lose than relinquish their long standing prejudices.

I've been told by a good number of documented consistent winners, including Aline Best and Fred Tanaka, that proper use of the Wagering Decision Form, especially the new, computerized version with improved BL/BL, has rendered conventional record keeping obsolete for them, at least. I hate to make it sound so easy but if you'll only open your mind and let it work for you as it has for others, IT IS!

Now we come to the next step:

ELIMINATING horses with (near) closing odds of less than 5-2. (Some of you will set a lower minimum. It's a free country).

ELIMINATING races on which ANY wager is not wise. Because the new program is also a LEARNING TOOL, you'll be able to profitably master and truly profit from this procedure within a few racing cycles.

ELIMINATE all contenders, regardless of price, that you would NOT bet because they don't rank in the top 3 in enough corollary combinations, with special emphasis on CPR, FX, TS, SPR, FW and TPP. I said: Special EMPHASIS. Please do NOT ignore other corollaries.

ELIMINATE most races in which MORE than TWO contenders have (near) closing odds of LESS than 5-2 (or your minimum).

*Those who have mastered the use of Pedigree, ala Mike Helm, might wish to ignore my "No more than one FTS guideline. Cramer says his biggest overlays have come from implementing Helm's books on Pedigree. Just be sure you've MASTERED it before you plunge. I never have, although Helm's books are excellent.

Just so there is no misunderstanding, all Wager Forms in the Follow Up to date, including some in this issue, have shown a good profit with no races being passed for any reason other than the two we dwell on: Foreign horses with no North American lines and Maiden Races with more than one FTS.

Yet, think how much better even these reports would have been if certain races were passed because other considerations mentioned in this article, or those that have been consistently effective for you.

Shane probably passes more races than anyone else I know, including myself. He has developed a "FEEL" for the kinds of races he finds unprofitable and just won't enter them. Some days he finds as few as four bettable races at a given track. You'll see this on his older Wagering Forms. But, since "FEEL" is virtually impossible to teach, when I use his work in the Follow Up, I make him re-do the races as I think most clients would.

Many of my own eliminations are made at the highest class tracks -in Stakes and high purse Allowance races where the top 3 choices are ridden by top 3 jockeys, often with one jockey brought in from afar because of his record with the horse. When these Top 3 own virtually all the top 3 corollaries and the odds are not worthy of a bet, I pass. I've been bitten only a few times when doing this. The biggest possible problem here is that these are the most popular and highly publicized of all races. Some people want to bet and win them just for bragging rights, not profit.

It's also usually wise to PASS races in which the TOP 3 BL/BL horses are ALL are going off at odds too low to bet. This would apply ONLY to races with MORE than six starters. In short fields, we often find one of the TOP 3 Tiered BL/BL contenders paying a huge mutuel.

Unfortunately there can be no fixed directions for passing races; Certainly **no rules**. Thus it becomes the last cognitive frontier for those who have mastered the art and science of contender/ paceline selection and Value Wagering. Happily it is a frontier you don't have to cross unless you want even more profit.

The only rule that might apply is DO NOT eliminate horses by Mainstream edicts such as Class drops or rises, fixed recency rules, APV, Earnings per Start or In-the Money Finishes, trainer-jockey stats, Pars, Par Adjustments, (or ANY adjustments if downloading from TrackMaster). I'm wary when clients tell me about specialized Spot Play Angles. If you must use angles, see how the horse rates on one of **today's** Methodology programs first. If it doesn't rate but you have a lot of faith in your so-called ANGLE, go bet it, stick the ticket in your back pocket or purse and then bet as you would without considering any angle that doesn't rate a horse in your initial Top 5 Tiers of BL/BL. After doing this for a time, let your own honestly recorded results be the final answer.

Think seriously about this: If you cannot get most races down to six contenders with Synthesis, you have a serious problem and should work on it by going over each losing race several times to find where you erred. According to Mark Cramer, when you DO get it down to six or less contenders, the new, improved BL/BL itself, after making appropriate HIDES, will be all you need to wager profitably! He thinks that corollaries can confuse inexperienced or inept handicappers. He feels BL/BL alone is an automatic road to profits.

I wish I could fully agree with him. I can't, since BL/BL is the result of weighted corollary combinations. On the other hand for those who are truly confused by corollary readouts, he may be correct to a degree. However, in the long run, persons who live in a state of confusion about anything, seem self-determined to be confused about **something**, no matter what. Those who seek handicapping success yet persist in saying, "I'm confused," or "I just don't understand." are sympathy seekers playing the game of DO ME SOMETHING. They will win only if they get off the drama triangle and stop "playing" their little game. People with true self-esteem are ashamed to say they don't understand. Hence they make a sufficient effort to understand!

ADJUSTMENTS

I continue to get a lot of superfluous questions about making Manual Adjustments, based usually on Track Class. For those who still hand-enter or are downloading from a source other than TrackMaster, the instructions and the warnings about manual adjustments have been published repeatedly in the instruction manuals and the Follow Up.

For example - entering horses from Fpx lines going to SA. When the program asks: Paceline from SA (1) Other (2), just press (1) as if this race came from SA. This way the horse's inflated Fpx SR will not create an undeserved **positive** adjustment (this is not necessary when downloading from T'Master).

Another example: TURF horses from BM or GG going to a major So. Cal. track should be treated the same as Fpx Horses going to SA. Turf times in Northern California are slower because their Turf horses are almost universally of LOWER class.

There are many similar situations in the East, Midwest, South and throughout Canada. I have yet to see a published a Track Class or Track to Track Adjustment chart from any source that was universally accurate or, even more important, up to date. Hence, we must remember the words from the old Yellow Manual (Basic Manual, came with your membership), "Know Thy Track(s)". The best way to know about passing races and the relative quality of shippers where YOU handicap, is to keep generalized records. First, of your ability to pass races with no potential overlay profit. Second, at what level do horses ship evenly from the tracks at which you wager. This is called "Local Knowledge." Those who rely on someone else's knowledge or published data, are almost always in for a big disappointment.

Some clients are substituting "Favorites" for horses with odds too low for wagering consideration. The Favorite may be such a horse, but in many races, not the ONLY underlay. It is also true that in some races FAVORITES go off at adequate odds.

Some clients automatically throw out horses simply because recent lines come from a Fair Track or minor oval. They use the term '**never**'... as in "I never use a horse from——" Please, never say never again. It can be costly. Too many Fair Track horse have been winning at BM and GG recently. Many such horses can usually be eliminated through properly following directions.

The great thing about downloading from TrackMaster is that all necessary adjustments are made FOR YOU through a procedure designed jointly by us and TrackMaster. No automatic procedure is ever perfect but both we and TrackMaster constantly strive to make it so.

The upshot is that the auto-adjust from the TrackMaster download is better than whatever is second best. More people are winning as a result of not zigzagging around with their own adjustments. I've been saying it for 25 years: North American handicappers are "adjustment happy" and feel they should make manual adjustments just because it's fashionable. I've made less than a half dozen manual adjustments since the advent of the Pace Launcher/Synthesis series. Shane has made absolutely none. He pre-qualifies.

The first benefit from passing a race: there is no way you can lose it. Yet, some clients pass races in which they would have earned a big profit had they made a BL/BL designated wager. If that happens very often to you, even NOT losing becomes an unbearable burden.

The kinds of races to pass are those in which no reasonable contender is going off at profitable odds. This can sometimes be determined by the Projected BETTING LINE. When three or more contenders have late tote odds that approximate the BL projected odds and those odds are too low for a profitable wager, consider a **pass**. Key word: **consider**. I add this caveat because in the past a lot of clients have claimed that I said to always do something when all I really said was consider.

This whole subject and my comments might open a can of worms from which some may find more questions than answers. If this applies to you, just use the new computerized Wagering Decision Form, HIDE appropriately and concentrate as directed in the Psychology of Winning series on CURING the DISease of NON-winning. It will work if you let it!

For those who don't telephone bet, don't take a computer to the track or betting site, The Wagering Decision Form is a great learning tool!

Enter the results as you get them from a newspaper or download results from TrackMaster or whatever. If you haven't already done so, HIDE any horse you wouldn't bet. Focus on each day's cycle but especially on each 20 race cycle. The mere act of **concentrated focus** will stimulate your mind/body neurotransmitters to send **information** to your brain that will be **continuously effective**.

This act, repeated over and over, is comparable to hypnosis and meditative visualization combined. It will work in your behalf if you'll let it. The only way to let it is to DO IT!

PASS, MISS, WIN - A Study of Four Consecutive Days

With apologies to those who do not or cannot handicap West Coast tracks, here is a four day study that punches holes in the concept that low paying favorites are winning most of the races. It is also a demonstration of the kinds of races most WINNERS tend to PASS.

With the advent of downloading and the universal ability to handicap a wide range of tracks throughout North America, no one (regardless of their geographical location) is saddled with having to confine wagers to a single track. This was stressed emphatically by Andy Beyer in one of his Washington Post syndicate columns. To him, multiple track wagering made the difference between a **losing** 1996 and a winning 1997.

It applies to all of us as well. With the TrackMaster download we get lines that are adjusted, equalized and normalized, so it takes less than 20 minutes to download and analyze a full card. With the Wagering Decision Form program, we can hide any horse we would not be willing to bet, and get a full printed record by day or cycle. HIDING includes those with odds too low to justify a wager and entrants with little or no corollary support. However, this latter decision should only be made after hiding horses that won't pay 5-2, or whatever minimum you have established.

In this four day period, irrespective of HIDES, there were a total of 37 races run. Favorites or near favorites paying less than 5-2, won 13 of those races BEFORE HIDES. That's 35%. However, for those reasons prescribed by the Methodology and given in the first part of this article, we would have HIDDEN for WIN **31 low paying contenders** and PASSED at least **twelve races**. On doing so, only SIX races would have been counted MISS (loss) because we hid the winner.

In four races we reduce the field to only 5. In one race to **just 4!** These five produced winners paying \$23.20, \$12.40, \$7, \$29.40, and with FOUR remaining contenders, \$11.20. These are **five races that NO client could miss getting in the TOP 3 tiers or better on BL/BL.**

Passing at least twelve races with one question mark, leaves a possible 13 out of 37. Giving us 23 races on which to wager over the four day period. We definitely MISSED (did not win) six races, since the LOW paying winners were hidden.

With the **five** sure long shot winners in the bag, only your personal handicapping skills and decisions can determine how you would have fared in wagering on the remaining 18 races, all of which paid overlay prices. Had you scored in a mere 55% of these races you would have made a generous profit. We did. But that's less important than the fact that a good number of clients properly using the HIDE feature on the Wagering Decision Form program and PASSING races as prescribed, had four days of bonanza profits.

Here are the Result Charts for the four days in question. I think the lesson, if learned, is worth five pages in this Follow Up.

Problems? Call!!!

We answers phones weekdays from 1:00 to 3:00 PM.

Office: 909-845-5907

If you're having a software problem,
call Shane weekdays from 11:30 AM to 3:00 PM weekdays.

Shane: 909-845-1728

Clients are welcome to make an appointment to talk to Dr. Sartin.

Call the office number and schedule your appointment
(in-office or on the phone)...

Hollywood Park Charts

Hollywood Park, Inglewood, California.
Copyright 1999 by Equibase and Hollywood Park.
All finishes confirmed by Eclipse Photography, Inc.
Thursday, November 26, 1999. 12th Day of a 31-Day Meet.

5096—FIRST RACE. 6 1/2 Furlongs. Purse \$30,240. Fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$40,000-35,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4163	Go Falcon, I Pugh	117	5	3	55	42	11	12	150	
2340	Jade Hawk, A Sols	117	4	4	31	3 1/2	43	22	3.40	
4171	Trivia Queen, D Sorenson	117	1	2	1 1/2	22	2nd	31 1/2	2.10	
(4070)	Know That Song, E Delahoussaye	115	6	1	8	6	5 1/2	42 1/2	9.80	
2357	Shimmering, J Valdivia Jr.	115	3	5	4 1/2	52	8	5	15.50	
4153	Shiney Skates, K Desormeaux	117	2	6	21	1hd	3 1/2	5	10.20	

No Scratches.

MISS

8—GO FALCON.....5.00 3.20 2.20

4—JADE HAWK.....3.20 2.20

1—TRIVIA QUEEN.....2.20

Off: 11:00 Time: :22.64 :45.85 1:10.80 1:17.20 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-ch. 5 Slyah Kalem-Ster De Nijinsky Tr-Paul G Aguirre Own-Omar Adababegh. Mutuel Pool \$113,083. Exacta Pool \$79,771. Quinella Pool \$12,564.

\$1 EXACTA (5-4) PAID \$7.50
\$2 QUINELLA (4-5) PAID \$9.60

5097—SECOND RACE. 6 Furlongs. Purse \$20,000. Fillies, 2-year-olds. Claiming price \$25,000-22,500.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5011	Dixie Loe, I Pugh	118	7	2	7	6 1/2	32 1/2	18	4.70	
(2255)	Six Times A Lady, K Desormeaux	118	4	1	3hd	2hd	41	24	2.70	
1495	Order A Dance, J Steiner	118	8	1	5 1/2	5 1/2	31	35	5.00	
4218	Enter Smiling, R Valdez	109	3	5	2 1/2	3 1/2	1hd	4 1/2	2.80	
4218	Redica Get, C Nakatani	118	2	8	1hd	12	1hd	5 1/2	6.30	
4218	Curious Marlene, M Garcia	118	5	3	4hd	4hd	68	69	18.20	
4218	Misty Mamoon, A Smith	107	1	7	8 1/2	7	7	7	6.70	

No Scratches.

7—DIXIE LOE.....11.40 4.40 3.40

4—SIX TIMES A LADY.....3.80 2.80

6—ORDER A DANCE.....3.80

Off: 11:31 Time: :22.69 :46.24 :58.87 1:11.10 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-br. 1.2 Sort Of Briar-Co-Ms. Dixie Dee Tr-Robert A Bean Own-Bean & Kamp. Mutuel Pool \$114,578. Double Pool \$89,810. Exacta Pool \$117,418. Quinella Pool \$17,719.

\$1 EXACTA (7-4) PAID \$20.30
\$2 DOUBLE (5/7) PAID \$31.60
\$2 QUINELLA (4-7) PAID \$19.40

5098—THIRD RACE. 6 Furlongs. Purse \$39,600. Allowance. Fillies, 2-year-olds.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
(4160)	Kipper's Kitten, A Sols	117	1	6	1 1/2	1 1/2	11	13 1/2	10.60	
5003	Becoming, K Desormeaux	117	5	1	2 1/2	2hd	22	24	1.30	
(4268)	Nine Seven Six, D Flores	117	6	2	4 1/2	4hd	31	35	2.60	
2293	Memoranda, E Delahoussaye	120	4	5	6	6	5 1/2	4 1/2	4.40	
5042	Valid Miss Pick, P Atkinson	120	2	3	3 1/2	3 1/2	45	53	32.90	
(4260)	Theater Gospel, C Nakatani	120	3	4	5 1/2	5 1/2	6	8	4.10	

No Scratches.

1—KIPPER'S KITTEN.....23.20 7.40 3.80

5—BECOMING.....3.40 2.40

6—NINE SEVEN SIX.....3.20

Off: 12:01 Time: :22.18 :45.23 :57.49 1:10.42 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-br. 1.2 Kipper Kelly-Jeapir Tr-Jack Carava Own-AJL Farms Inc. Beyreuther & Valente. Mutuel Pool \$182,205. Double Pool \$15,794. Exacta Pool \$118,744. Quinella Pool \$17,187. Pick Three Pool \$85,070.

\$1 EXACTA (1-5) PAID \$29.90
\$1 PICK THREE (5/7/1) PAID \$296.70
\$2 DOUBLE (7/1) PAID \$102.80
\$2 QUINELLA (1-5) PAID \$21.00

5099—FOURTH RACE. 6 Furlongs. Purse \$16,000. Maiden Claiming, 2-year-olds and up, bred in California. Claiming price \$32,000-28,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5006	Chile's Choice, J Gonzalez	119	2	5	21	1hd	11 1/2	12 1/2	5.30	
5006	Mr. Manners, G Gomez	119	3	7	6hd	5 1/2	63	2nd	23.70	
4180	Kaizoku, R Skelly	119	6	8	63	73	51	32	58.30	
4180	Cigar Ron, I Enriquez	119	4	3	1hd	22	23	4no	15.90	
4184	Dero Slew, F Martinez	117	9	1	4 1/2	43	3 1/2	5 1/2	10.10	
5008	Foreverme, J Valdivia Jr.	119	8	2	52	6hd	7 1/2	7nd	3.98	
4180	Hope Chapel, C Nakatani	119	7	9	9	8 1/2	84	7nd	1.20	
4180	Hallel TR Dawn, E Ramsammy	119	5	4	3 1/2	3 1/2	4hd	810	7.10	
4180	Pleasant Year, J Martinez	119	1	6	7 1/2	9	9	9	60.90	

No Scratches.

2—CHILE'S CHOICE.....12.80 6.60 6.20

3—MR. MANNERS.....16.80 11.20

6—KAIZOKU.....16.80

Off: 12:32 Time: :22.53 :46.24 :59.07 1:13.16 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-ch. 2 Corryn Bay-Too Soon To Look Tr-Marcelo Polanco Own-SC Stable. Mutuel Pool \$172,484. Double Pool \$15,027. Exacta Pool \$121,627. Quinella Pool \$15,938. Trifecta Pool \$155,282. Pick Three Pool \$42,375.

\$1 EXACTA (2-3) PAID \$76.80
\$1 PICK THREE (7/1/2) PAID \$544.40
\$2 DOUBLE (1/2) PAID \$137.80
\$2 QUINELLA (2-3) PAID \$104.20
\$1 TRIFECTA (2-3-6) PAID \$2,295.20

5100—FIFTH RACE. 1 1/4 Miles Turf. Purse \$84,000-Added. "The Political Ambition Handicap." A Handicap for 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4213	Native Desert, D Flores	117	2	3	34	34	22 1/2	24	1 1/2	5.20
4034	Alert To Go (Fr), E Delahoussaye	118	4	1	12 1/2	12 1/2	13	11	2 1/2	8.40
5008	Prize Giving (GB), K Desormeaux	118	3	6	8	6	6	3 1/2	33	(1.00)
4179	Rate Cut, A Sols	115	1	4	4hd	4hd	32	49	42 1/2	2.70
(4015)	Snake Snap (GB), B Blanc	114	5	5	55	55	5 1/2	5 1/2	5hd	6.80
4179	Copent Garden (Ire), G Gomez	114	5	2	23 1/2	2 1/2	4hd	6	35.00	

No Scratches.

2—NATIVE DESERT.....12.40 6.60 2.80

4—ALERT TO GO.....6.80 3.20

3—PRIZE GIVING.....2.40

Off: 1:01 Time: :24.25 :47.95 1:12.40 1:38.51 2:01.18 Track: Clear & Firm. Winner-br. 5 Desert Classic-Miss C. Native Tr-Juan Garcia Own-Miguel Rubio. Mutuel Pool \$172,484. Double Pool \$15,586. Exacta Pool \$210,368. Quinella Pool \$27,947. Pick Three Pool \$46,239.

\$1 EXACTA (2-4) PAID \$63.40
\$1 PICK THREE (1/2/2) PAID \$467.10
\$2 DOUBLE (2/2) PAID \$66.80
\$2 QUINELLA (2-4) PAID \$70.80

5101—SIXTH RACE. 6 Furlongs. Purse \$16,000. Maiden Claiming, 2-year-olds and up, bred in California. Claiming price \$32,000-28,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4092	B.J.'s Dream, E Delahoussaye	118	3	3	3hd	3hd	3hd	1hd	2.00	
4092	Big Bad Buddy, A Rodio	118	4	1	3hd	3hd	42 1/2	2 1/2	25.70	
4035	Amber's Beau, O Vergara	118	5	2	3hd	3hd	1hd	32 1/2	2.10	
4035	Trifecta, R Valdez	118	6	3	3hd	3hd	23	43	15.70	
1483	Pueblo Peak, A Sols	118	1	6	53	53	54	57	6.10	
4035	Tape Everything, J Steiner	118	1	6	710	715	61	62 1/2	15.00	
4035	Quapoto, F Alvarado	118	2	8	3hd	6 1/2	720	721	3.50	
4035	Belle's Champion, I Enriquez	119	5	7	8	8	8	8	50.20	

No Scratches.

3—B.J.'S DREAM.....6.00 4.40 3.00

6—BIG BAD BUDDY.....14.60 5.20

7—AMBER'S BEAU.....2.60

Off: 1:31 Time: :22.38 :46.04 :59.13 1:12.74 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-ch. 2 Includer-Peggy's Dream Tr-Linda Cornell Own-Linda Cornell. Mutuel Pool \$170,403. Double Pool \$21,343. Exacta Pool \$126,070. Quinella Pool \$16,830. Trifecta Pool \$138,106. Superfecta Pool \$58,941. Pick Three Pool \$51,268.

\$1 EXACTA (3-6) PAID \$63.80
\$1 SUPERFECTA (3-6-7-4) PAID \$1,818.00
\$2 DOUBLE (2/3) PAID \$27.00
\$1 PICK THREE (2/2/3) PAID \$206.60
\$2 QUINELLA (3-6) PAID \$85.80
\$1 TRIFECTA (3-6-7) PAID \$216.10

5102—SEVENTH RACE. 6 1/2 Furlongs. Purse \$33,000. Allowance. Fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and up, bred in California.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
2311	Ethel N Dave, M Garcia	118	5	1	1 1/2	22	22	1hd	5.50	
4111	Queen's Value, E Delahoussaye	118	2	3	3 1/2	1hd	1hd	24	3.20	
(4175)	Point Break, L Pincay Jr.	118	8	2	2hd	3hd	3 1/2	3 1/2	1.80	
4055	B.J. Baret, J Gonzalez	118	4	4	4hd	45	44	4 1/2	7.30	
9482	Teach Only Love, R Valdez	111	7	6	8	8	6 1/2	53	9.20	
4181	Pitery, I Enriquez	118	3	7	6hd	61	52	62	54.70	
(4056)	Peppermint Twist, M Pedrosa	118	1	8	78	73	7hd	7 1/2	5.70	
5039	Sumthingup, F Valenzuela	116	6	5	5 1/2	5 1/2	8	8	14.90	

No Scratches.

5—ETHEL N DAVE.....13.00 6.60 3.60

2—QUEEN'S VALUE.....4.20 2.60

6—POINT BREAK.....2.60

Off: 2:01 Time: :22.59 :45.32 1:10.43 1:16.97 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-ch. 1.3 Gray Slewpy-Rachael's Prospect Tr-Richard Ballas Own-Jay L Nussbaum. Mutuel Pool \$233,230. Double Pool \$17,136. Exacta Pool \$164,633. Quinella Pool \$21,658. Trifecta Pool \$198,104. Pick Three Pool \$60,921.

\$1 EXACTA (5-2) PAID \$29.90
\$1 TRIFECTA (5-2-8) PAID \$95.00
\$2 DOUBLE (3/5) PAID \$21.20
\$2 QUINELLA (2-5) PAID \$35.60
\$1 PICK THREE (2/3/5) PAID \$67.80

5103—EIGHTH RACE. 5 1/2 Furlongs Turf. Purse \$37,200. Maiden 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
9323	Well Stated, C Nakatani	120	1	2	2	11	12 1/2	3 1/2	2.40	
4074	Metformin, F Alvarado	122	3	3	3	3 1/2	23	23	4.00	
9675	French Chopper, E Delahoussaye	120	5	5	5	6 1/2	63	32 1/2	3.10	
4191	Buffon (Arg), R Valdez	120	4	4	4hd	42 1/2	4hd	4hd	41.40	
4191	Farragut, L Pincay Jr.	120	3	6	5 1/2	53	51	5hd	3.90	
4191	Chiel's Treasure, K Desormeaux	120	3	8	4 1/2	2hd	31	6 1/2	7.30	
4191	Sky Bomber, B Blanc	120	6	7	8	8	8	72	14.70	
9724	Spring Clearance, A Sols	120	5	4	7 1/2	72	7 1/2	8	23.50	

No Scratches.

1—WELL STATED.....6.80 4.40 3.20

2—MATRICULE.....5.20 3.00

6—FRENCH CHOPPER.....2.80

Off: 2:34 Time: :22.93 :45.40 :56.72 1:02.93 Track: Clear & Firm. Winner-ch. 3 Cutless Reality-Talk Faster Tr-Carla Gaines Own-Harris Farms Inc. Mutuel Pool \$242,438. Double Pool \$66,146. Exacta Pool \$156,737. Trifecta Pool \$180,141. Quinella Pool \$21,834. Superfecta Pool \$109,595. Pick Three Pool \$65,511.

\$1 EXACTA (1-2) PAID \$12.20
\$1 SUPERFECTA (1-2-9-10) PAID \$267.60
\$2 DOUBLE (5/1) PAID \$44.00
\$1 PICK THREE (3/5/1,4,5) PAID \$122.40
\$1 TRIFECTA (1-2-9) PAID \$45.00
\$2 QUINELLA (1-2) PAID \$17.20

Hollywood Park Charts

Hollywood Park, Inglewood, California.
Copyright 1998 by Equibase and Hollywood Park.
All finishes confirmed by Equibase Photography, Inc.
Friday, November 27, 1998, 13th Day of a 31-Day Meet.

5104—FIRST RACE. 6 1/2 Furlongs. Purse \$16,000. Maiden. 3-year-olds and up, bred in California. Claiming price: \$32,000-\$28,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4240	China Snow, G Gomez	120	7	2	2 1/4	24	-	13	14 1/2	12.80
4240	Native Jewel, A Soles	118	3	4	6hd	7	-	4hd	2 1/4	1.80
4233	A Star Is Here, J Gonzalez	120	6	1	4hd	31	-	32	3 1/2	2.50
4233	Carrie's Candy, F Alvarado	122	5	3	5hd	52	-	53 1/2	4 1/2	2.40
8275	Magic Bullet, J Valdivia Jr.	120	4	7	1hd	1hd	-	2 1/2	55	28.50
5004	Magical Grey, A Smith	113	2	5	7	6 1/2	-	64	68	8.70
4281	Go J J, J Scott	120	6	3 1/2	6 1/2	7	-	7	17.30	

Scratched	Howitzer	27.60	8.60	5.20
8—CHINA SNOW		3.60	2.80	
4—NATIVE JEWEL				3.00
7—A STAR IS HERE				

Off: 12:30 Time: :22.14 :45.33 :1:11.93 :1:18.87 Track: Cloudy Fast. Winner-b.g.3 Guadalupe Peak-Slater Snow. Tr-John, W Sadler Own-Victor Levine. Mutuel Pool \$187,328. Exacta Pool \$133,455. Quinella Pool \$21,754. Trifecta Pool \$118,697.

\$1 EXACTA (6-4) PAID \$40.00 \$2 QUINELLA (4-6) PAID \$29.60
\$1 TRIFECTA (6-4-7) PAID \$148.00

5105—SECOND RACE. 7 Furlongs. Purse \$9,000. 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price: \$8,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5009	Chariot Chaser, A Castanon	118	12	9	6hd	6hd	-	41	1 1/4	6.10
3073	Ruffaffair, J Puglisi	117	1	1	7 1/2	6 1/2	-	21	2 1/4	8.50
4235	Going Green, B Blanc	117	9	2	10 1/2	10 1/2	-	82 1/2	32	9.90
4158	Bryan's Magic, A Smith	110	6	7	11	11	-	14	43 1/2	1.60
4140	Miranda's Knight, M Pedrosa	117	6	2	5 1/2	7 1/2	-	3hd	5 1/2	4.30
4172	Born Optimist, R Valdez	110	8	10	81	94	-	61	62	14.60
4225	Creates, J Scott	115	7	3	4 1/2	5hd	-	7hd	7 1/2	55.30
4172	Boysville, M Garcia	117	3	8	6 1/2	5 1/2	-	5 1/2	82 1/2	12.10
4172	Farolero (Ch), I Enriquez	117	10	11	12	12	-	12	9no	34.70
3112	Panhandle Pete, J Steiner	117	2	12	11 1/2	11 1/2	-	11 1/2	102	96.70
4172	April The Eighth (GB), D Sorenson	117	5	5	3hd	4hd	-	10hd	112	13.10
4077	Saywithasmile, D Flores	117	4	1	2 1/4	2 1/4	-	93	12	36.80

Scratched	Askette's Concorde, Indorabile, Inyoursface, Twin Falls			
12—CHARIOT CHASER		14.20	8.00	5.20
11—RUFFAFFAIR		8.40	6.20	
9—GOING GREEN				7.40

Off: 1:04 Time: :22.10 :45.37 :1:11.26 :1:24.53 Track: Cloudy Fast. Winner-ch.g.4 His Majesty-A Real Lulu. Tr-Vernon, E Aguayo Own-Vernon E Aguayo. Mutuel Pool \$243,573. Double Pool \$114,402. Exacta Pool \$176,855. Quinella Pool \$27,150. Trifecta Pool \$201,667.

\$2 DOUBLE (8/12) PAID \$200.00 \$1 EXACTA (12-11) PAID \$45.80
\$2 QUINELLA (11-12) PAID \$42.80 \$1 TRIFECTA (12-11-9) PAID \$442.20

5106—THIRD RACE. 1 1/2 Miles. Turf. Purse \$39,800. Maiden. 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
9675	Golden Isle, A Soles	119	6	9	51	3hd	4 1/2	2hd	12 1/2	1.50
4069	To The West, M Pedrosa	122	5	6	3hd	2 1/2	3hd	1hd	22	8.40
4088	Theaterfest, Desormeaux	119	9	10	81	6hd	5 1/4	4 1/2	31	1.40
4088	Indy's Special, E Ramsammy	119	4	5	7 1/2	6 1/4	6hd	7 1/2	4hd	57.20
4169	Runaway Kidd, J Steiner	119	7	4	10	10	10	81	5nk	87.00
4237	Cuake City, F Alvarado	119	8	10	9 1/2	9 1/2	8 1/2	8hd	3hd	34.90
2191	Major Victory, D Flores	122	2	1	6 1/2	7hd	9 1/2	61	11	14.90
4048	Moe Menkhan, A Smith	119	1	2	3 1/2	7hd	9 1/2	61	11	23.90
4237	Trick Star, E Delahoussaye	119	3	3	1hd	41	7 1/2	5 1/2	95 1/2	8.50
5025	Talk About Bolger, M Garcia	119	10	8	2 1/4	11	2hd	10	10	83.30

Scratched	Erasmus Hall, I'm A Playboy			
7—GOLDEN ISLE		5.00	3.40	2.40
6—TO THE WEST				5.60
10—THEATERFEST				2.60

Off: 1:35 Time: :23.93 :49.05 :1:14.05 :1:37.94 :1:50.13 Track: Cloudy Firm. Winner-b.g.3 Farma Way-Need To Play. Tr-Nel Drysdale Own-Vivian Sarus Ezekiel. Mutuel Pool \$302,796. Double Pool \$20,850. Exacta Pool \$208,932. Quinella Pool \$28,692. Trifecta Pool \$227,354. Pick Three Pool \$90,225.

\$2 DOUBLE (12/7) PAID \$38.80 \$1 EXACTA (7-6) PAID \$12.90
\$1 PICK THREE (8/12/7) PAID \$244.10 \$2 QUINELLA (6-7) PAID \$20.00
\$1 TRIFECTA (7-6-10) PAID \$35.30

5107—FOURTH RACE. 6 Furlongs. Purse \$18,000. Maiden. Fillies, 2-year-olds. Claiming price: \$40,000-\$35,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4119	Circle Latour, G Gomez	119	7	1	42	3 1/2	-	1 1/4	1hd	9.10
4235	Flash Of Grey, C Black	119	3	6	21	2hd	-	22	26 1/2	3.40
5017	Five Nines, R Valdez	112	5	5	6hd	52 1/2	-	42	31	7.60
4150	DH-Firsnussofsummer, Steiner	119	2	8	8	7 3/4	-	72 1/4	4	14.00
4150	DH-Stormy Playmate, F Alvarado	119	8	3	13 1/2	12 1/2	-	32 1/2	4 1/2	1.80
4065	Western Kaper, A Smith	112	8	2	72	6hd	-	6hd	63 1/2	16.40
4133	Areyouathigh, J Valdivia Jr.	119	1	7	3 1/4	44	-	5 1/4	7 1/4	3.10
	Tejano Kiss, J Gonzalez	117	4	4	5 1/4	6	-	8	8	41.50

No Scratches				
Claimed	Stormy Playmate-Amberson & Gilbert-Richard Rossales			
7—CIRCLE LATOUR		20.20	7.40	4.80
3—FLASH OF GREY				4.80
5—FIVE NINES				4.40

Off: 2:06 Time: :22.04 :45.82 :58.55 :1:12.06 Track: Cloudy Fast. Winner-b.2 Guadalupe Peak-Quitting Party. Tr-Vivian, M Pulliam Own-Three Star Stable. Mutuel Pool \$347,859. Double Pool \$22,588. Exacta Pool \$240,926. Quinella Pool \$34,091. Trifecta Pool \$251,588. Pick Three Pool \$67,844.

\$2 DOUBLE (7/7) PAID \$55.80 \$1 EXACTA (7-3) PAID \$71.10
\$1 PICK THREE (12/7/7) PAID \$240.60 \$2 QUINELLA (3-7) PAID \$61.80
\$1 TRIFECTA (7-3-5) PAID \$312.70

5108—FIFTH RACE. One Mile. Turf. Purse \$200,000. "Miesque" Grade III. 2-year-old fillies.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4215	Here's To You, Delahoussaye	119	5	1	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	1hd	13 1/2	2.60
	Sweet Lady (re), C McCarron	119	3	2	2 1/4	3hd	-	2 1/4	1 1/2	1.60
	Nauticas, C Nekelani	119	1	1	2 1/4	21	-	22	32	3.00
(4200)	Larkana, E Ramsammy	119	1	1	3 1/4	31	-	3 1/4	4 1/2	10.90
3011	Dynatrot, M Pedrosa	119	3	4	63	7	-	7	5 1/2	39.80
4200	Mufata Fitz (Arg), B Blanc	114	6	5	3 1/4	55	-	4hd	51	35.20
4215	Time To Meet, C Desormeaux	121	2	2	2 1/2	5 1/2	-	6hd	7	5.70

Scratched	Perfect Sing			
5—HERE'S TO YOU		7.20	3.80	2.60

5109—SIXTH RACE. 8 1/2 Furlongs. Purse \$23,520. Starter Allowance. 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
—	Plenty Pepper, G Gomez	117	8	3	1hd	1 1/2	-	15	18	3.90
(4131)	Pellow, E Delahoussaye	122	11	8	7 1/4	7 1/2	-	4 1/2	2 1/2	9.50
5022	Manly Valentine, F Martinez	120	3	11	10 1/2	6hd	-	71	33	29.70
4103	A Prospect For Nan, Desormeaux	115	6	9	81	63	-	6 1/2	4nk	11.20
1574	Air Affair, A Smith	110	10	5	62 1/2	3 1/4	-	21	51	1.40
5022	Mountain Chase, E Ramsammy	115	1	6	4 1/2	2hd	-	32	2 1/2	15.20
4124	Tiz Mighty, D Flores	115	4	7	92 1/2	10 1/2	-	6hd	82	13.20
5022	Flying Journey, A Soles	117	9	4	5 1/2	6 1/4	-	52	92 1/2	32.90
(5024)	Flying Danquament, C Black	120	5	2	2 1/2	4 1/2	-	105	103	6.10
(2273)	Groom And Board, D Sorenson	117	7	1	3 1/2	5hd	-	11	11	88.60
3016	Coarsegold Creek, I Enriquez	117	2	10	11	11	-	11	11	

Scratched	—Hoe B			
8—PLENTY PEPPER		9.80	5.40	4.20
11—PELLOW			8.20	5.40
3—MANLY VALENTINE				8.00

Off: 3:12 Time: :22.46 :44.89 :1:09.33 :1:15.79 Track: Cloudy Fast. Winner-ch.g.3 Ventri-coult-Lora Maria. Tr-Michael Mechowsky Own-SJB Stables. Mutuel Pool \$464,767. Double Pool \$46,057. Exacta Pool \$393,189. Quinella Pool \$52,589. Trifecta Pool \$410,269. Superfecta Pool \$140,057. Pick Three Pool \$85,783.

\$2 DOUBLE (5/8) PAID \$46.80 \$1 EXACTA (8-11) PAID \$43.50
\$1 PICK THREE (7/5/8) PAID \$271.70 \$2 QUINELLA (8-11) PAID \$55.20
\$1 TRIFECTA (8-11-3) PAID \$829.00 \$1 SUPERFECTA (8-11-3-6) PAID \$5,863.80

5110—SEVENTH RACE. 5 1/2 Furlongs. Turf. Purse \$46,600. Allowance. Fillies and Mares, 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price: \$62,500.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4180	Mother's Meeting, G Gomez	114	7	5	5 1/2	5hd	-	75	1hd	6.20
—	Danyross (re), Desormeaux	115	1	7	62	65	-	5 1/2	2no	7.80
—	Desert Lady (re), C Nakatani	118	6	4	4hd	4 1/2	-	4hd	3no	1.80
4145	Topknot Cat, D Flores	117	8	1	3 1/2	3 1/2	-	4 1/2	4no	7.50
4145	Society Set (GB), C McCarron	115	3	9	73	7 1/2	-	6hd	53	4.40
4210	Aurora Slew, A Soles	116	4	4	2hd	21	-	3 1/2	6 1/4	9.50
8294	Moya Maria, J Scott	116	5	3	12 1/2	12 1/2	-	11	72 1/4	47.00
4291	Nawet (Fr), A Smith	109	2	8	81	8 1/2	-	81	6hd	38.70
(4020)	Missed The Cut (re), Delahoussaye	117	9	2	9	9	-	9	9	6.10

No Scratches				
✓ 7—MOTHER'S MEETING		14.40	6.60	4.60
1—DANYROSS			7.80	5.00
6—DESERT LADY				3.20

Off: 3:43 Time: :21.76 :44.21 :56.41 :1:02.80 Track: Cloudy Firm. Winner-b.f.3 General Meeting-Mother Bear. Tr-Jack Carava Own-Golden Eagle Farm. Mutuel Pool \$467,839. Double Pool \$36,929. Exacta Pool \$342,845. Quinella Pool \$49,597. Trifecta Pool \$395,862. Pick Three Pool \$111,628.

\$2 DOUBLE (6/7) PAID \$85.40 \$1 EXACTA (7-1) PAID \$63.40
\$1 PICK THREE (5/6/7) PAID \$200.60 \$2 QUINELLA (1-7) PAID \$86.80
\$1 TRIFECTA (7-1-6) PAID \$254.00

5111—EIGHTH RACE. 6 1/2 Furlongs. Purse \$51,600. Allowance. Fillies and Mares, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
—	Brulay, C Nakatani	116	3	4	2hd	1 1/2	-	1 1/2	1 1/4	0.40
4039	Star's Proud Penny, Desormeaux	115	4	2	3hd	21	-	2 1/2	21	19.80
1164	Holy Nola, D Flores	117	1	5	5hd	5 1/4	-	35	39	3.80
4071	Superlatie, C McCarron	115	2	6	6	41	-	5 1/4	41	5.80
4239	Pick's Change, E Ramsammy	114	5	3	41	6	-	6	5hd	42.70
4231	One O Seven, M Garcia	116	8	1	1hd	3 1/2	-	4hd	6	13.10

No Scratches				
3—BRULAY		2.80	2.80	2.10
4—STAR'S PROUD PENNY			6.80	2.80
1—HOLY NOLA				2.40

Off: 4:13 Time: :22.41 :44.98 :1:09.75 :1:16.09 Track: Cloudy Fast. Winner-b.f.3 Rubiano-Charming Lassie. Tr-Richard Mandella Own-Mr & Mrs JS Moss. Mutuel Pool \$331,422. Double Pool \$41,241. Exacta Pool \$392,479. Quinella Pool \$47,430. Pick Three Pool \$102,546.

\$2 DOUBLE (7/3) PAID \$20.80 \$1 EXACTA (3-4) PAID \$11.80
\$2 QUINELLA (3-4) PAID \$18.40 \$1 PICK THREE (6/7/3) PAID \$89.10

5112—NINTH RACE. 5 1/2 Furlongs. Turf. Purse \$200,000. "Hollywood Turf Express." A Handicap for 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
—	Soldier Field, R Wilson	1	117	8	1	2nd	1st	1st	1 1/2	2.5
5012	Surchet, A Gibbs	1	1	21st	1st	1st	3rd	2 1/4	2.2	2.0
5009	Bodyguard, J Gibbs	1	1	1st	1st	1st	1st	1st	1 1/2	2.0
5023	Curves Brut, C McCall	1	1	1st	1st	1st	1st	1st	1 1/2	2.0
4104	Sam's (Arg), C McCall	1	1	1st	1st	1st	1st	1st	1 1/2	37.5
5023	Dirham (Aus), G Almer	1	1	1st	1st	1st	1st	1st	1 1/2	45.0
4182	Try Jory (Ire), E Ramo	1	2	8	10	1st	1st	1st	1 1/2	45.0
4182	Sam's Pleasure, E Ramo	1	1	1st	1st	1st	1st	1st	1 1/2	45.0
4162	Cap'n Collet (Ire), E Ramo	1	1	1st	1st	1st	1st	1st	1 1/2	45.0
4104	Expelled O'Flaherty	1	19	10	3	52	3rd	9th	10	7.3

Hollywood Park

Saturday, November 28, 1998. 14th Day of a 31-Day Meet.

5114—FIRST RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$12,000, Fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$12,500-10,500.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5029	Jerry's Tootsie, E Ramsamy	117	2	5	6	5 1/2	3 1/2	12 1/2	250	
(5028)	Miguelito, J Valdivia Jr	117	6	2	31	1	3 1/2	23	3.30	
(4193)	Blarney Girl, A Smith	110	6	1	2 1/2	1	3 1/2	1.90		
5020	Baxter's Susie Q, M Pedrosa	117	3	3	4 1/2	4 1/2	5 1/2	41	5.80	
4181	Tutu Time, M Garcia	117	4	4	5 1/2	6	6	5 1/2	18.00	
(4234)	Burch's Baby, D Flores	117	1	6	1 1/2	2 1/2	4 1/2	6	6.20	

No Scratches.
 Claimed — Tutu Time-Mark Glett-Barry Abrams
 Blarney Girl-Glassman & Hollendorfer-Jerry Hollendorfer

2—JERRY'S TOOTSIE	7.00	3.00	2.20
5—MIGUELITO	3.40	2.20	
6—BLARNEY GIRL		2.20	

Off: 12:30 Time: 22:04 :45.18 :57.98 1:10.97 Track: Showery & Wet/Fast. Winner-b.m.5
 Colonel Stevens-Temperature Rising Tr-Ted West Own-Graves & West. Mutuel Pool \$154,250. Exacta Pool \$117,084. Quinella Pool \$19,572.

\$1 EXACTA (2-5) PAID \$11.00 \$2 QUINELLA (2-5) PAID \$10.40

5115—SECOND RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$16,000, Maiden Claiming, 2-year-olds. Claiming price \$32,000-28,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4163	Roanoke's Cash, J Velazquez	119	4	4	21	2	21	2no	2.80	
4163	Listenlistenlisten, Valenzuela	119	7	2	3 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	32	25.60	
5043	Lazar, J Puglisi	119	3	3	3 1/2	3 1/2	3 1/2	41 1/2	3.30	
5015	Special Force, C Black	119	5	2	3 1/2	7 1/2	5 1/2	53	18.50	
9555	Northern Cat, D Sonerson	119	1	3	3 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	3.90	
5055	Mellow Lake, G Gomez	119	6	1	4 1/2	5 1/2	7 1/2	7 1/2	5.70	
5055	Shake It Up Baby, M Pedrosa	117	7	5	7 1/2	8	8	8	5.80	

Scratched — Just Plain Simple, S Buck.
 2—FAST GORTIKOV 19.20 7.40 5.40
 4—ROANOKE'S CASH 4.20 4.00
 7—LISTENLISTENLISTEN 6.40

Off: 1:04 Time: 22:12 :45.79 :58.90 1:12.91 Track: Showery & Wet/Fast. Winner-gr.c.2
 Fast Play-Misty Moisty Tr-Robert W Marshall Own-Keh, King Arthur Farms, Kuklikin et al. Mutuel Pool \$171,851. Double Pool \$89,958. Exacta Pool \$125,208. Quinella Pool \$18,963. Trifecta Pool \$122,211.

\$1 EXACTA (2-4) PAID \$46.60 \$1 TRIFECTA (2-4-7) PAID \$762.10
 \$2 DOUBLE (2-2) PAID \$57.40 \$2 QUINELLA (2-4) PAID \$41.00

5116—THIRD RACE, 1 1/16 Miles, Purse \$25,760, Allowance, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5007	Cool Volcano, V Espinoza	117	5	1	1 1/2	25	1 1/2	23 1/2	13.70	
(4237)	Jerash, D Flores	119	1	5	8	31	3 1/2	29	2.20	
3077	Captain Hook, B Blanc	114	6	3	23	1 1/2	23 1/2	4 1/2	2.20	
4108	Mango Club, J Enriquez	114	4	4	2 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	41	17.60	
(4232)	Untapped Resource, R Valdez	115	3	2	3 1/2	3 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	4.10	
4167	Cannon, E Ramsamy	114	2	6	5 1/2	5 1/2	6	6	3.10	

Scratched — Inwood.
 6—COOL VOLCANO 29.40 9.40 3.60
 2—JERASH 4.40 3.00
 7—CAPTAIN HOOK 2.80

Off: 1:34 Time: 23:59 :47.46 1:12.23 :138.50 1:45.34 Track: Showery & Wet/Fast. Winner-br.g.4
 Kept His Cool-Volcano Gold Tr-Ward Brookfield Own-Ben S & Suzanne Carro. Mutuel Pool \$205,982. Double Pool \$15,745. Exacta Pool \$187,787. Quinella Pool \$26,425. Pick Three Pool \$69,436.

\$1 EXACTA (6-2) PAID \$63.90 \$1 PICK THREE (2/2-6) PAID \$962.20
 \$2 DOUBLE (2/6) PAID \$761.60 \$2 QUINELLA (2-6) PAID \$37.80

5117—FOURTH RACE, 6 1/2 Furlongs, Purse \$37,200, Maiden fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
2238	Galaxia, E Delahoussaye	120	6	21	1	3 1/2	3 1/2	12	9.00	
4163	Kickapoo Song, G Gomez	120	2	31	1	3 1/2	3 1/2	21	7.00	
4163	Angel Cat, R Valdez	113	3	5 1/2	1 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	31 1/2	14.60	
4163	Tova's Princess, M Pedrosa	120	3	3 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	5.70	
2238	Diamond R Forever, G Alvarado	120	4	6	8	8	5 1/2	5 1/2	9.30	
4090	Zaskas Devil, A Sells	120	5	1	11	22	4 1/2	6	3.20	

Scratched — A Lady From Dixie, Bushra.
 5—GALAXIA 3.80 2.60 2.40
 1—KICKAPOO SONG 5.20 3.80
 2—ANGEL CAT 3.40

Off: 2:07 Time: 21:80 :44.20 1:10.18 1:17.05 Track: Showery & Wet/Fast. Winner-b.f.3
 Relaunch-Venus Bound Tr-Richard Mandella Own-R Bass & Seeligson Jr. Mutuel Pool \$322,475. Double Pool \$24,355. Exacta Pool \$228,236. Quinella Pool \$30,717. Trifecta Pool \$178,160. Pick Three Pool \$55,948.

\$1 EXACTA (5-1) PAID \$9.00 \$1 TRIFECTA (5-1-2) PAID \$54.20
 \$2 DOUBLE (5/5) PAID \$100.80 \$2 QUINELLA (1-5) PAID \$13.80
 \$1 PICK THREE (2/6/3,5,6) PAID \$1,063.20

5118—FIFTH RACE, One Mile Turf, Purse \$250,000, "Generous Stakes," Grade III, 2-year-olds.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5034	Incurable Optimist, J Velazquez	121	1	8	5 1/2	11 1/2	11 1/2	14	19	.50
(4227)	Company Approval, J Gonzalez	114	2	6	5 1/2	6 1/2	4 1/2	22	17.50	
5037	Brave Gun, D Flores	114	3	3	23	3 1/2	3 1/2	4no	19.80	
(5037)	Cartel, G Almeida	114	4	3	23	3 1/2	3 1/2	4no	20.30	
4211	Mr. Steve, E Delahoussaye	115	5	7	8	8	5 1/2	5 1/2	5.30	
4065	Dawn Files By, G Gomez	115	6	7	7 1/2	7 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	15.20	
4065	Brilliantly, C Nakatani	115	3	2	21	2 1/2	4 1/2	7 1/2	14.40	
4065	Actin Time, A Sells	115	2	1	3 1/2	3 1/2	5 1/2	8	7.00	

No Scratches.
 1—INCURABLE OPTIMIST 3.00 2.80 2.20
 4—COMPANY APPROVAL 6.00 5.20
 6—BRAVE GUN 4.60

Off: 2:40 Time: 23:81 :47.44 1:11.89 1:37.72 Track: Showery & Good. Winner-ch.c.2
 Cure The Blues-Miss Turfington Tr-David Donk Own-John & Theresa Behrendt. Mutuel Pool \$404,551. Double Pool \$28,417. Exacta Pool \$318,025. Quinella Pool \$34,644. Trifecta Pool \$331,048. Pick Three Pool \$69,806. Superfecta Pool \$82,049.

\$1 EXACTA (1-4) PAID \$12.20 \$1 PICK THREE (6/3,5,6/1) PAID \$83.90
 \$2 DOUBLE (5/1) PAID \$5.40 \$1 SUPERFACTA (1-4-6-5) PAID \$668.20
 \$2 QUINELLA (1-4) PAID \$23.80 \$1 TRIFECTA (1-4-6) PAID \$101.50

5119—SIXTH RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$33,000, Maiden 2-year-olds, bred in California.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4216	General Challenge, D Flores	119	8	11	8 1/2	11 1/2	11 1/2	42	1no	2.80
4216	Okquol, C Nakatani	119	5	5	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	3 1/2	5.70
4216	Snowdown, J Valdez	119	6	6	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	3 1/2	6.10
5010	Kaiser So Say, J Valdez	119	4	4	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	24.70	
4127	Mr. Sealander, J Valdez	119	1	1	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	52	2.10
4216	Fast Forward, D Flores	119	2	2	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	81	7 1/2
4216	Deputy Delegation, J Valdez	119	3	3	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	81	6 1/2
4127	Arctic Front, A Sells	119	7	7	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	91	93
4127	Truly A Planner, M Pedrosa	119	7	7	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	102	102
4127	Ally, J Gonzalez	119	10	2	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	11 1/2	11	117.00

Scratched — Culebra, J Valdez.
 6—GENERAL CHALLENGE 7.60 4.40 3.40

5120—SEVENTH RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$33,000, Allowance, 3-year-olds and up, bred in California.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4212	King Of The Wild, E Delahoussaye	118	4	7	3 1/2	3 1/2	3 1/2	24	1 1/2	.90
4208	Confirmed Flight, V Espinoza	118	2	3	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	12	1 1/2	3.10
(4155)	I've Had It, C Nakatani	118	6	5	8	8	8	3 1/2	3 1/2	7.90
4025	Gusto Forso, M Garcia	118	1	8	6 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	32.00
3137	Meirose Lane, J Valdez Jr	118	5	6	7 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	5 1/2	6 1/2	39.40
4124	Karyn's Bounty, D Flores	118	8	2	4 1/2	7 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	8.70
5022	Intrepid King, O Barrio	118	7	1	5 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	8	73	64.20
3039	Sickan Don, A Sells	120	3	4	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	8	8	6.20

Scratched — Policy Maker, Tzigane.
 4—KING OF THE WILD 3.80 2.60 2.40
 2—CONFIRMED FLIGHT 3.20 2.40
 6—I'VE HAD IT 2.80

Off: 3:42 Time: 21:87 :44.95 :57.46 1:10.42 Track: Rainy & Wet/Fast. Winner-br.g.3
 Bertrando-Childhood Memories Tr-Warren State Own-King Edward Racing Stable. Mutuel Pool \$356,551. Double Pool \$25,776. Exacta Pool \$253,696. Quinella Pool \$40,716. Trifecta Pool \$306,454. Pick Three Pool \$78,204.

\$1 EXACTA (4-2) PAID \$5.80 \$1 TRIFECTA (4-2-6) PAID \$20.80
 \$2 DOUBLE (8/4) PAID \$17.40 \$2 QUINELLA (2-4) PAID \$6.00
 \$1 PICK THREE (1/8/4,9) PAID \$9.80

5121—EIGHTH RACE, 1 1/4 Miles Turf, Purse \$300,000, "Citation Handicap," Grade II, A Handicap for 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
(4042)	Military, G Gomez	118	2	1	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	9.30
4137	Mr. Lightfoot (fr), D Flores	117	1	3	2 1/2	3 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	29.10
5008	Workday Ways (GB), V Espinoza	114	7	5	7 1/2	7 1/2	6 1/2	3 1/2	3 1/2	34.00
4137	Joe Vito (Br), E Ramsamy	115	4	2	3 1/2	2 1/2	3 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	17.30
4137	Astardad, C Nakatani	121	5	6	8	8	8	7 1/2	4 1/2	3.70
4137	Potter, E Delahoussaye	117	8	4	5 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	3.70
(4137)	Hawkeye Hill (fr), A Sells	123	6	4	6 1/2	5 1/2	4 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	80
4137	Aboriginal Apex, L Pincay Jr	117	3	7	4 1/2	4 1/2	5 1/2	8	8	30.00

Scratched — Martiniquis (fr).
 2—MILITARY 20.80 9.60 5.40
 1—MR LIGHTFOOT 21.20 10.40
 8—WORLDLY WAYS 10.00

Off: 4:18 Time: 24:01 :48.00 1:12.53 1:37.20 1:50.58 Track: Rainy & Good. Winner-br.c.4
 Danzig-Wavering Girl Tr-Wallace Deltase Own-The Thorwardghed Corp. Mutuel Pool \$450,054. Double Pool \$36,447. Exacta Pool \$318,070. Quinella Pool \$41,118. Trifecta Pool \$310,634. Superfecta Pool \$113,850. Pick Three Pool \$112,029.

\$1 EXACTA (2-1) PAID \$131.90 \$1 SUPERFACTA (2-1-8-4) PAID \$14,808.80
 \$2 DOUBLE (4/2) PAID \$41.40 \$1 PICK THREE (8/4,9/2) PAID \$69.60
 \$2 QUINELLA (1-2) PAID \$134.00 \$1 TRIFECTA (2-1-8) PAID \$2,151.90

5122—NINTH RACE, 5 1/2 Furlongs, Purse \$34,720, 3-year-olds and up. Note: Originally scheduled on the turf. Claiming price \$50,000-45,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4208	Chikalits, D Flores	117	8	2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	12	1 1/2	2.90
4213	Fearless Pirate, J Enriquez	117	4	1	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	3.30
4086	Keeps Scratchin', J Puglisi	117	5	3	6	5 1/2	5 1/2	3 1/2	3 1/2	3.40
5023	Saylo, L Pincay Jr	117	3	4	5 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	3.00
4177	Back Again, E Delahoussaye	116	2	6	4 1/2	6	6	6	5 1/2	11.30
9183	Mady Sharp (GB), V Espinoza	117	1	5	3 1/2	3 1/2	3 1/2	5 1/2	6	5.80

Scratched — Abre Alas (fr), Cambise, Cyranos Storme (fr), Flashy Finish, Middleberg (GB), Pirate's Gulch, Play Waki For Me, Ruby Lover.
 11—CHIKALITS 7.80 4.20 3.00
 8—FEARLESS PIRATE 5.60 3.40
 10—KEEPS SCRATCHING 3.00

Off: 4:44 Time: 22:58 :45.62 :57.32 1:03.38 Track: Rainy & Wet/Fast. Winner-b.g.5
 Mark Chip-Chikalits Tr-Juan Garcia Own-Mmes Millard or Rous. Mutuel Pool \$247,219. Double Pool \$28,435. Exacta Pool \$155,294. Trifecta Pool \$138,666. Quinella Pool \$23,175. Pick Three Pool \$72,630.

\$1 EXACTA (11-9) PAID \$21.50 \$1 TRIFECTA (11-9-10) PAID \$46.10
 \$2 DOUBLE (2/11) PAID \$199.80 \$2 QUINELLA (9-11) PAID \$25.40
 \$1 PICK THREE (4,9/2,1,4,7,11) PAID \$121.10

5123—TENTH RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$18,000, Maiden Claiming, Fillies, 2-year-olds, bred in California. Claiming price \$32,000-28,000.

Hes, 2-year-olds, bred in California. Claiming price \$32,000-28,000.										
Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
—	Excessive Bliss, D Flores	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	1 1/2	2.20
4017	Little Miss Marlo, G Gomez	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	2 1/2	3.90
4194	Mojave Bay, A Soto	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	3	5.90
5017	Polar Cat, F Valdez	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	3	4.20
5017	Sweep Gold, F Valdez	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	3 1/2	72.40
5017	January Gold, F Martinez	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	6 1/2	7.10
—	Beauch, V Espinoza	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	7 1/2	22.20
4115	Peterson, J Steiner	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	8 1/2	11.00
—	Robbin Lane, M Garcia	119	9	4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	12	9 1/2	40.40
5051	Society Rose, R Valdez	110	4	5	7 1/2	10	10	10	10	109.90
Scratched — Melor										

Hollywood Park Charts

Hollywood Park, Inglewood, California.
Copyright 1998 by Eclipse Photography, Inc.
All finishes confirmed by Eclipse Photography, Inc.
Sunday, November 29, 1998. 15th Day of a 31-Day Meet.

5124—FIRST RACE, 8 Furlongs, Purses \$23,000, 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$32,000-28,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4165	Island Capar, E Delahoussaye	117	4	2	1 1/4	3 1/4	-	1 1/4	1 1/4	6.00
4208	Mc Fig, G Stevens	117	1	4	4 1/4	3 1/4	-	3 1/4	2 1/4	1.30
4185	Cold N Calculating, M Pedraza	117	5	1	3 1/4	2 1/4	-	2 1/4	3 1/4	1.40
4208	Northern Attack, E Ramsammy	117	3	3	2 1/4	4 1/4	-	4 1/4	4 1/4	21.50
5023	Fierazo (Ch), O Vergara	117	2	5	5	-	-	5	5	4.90

Scratched — Asmotamich, Carpet Ride, First Intent, Testimony.
Claimed — Mc Fig, Michael Bello-Rick Cennan; Cold N Calculating-Jose E DeLima-Jose E DeLima; Fierazo-Brian Becker-Jack C VanBerg.

6—ISLAND CAPER 14.00 4.60 2.20
1—MC FIG 3.00 2.10
5—COLD N CALCULATING 2.10

Off: 12:35 Time: 22.51 :45.66 :57.91 :1:10.39 Track: Cloudy & Muddy. Winner-br.g 5 Beau Genius-Maul Capar Tr-Warren Stute Own-Dryer, Fellows & Smith, Mutuel Pool \$187,073. Exacta Pool \$119,242. Quinella Pool \$20,910. Trifecta Pool \$85,638.

\$1 EXACTA (6-1) PAID \$17.20 \$2 QUINELLA (1-6) PAID \$13.80
\$1 TRIFECTA (6-1-6) PAID \$31.60

5125—SECOND RACE, 1 1/16 Miles, Purses \$39,600, Maiden 2-year-olds.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5034	Mr. Broad Blade, C McCarron	118	4	2	6 1/4	5 1/4	1 1/4	12	13	1.70
4211	No Cal Bread, K Desormeaux	118	7	1	2 1/4	2 1/4	2 1/4	23 1/4	26 1/4	1.90
2187	Importation, G Gomez	118	5	8	8	8	8	4	4	4.40
4125	Roncesvalles, I Enriquez	118	8	5	5 1/4	5 1/4	5 1/4	5 1/4	5 1/4	6.70
4125	Delray Delf, V Espinoza	118	1	6	7 1/4	7 1/4	7 1/4	6 1/4	6 1/4	41.50
2301	Prince Of The Wild, Delahoussaye	118	9	7	3 1/4	7 1/4	4 1/4	7 1/4	7 1/4	10.10
4224	Hurricane's Eye, A Smith	118	3	4	4 1/4	4 1/4	4 1/4	7 1/4	7 1/4	47.00
4224	The Wicked King, C Black	118	2	3	1 1/4	1 1/4	1 1/4	8	8	40.00

Scratched — Serve The Flag.
WL BROAD BLADE 5.40 2.80 2.40
7—NO CAL BREAD 3.00 2.40
5—IMPORTATION 2.60

Off: 1:03 Time: 23.10 :46.77 :1:11.80 :1:39.35 :1:45.08 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-br.c 2 Broad Brush-Miles High Blade Tr-Mike Mitchell Own-Brown, Brown, Brown et al, Mutuel Pool \$259,170. Double Pool \$123,708. Exacta Pool \$173,205. Quinella Pool \$24,811. Trifecta Pool \$205,225.

\$1 EXACTA (4-7) PAID \$7.40 \$1 TRIFECTA (4-7-5) PAID \$19.50
\$2 DOUBLE (6/4) PAID \$57.40 \$2 QUINELLA (4-7) PAID \$6.80

5126—THIRD RACE, 8 Furlongs, Purses \$39,600, Allowance, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4082	A-Master O Foxhounds, Pedraza	118	2	3	2 1/4	2 1/4	-	1 1/4	12	3.00
5022	Mellow Fellow, G Gomez	118	3	5	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	2 1/4	22	3.40
4262	Go Not Whoa, G Stevens	118	4	6	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	4 1/4	32 1/4	2.10
1289	A-A Touch Of Grey, C Black	120	6	2	6 1/4	6 1/4	-	5 1/4	4	3.00
5075	Terse, D Flores	120	1	4	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	3 1/4	5 1/4	14.70
6187	Scoop Of Ice, C McCarron	122	7	1	3 1/4	4 1/4	-	6 1/4	6 1/4	2.40
5073	Imworthtime, E Delahoussaye	118	5	7	7	-	-	7	7	31.20

No Scratches.
1—MASTER O FOXHOUNDS 6.00 3.40 2.10
4—MELLOW FELLOW 3.80 2.40
3—GO NOT WHOA 2.20

Off: 1:32 Time: 22.33 :45.70 :58.07 :1:10.20 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-br.g 3 Deputy Minister-Watch Out Tr-D Wayne Lukas Own-Robert B & Beverly J Lewis, Mutuel Pool \$291,083. Double Pool \$24,562. Exacta Pool \$268,276. Quinella Pool \$38,964. Pick Three Pool \$98,881.

\$1 EXACTA (1-4) PAID \$16.80 \$1 PICK THREE (6/4/1) PAID \$89.10
\$2 DOUBLE (4/1) PAID \$24.00 \$2 QUINELLA (1-4) PAID \$15.00

5127—FOURTH RACE, 5 1/2 Furlongs, Purses \$44,400, Allowance/Claiming, 3-year-olds and up. Note: Originally scheduled on the turf. Claiming price \$82,500.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4032	Majorbigtimesheet, G Stevens	115	2	1	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	12	13 1/4	4.60
4262	Lexicon, K Desormeaux	117	1	2	2 1/4	2 1/4	-	23	26 1/4	1.00
4158	Venus Genus, E Delahoussaye	116	4	4	3 1/4	3 1/4	-	32 1/4	3 1/4	1.60
4212	Fastaspedspeed, L Pincey Jr.	119	3	3	4	4	-	4	4	5.60

Scratched — Court Costs, El Cielo, Gypsy's Gold, Moscow (fr), New Century, Rotsabuck, Sir Nibbles, Star's Wild.
5—MAJORBIGTIMESHEET 11.20 3.60 OUT
10—LEXICON 2.80 OUT
4—VENUS GENUS OUT

Off: 2:03 Time: 22.36 :45.06 :56.82 :1:02.67 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-ch.c 3 Carlson City-Roll Over Baby Tr-Jenine Sahadi Own-Fred Sahadi, Mutuel Pool \$223,409. Double Pool \$29,474. Exacta Pool \$181,324. Quinella Pool \$20,940. Pick Three Pool \$62,994.

\$1 EXACTA (5-10) PAID \$14.80 \$1 PICK THREE (4/1/5) PAID \$73.80
\$2 DOUBLE (1/5) PAID \$53.00 \$2 QUINELLA (5-10) PAID \$11.40

5128—FIFTH RACE, 7 1/4 Furlongs, Purses \$20,000, 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$25,000-22,500.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4165	Bold Capital, G Gomez	117	4	4 1/4	6 1/4	-	-	2 1/4	13 1/4	3.50
4077	Uncaged, V Espinoza	117	1	7	6 1/4	5 1/4	-	4	2 1/4	5.80
4221	Aplomado, F Valenzuela	117	3	3	3 1/4	3 1/4	-	11	3 1/4	6.40
4050	Glory Be Mine, K Desormeaux	117	7	2	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	3 1/4	4 1/4	2.30
4221	Just Jaws, L Pincey Jr.	117	6	5	5 1/4	4 1/4	-	6	5 1/4	6.70
4123	Festivo, A Smith	110	5	5	7	7	-	5 1/4	8	9.30
4219	Krut, D Sorenson	117	6	1	2 1/4	2 1/4	-	4 1/4	4 1/4	5.30

Scratched — Broadway Beau, Policy Maker, Prince Quick, Seattle Spell, Way The Best.
4—BOLD CAPITAL 9.00 4.80 3.60
1—UNCAGED 6.80 5.00
2—APLOMADO 4.00

Off: 2:38 Time: 22.08 :45.33 :1:10.49 :1:30.15 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-br.g 7 Bold Ruckus-Star Account Tr-Jaime H Gomez Own-Jaime H Gomez, Mutuel Pool \$361,249. Double Pool \$29,117. Exacta Pool \$261,334. Quinella Pool \$9,711. Trifecta Pool \$254,516. Pick Three Pool \$80,175.

\$1 EXACTA (4-1) PAID \$29.20 \$1 PICK THREE (1/5/4) PAID \$176.80
\$2 DOUBLE (5/4) PAID \$47.20 \$2 DOUBLE CONSOLATION (8/8) PAID \$11.40
\$2 QUINELLA (1-4) PAID \$31.20 \$1 TRIFECTA (4-1-2) PAID \$172.80

5129—SIXTH RACE, 1 1/4 Miles Turf, Purses \$700,000, "The Matrilarch," Grade 1, Fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4263	Squeak (GB), A Sells	123	1	8	8	7 1/4	5 1/4	2 1/4	1 1/4	6.60
4263	Real Connection, D Flores	123	5	7	5 1/4	5 1/4	2 1/4	1 1/4	2 1/4	41.20
4272	Green Jewel (GB), Delahoussaye	123	7	6	8 1/4	6 1/4	8	5 1/4	3 1/4	15.40
4053	Society Dream (Fr), Darmaux	123	2	5	7 1/4	4 1/4	6 1/4	4 1/4	4 1/4	22.20
4263	Auntie Marie, J Velazquez	123	6	4	4 1/4	4 1/4	6 1/4	6 1/4	5 1/4	1.30
4263	Whitful Thinking, C McCarron	123	4	3	3 1/4	3 1/4	4 1/4	8	5 1/4	4.40
2290	Sophie My Love, G Stevens	123	8	1	1 1/4	1 1/4	1 1/4	3 1/4	7 1/4	11.30
4263	See You Soon (Fr), C Nakatani	123	3	2	2 1/4	2 1/4	3 1/4	7 1/4	8	3.20

Scratched — Sonja's Faith (fr).
1—SQUEAK 15.20 9.40 6.40
5—REAL CONNECTION 25.80 12.20
8—GREEN JEWEL 9.20

Off: 3:14 Time: 24.98 :48.90 :1:13.69 :1:39.69 :2:05.06 Track: Cloudy & Yielding. Winner: ch.f 1.4 Selkirk-Santa Linda Tr-BDA Cecil Own-Gary A Tanaka, Mutuel Pool \$534,852. Double Pool \$36,778. Exacta Pool \$345,886. Quinella Pool \$46,762. Trifecta Pool \$380,027. Pick Three Pool \$87,761. Superfecta Pool \$148,835.

\$1 EXACTA (1-5) PAID \$297.50 \$1 SUPERFECTA (1-5-8-2) PAID \$16,743.30
\$2 DOUBLE (4/1) PAID \$62.00 \$1 PICK THREE (5/4/1) PAID \$319.20
\$2 QUINELLA (1-5) PAID \$378.80 \$1 TRIFECTA (1-5-8) PAID \$2,592.60

5130—SEVENTH RACE, 8 Furlongs, Purses \$37,200, Maiden 2-year-olds.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4263	Outstanding Hero, Delahoussaye	119	9	5	4 1/4	4 1/4	-	1 1/4	1 1/4	1.00
4178	Star Split, D Flores	119	8	3	3 1/4	3 1/4	-	2 1/4	26 1/4	1.70
4263	Projectionist, C McCarron	119	7	1	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	3 1/4	3 1/4	4.10
4263	Slew O' Aces, E Martinez	119	6	2	2 1/4	2 1/4	-	4 1/4	5 1/4	59.60
4263	The Wicked Path, C Black	119	5	4	4 1/4	4 1/4	-	7	7	6.30
4263	Immaculate, J Valenzuela	119	4	3	2 1/4	2 1/4	-	5 1/4	7	5.60

Scratched — McAdam, Sweep Back, Tornado Alert.

5131—EIGHTH RACE, 1 1/4 Miles Turf, Purses \$500,000, "Early Times Hollywood Derby," Grade 1, 3-year-olds.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5033	Divis Dot Com, D Flores	122	3	1	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	1 1/4	1 1/4	17.80
4263	Lone Bid (Fr), C Nakatani	122	6	9	8 1/4	6 1/4	4 1/4	3 1/4	3 1/4	15.00
4222	Dr. Fong, K Desormeaux	122	10	7	6 1/4	6 1/4	6 1/4	5 1/4	5 1/4	9.90
4222	Dr. Fong, K Desormeaux	122	2	8	7 1/4	6 1/4	6 1/4	5 1/4	5 1/4	12.10
4222	Mail Coach (Ch), E Delahoussaye	122	4	10	8 1/4	8 1/4	10	8 1/4	8 1/4	17.20
4217	Lido (fr), A Sells	122	1	6	10	10	10	9 1/4	7 1/4	57.40
4222	Crowd Pleaser, J Samyri	122	8	5	4 1/4	4 1/4	5 1/4	7 1/4	8 1/4	6.00
2188	Prevalence (GB), L Pincey Jr.	122	5	2	3 1/4	3 1/4	4 1/4	5 1/4	9 1/4	77.90
4222	Takanian (fr), C Black	122	7	4	5 1/4	5 1/4	6 1/4	10	10	52.60

No Scratches.
9—VEROENNES 37.80 15.00 9.40
3—DIXIE DOT COM 12.20 7.40
6—LONE BID 8.20

Off: 4:19 Time: 23.70 :48.99 :1:13.07 :1:36.62 :1:49.44 Track: Cloudy & Yielding. Winner-br.g 3 Dynafarmer-Shareefa Tr-Mark Hennig Own-December Hill, Mutuel Pool \$546,492. Double Pool \$26,271. Exacta Pool \$307,878. Quinella Pool \$40,786. Trifecta Pool \$337,149. Superfecta Pool \$128,181. Pick Three Pool \$122,578.

\$1 EXACTA (9-3) PAID \$236.00 \$1 PICK THREE (1/1,2,7,9/9) PAID \$901.90
\$2 DOUBLE (9/9) PAID \$82.40 \$1 SUPERFECTA (9-3-4-10) PAID \$6,819.80
\$2 QUINELLA (3-9) PAID \$238.40 \$1 TRIFECTA (9-3-6) PAID \$1,963.40

5132—NINTH RACE, 7 1/4 Furlongs, Purses \$100,000, "The Cal's Cradle Handicap," A Handicap for fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and up, bred in California.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4209	Supercilious, L Pincey Jr.	120	3	4	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	2 1/4	15	1.40
4210	Career Collection, Desormeaux	120	5	3	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	1 1/4	21	2.10
4209	Pert Laura, M Garcia	120	4	2	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	3 1/4	22 1/4	22.90
4231	Walk In Time, E Delahoussaye	120	6	1	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	4	4 1/4	1.90
4210	Seattle Carla, G Gomez	120	7	5	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	5	5	11.20

Scratched — Bountiful Dreamer, Bored, Bored, Bored.
6—SUPERCILIOUS 4.80 2.80 2.40
1—CAREER COLLECTION 3.40 2.80
2—PERT LAURA 3.20

Off: 4:48 Time: 22.89 :46.21 :1:10.63 :1:29.32 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-br.m 5 Skywalker-Table Frolic Tr-Richard Mandella Own-Herman Sarkowsky, Mutuel Pool \$242,373. Double Pool \$116,074. Exacta Pool \$160,507. Trifecta Pool \$80,737. Quinella Pool \$23,973. Superfecta Pool \$50,383. Pick Three Pool \$88,376.

\$1 EXACTA (6-1) PAID \$7.50 \$1 PICK THREE (1,2,7,9/9-6) PAID \$188.10
\$2 DOUBLE (6/6) PAID \$138.40 \$1 SUPERFECTA (6-1-2-7) PAID \$56.00
\$1 TRIFECTA (6-1-2) PAID \$31.80 \$2 QUINELLA (1-6) PAID \$7.40

5133—TENTH RACE, 1 1/4 Miles Turf, Purses \$39,600, Maiden fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	W	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
2252	Good Enough (Fr), G Almeida	119	1	2	4 1/4	4 1/4	-	3 1/4	1 1/4	14.80
4078	Meditative, B Blanc	119	3	1	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	1 1/4	23	28.70
4078	Finely Yours, C M	119	6	1	6 1/4	6 1/4	-	4 1/4	3 1/4	3.60
4175	Dynakey, C Black	119	4	3	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	4 1/4	4 1/4	9.30
4078	Herodagave, L Pincey Jr.	119	5	1	2 1/4	2 1/4	-	1 1/4	2 1/4	44.40
4078	Amourable, L S	119	7	4	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	5 1/4	5 1/4	48.10
4078	Qualitative, G Gomez	119	8	9	9	9	-	8	8	7.40
4175	Queenoftheprom, G Gomez	119	1	3	4 1/4	4 1/4	-	4 1/4	4 1/4	6.9

Pass Contest

I STILL receive a number of Wagering Decision Forms that indicate many clients do not (or will not) PASS enough races. Perhaps it's because they can't resist having a winner without regard for profit. It could also be a failure to properly utilize the HIDE feature. In some cases we find clients who are insecure about PASSING any race. Yet, by the same token, we still have some who PASS races by outdated Mainstream standards (rules). These clients are failing to even **enter** the kinds of races that produce the higher paying overlays. As result they get the low paying favorites, lose money and complain that only those favorites or near-favorites are winning. We know they subscribe to the Follow Up, but apparently don't read it or perhaps ignore what they read in favor of the mainstream material they digested earlier in their handicapping experience.

So, I'm calling all winners with proven techniques for passing races to submit a list of no more than SIX (hopefully LESS) guidelines that others can utilize to HELP them PASS races and become WINNERS like YOU. As all profit-making winners know, when directions are followed, the only aspects of this Methodology that have been left to "art," as opposed to science, are the art of passing races and making wagering decisions based on proper interpretation of the computerized information we provide.

The one art-related aspect of handicapping that most losers share is the Art of Ego-based decisions and the use of outdated concepts when selecting contenders and so-called "playable" races. Some of those outdated concepts were taught by myself and Teaching Members. I have listed them, denounced them and substituted current guidelines that make those old concept **completely obsolete!**

THE PRIZES

To the 2 entrants submitting the BEST lists of how they PASS RACES that PROVE the most viable over 50 TEST RACES: \$75 off any current or future Sartin Methodology computer program or printed material, including workbooks, back issues of the Follow Up or our Video tape session **Today's Sartin Methodology**. To the next three best: \$50 off the price of that listed above.

Relative to the four days just seen, Shane received a call about what would have been the fifth day, December 2nd. The caller said nothing but favorites won on that day's 8 race card. Four Favorites did win but two were races designated PASS. Three paid between \$7.60 and \$9.40. Shane asked the caller about the FIFTH race. Answer: didn't do it. Shane responded with his readouts and results of that race. PLEASE NOTE: Before HIDES he used 8 of the 9 entrants. He never went back more than THREE pacelines. Moonlight Glory is not a maiden. It has a blank space before its name because it came from Bay Meadows. Here are Shane's readouts BEFORE & AFTER HIDES and the Results:

HOL1202 5 7.0 D CL 3+ \$8,000 CP=\$8,000 PR=79

HIDE#	PN	CNAME	Ld	SR	BOTTOM LINE		BETTING LINE		ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE
					PR	BAL	LS	LS					
(1)	1	INDOM1		83	80	5	18.3		5-2	SA 7.0D	5/2	39	6
(3)	4	ENGEL1		80	79	3	17.8		5-2	HOL 7.0D	5/1	12	5
(6)	7	MISTE3		83	79	6	15.3		7-2	FPX 6.0D	6/1	28	4
(7)	8	PIRAT1		79	79	6	14.5		7-2	HOL 7.0D	4/1	12	4
(5)	6	TWIN 3		81	76	10	14.5		7-2	FPX 7.0D	12/1	19	7
(4)	5	MOONL3		76	78	14	9.0		6-1	BM 6.0D	7/2	20	4
(2)	3	GOALT1		74	79	11	1.0		20-1	HOL 7.0D	8/1	12	4
(8)	9	DAZZL1		70	80	14	0.0		20-1	SA 6.0D	30/1	23	4

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACIALS															PRIM					SUPP								
=====				B L T			PRIM			SUPP			FRACT			=====					E P P C T H F F S F E T P							
=====				A S O			LS R			LS R			E L N			ESP		SCBL		P P P R R R			T T T E W X P R X S T P					
##	PNCNAME	LdNT	SR	L	P	T	-	-	-	-	-	-	E	L	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	1	INDOM1		83	5	3	2	17	1	15	2		4	3	3													
2	3	GOALT1	1	74	11	8	5	44	7	31	6		7	6	5													
3	4	ENGEL1	1	80	3	5	1	22	2	7	1		3	1	1													
4	5	MOONL3		76	14	2	6	35	6	33	7		8	7	7													
5	6	TWIN 3		81	10	1	4	27	5	19	5		2	5	6													
6	7	MISTE3		83	6	4	3	23	3	17	4		1	4	4													
7	8	PIRAT1	1	79	6	6	2	26	4	16	3		5	2	2													
8	9	DAZZL1		70	14	7	7	52	8	38	8		6	8	8													

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

HOL1202 5 7.0 D CL 3+ \$8,000 CP=\$8,000

HIDE#	PN	CNAME	Ld	SR	BOTTOM LINE		BETTING LINE		ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE
					PR	BAL	LS	LS					
(2)	W 4	ENGEL1		80	79	2	22.3		EVEN	HOL 7.0D	5/1	12	
(4)	7	MISTE3		83	79	5	20.0		9-5	FPX 6.0D	6/1	28	
(3)	6	TWIN 3		81	76	8	19.0		2-1	FPX 7.0D	12/1	19	
(5)	8	PIRAT1		79	79	5	19.0		2-1	HOL 7.0D	4/1	12	
(1)	3	GOALT1		74	79	9	9.0		6-1	HOL 7.0D	8/1	12	

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS															PRIM					SUPP									
=====				B	L	T	PRIM		SUPP		FRACT			=====				E	L	P	C	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T
=====				A	S	O								=====				P	P	P	T	T	W	X	X	P	X	X	T
##	PNCNAME	LdNT	SR	L	P	T	LS	R	LS	R	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL	P	R	R	R	T	E	X	F	X	P	R	X	S	E
1	3	GOALT1	1	74	9	5	5	33	3	24	5	5	5	4	PRE	2.0	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	4	ENGEL1	1	80	2	3	1	16	1	7	1	3	1	1	PRE		2	2	3	2	2	2	3	1	3	1	1	1	4
3	6	TWIN 3		81	8	1	4	20	2	15	4	2	4	5	PRE	2.0	4	1	2	5	3	3	2	3	2	2	4	4	4
4	7	MISTE3		83	5	2	3	16	1	13	2	1	3	3	E/P		1	4	1	4	4	1	1	2	1	4	3	3	3
5	8	PIRAT1	1	79	5	4	2	20	2	14	3	4	2	2	PRE	1.0	3	3	4	1	1	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	2

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

5138—FIFTH RACE. 7 Furlongs. Purse \$8,000. 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$8,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1.
5062	Engelbert, I Puglisi	117	4	8	65	7hd		61 1/2	170	9.70
5062	Pirate's Story, F Alvarado	117	8	9	7hd	5 1/2		31	2nd	4.20
4225	Mister H, I Enriquez	117	7	5	3hd	3 1/2		1 1/2	51	6.50
5062	Goehender, O Bernio	117	3	6	6hd	88		41	4 1/2	5.70
4172	Indomable, M Pedrosa	117	1	3	1hd	1hd		2 1/2	63 1/2	2.10
3105	Direct Investment, D Gladney	117	2	7	51	4hd		63	61 1/2	62.50
4122	Dazzling Devil, J Steiner	117	9	1	2 1/2	2 1/2		7hd	72	80.70
5019	Moonlight Glory, G Gomez	119	5	4	41	6 1/2		85	81	3.50
5019	Twin Falls, J Kaenel	120	8	2	9	9		9	9	17.00

Scratched — In your face.

Claimed — Pirate's Story-Christensen, Christensen & Weston-Susan Weston.

4—ENGELBERT..... 21.40 8.40 5.00

8—PIRATE'S STORY..... 8.00 3.80

7—MISTER H..... 5.80

Off: 2:35 Time: 22.57 :45.87 1:10.57 1:23.41 Track: Cloudy Muddy. Winner-br.g.5 Fast Account-Avrila Tr-Paul G Aguirre Own-Judy Hall. Mutuel Pool \$276,065. Double Pool \$38,656. Exacta Pool \$206,492. Quinella Pool \$31,041. Pick Three Pool \$50,014. Trifecta Pool \$250,001.

\$1 EXACTA (4-8) PAID \$52.40

\$1 TRIFECTA (4-8-7) PAID \$347.00

\$2 DOUBLE (6/4) PAID \$75.80

\$2 QUINELLA (4-8) PAID \$35.00

\$1 PICK THREE (7/6/4) PAID \$262.80



I turn now to a recent phone conversation with Dick Resch, former Teaching Member, who stopped teaching because, at the Moment of Truth his students tried to rely more on HIM than themselves!

Dick said: "Even with all the magnificent advances made through TODAY'S Methodology (he uses Synthesis) users STILL have to apply a little brain power. They don't need to apply their brains as much as they did in the 1980's but they HAVE to use some." It is quite natural for those with lots of EXPERIENCE with the Methodology to have some contempt for those who don't. I substitute the term "Employ Your Experience" for an expression I've heard from winning clients who say: "Tell 'em to use their own damned brain."

Any competent psychotherapist will tell you that telling people to use their brain power is useless. If everyone used "Common Sense," which is really "UN-common" sense, there would be no need for psychotherapists. Almost all neurosis would be non-existent. Psychiatry could maintain its favored pharmacological treatment of psychosis with no competition from the Functional schools of psychotherapy.

I wish I could honestly provide a set of fixed rules that are universally accurate for PASSING races. Being honest is not very profitable in this business but it's too late to stop now. There are **NO rules for passing races.**

There are few guidelines beyond those I've already stated.

I can only tell you how others have been able to successfully pass races without suffering; how Shane, myself, Aline Best, Dennis Mikkelson, Vic Palermo, Terry Powledge, Vaughn Youtz and others that I've personally witnessed, do it.

All of the above and other winners learned first by making mistakes and then burning those mistakes out of their minds while burning in what they learned through successful experience: Remembering and benefiting from all experiences but eliminating the negative.

Those who can't (won't) benefit from experience are suffering from a neurosis that has little to do with betting races. Freud would have said they were emotionally arrested in an oral stage of development where everything was done for them. In light of latter day criticism of Freudian theory and terminology, I'll be more contemporary and say that such persons are living a "Do me something" Life Script written for them at an early age. No one can win at the races with such a Life Script, they must do at least something for themselves. All my directions have been concentrated on making that something less and less with each passing year. Still, you have to do something for yourself if it's only reading and following prescriptions offered in every issue of the Follow Up.

You have to re-write your Life Script and become at least somewhat self-reliant on your own **non-distorted** experiences. I emphasize non-distorted because often the experiences related to me by non-winning clients are largely delusional.


Emmy winner Peter Tolan's profession is writing movie and TV scripts that meet **objective tests**. Maybe that's why he's a success in life and such a profitable user of the Methodology. The

key word is OBJECTIVE. Peter must meet a standard that is a hit at the box office, the SWEEPS Survey AND the horse racing payoff windows or machines.


Perhaps we can entice him to write his next Follow Up article on the art of script writing.

If we all can write for ourselves a NON-losing Life Script we'd get an Emmy for Best Handicapping.


Manuals for your Sartin Toolbox




THE 55 % SOLUTION ~ \$32
Still the best treatise on Exactas, the Counter-Energy horse and other ways to get both Win and Place



THE PSYCHOLOGY OF WINNING ~ \$25
A best-seller among clients and non-clients alike. 80% of all handicapping success lies in the subject matter and solutions offered in this booklet.



THE TANDEM RACE ~ \$29
Clients who correctly follow the Tandem procedure in this work report continuous success. Some handicap Tandem races ONLY, for their profits!



Hello Shane

I got my computer running again but still have a ways to go. I did get the TrackMaster program installed in time to play this last weekend races (3/13-14). I had some real nice win at GP, OP and FG. I hit Kimberlite Pipe Sunday in the Louisiana Derby - \$45.00. I had \$10.00 to win on him!

K.J., Arkansas

... I recently purchased the complete Synthesis TrackMaster version of Pace Launcher. Had experienced an average ROI of 1.26 for the last three years, that is until I dipped, to a disappointing 1.04 for August and September. Was familiar with two of your seminal programs - Synergism & Energy - in fact a disillusioned former client of yours gave them to me. It seems he was unable to win with them. He's still not winning. I found them demanding but profitable. I've always tracked results in month-long cycles. My first month using Synthesis - 11 actual betting days - the ROI exploded to 1.49. This probably doesn't surprise you, and it's not the reason I'm sending you this e-mail; instead it's to thank you for Video Tape #3. The best thing I've ever been exposed to.

Regards,

C.D., Minnesota

Doc,

I greatly enjoy reading the Follow Ups. Perhaps this is because they are, in my mind, largely about following appropriate procedures and processes. The idea is if one does this, one's success, given the proper tools, should be virtually assured.

M.G., Washington D.C.

Dear Dr. Sartin:

I have enjoyed your program for many years. When I had to leave my career with the San Francisco Police Dept. due to disability, it was a long time before I found a hobby that held my interest and that I was physically capable of doing. I tried many of the earlier horse racing handicapping methods. Finding yours was marvelous. It really helped me deal with some tough times.

I feel like I know you. I saw you at one of your conferences in Las Vegas a couple of years ago. I truly appreciate your philosophy and literature about handicapping. It has been beneficial therapy for me for years.

I wanted to celebrate your success with other well-wishers last fall. Unfortunately, our elderly uncle required much more care just at that time and I was unable to attend. My wife recently completed a quilt made just for you. I hope you will accept it as a token of my appreciation. I plan to continue to enjoy your philosophy and literature as long as you choose to share with us.

I wish you the very best and congratulate you on your many successes.

A.B., California

DOC COMMENTS: I now sleep warmly under the quilt while basking in the added warmth of these beautiful sentiments. The quilt is very special indeed with the Unicorn logo in black on a blue background in the center. Thank you Mrs. B. for your fine work.

Excerpt from a letter about a Hot Spring Oaklawn vacation

...it was bitter cold in Hot Springs but we had no trouble finding a vacancy for the night. Friday at the track we missed the first race and I would not believe my computer picks for our first wager and passed up a \$3500.00 win. I believed the rest of the weekend and we won most of the rest of the races. On Saturday it was almost sinful because we would start rooting for the winner as soon as they opened the gate. We had a lot of fun, drank a lot, ate out and the weekend did not cost us anything.

DOC COMMENTS: It's nice to have clients who don't always believe their computer readouts but still win enough to pay for their vacation.

Hi Shane and Doc,

...I am doing well with the Syn/Trkmaster program at GP and Lrl and do not want to miss an issue. The program is fantastic and the info in the one on one tape series and the follow Up articles are great.

I was glad to see you published my e-mail messages with you and Ellis Star at Trackmaster. They are doing a great job with the data and they offer excellent customer service. I hope more clients will get on board with the Syn/Trkmaster program. The program and the support from Axcis are fantastic.

Thanks for your help,

P.W., Missouri

DOC COMMENTS: This is a typical response to TrackMaster's superior service. I'm also gratified that he got so much benefit from our video series!

Greetings from central Florida! Just a note to wish all of you well. Synthesis seems to be doing OK for me since I started dropping the low priced entries. I've been finding that it works best for me by only [win] wagering on horses whose odds exceed 8:1 or so. I get about 65% in top 4 after hides, but no specific column predominant, so I found betting horses in the 5/2 thru 6 or 7/1 range to be much

less profitable since Synthesis seems, for me, to pick winners at roughly the same percentage across a wide odds range. So, I bet fewer horses and races, but have a better ROI this way. Happy Spring to you all!

J.T., Florida

DOC COMMENTS: You're correct about Synthesis picking long shots as indiscriminately as shorter price horses. However, my trusted researchers plus Shane and I tried 3-1 as a minimum and made less than when using 5-2. You must be a very patient guy.

Doc

...I have found the three call method to be extremely effective in a sprint and have had little success with it in the routes. On the other hand, I have found the four call method to be effective in the route. I am a loyal follower of your method in every way, but I am not afraid to try something new at any time. I have found, when you get away from the statistics (#'s) that work for whichever track that was profiled, I lose.

I have some problems: 1) I do not know how to bet (so it doesn't matter how many winners I pick, I still come out a loser). 2) I do not know how to pick a contender of a route race but I am extremely good in a sprint, so you guessed it, I only play sprint races. I know how to profile a race track and I even go as far as breaking them down by class and an overall win profile.

I would like to replace our Match Up II program and could you please send me some information on some of your newest programs that you feel would benefit my needs as a horse player. It seems to me, I have better learn how to play the game because I do not plan on quitting.

Sincerely yours, R.A., Pennsylvania

DOC COMMENTS: If you're not good at routes don't just focus on sprints. Learn to win routes on dark days. You're using an outdated program and let the Follow Up lapse years ago. That means that in both handicapping and betting, you're behind times. Everyone knows how to bet. It's not the HOW but the DOING it that counts. Also, the WHAT and WHY. Profiling, as we once did it, is unnecessary.

Doc and Shane,

Here's some things I've noted in recent follow Ups...

Loved the section in FU72 where Guy and Aline work the same races. A good demonstration of why you need to find applicable corollaries from your OWN work. Perhaps another race day or 20 race cycle with 2 or 3 different people would hammer the idea into those doubters out there.

Read most of the 3 books you recommended by Per, Chopra, and Rossi. Seems like these books are written by the 'same person' but from a different perspective. What I mean to say is that they were INSPIRED by the same MUSE (universal creativity shines again). Of course, I'd have to include you and your own writings in the FU in the company as the above.

FU73 is just chock full of great stuff.

My personal favorite is the idea of using the Wagering Decision Form as a VISUALIZATION devise. This will be helpful to those, like myself, who tend to overanalyze themselves into confusion/paralysis. I should also like to note that those who pooh-pooh this idea or think its too far out or just plain wacky are the ones who need it the most.

An idea/challenge for a future FU.

Write a FU that has nothing but 'positive' communications.

Just stuff about doing it, with nothing about how not to do it, or the mistakes people make. Of course, it would also have nothing to say about those other 'experts' out there, with the exception of Cramer. P.B., California

DOC COMMENTS: The last two paragraphs of this e-mail are so vitally important that I've used them as a springboard for articles in this issue.

Dear Doc,

I have just returned from the off track betting site near my home. I am emotionally drained. The story that I am going to share with you should make you proud of your work (Synthesis) and somewhat frustrated with me as I am of myself.

The 10th race at the Fair Grounds was a 6 furlong sprint with 6 horses going to post. As I looked through my corollaries and readouts, I could not believe what I was seeing. My #1 BL/BL horse was 30/1 on the tote board. I then reviewed my readouts for a second time and everything still checked out. I have never been late getting a bet made all year, except for this one. As I put my voucher in the machine and pressed 20 Win 20 Place, the voucher kicked back out. I put it back in the machine and they were off.

At that point I felt real empty inside.

Those emotions would be for a good reason. The #1 BL/BL horse with all the corollary support would win and pay \$68.00 13.20 6.20. That empty feeling came from knowing that the accuracy and consistency of Synthesis would more than likely produce another double digit horse. The only difference this time is that I would not have bet on it.

I have handicapped with Synthesis since December 28th approximately 320 races. I have made great progress with each 20 race cycle. In spite of this emotionally draining day I can still see the forest and not my favorite tree.

Doc, this story is hard to share with anyone at the OTB because they are horse players. I have been recognized as a winning handicapper and I am often approached with the question of who do I like. I never seek anyone's opinion on a race and I am somewhat resentful of those who ask my selections. I have not come across any winning players. I am beginning to understand more and more what you meant when you said "Players play and winners work."

I have enclosed the results and readouts. Till next time, B.W., Louisiana

(see next page)

FG0320 10 6.0 D ST 4+ \$125,000

BARAY Nunez

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

PNCNAME	Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE	Closing odds
win 4 STEAL3	93	82	4	19.0	2-1	FG	6.0D	12/1	25	4	33.00
5 ENJOY1	94	92	5	19.0	2-1	SA	6.0D	8/5	26	4	.70
Place 2 ZUPPA1	92	88	6	16.0	3-1	FG	6.0D	5/2	34	5	1.90
Show 3 HURRI2	89	87	10	13.5	4-1	FG	6.0D	7/2	34	4	5.00
1 UANME2	88	88	9	12.6	9-2	FG	6.0D	6/1	20	4	19.60
6 LITTL1	88	88	8	9.3	6-1	FG	6.0D	6/1	34	5	19.10

EX 213.80 TRI 712.60 QU 61.20 BET _____ WON _____

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

PRIM													SUPP													FRACT				=====		
				L	T	R	P	L	C	P	T	H	F	F					S	P	E	T	P					E	L	N	ESP	SCBL
				S	O		P	R	R	R									X	N		S	P	L	S	R						
#	PNCNAME	LdNT	SR	P	T		P	R	R	R					LS	R						P	L	S	R		E	L	N	ESP	SCBL	
1	1 UANME2	31	88	2	4	5	3	5	2	2	6	5	28	5	4	5	3	4	4	20	4	6	4	3		6	4	3	S/P	8.0		
2	2 ZUPPA1	1	92	1	3	6	1	3	4	1	5	3	23	3	2	3	2	3	3	13	3	4	3	1		4	3	1	SUS	9.0		
3	3 HURRI2	3	89	4	6	3	4	4	6	6	3	1	27	4	3	1	5	6	6	21	5	2	6	6		2	6	6	PRE	7.0		
4	4 STEAL3	1	93	4	2	4	2	2	5	3	2	2	20	2	1	2	1	2	2	8	1	3	1	2		3	1	2	SUS	8.0		
5	5 ENJOY1	1	94	4	1	1	5	1	1	4	1	4	17	1	1	4	4	1	1	11	2	1	2	4		2	1	2	EAR	7.0		
6	6 LITTL1	3	88	3	5	2	6	6	3	5	4	6	32	6	5	6	6	5	5	27	6	5	5	5		5	5	5	PRE	7.0		

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

#4 Win - 68.00 13.20 6.20
 #2 Place - 3.00 2.60
 #3 Show - 4.00

FG0320 10 6.0 D ST 4+ \$125,000 PR=89
 INCREMENTAL PACE GRAPH

#	PNCNAME	1P	2P	3P+TOTAL PACE
1	1 UANME2	5->	3->	4->
2	2 ZUPPA1	6->	4->	3-> ✓ P
3	3 HURRI2	1->	6->	5->
4	4 STEAL3 W	4->	5->	2-> ✓ W
5	5 ENJOY1	2->	1->	1->
6	6 LITTL1	3->	2->	6->


LATE/EARLY DIFFERENCE GRAPH

#	PNCNAME	LdNT	COUNTER ENERGY	PLACE	ONCE AGAIN	EXACTA \$213.80	LATE	EARLY	TOTAL R ENERGY
1	1 UANME2								182.40 5
2	2 ZUPPA1								186.00 3
3	3 HURRI2	3	6.0						183.00 4
4	4 STEAL3	1 W	1.5						186.50 (2)
5	5 ENJOY1	1	15.0						188.00 1
6	6 LITTL1	3	8.6						181.40 6


DOC COMMENTS: Notice that he never went back more than 3 lines. Pay heed to the fact that once again the counter-Energy horse Places, keying a \$213.80 Exacta. The question is: Why did the public let this winner pay \$68? It races within the past 29 days, had the 2nd highest Total Energy (Class) and was #2 FX, #1 SPN and Entropy: Two corollary readouts none of the public has. It was also ranked #2 Primary Corollaries and #1 Supp. Corollaries. I left in TrackMaster's PR number - it was the worst of the lot. Maybe this will help TM to review their PR formula, which I usually whiteout.

~ FOR SYNTHESIS CLIENTS ~

Turn Your Tool Box into a Treasure Chest



AVAILABLE NOW FOR BOTH
TRACKMASTER & BRIS DOWNLOAD
Includes one hour audio tape instruction
and workout



Wagering Decision Form

**INCREASES DRAMATICALLY THE NUMBER OF OVERLAYS IN
THE TOP 2 OR 3 TIERS OF THE NEWLY IMPROVED
BOTTOM LINE/BETTING LINE**

One Form Does It All!

Example:

2 Racing Days - 17 Races Bet
Total Bet = \$340.00
Lost or Missed = 5
Passed = 3
Won = 12
Total Gross \$698
Net Profit \$358
Per Day \$178

Before Hides

DIVID	BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE				
	1	2	3	4	5
GE0206-11					10.40
SMUGG					
GE0206-9					
HOTCH					
GE0206-8					
JUST					
GE0206-6					
TRIPLE					
GE0206-5					
GE0206-4					
SILVE					
GE0206-3					
BUGAT					
GE0206-2					
BURKH					
GE0206-1					
GARBU					
GE0204-9					
DARE					
GE0204-8					
SWEET					
GE0204-6					
TANGA					
GE0204-5					
GOLD					
GE0204-3					

After Hides

DIVID	BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE				
	1	2	3	4	5
GE0206-11					
SMUGG					
GE0206-9					
GOLD					
GE0206-8					
HOTCH					
FLAMI					
NEVAD					
GE0206-7					
JUST					
GE0206-6					
GOOUT					
GE0206-5					
TRIPLE					
GE0206-4					
SILVE					
GE0206-3					
ROYAL					
GE0206-2					
BUGAT					
GE0206-1					
MONEY					
GE0206-9					
BURKH					
GE0206-8					
GARBU					
GE0204-9					
21 PR					
DARE					
GE0204-8					
CARTA					
GE0204-7					
TOT					
WIN					
TOT					
MUT					
AVE					
MUT					
AVE					
BAL					

This program serves 3 purposes...

#1 - As a Daily Post-Race Tutorial.

A fast way to correct your mistakes,
help with Contender/Paceline Selection,
and teach you which races to PASS!

#2 - For those with Laptop or Palmtop Computers...

Take to your betting site and
HIDE non-profitable horses ON THE SPOT.
Also great for telephone bettors!

#3 - An Easy Record Keeping Device

for wagers by Win/Place/Show • Date • Track

Works as an
adjunct to
Synthesis
Coming soon for
Pace Launcher
3 and 4!

View each 20 Race Cycle and prove to yourself how much more
profit you'll make by following directions ~ Prints out by single day
or 20 race cycle ~Includes screens for Place and Show summaries

\$199.

CA res. \$214.42 inc. tax

Dreman Value Stock Report

Published by **FORBES MAGAZINE and DREMAN VALUE MANAGEMENT LLC**
CONTRARIAN STRATEGIES FOR OUTPERFORMING THE MARKET


THE INFORMATION PARADOX

There is a tremendous demand among investors for incremental information on stocks. Every scrap, every fact, and every rumor is devoured eagerly. Investors believe that the increased dosage of information gives them a shot at "the big money." Would that it were so straightforward. Paradoxically, what might seem like an information "edge" may not help you. A large number of studies show rather conclusively that giving an expert more information doesn't do much to improve his judgment.

Interestingly, the finding seems universal — no improvement with more information. The same results were obtained using track handicappers. Eight veterans of the racing form were progressively given 5 to 40 pieces of the information they considered important in picking winners. As the nearby table shows, their confidence rose directly with the amount of information, but the numbers of winners, alas, did not.

BAD NEWS FOR HANDICAPPERS

Average changes in confidence and accuracy with increasing amounts of information



Source: Adapted from Paul Slovic "Behavioral Problems Adhering to a Decision Policy,"
 ICRF speech, May 1973

"INFORMATION"

DEFINED

Last issue, with little commentary, we reproduced a chart published by Forbes Magazine. It relates handicapping ACCURACY - correct WIN selections - with items of information. The graph shows that as the amount of information increases, so does the handicapper's confidence level. Yet, as confidence rises, success declines (I described confidence as "ego-directed and cocky"). - In other words **false** confidence that results in a **lower** win (profit) percentage. I was somewhat shocked when we received no response to this article. I really expected a great flurry of e-mail and other correspondence disputing the whole premise.

None came. Whether that constitutes agreement or apathy, I don't know. Cognitive psychologists, testing thousands of subjects for many years, have demonstrated that in the decision-making process, there is an inverse ratio between information and accuracy. The kinds of decisions to which they refer are those involving weighing sets of variables to make choices. Such choices range from investing, including horse race wagering, to selecting a marriage partner. Inspect the chart on the opposite page and note the X's. Only five pieces of information are optimal for making the most accurate decisions in all matters governed by variables. It goes without saying that this fact does not apply to scientific, sociological, historical, philosophical or behavioral research. It **does** apply to handicapping/wagering.

OTHER METHODS

Methods that produce winners and profits other than our own use five distinctly different sets of information. Among these may be ANGLES, BREEDING, TRAINER and TRAINER-JOCKEY combinations that may click in specific kinds of races. I don't contest the fact that there are some successful handicappers that pay little or no attention to speed or pace. While the winning claims of most purveyors of such systems or methods greatly exceed the facts, there are some certified winners who utilize long personal experiences and deep insight coupled with a lot of intuition to achieve their success.

OUR METHOD

In our Methodology scientific and behavioral research has been ongoing for 24 years. The number of handicapping information factors we use is limited to **five**! You disagree? I would expect that.

The truth is we employ only the three basic increments (fractional times) in a race and the Speed Rating and Daily Variant. From the incremental times we extrapolate final time, compounded ratings, Energy Distributions, the predictive ratio between velocity and deceleration, the minute fractals within fractions and a betting line based on the predictive powers of the other compounded and extrapolated factors. Accurate Contender/Paceline selection is not based on **information** but cognition derived from experience. Anyone depending on *someone else* to make such decisions is being self-deceptive.

There are those who might insist that information garnered from the Earnings Box and the conditions of the race are essential. Exhaustive testing proves they are NOT. Their use produces at least as many losing decisions as winning ones. However, those who adamantly believe they need this and/or other ancillary information, **do** need it because what we believe to be true is more important, subjectively, than what is true. Their confidence level - as described - goes up but the accuracy of their decisions goes down.

I don't need volumes on Cognitive Psychology to know this. Twenty-four years of close contact with handicappers and horse**players** alike, has demonstrated the fact conclusively. There is a myriad of information services out there profiting handsomely because the horse racing public has been conditioned to believe that a lot of ancillary information is essential to success. The market of demand is there, so they supply it.


I'm not criticizing the suppliers. For them it's a good and, for the most part, honest business. TrackMaster is one of the best and most ethical of such suppliers. If they didn't do it, someone else, doing less accurate research would. And of course, there are many doing just that. I just received a phone call from TrackMaster asking me to do another "Real Audio" interview. They subscribe to the Follow Up and read my article about the Forbes Report. They offered no dispute; neither have they ever tried to induce me to tout their ancillary information services as I do their superior downloading service. In answer to those people who go on line claiming that I receive a fee from TrackMaster, I DO NOT! The problem with people who insist that I do is that they wouldn't do something without financial reward so they project their own proclivities onto me.

Now let's return to the three basic informational components most essential to winning. I

could reduce them to just two: Incremental Velocity and Energy Distribution which of course produce Final Time and Deceleration. Here are Fractional Times as originally graphed by the late Huey Mahl. I'll examine one distance, six furlongs using the classical fractions of:

Times:	22	-	23	-	25	2nd Call = 45	Final = 1:10
F.P.S.	60.00		57.40		52.80	58.66	56.57

FIRST FRACTION:



As Huey wisely explained, this horse did not leave the gate running a fractional time of 22 seconds or 60 feet per second. This is its average time for the first quarter mile. Its maximum velocity would be closer to 21:35 seconds. However, we lose nothing by using the average first fraction velocity of 22 seconds.

What people who disregard Deceleration fail to consider is that a horse's maximum 2nd Fraction velocity comes at the moment it begins the second quarter of the race. This can be clocked at 22:25. The same is true for the 3rd Fraction which it enters clocked at 24:3 seconds, averaging 25 seconds. Compounding the average times of the 1st and 2nd fraction we get a 2nd CALL time of 45 seconds or 58.7 FPS.

We have an edge over those who disregard Deceleration because we can and do **quantify** Deceleration. Look:

F-1 Average = 60 FPS F-2 Average = 57.40


Subtract 57.40 from 60 = 2.6 seconds.

Next subtract the 3rd fraction, 52.80 from F-2 = 4.6 seconds.

Late DECELERATION = 3rd Fraction subtracted from 2nd CALL: 58.70 minus 52.80 = 5.9 seconds.

For TOTAL DECELERATION, subtract 52.80 from 60 = 7.2 seconds.

Now we see a picture like THIS:



HORSE A: Fractions: :22 :23 :25
Calls: :22 :45 1:10

Now let's view **Deceleration** for a horse that runs the distance in

HORSE B: 21:3 - 23 - 25:2 - 2-Call - 44:3 Final 1:10
61.11 57.40 51.97 59.19 56.57

DECELERATION

HORSE A: (Ideal)		HORSE B:	
Early Deceleration	= 2.6 FPS	= 3.71 FPS	
Mid Deceleration	= 4.6 FPS	= 6.24 FPS	
Late Deceleration	= 5.9 FPS	= 7.22 FPS	
TOTAL Deceleration	= 7.2 FPS	= 9.14 FPS	

VELOCITY

HORSE A:		HORSE B:			
F-1	60.00	61.11	B is + 1.11	B + 1	5th second
F-2	57.40	57.40	A-B + - 0.00	A-B	00 second
2-C	58.70	59.19	B is + .49	B + 2	5th second
3-F	52.80	51.16	A is + 1.64	A + 2	5th second

Both A & B ran the same Final Time 1:10

We do not figure the Velocity-Deceleration Ratio in seconds or FPS. Our formula is weighted far more accurately and with non-linear Chaos mathematics. However, the above figures are at least indicative and to anyone outside the Methodology wanting to research the concept, it's a good start. Notice that both horses ran the same 2nd Frac. Velocity. This is what we call a vital PAR GAP, as opposed to Par Times. For those who think in terms of Velocity TIMES and the classic, accepted definition of Pace, which is 2nd Call plus Final Time, B looks like the winner. WE KNOW that B's DECELERATION was too great and would be wary of betting on it in a matchup between A and B.

When eavesdropping on Horseplayer conversations, I hear that CALL TIMES, from which we extrapolate Incremental Velocity, are often quite inaccurate because the callers are human and fallible. Despite the fact that times are checked by video timers, this may be true. Yet, in 24 years of betting on horses, I've never let that bother me. Nor has it negatively affected my ROI. If the DRF or EQUIBASE times are a little off, all the more reason for us to be more precise in what and how we measure and compound the information we use.

WAGERING

If one is to believe a widespread appraisal of our Wagering, it seems that we're regarded as wimps. It's often been said that while we have the best selection method of any, we don't take advantage of it by making bets large enough to capitalize on our built-in advantage. This doesn't surprise me. Any group attracted to a mentor who stresses the psychological aspects of handicapping, is bound to be more timid than those attracted to gurus of greed. Rumor has it that Sartin people are all anxiety-ridden neurotics. Betting window cowards.


Some clients suggested that Mark Cramer follow his last Follow Up article about betting too much with one titled Are You Betting Too Little?

Don't despair. I've hung around most of the guys who call us wimps and with minor exceptions they don't bet, on average, much more than we do. It's like the betting systems some sell, a lot of hot air hypothesis, very little proof by the application of Occam's razor.

Scientist find where punch line hits brain

► **If you get the joke, thanks should go to your right frontal lobe, two Canadian psychologists say.**

A sense of the Ridiculous (humor) and the Sublime, is a key to a balanced personality. This is the ideal state for handicapping and betting. Using your Frontal Cortex - Frontal Lobes, are essential. See Psychology of Winning ~



ENERGY!

Incremental Velocity in Feet-Per-Second numbers were revealing to some but confused many others because they place so many contenders "too" close together. What, I was asked, is the difference between 59.69 and 59.89? Well, it's **.20** (point 20). Many felt this meant a tie, so for the first few years I was barraged by questions relating to the values of FPS differences. Some users of early programs even wanted to go back to using seconds and tenths.

59.69 fps = 22.11 seconds for a quarter mile. 59.89 = 22.04 seconds for the same quarter mile. That's less than a length based on velocity by the distance. However, the same fps numbers at a half mile would result in 44.29 and 44.08, 3/4 of a length at this distance. A difference of .20 at 6 furlongs can mean a **full length** at this longer distance. In the beginning some had difficulty reconciling the fact that fps values vary by velocity and distance.

Some clients would just never do the work to translate Feet-Per-Second numbers back to seconds and tenths by dividing the fps number into the number of feet per distance.

So, we added ENERGY! to our programs arsenal which began to make things easier.

Let's just take horses A & B from the previous article and reduce their fps number to Energy Exertion by percentage of Total Energy.

HORSE A

FRACTIONS		2nd Call
22	- 23 - 25	45
FPS		
60.0	- 57.40 - 52.80	- 58.70

HORSE B

FRACTIONS		2nd Call
21:3	- 23 - 25.2	44:3
FPS		
61.11	- 57.40 - 51.97	- 59.19



HORSE A

TOTAL ENERGY = 170.20

F-1	F-2	F-3	2-C
35.25%	33.72%	31.02%	68.97%

HORSE B

TOTAL ENERGY = 170.48

F-1	F-2	F-3	2-C
35.83%	33.67%	30.05%	69.53%

QUICK ANALYSIS: All analysis must be based on the relative **competition level** of the race itself and the Energy Exertion percentages derived from the records of the user by Track, Surface and Distance.

Were this a mid-level claiming race my records would say that Horse A had the ideal Energy Distribution for most 6 furlong races at the majority of tracks. It retains 31.02% of its Energy for the final fraction while using up only 35.25% in the First.

HORSE B, on the other hand, despite it slightly higher Total Energy, has used up 69.52% of that total by the 2nd Call (Median Energy). Further while running the same 2nd FRACTION TIME, its Energy level has declined well under that of A's. It has only 30.5% left in the vital Final Fraction.

At certain tracks B's Energy exertion percentages may be ideal or too disparate. Only the user can tell from keeping records. However, it is safe to say that almost all bias is governed by Energy Expenditure: That demanded by a given track in a GIVEN TIME FRAME by distance, the competition level and surface.

Some clients can successfully look just at 2nd Call (Median) Energy and make win and place parameters from that information alone. I can't.

I've purposely made these two contenders run the same Final Time with little difference in Fractional Times. I can continue attempting to help clients make important decisions but in the final moment of truth all decisions must be made by the individual. **They cannot be made by anyone else.**

Those who cannot (will not) make decisions have a disorder known as **Abulia**. It may be genetic or functional. Whatever, until you can make relatively accurate decisions, professional ethics alone, demand I suggest that those so afflicted, stay away from racing or confine their wagers to the minimum two dollars.

I also think that in severe cases of abulia or chronic INdecision, consulting a psychiatric professional would be in order.

Andy Beyer, in a recent Washington Post article, suggested that the four million pathological gamblers are suffering less from a pathology and suffer simply from failing to make correct bets. Interesting as journalism and there **are** those who want to stamp a disease label on all failure. But there are some who are truly sick and need treatment.

ENTROPY II

ENTROPY, be it Greek for Epsilon or Sigma, is a vital part of the ***new handicapping paradigm*** because it appears nowhere in past literature.

Entropy, from the 2nd law of Thermodynamics, deals with "Energy no longer available". The old Newtonian concept that Velocity and subsequent Entropy were equal to each other may be true for infinity, though Einstein and latter day physicists demonstrated otherwise. It is definitely not true for the various shorter than infinity distances run in horse racing. While I may be repeating myself somewhat from previous articles, it is vital to drum in the importance of this because it is exclusive with our Methodology.

For our purpose, Entropy is a snazzier word for DECELERATION relative to Velocity and Energy Expenditure. Let's go back a page and view the Entropy factor relative to Horse A and B's Energy Exertion.

HORSE A: (Entropy only)

$$\text{EARLY} = 33.72/35.25 = 95.7\%$$

$$\text{MIDDLE} = 31.02/33.72 = 92.0\%$$

HORSE B: (Entropy only)

$$\text{EARLY} = 33.67/35.83 = 93.97\%$$

$$\text{MIDDLE} = 30.05/33.67 = 91.62\%$$

$$\text{LATE ENERGY} = \text{ENERGY PERCENTAGE} + 3\text{-f VELOCITY}$$

$$\text{LATE} = 31.02/34.49 = 89.94\%$$

$$\text{TOTAL} = 31.02/35.25 = 88.00\%$$

$$\text{LATE} = 30.05/34.77 = 86.42$$

$$\text{TOTAL} = 30.05/35.83 = 83.87$$

High percentage being best, A has the least Entropy in all phases.

Now we **combine** Entropy (Deceleration) with Velocity and, although ***this is definitely NOT the formula used in our computer programs***, it offers a rough idea:

HORSE A:

$$\text{EARLY} = 60.00 + 95.70 = 155.70$$

$$\text{MIDDLE} = 57.40 + 92.00 = 149.40$$

$$\text{LATE} = 52.80 + 89.94 = 142.74$$

HORSE B:

$$\text{EARLY} = 61.11 + 93.97 = 155.08$$

$$\text{MIDDLE} = 57.40 + 90.50 = 147.90$$

$$\text{LATE} = 51.97 + 86.42 = 138.39$$

$$(\text{LATE}) = \text{PERCENTAGE OF LATE ENERGY} + 3\text{-F VELOCITY}$$

$$\text{TOTAL} = \text{TOTAL ENERGY}/3 \text{ PLUS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL ENERGY}$$

$$\text{TOTAL} = 56.73 + 88.00 = 144.73$$

$$\text{TOTAL} = 56.83 + 83.87 = 140.70$$

I'll say it again: These are not the formulae for the algorithms in your computer program. Those are far more intricate and not calculated in linear math. I offer these examples to show the principle behind the Entropy corollary.

Now maybe those who say they can't (won't) use the Entropy readout because they don't understand it, will include Entropy to good use in their handicapping and in their records. Remember, it's only one of several corollaries and will have less power when winning horses are, for example, not consistent or strongly weighted in Early, Late or FX. It's still all a matter of your keeping some records by distance and surface at your track(s). I've seen horses win with an Entropy factor of 4, even 5, But most often it is in the TOP 3 and often Ranks as the 1st or 2nd most powerful predictor.

Here's a positive example from the Mile on Turf 7th at Santa Anita, March 11, 1999 - Even without HIDING CLURE, the 1.9-1 Favorite.

SA0311 7 8.0 T OC 4+ \$62,000 CP=\$80,000 PR=90
 BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

HIDE#	PN	CNAME	Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE
(1)	2	SUCH 1	86	89	3	22.5		EVEN	SA 8.0T	5/1	52	5
(3)	6	SPEED1	88	89	3	22.0		3-2	SA 8.0T	4/1	24	5
(2)	5	SOUTH2	84	90	6	21.8		8-5	GG 9.0T	5/1	24	5
(4)	7	CLURE1	83	90	8	16.5		3-1	AQU 8.0T	2/1	109	6

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

SYNOPSIS POWER RANGES AND PRIMS																	PRIM							SUPP								
=====					B	L	T	PRIM			SUPP		FRACT			=====					E	L	C	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T
=====					A	S	O	-----			-----		=====					P	P	P	T	E	W	X	P	X		T	P	P		
##	PNcNAME	LdNT	SR		L	P	T	LS	R	LS	R	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL		R	R	R						R						
1	2	SUCH 1	86		3	1	2	12	1	7	1	2	1	1	LAT	4.0		4	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	1		
2	5	SOUTH2	84		6	2	3	18	3	15	2	4	3	3	LAT	3.0		3	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	2	3	4	3			
3	6	SPEED1	88		3	4	1	13	2	7	1	1	2	2	LAT	1.0		2	2	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	2			
4	7	CLURE1	83		8	3	4	24	4	18	3	3	4	4	SUS			1	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	4			

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

6468

SEVENTH RACE. 1 mile on turf. Purse: \$62,000. Allowance. 4-year-olds and up. Claiming price: \$80,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	¼	½	¾	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
(6158)	Such Charisma, C Nakatani.....	120	2	4	5½	5½	4hd	42½	1½	7.00
6393	Flying With Eagles, V Espinoza.....	118	3	1	1½	1½	12	12	2¼	20.70
2302	Clure, G Stevens.....	118	7	6	6½	6hd	6½	3hd	3½	1.90
6331	Southerly Wind (GB), B Blanc.....	118	5	7	7	7	7	5hd	4¼	4.90
9.40.....										
(6328)	Speedfriend (Fr), L Pincay Jr.....	120	63	2½	2½	2hd	2hd	5		
4	4.80.....									
	Democrat (GB), C Antley.....	118	12	3hd	4½	3½	7	6		
¾	38.80.....									
6270	Quake, C McCarron.....	118	45	4½	3hd	5½	6½	7		
	1.50.....									
No Scratches										
2—SUCH CHARISMA..... 16.00 7.00 4.00										
3—FLYING WITH EAGLES..... 11.80 4.80										
7—CLURE..... 3.00										

Off: 4:08 Time: :24.34 :48.61 1:12.51 1:24.20 1:35.96 Track: Cloudy Firm. Winner-ch.g.94 Zilzal-Starstruck Gal. Tr-Walter Greenman Own-Cobra Farm Inc (lessee). Mutuel Pool \$374,089. Exacta Pool \$328,387. Quinella Pool \$45,388. Pick Three Pool \$73,001.

\$1 EXACTA (2-3) PAID \$101.40

\$2 QUINELLA (2-3) PAID \$128.00

\$1 PICK THREE (4/3/2) PAID \$57.10

Let's go to the computer and see how these contenders fared against each other in some readouts from SYNTHESIS: We might even call this exercise an extension of our article in this issue about **Cracking the Corollary Code**. You'll see why after inspecting the readouts:

Incidentally, I dated the race 1-1-2000, for those of you worried about Y2K. It came out 1-1-00, following the dating pattern that's being currently used in all our programs. Minor numerical differences are caused by more finite integrating I used when hand-calculating.

The disparity between B's 3-F ENERGY numbers: 30.05 and 30.50 is based on our revisions of the effect of ENTROPY (Deceleration) in F-3

THE PACELINES

1-1-00 6 D

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FnlC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV	ADJ
1	AAA	1	6.0	D	22.0	45.0	57.4	110.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	89	17	0.0
2	BBB	1	6.0	D	21.3	44.3	57.3	110.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	89	17	0.0

PRIME COROLLARIES:

SYNTHESIS Composite -- ATM

#	NAME	L	N	T	SR	EPR	LPR	CPR	TT	HE	FW	FX
1	AAA	1			89	2	1	1	1	1	2	2
2	BBB	1			89	1	2	1	1	2	1	1

LS	RANK
10	2
9	1

FX	Σ
2	1
1	2

Σ
1
2

LS	RANK
10	2
9	1

FX	Σ	TS
2	1	1
1	2	1

T/PP	R
97.00	-1
97.00	-1

A
B

I've enlarged the ENTROPY readout for easier inspection and included some supplemental corollaries. We see that A is #1 only on LPR, CPR, Hidden Energy and TT (even though they're tied in 2nd fraction times). In ENTROPY, of course, A is #1. They're tied in Total Pace Potential.

VITAL FACTORS

VITAL FACTORS--ATM

1-1-00 6 D

#	NAME	L	TOTAL	R	SC	R	SCBL	3F	R	Σnt	R	TOT/S	R	SPN	R	
1*	AAA	1	170.2	-2	58.7	-2	(45.0)	52.8	-1	(25.0)	92.6	-1	94.17	-1	95.17	-2
2*	BBB	1	170.5	-1	59.2	-1	(44.3)	52.0	-2	(25.2)	91.5	-2	94.17	-1	95.27	-1
	HIGH		170.5		59.2		(44.3)	52.8		(25.0)	92.6		94.17		95.3	
	LOW		170.2		58.7		(45.0)	52.0		(25.2)	91.5		94.17		95.2	
	AVE		170.4		59.0		(44.4)	52.4		(25.1)	92.1		94.17		95.2	

* = Wire to Wire

B is #1 SPN and is #2 ONLY in the 3f. From a Linear perspective we already know that.
GRAPHS

Early/Late Energy Potential Graph -- ATM 1-1-00 6 D

#	NAME	L	N	EARLY	R	LATE	R	TOTAL	R	BAL
1	AAA	1		79.72	2	77.41	1 ✓	170.19	2	3
2	BBB	1		80.00	1	77.15	2	170.47	1	3

BAL COLUMN: LOW = BEST

Late/Early Difference Graph -- ATM HOL 1-1-00 6 D

#	NAME	LN	N	DIF	LATE	EARLY	TOTAL	R
1	AAA	1		10.0			170.19-	2
2	BBB	1		14.0			170.47-	1

Both A and B run Early. B, the most early. Is +14? Too much Early? Consult your records by track, surface and distance

Now consult another readout many say they don't use or understand.

FRACTALS:

1-1-00 6 D

FRACTALS				BAL
	E	L	N	
A				
B	2	1 ✓	1 ✓	3
	1	2	2	3

B is number one only on Early, A dominates Late and, most important, NORMAL. Sometimes Fractals and Entropy alone can make the difference between a so-so payoff and a **big Overlay!**


BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

		WIN ODDS ONLY		
		ATM		
NO	NAME	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE	BAL
1	BBB	24.0	EVEN	3
2	AAA	23.8	EVEN	3


B is tops here by just .02 (point 02). Both are EVEN on Betting Line. If this were a real race it's now time to check the odds. Public betting habits regarding "Early Speed" would, all other things being equal, bet heavily on B. Therefore A might be a good overlay.

Now, I'd like to give you peek at just one of the improvements coming up in SYNTHESIS 2A - Just ONE!


Another Readout many say they don't understand: Here is the VECTOR GRAPH for this race as you see it NOW:



Here's how VECTOR GRAPH TP will look in SYNTHESIS 2A. It will use the pace of **Today's race** instead of the pace against which each horse ran. Both will be included for the benefit of those who do understand the current graph. Many other improvements are also forthcoming.



Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D




APPLIED EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE: **KEY TO SUCCESS**

Those watching PBS (at least here in the s. California area) during March Pledge Week got heavy dose of Dr. Daniel Goleman lecturing on Emotional Intelligence. This was something I reviewed way back in Follow Up 54 & 55.

I hope a lot of you were able to watch Goleman's 3 part Pledge Week series. If you missed it I'll condense the portions having particular application to successful handicapping and profitable wagering.

Here's that head again:



In the previous discussion of Emotional Intelligence I focused on the interrelationship between the Visual Cortex, the Thalamus and the AMYGDALA. I discussed how various mind-body perceptions effected the Amygdala, with particular focus on our individual response to our basic urges of FIGHT or FLIGHT.


The Amygdala can trigger an emotional response that transcends the ability of our Visual and Thalamic processors to weigh or intellectually evaluate and censor what is actually transpiring around us. Hence, an immediate fight or flight response. When this happens one takes the bull by the horns and follows through with the initial wagering plan or, as too often happens, stubbornly pursues a course of action in which he/she **fights** all reason with stubborn resistance and often acts upon foolish and illogical impulses precipitating a last second change in plan.

The Amygdala's capacity to produce flight is the opposite. It causes us to respond to unreasoned fears and anxieties so that we fail to take actions that, when processed through higher reasoning centers, are sensible and constructive.

The prime example of all this comes when it's time for us to make that final betting decision. Merging the intellectual data perceived from our handicapping to executing the proper wager or making NO wager at all even when a PASS was not indicated.

Some clients have described the precursor of this moment as being suddenly overcome by an inability to function and make a bet, deciding between races to re-analyze interpretation of readouts or, as more literately defined by one as Confusion Paralysis.

Now let's view our slightly altered head shot to explain what is happening in such instances.



Note the addition of a frontal cortex and the vertical line I've placed as a barrier between it and the Amygdala:

I'll call this an 'Impasse Barrier. In Russian psychiatry, 'Impasse' is called the "Sick Point." What happens when this barrier goes up, placed there by you, more subconsciously than consciously, disturbs the entire limbic system. Your frontal cortex, in concert with the thalamus, is the source of higher thought, language, conceptualization, abstraction, judgment *and* for the human capacity to exercise **control** over their lives. All of these are essential to success in our endeavor.

When this Impasse Barrier is constructed, the subject is, in effect, giving him/herself a kind of prefrontal lobotomy causing intellectual deterioration. In our case it is momentary; just long enough for us to avoid the proper decision or to make none at all.

For persons like yourself, with no true mental illness, this problem exists almost exclusively when a moment of decision is forced by a stochastic event, Horse race handicapping and wagering along with all other procedures defined as gambling, qualify equally. In a casino, with so little time between one event and the next, the Amygdala is virtually in constant control and the FIGHT-FLIGHT phenomenon reigns. That's what builds those beautifully ornate hotels and casinos in Las Vegas, Reno and elsewhere. They should have a big lighted sign reading: "Gamble HERE and let us put your Frontal Cortex to sleep."

Most of us feel that the 25 or so minutes between races is our biggest enemy since it gives us time to think and second-guess ourselves. This is bound to happen to those with anxiety neurosis or chronic Abulia (INdecision).

The best cure for this problem is what we have been referring to as **focused visualization**, a variation of self-hypnosis. The thought of hypnosis strikes fear in the hearts of many. However, with FOCUSED VISUALIZATION, a highly integrated variation of Self-Talk, anyone who truly wants to be cured, ca be cured.

I think that's about enough psycho-medical babble for one issue. We'll pick up on the theme next issue with details of effecting the **cure**.



Here's a subject that is best reviewed in the Psychology of Winning because in truth, it is purely a psychological matter.

From a recent e-mail communication:

"An idea/challenge for a future Follow Up: Do a Follow Up that has NOTHING but "POSITIVE" communication. Just stuff about doing it, with NOTHING about how NOT to do it, or the mistakes people make. Of course, it would also have nothing to say about those OTHER "experts" out there..."

The last part of this request we met in this Follow Up. The first part of the request becomes an issue of whether the Client Centered Therapy of Carl Rogers was more curative than the more confrontational techniques of Jung, Eric Berne or Fritz Perls.

Carl was a lovely man. Eric was a potent, though gentle confronting force. Fritz was a pig, but therapeutically, an effective one. I never knew Jung. I was a student client in training with the other three.

Doing nothing more than offering POSITIVE affirmations is prescribed when working with abused children who have lost all sense of personal identity and self-worth. Telling people only that which is presumed **right** (correct) is presumptuous, putting the therapist in the role of moralist and absolute authority. i.e. playing God.

Helping clients differentiate between **correct** and **incorrect**, be it in the behavioral "sciences" or successful handicapping is the Teaching Therapist's job. Enabling clients, through a comparison between predictive and NON-predictive techniques, to recognize and correct "mistakes" is far more readily accomplished when showing the generic mistakes of others and then helping the client perceive for him or herself that they're making similar ones. This includes, perhaps most importantly, correcting archaic errors in the works and words of authority figures whose inaccurate data has been influencing YOUR judgment for more decades than I've been alive. With no counter-voice, their inexactitudes will live on like a virus for which there is no cure.

While I did it this issue, making little or no reference the persons whose words echo or have framed the handicapping attitudes of the masses, it is contrary to all scientific documentation. It also would play havoc with morale in ANY revolution, not just our nominal handicapping revolution. How would you have felt back in 18th century if General George Washington conscripted you into his army but was too discreet to name the enemy he wanted you to fight against?

Contrary to the opinion of S.C. from Virginia, who inspired this edition, I am not seeking the approval of the enemy. Quite the opposite. To wage a successful revolution one must resolutely refuse to capitulate with the enemy, even to the point of being crucified. Our collective Win record at the races exceeds that of any other group. The main reason for this is revolutionary zeal and a kind of martyr's pride in being out of step with the mainstream.

No revolutionary army ever remained true to its cause, though heavily outnumbered, by being drilled only in what to do. If Washington hadn't taught his troops to not cluster in tight groups, but to employ guerrilla, sniper tactics, they would have been mowed down just as were the British and Hessian troops who charged en masse with no space between one soldier and another.

I don't want to wage or win this revolution for my glory. I want to see it waged by you so that you'll always be a part of that 5% minority that actually wins at the races.

My Aching Helper

Much has been written in the past several issues about the blossoming skills of Aline Best. In six months she went from not being able to read a Racing Form or being able to download, to a person who, with my complete confidence, can and does help people. Please remember, her time and availability is limited by her health and the need to handicap and make the wagers on which she depends for added income.. Kindly refrain from abusing her willingness to help or I'll have to end it.

To date, her ability to help floundering or disturbed clients has been truly amazing. In one case she talked Dutch Uncle (in her case Aunt), to a client who's been with us for years, owns all our programs but insists winners keep coming 7th, 8th and 9th. She also got him to admit that he's been taking the Follow Up for ten years but never really read it. For openers she told him he shouldn't have as many as 9 horses in his readouts to begin with. Then she got him to read Follow Up #73. He then had an extraordinarily profitable week end. Now, if he'll read the other 59 Follow Ups on his shelves, he might win consistently.

He hangs out with four or five seat-of-the-pants horse **players** who heckle his contender/paceline selections and intimidate him into abandoning all our guidelines relative to contender/paceline selection and interpretation of readouts. They con him into betting their choices. I've yet to hear of winners intimidating anyone. It's always the losers who do the intimidating.

It has been happening since 1982 so I shouldn't be surprised to see it happening again. The first thing people calling Aline want her to do is countermand something I've stressed in the Follow Up. This is why I was so critical of that e-mailer's suggestion that I not put anything in the Follow Up negative, about how not to do it.

To whomever this kind of opposition faced by Aline might apply, you're out of luck. Aline wins by doing **exactly** what she reads in the Follow Up. Granted, many previous teachers fueled their own ego by telling clients positively how "they did it," but in so doing led many astray. Aline's willingness to help is not ego-centered. Her words are genuine reflections of the lessons from which she achieves success.

I'll tell you one thing for sure. She is literally shocked by those who seem to purposefully do things contrary to instructions and woefully MIS-interpret Follow Up Articles. One client actually told her he "didn't need to Hide because when he HID the favorite, every horse improved by ONE Tier on BL/BL."

That is not so. I've frequently stated it and she proves it several times a week in her own work. Quite often a horse's BL/BL Tier position improves by TWO or more positions after appropriate HIDES! (some examples of this in all recent issues of the Follow Up).

She is also mortified by persons who say they don't use the best of the last three COMPARABLE lines, ignore Speed Ratings and enter only horses with the best 2nd Calls because they are the only contenders that allegedly match up. A few callers refused to ever enter more than ONE paceline per horse to determine "BEST" and then HIDE the alternate lines. They claimed they knew which line was best!

Some who do enter more than one line for a horse fail to hide the same horse's other lines, thus casting a hopeless shadow of confusion over their final readouts and BL/BL.

When she gets these kinds of calls she always refers them to the issue and page number of the Follow UP in which the initial commentary on the subject appeared. Often the client has difficulty in finding the issue and if he does, mumbles something about —"must of missed that."

Shane also gets a number of - "Must have missed that" responses.

On the bright side is a client who never quite made it with any program before getting the Wagering Decision Form (WDF) program with SUPERSCREEN. He told Aline that after using the WDF for a few days - as instructed - he was able to make his bets with absolute confidence and as a result paid off all of his old medical bills and had money left over. He said it not only helped him pick and bet the overlays, but also helped with his paceline selection. And, this person uses the WDF as an after-the-fact **learning tool**.

That's what I had hoped for but didn't fully expect because most clients are dubious of the power of **focused visualization**.

Aline is saddened by the realization that what I've often stated is true: Many handicappers subconsciously do not want really to win. She cites their refusal to learn to pass races, insisting on betting entire cards, never using the ? (question mark) option in the TrackMaster download and not having that built-in yellow signal that tells us when to **quit** (for the day) **while ahead**.

She did counsel one client about such things in my presence and he went on to have a profitable week end - quitting when well ahead.

It was my original intent to publish several of Aline's 20 race cycle results along with results from some lesser cycles. She submits her WDF reports weekly. Here are just a few examples from the times when she was able to handicap and wager. You'll see few races marked PASS, because she's learned the art of passing no profit races before recording them. However, she actually handicaps virtually every race betable by our guidelines.

SARTIN METHODOLOGY
SA -- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN

Before Hides

Before Lines						PRIMARY							SUPP				FRAC				P	
BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE RANKS						B	E	L	C	T	H	F	S	E	T	T	E	L	N	A		
	1	2	3	4	5	A	P	P	P	T	E	W	P	N	S	P			S			
						L	R	R	R				FX	N	T	P			S			
1228 7	11.20					2	1	4	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	
1228 6				23.00		6	3	4	3	6	5	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	2	
1228 4			LOST			9	5	3	6	5	5	5	5	5	6	5	6	5	6	6	5	3
1228 3			PASSED			2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	
1228 2	7.20					2	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	
1228 1		7.80				5	1	2	2	1	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	
1227 9					6.20	7	2	6	5	5	6	5	3	5	6	2	3	2	4	4	7	
1227 8			PASSED			3	1	4	1	3	4	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	8	
1227 7			19.80			7	6	2	5	2	2	4	4	5	4	5	2	5	2	2	9	
1227 6		15.20				6	3	2	3	1	2	2	4	1	2	5	3	5	3	3	10	
1227 5			LOST			14	5	9	7	7	9	7	6	9	8	6	7	7	7	7	11	
1227 3			PASSED																		12	
1227 2			9.40			7	2	4	2	4	4	2	2	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	13	
1227 1			MISSED																		14	
1226 9				54.00		9	4	3	4	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	15	
1226 8			29.20			7	5	1	3	6	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	5	3	2	16	
1226 7			MISSED																		17	
1226 5	14.80					5	5	1	2	4	1	4	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	2	18	
1226 4			34.00			4	2	3	2	4	4	3	1	3	2	2	2	1	2	2	19	
1226 1	20.20					2	1	5	1	2	4	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	20	
TOT WIN	4	2	4	2	1																	
TOT MUT	53.40	23.00	92.40	77.00	6.20																	
AVE MUT	13.35	11.50	23.10	38.50	6.20																	
AVE BAL	2.8	5.5	6.3	7.5	7.0																	

BEFORE HIDES: Top 2 Overlays in Top 3

WIN - 10
LOSE-MISS - 8
PASS - 2
BET ---- \$360
GROSS WIN - \$844
NET WIN - \$484

After Hides

SARTIN METHODOLOGY
SA -- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN

BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE RANKS					PRIMARY					SUPP					FRAC					P
	1	2	3	4	5	B	E	L	C	T	H	F	S	E	T	T	E	L	N	A
						A	P	P	P	T	E	W	P	N	S	P				S
						L	R	R	R				F	X	N	T	P			S
BRAVE																				
1228 7	11.20					2	1	3	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	2
LADY																				
1228 6			23.00			5	2	3	3	5	4	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3
S'MOR																				
1228 4					21.40	7	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4
ENJOY																				
1228 3			PASSED			2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
SMILE																				
1228 2	7.20					2	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
GOALT																				
1228 1		7.80				5	1	2	2	1	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
STALW																				
1227 9			PASSED			7	2	6	5	5	6	5	3	5	6	2	3	2	4	4
MAGIC																				
1227 8			PASSED			3	1	4	1	3	4	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
FOLLO																				
1227 7	19.80					5	5	1	4	1	1	3	3	3	1	4	1	4	1	1
BEAUT																				
1227 6		15.20				6	3	2	3	1	2	2	4	1	2	5	3	5	3	3
CONTE																				
1227 5					(19.00)	8	3	5	4	3	5	4	3	4	5	3	4	4	4	4
1227 3			PASSED																	
OUR C																				
1227 2		9.40				5	1	4	1	3	4	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	3	3
1227 1			MISSED																	
HALF																				
1226 9		54.00				5	3	1	2	2	1	2	3	2	1	2	2	3	2	2
RUN M																				
1226 8			29.20			7	5	1	3	6	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	5	3	2
1226 7			MISSED																	
IMPER																				
1226 5	14.80					5	5	1	2	4	1	4	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	2
MOUNT																				
1226 4		34.00				2	1	2	1	3	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
B J B																				
1226 1	20.20					2	1	5	1	2	4	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1
TOT																				
WIN	5	5	2		2	AFTER HIDES:														
TOT						WIN - 12														
MUT	73.20	120.40	52.20		40.40	LOSE-MISS - 4														
AVE						PASS - 4														
MUT	14.64	24.08	26.10		20.20	TOTAL BET \$320														
AVE						GROSS WIN \$1,229														
BAL	3.2	4.6	6.0		7.5	NET WIN \$909.00														

AFTER HIDES NOTE THAT #3 LADY \$23.00
MOVES FROM BL/BL 4TH TIER TO 3RD.
HALF, \$54, MOVES FROM 4TH TIER TO 2ND!
MOUNT, \$34, MOVES FROM TIER 3 TO TIER 2.
OUR, \$9.40 MOVES FROM 3RD TO 2ND TIER.

3 DAYS 12/26-27-28
\$909 PROFIT AFTER HIDES, COMPARED
WITH \$484 BEFORE HIDES. DIFFERENCE:
\$425.00

THIS INCREASES "AFTER HIDES" PROFIT
BY A CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT. MORE THAN
MANY USERS ARE MAKING NOW IN A
COMPARABLE PERIOD - 3 DAYS.

THIS KIND OF PROFIT MORE THAN MAKES UP FOR AN OCCASIONAL
BAD DAY, SUCH AS THE ONE SHOWN IN THIS ISSUE. LEARN TO HIDE.
LEARN TO PASS. FOLLOW INSTRUCTIONS AND DUPLICATE ALINE'S
CONTINUOUS SUCCESS.

These three Wagering Decision Forms - AFTER HIDES - offer an honest appraisal of what is happening to many who submit reports, A terrific Cycle of 20 races and another one that is only so so. To publish only the reports showing big profits would be fraudulent. However a **net** profit cycle of \$1,136 followed by one with only \$494.00 net profit, conforms to the cyclic nature of low paying horses vs. Overlays.

Still Aline was happy as would be a lot of others making a profit of only \$494 per 20 races. The total profit from 38 races bet was \$1,630. For someone who has no handicapping or even racing knowledge other than what she's learned from explicitly following directions, that's not a bad return on bets totaling \$20 a race.

Sartin Methodology TODAY & TrackMaster...

TrackMaster, a leader in the electronic horse racing industry, working with Sartin Methodologists using **Pace Launcher 3, Pace Launcher 4 and SYNTHESIS**. Utilizing **TrackMaster** data saves you considerable time and money over whatever data source or manual entry you currently use. TrackMaster programs contain the official program numbers, morning line odds, and other data not found anywhere else... and give you a printout that looks just like the Form. Never again do you need to buy a Form or Track Program! TrackMaster gives you superior pricing, customer service (11 hours a day, 7 days a week) *and superior data!*

- TrackMaster Past Performances (TM/PP) - \$1.00 per racecard with no minimums or sign up fee. The TM/PP program for Windows is FREE and can be downloaded from our Internet site (www.axcis.com). Racecards are available from the Internet or through a self-contained dialer in our program.

- TrackMaster Plus (TM/PLUS) - Features almost every piece of handicapping information that someone could want. Racecards are available through a built-in dialer and 800 number directly to our computer, with NO CONNECTION CHARGES. Examine the program and demo racecard: www.axcis.com

So get ON LINE... call **TrackMaster** at 800-334-3800 for pricing details.

If you are using Pace Launcher 3, 4 or SYNTHESIS, and would like to use TrackMaster, you can order the TrackMaster version for these programs allowing you to download directly into your program via TrackMaster all the vital racing data you need.

\$100.00
for our TrackMaster compatible version of
Pace Launcher3, 4 or SYNTHESIS

No need to turn in your existing program - keep it should you want to utilize manual input.

If you are not yet utilizing Pace Launcher 3, 4 or Synthesis,
get the TrackMaster version for only \$50
when you when you order both at the same time!

* TrackMaster requires Windows 3.1 or better and a 14.4 or better modem.

THE RANKING POWER OF COROLLARIES																								
A 30 RACE STUDY																								
ESP CHART																								
1=E; 2=E/P; 3=P; 4=S/P; 5=S; 6=LATE																								
PRIMARY												SECONDARY						FRACT						
BR	LSP	TOT	EPR	LPR	CPR	TT	HE	FW	FX	LS	R	SPN	FX	ENT	TS	TPP	LS	R	E	L	M	ESP	SCBL	
67	4	2	5	2	3	4	2	4	2	22	3	3	2	3	2	2	12	2	2	2	2	2	2	
68	2	4	1	3	2	2	3	2	4	17	2	2	4	2	4	4	16	3	4	4	4	1	1	
75	5	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	4	0	
77	2	5	1	5	5	1	5	4	5	26	5	5	5	5	5	5	25	5	5	5	5	1	0	
78	1	4	4	1	4	5	1	5	4	24	4	4	4	4	4	4	20	4	4	4	3	5	6	
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
86	1	2	5	1	1	3	1	4	2	17	1	2	2	3	2	2	11	2	1	2	2	4	2	
85	5	1	3	3	2	1	2	3	3	17	1	1	3	1	1	1	7	1	2	3	3	4	1	
82	2	5	1	5	5	2	5	2	5	25	3	4	5	5	5	5	24	5	5	5	5	1	0	
83	2	1	2	4	1	2	3	1	2	15	1	1	2	2	1	1	7	1	1	2	3	3	4	
79	4	4	1	5	4	1	4	2	5	22	3	4	5	5	5	5	24	4	3	5	5	1	0	
82	4	2	4	2	2	4	1	3	1	17	2	2	1	1	2	2	8	2	2	1	1	5	10	
79	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	4	12	1	1	4	3	2	2	12	2	4	4	4	4	0	
77	5	1	1	4	2	2	3	3	3	18	2	2	3	2	1	1	9	1	1	2	3	5	1	
72	2	5	3	4	5	3	4	5	5	29	4	5	5	5	5	5	25	4	5	5	5	5	5	
88	5	3	4	1	1	3	1	4	3	17	1	1	3	1	2	1	8	1	5	1	1	5	7	
86	1	5	5	2	3	4	2	5	2	23	3	4	2	2	5	4	17	4	2	4	2	4	6	
88	3	2	2	4	2	1	4	1	4	18	2	2	4	3	3	3	15	3	3	2	3	2	0	
81	5	1	1	4	1	3	5	1	1	16	2	2	1	2	1	1	7	1	1	2	3	2	0	
77	3	3	5	1	4	4	2	5	3	24	4	5	3	5	2	3	18	4	4	3	2	3	3	
78	4	4	4	2	2	5	3	4	2	22	3	3	2	3	3	4	15	3	3	4	4	3	4	
73	1	2	4	1	4	4	1	5	2	21	3	4	2	1	1	1	9	1	3	1	1	3	7	
75	5	1	1	5	2	2	3	1	4	18	2	2	4	4	2	2	14	3	2	2	5	1	0	
75	2	4	3	2	1	1	2	2	5	16	1	1	5	2	4	4	16	4	5	4	3	1	5	
74	4	2	3	3	2	5	4	3	1	21	2	2	1	1	2	2	8	1	2	2	1	6	6	
72	2	5	5	2	3	3	2	5	4	24	4	3	4	3	5	5	20	3	4	4	4	5	6	
73	5	3	1	5	4	4	5	2	2	23	3	4	2	4	3	3	16	2	2	3	3	5	4	
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
81	2	2	2	1	1	4	2	1	1	12	1	1	1	2	2	2	8	1	1	2	2	3	1	
77	5	1	3	4	4	1	3	3	3	21	3	3	3	3	1	1	11	2	2	1	1	3	0	
78.1	3.1	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	3	20	2.4	2.8	3	2.8	2.7	2.7	14	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.3	3	

Doc Sartin

The Predictability of Corollary Predictions

I've made quite an issue about those who make preponderance of evidence spreadsheets to determine which corollaries are the most predictive. My efforts are directed at demonstrating that such information is of no value to you. However, they are of **great value** to me. Each time I receive one, it strengthens my conviction that, over a protracted number of races, ALL corollaries have virtually **identical** predictive value.

Here is a very neatly done chart from a conscientious client from the east coast. It is one of several he sent, all demonstrating almost the exact same end result. He has made a column for all corollaries **except** the most predictive one BL/BL. For teaching purposes, this is a good thing. It points out the fact that most clients fail to realize that BL/BL Tiers represent the ultimate corollary.

He did make a column for the Line Score Rankings of both the Primary and Supplemental corollaries. They're 2nd most predictive indicators.

He begins with SR (speed rating): Its average ranking for this series of 30 races is the **least** predictive by itself. Average Rank: 3.1. Two horizontal columns marked XXXXXX, indicate races that were LOST because all corollaries were beyond 5. The other 28 races show the rankings of Winners, whether or not he bet them.

In the far west and midwest, Factor X has been the best corollary indicator. You'll note that in the east, at least in this series of races and in several other reports, the average ranking of X for winners is only 3rd. Other factors of interest are Beaten Lengths at the second call: 3. This indicates that the old dominance of Early, as in wire-to-wire or close to the pace, no longer prevails. 3 lengths behind at the 2nd call represents, in our language, Pressers or even Sustained winners. He shows 9 wire to wire winners in 30 races. 30%. In all but three cases his computerized ESP predicted Early or Early Presser. He shows no rankings for E/EP (Early Pace Potential) That would have been an interesting result to study.

Too bad he didn't also record their win mutuels. They're usually quite low. The average winner's ESP was 3.3, Sustained. These kinds of winners most often pay very well. The crowd has been indoctrinated with false illusions about Early "speed."

Those putting a lot of emphasis on the ancillary speed figures published by the DRF, will be surprised at the average speed rating, the Racing Form's fig. The average winner had a 78.1 which leads me to believe this record is dominated by routes. That, and the fact the HIGHEST SR's were 88.

Most amazing, to me, is the fact that Long Shot potential produced winners with an average rank of 3.1. Of special interest to those who struggle over which corollary is "BEST," this report

justifies my claim that PRIMARY LS Rankings are BEST. The average was a low 2.4. Supp. (secondary) Rankings came in 2nd at 2.5. SPR (Sartin Pace Number averaged 2.6, 3rd best.

ALL other rankings were UNDER 3.

This fashions an interesting scenario that starts with a big IF.

If we could sit at home and handicap and wager 30 or a hundred races without interruption, without any concern for the time lapse between races and with our bets being made automatically and, this is very important, NO KNOWLEDGE OF HOW WE DID BY INDIVIDUAL RACE, we would all be big winners. We would merely indicate a wager on the highest paying overlays in our top 3. We would only have to consult our BL/BL which is a summary of Primary-Supp corollaries. BL/BL had 28 of the 30 horses ranked 2.45, or for rounding purposes, in the TOP 3.

Since dozens of other Preponderance of Evidence Reports I've received, have produced after-the-fact evidence that all vital corollaries are ranked within the TOP 3, all our problems would be solved.

Unfortunately, for almost anyone, this is an impossible scenario. Anxiety; natural and that caused by the wait between races. Second guessing ourselves. The biggest emotional obstacle of all is when the winner does NOT RANK in the Top 3 Primary or Supp. Line Score. Now we begin to doubt our readouts despite the fact that we have solid evidence that the TOP 3 will dominate overall.

There is a human tendency to think in terms of the moment. Anything negative that just happened, can severely effect us emotionally. When emotions dominate reason we lose our equanimity and do things in an emotional fog that leads to handicapping/wagering self-destruction.

I have a distinct advantage because I created the formulae for all our programs The only ones that conform to current reality are the Pace Launcher Series and Synthesis. I know that, by following my own directions, enough winners will be ranked no worse than 3rd to make me a substantial profit. This has been substantiated by both my own experience and the many reports submitted by clients.

An ethical creator of a handicapping/wagering computer program has a distinct advantage. He has to have an unconditional, unquestioned **confidence** in the predictive accuracy of his creation. Maybe there are some program developers who do not have such confidence. I'm not one of them so I'll give all the benefit of the doubt.

In Follow Up #65 I wrote what I considered one of the most insightful and instructive articles ever. No one commented upon it, so I guess my enthusiasm was not shared. The article was entitled: The Phenomenon of Thirds. It spells out one of the chief reasons that the majority of humans are NON winners in horse racing.

Horse Racing is dominated by the number 3. Humans by the number 2, meaning **dualism**. Those who cannot perceive the Power of Three over Two in their handicapping/wagering approach may be doomed to failure.

Here is that article repeated:

FROM FOLLOW UP #65:

Horse Racing ~

The Phenomenon of Thirds

The numerology of horse racing has always been dominated by THREE and thirds. There are many examples. Here are just a few.

Each race is divided into THREE fractions.

One THIRD of favorites win.

Two THIRDS of favorites are in the money.

The first THREE horses across the finish line pay off to bettors.

In a valid selection method the top THREE win the vast majority of all races.

The top THREE betting choices also win the majority of all races.

89% of all double-digit VALUE bets are found in Pace Launcher's top THREE Bottom Line rankings (when directions are followed, and often even when they're NOT).

HUMAN BEINGS - THE PHENOMENON OF DUALITY

HUMANITY and the history of HUMAN experience has always been dominated by the number 2 - DUALISM.

IN THE BEGINNING...GOD created...

Heaven and Earth. (populated it with TWO) Adam and Eve

God and the Devil. Heaven and Hell. Good and Evil.

The Story of Noah and The Ark...animals aboard in TWO of each species.

Right and Wrong. Love/Hate. Either/Or (Dichotomy)

Life/Death (Eros-Thanatos) Life Wish/Death Wish

Win/Lose. Black/White. Right Brain/Left Brain

Believer/Infidel. Manics and Depressives. SPLIT (dual) Personality.

Man and Woman. Husband and Wife. Parent - Child,

Teacher - Student. Doctor-Patient. Young - Old. Rich - Poor.

Jew - Moslem (even though the lineage of both is through Abraham).

Hence, despite my almost violent protests in the past six issues of the Follow Up, clients persist in an almost monomaniacal focus on the TOP TWO numerical choices from their readouts. Just by thinking in terms of THREE, most would profit dramatically. It appears that I'm at war with basic human nature when I suggest they expand their horizons by only ONE.

I have a lot of evidence to suggest that might even be a losing war.

Consider this: Before I had the temerity to suggest that clients should think about making a monetary profit along with just winning races, the Sartin Methodology was the most popular refuge for aspiring handicappers who couldn't consistently pick horses that won. With the Methodology, most of them began selecting a bevy of winners. Even though they didn't keep accurate profit-loss records of their wagers, they didn't care. Times were good. They had jobs. The emotional exhilaration from winning sufficed and they were happy.

Even when they suffered losing days they had Doc and his Psychology of Winning to tell them it was because of negative childhood conditioning through parental discounting, social imprinting or impositions from their training. It became convenient to use ol' Doc's therapeutic approach as an excuse for failure.

Well that's not what Win Therapy is all about! It is designed to give insight into the reasons for failure and to then give permission to succeed. Many clients stopped at the threshold of PERMISSION. They got too much pleasure, albeit masochistic pleasure, from having a source to place the blame for their failure. They had an excuse, and that's really all they wanted.

When ol' Doc insisted they abandon this unhealthy condition and move forward, they resented it and began to drop out, seeking someone or something that would permit them to remain in stasis. My focus on demanding that clients avoid the insidious negative traps offered by things like PROJECTION and EXTERNALIZATION (Follow Up 64) created discomfort. They threatened the perverse pleasures derived from that other instinctive human drive: Thanatos, the Death Wish.

It is extremely difficult to cure personality dysfunctions stemming from a sub or unconscious desire (need) to fail. In most instances that need is confined to a single field, in this case handicapping. In other areas of endeavor such need is seldom manifest. I've often explored the reasons that handicapping triggers such a need, so I won't repeat them here.

As a therapist I'm opposed to enticing anyone into a stochastic endeavor, labeled by society as gambling. I'm interested only in helping those who are already dedicated to succeeding in the field. Those who are not so dedicated are doomed to fail. They will persist in losing no matter what I might say or have proved through long research and daily practice. Why? Because they have chosen to accept myth-driven "opinions" and outdated "rules" over reality. Since such acceptance is the source of most personality disorders, I can take such persons no farther through these pages. Protracted therapy? Perhaps. But the truth is, even though they won't admit it, most are getting too much pleasure from failure and don't truly want to change.

Such is the nature of Human Dualism Can't win: lose. Can't be first, be last. Can't be right: be wrong. Can't love: hate.

In creating mankind, heaven gave us the unique ability to take equal pleasure from either side of the dualistic dichotomy. Animals don't possess it. They're governed by survival of the fittest. This is the Darwinist principle that also dictates winning and losing at the races. To consistently WIN, one must overcome all negative aspects of Dualism. But, take heart. Dualism is not all bad. You can still make more money wagering on two options than with one. The trick is to isolate the proper two from racing's most persistent number: THREE. Vox Pop is filled with persons who have done so with Bottom Line/Betting Line. So can you!

THE POWER OF THREE
from the Basic Manual (The Yellow Manual)

A 3-3-3 is the best predictor of longshots.

A 3-3-X is the 2nd best predictor of longshots.

A 2-2-X is the 3rd best predictor of longshots.

(End of article)

I could have added several more thirds that apply to racing and a lot more dualisms that apply to the conditioning of human attitude. If you give it some thought, so can you. The conflict between tri-ism and dualism is the main reason 95% of the alleged 50,000 race goers are NON-winners. 20% break even, 75% Lose and 5% win on any given day.

To join the 20% we must accept that fact that *in racing*, there is very little **true** separation between the TOP 3 in any Ranking system and that in most races the top 3 finishers go past the finish line in less than a blink of the eye.

The functioning systems of most humans is conditioned by a dichotomy: Two factors dominate. Like Right/Wrong, Good/Bad, Like/Dislike (as in I LIKE that horse) Win/Lose and the other dualisms cited in the article. When we think and act as dualists in an endeavor governed by THIRDS (tri-ism) we are self-restricting ourselves to a range of only TWO options. We need to revolt against our conditioning, at least for the purpose of handicapping wagering. **THINK THREE!**

New-look Form makes impact

Los Angeles Daily News

ARCADIA

When Steve Crist wrote recently about the horse-racing industry's most significant developments of 1998, he listed the popularity of the guaranteed pick-six, the founding of the NTRA "league office" and indications the economic bleeding has stopped. He also left out a big one.

It must have been modesty that prevented Crist from including the

changes in the Daily Racing Form since the 105-year-old newspaper was purchased in July by a group he led.

As editor and publisher, Crist has shaken up the Form's lineup of writers, columnists and handicappers and stirred up readers with changes in the past-performance charts from which serious horseplayers pick winners. Added are details on runners' pedigrees, recent class levels and opponents,

and distance and surface specialties.

On top of the additions to Form data inspired by Crist's innovative but short-lived Racing Times in 1991-92, the latest round of improvements have created past performances with much of the data — speed figures, turf and muddy-track statistics, and next-out winners from "key races" — that studious fans used to have to compile themselves.

The Positive Power of NEGATIVITY

SARTIN METHODOLOGY
SA -- WAGERING DECISION FORM

-- WIN

		BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE RANKS				
		1	2	3	4	5
LORD						
0306 3				16.00		
DANCI						
0306 2						7.40
LA MA						
0304 7					8.40	
0304 6						
WHITE						
0304 5				15.80		
0304 4						
0304 2						
MANLY						
0304 1				47.00		
HALF						
0303 8				8.60		
0228 9						
DESER						
0228 7				22.80		
0228 6						
PERCU						
0228 5				17.80		
RED E						
0228 4						9.20
0228 3						
FEARL						
0228 2			7.60			
0228 1						
ROUGH						
0227 9	12.60					
SUMME						
0227 8					11.00	
TUZLA						
0227 7	3.40					
TOTI						
WINI	1	1	0	0	0	
TOTI						
MUTI	12.60	7.60	128.00	19.40	16.60	
AVEI						
MUTI	12.60	7.60	21.33	9.70	8.30	
AVEI						
BALI	2.0	4.0	6.0	8.0	8.0	

The person who wrote me suggesting that I eliminate all "do not's" but only positive stuff, meant well and I respect his reasoning. However, time has proved the positive power of negativity. It has kept a lot of infant hands out of electric sockets and from crossing the street in front of oncoming cars - among other things. The great "Just say NO" media campaign may have done little to improve our narcotics problem but naive as it was, it had some effect.

As a society we are conditioned since birth with DON'TS. DO's came much later, mostly in school, where they almost evened out with the DON'TS. Read your Ten Commandments on which Christians and Jews alike were raised. "Thou Shall NOT bow down to graven images." "Thou shall have NO other Gods but me." There's a Negative within a Positive.

"Thou shall not steal, commit adultery, bear false witness, covet thy neighbor's wife, etc. God, in giving Moses those tablets had a pretty good idea of the mentality of what He or Evolution created. Even if you don't buy the whole Moses bit, we were ALL conditioned by it. Moslems also have similar injunctions in their Koran.

I'm not about to challenge the wisdom of those two noted sons of old Abraham, one of which gave us Judeo-Christianity and the other Islam. DON'TS have dominated our scripting since the beginning of recorded history and mythology, beginning with DON'T partake of that apple."

Here is just one example of the Positive Power of Negativity:

I received this Wagering Decision Form. Where the client inserts an "o" it means he did NOT bet the races or get the printed return. He failed to bet ANY third Tier BL/ BL contender.

I wrote him a curt note. "When the HELL are you going to STOP making excuses for NOT betting third tier OVERLAYS!!!!" Not exactly the most polite kind of response.

In return I received THIS:

Howard,

Thanks for sending the note about #3 bl-bl horse.

The enclosed readout shows an example of another #3 ranked horse.

Gratefully,

FB0314 911 8.5 D ST 3 \$600,000 PR=91

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

PNo	NAME	Ld	SR	PR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDISTS	M/L	DAYS	AGE	
3	GENER1		88	91	3	29.0	EVEN	SA	8.5D	9/5	42	3 <u>HIDE</u>
7	ANSWE3		92	87	5	19.0	2-1	CD	8.5D	5/2	21	3
1	ECTON1		86	88	6	17.3	5-2	FG	8.5D	3/1	21	3
2	KIMBE1	W ^N	85	88	8	13.3	4-1	FG	8.5D	12/1	21	3 <u>345.40 11.80 8.60</u>
5	SILVE1		84	88	11	10.0	5-1	FG	8.0D	8/1	43	3
8	STELL1		78	81	9	7.8	8-1	FG	8.0D	8/1	27	3

The name of the client remains anonymous. I'm not out to embarrass anyone. This guy did us ALL a favor. He demonstrated the truth of the instructions I've been giving about three's AND my assertion that:

ONCE YOU GO TO BL/BL AFTER HIDES, ACCEPT NEGATIVE COROLLARIES. RELY ON BL/BL TIER LEVELS AND WIN FIGURES. AT THIS POINT BL/BL itself, becomes the MOST POWERFUL corollary!

Sometimes a scolding laced with profanity has only momentary effect. Often a few days of conscientious adherence to instruction is followed by a reversion to old ways. The ever-nagging Sisyphus Syndrome. This kind of regression is the most debilitating of all.

SARTIN METHODOLOGY
SA -- WAGERING DECISION FORM -- WIN

BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE RANKS					PRIMARY					SUPP					FRAC					P									
					B E I L C I T H F					S E T T E L I N A																			
					A P P I T I E W					P I N S P					S														
					L R R R					F X N T					P														
					1					2					3					4					5				
TWILI																													
0321 7					15.40					5 2 3 2 3 3 3					3 2 2 2 3 2 3 3														
DIXIE																													
0321 5					8.20					5 5 1 2 3 1 2					2 2 1 2 2 5 2 1														
SIR D																													
0321 4					16.60					2 1 2 1 3 3 1					2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1														
FIERC					8.60																								
0321 1					PASSED					9 3 3 3 2 3 3					5 3 3 5 4 5 5 5														
0320 9					MISSED																								
GEM D																													
0320 6					11.80					3 3 2 1 2 2 2					1 1 2 2 2 1 2 3														
PUEBL																													
0320 5					53.00					3 2 5 3 2 4 4					2 4 4 2 2 2 2 2														
STAFF																													
0320 4					15.00					4 1 3 2 2 2 1					3 2 3 2 2 2 2 3														
ESCON																													
0320 3					10.80					4 1 3 3 1 3 2					3 3 3 1 1 1 1 3														
TOT					0																								
WIN					2					2					2					1									
TOT					0																								
MUT					28.40					23.20					26.20					53.00									
AVE					0																								
MUT					14.20					11.60					13.10					53.00									
AVE					0																								
BAL					2.5					4.5					4.5					3.0									

WHY?

NO BET

3

53.00

LOOK

2

5

3

2

4

4

2

4

4

2

2

2

2

2

NINE RACE SUMMARY:

BET 8. WIN 6 PASS 1. MISS 1

TOTAL BET - BASED ON \$4 A RACE2=\$32

GROSS WINNINGS: \$77.80. NET-\$45.80

\$10 BETS-\$20 PER RACE=\$160

NET PROFIT = \$229 IN 2 DAYS.

In the case of this anonymous client the correction continued - with but ONE possible exception: the \$53 winner in Tier 4, race 5, 03-20. The horse has a balance of 5, is ranked 2 on FX, EPR, TT, TS, TPP and on ALL 3 Fractals. This kind of overlay with such dominance in the corollary factors that are so STRONG, especially at Santa Anita, cannot be overlooked simply because they're on the 4th Tier. I'd pass over a \$10 horse on Tier 4 with little thought. But when it's gets up to the 30-50 dollar level, I'd risk a bet.

Never mind, though. He corrected his Third Tier Phobia and made a fair profit. He has nowhere to go but up. I only wish others would follow his example. GOOD corollaries after HIDES are much more meaningful than corollaries that seem less desirable.

I REPEAT. For all using the Wagering Decision Form: Once you've made appropriate HIDES, BL/BL Tiers and the Overlay Odds take precedence.

Perhaps a couple of reading of this issue's article on "Cracking the Corollary Code will help all readers to stop ignoring those #2 Rankings on vital corollaries.

DOC SARTIN

Value Wagering ~ Questions

The best known proponents of **Value Betting** have always done a masterful job of demonstrating the power of Value over mere Selection. This argument had less weight back in eighties when the average mutuels for lower paying selections were considerably higher than they are today, at least for major tracks. Ten to twelve years ago we could make a good profit (betting two horses to win per race) on winners paying from \$6.40 to \$8.40 with some occasional big overlays.

I stressed win percentage, prescribing no less than 63%, because 6.3% times \$8.80 (our average mutuel in 1983) yielded an ROI of 39%. As a result we strove to UP our win percentage more than trying to increase our average mutuel.

It made sense at the time since many of our most illustrious clients and Teaching Members were enjoying win percentages pushing 70% with average mutuels of only \$6.90. That's an ROI of 1.21%. We were led by various articles and books to believe that an ROI of 1.20 was true professional Level. Those enjoying the lower mutuels but getting the higher win percentages were highly selective about races and horses on which they would bet.

Others, like myself, were less selective and enjoyed higher mutuels without suffering a lower win percentage because we were wagering on the kinds of races and horses our more conservative teachers and clients eschewed.

Today, I'm asking you to combine the best of these two worlds and selectively wager on races and contenders paying higher mutuels. This equates to Tom Brohamer's old selectivity guidelines merged with my reliance on UNorthodox handicapping factors.

Let me play Devil's advocate: Without an updated, current method of selectivity, Value Handicapping is not quite all it's cracked up to be. Neither is betting on horses just because they're the Highest Rated (by whatever procedure). Without an effective SELECTIVITY regimen we're caught in the middle of a conundrum.

Those who are wary of Value Betting exclusively make a good argument ***when they go over a single day's Result Charts*** and see themselves losing most - perhaps all - the races because they bet on other than low-paying favorites.

Those basing their reasoning on the result of a day at the races have a good argument, especially if they're anxiety prone.

Their argument is also somewhat valid for those who download more than two tracks and insist on wagering ***without discrimination*** on virtually every race on all cards they've downloaded.

Here's an example that thrusts a knife into the anxiety prone who are asked to bet Value only.

The 4th Race:

For WIN
HIDE RARE @ 2:1-1

SA0325 4 10.0 T AL 4+ F \$54,000											
BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE											
HIDE#	PN	CNAME	Ld	SR	BAL	LS	ODDS	TRKDIST	M/L	DAYS	AGE
(4)	6	CIRCA1		81	2	21.3	8-5	SA 9.0T	8/1	29	4
(1)	2	FIAMM1		79	4	20.8	9-5	SA 9.0T	8/1	29	4
(3)	4	KICKE3		79	7	20.3	9-5	SA 10.0T	12/1	29	5
(5)	7	MICHI3		77	7	13.3	4-1	SA 9.0T	15/1	15	5
(6)	10	BLUE 3		65	11	7.5	8-1	SA 10.0T	10/1	20	4
(2)	3	KENDR3		68	11	5.0	10-1	SA 9.0T	20/1	22	4

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

												PRIM										SUPP																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
				B	L	T	PRIM	SUPP	FRACT								E	L	C	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T	P	P	P	T	H	F	F	S

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

6551 - FOURTH RACE. 1 1/4 miles. Purse: \$54,000. Allowance. 3-year-olds and Mares, 4-year-olds and up.

and Mares, 4-year-olds and up.										
Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
6374	Circa, L Pincay Jr.	122	4	8	5 1/4	5 1/4	3 1/4	2nd	11 1/4	2.80
(6369)	Rare Charmer, G McCarron	122	8	2	2	2	1 1/4	1 1/4	2 1/4	2.10
(6412)	Kendra, G Andley	121	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	18.00
(6431)	Bride, F Alvarado	118	2	2	2	2	5 1/4	4th	42	5.40
(6431)	Donemmyway, R Valdez	113	9	9	8	9	8 1/4	52	28.20	
(6480)	Michigan Bluff, C Black	122	5	8	6 1/4	6 1/4	4th	53	55 1/2	12.30
(6374)	Kicken Grass, M Garcia	120	3	7	7th	7th	7th	85	710	11.10
(6431)	Blue Eyed Lil, B Stanc	118	8	8	85	85	8th	7th	86	11.40
(6335)	Presidents (Arg), D Flores	118	9	1	1	1 1/4	63	9	9	7.70
Scratched — Andoya (Fr), Chalm Charmer (GB), Flamee (Ir), Ta Ta Be True										
6—CIRCA						7.80	3.80	3.80		
6—RARE CHARMER							3.40	3.00		
3—KENDRA								6.40		

Scratched — Andoya (Fr), Chalm Chinkney (GB), Fiamma (Ire), Ta To Be True
 Off: 2:34 Time: 22.69 :46.31 1:10.91 1:36.45 2:02.76 Track: Showery & Wet/Fast. Winner-b.f.95 Nijinsky's Table-Exploding Dutch. Tr-Frank Olivares Own-Cucco or Maffey. Mutuel Pool \$275,311. Exacta Pool \$185,440. Quinella Pool \$26,588. Trifecta Pool \$212,688. Pick Three Pool \$57,512.

\$1 EXACTA (8-9) PAID \$10.20 \$2 QUINELLA (8-9) PAID \$9.40
 \$1 PICKTHREE (6,7/8/6) PAID \$26.90 \$1 TRIFECTA (6-8-3) PAID \$71.80

DOC SARTII COMING....NEXT ISSUE. LATE MAY.

Commentary...

The Length of a Horse and the Value of Opinion

The 7th Race:

HIDE: The KEY SA0325 7 10.0 T OC 4+ \$62,000 CP=\$100,000
 1/1-1
 BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE
 HIDE# PN CNAME Ld SR PR BAL LS ODDS TRKDIST M/L DAYS AGE

(1)	1	LUCAY1	79		7	22.5	EVEN	SA 10.0T	5/1	33	4
(2)	2	MONTJ3	81		2	17.5	5-2	HOL 8.5T	4/1	40	7
(4)	4	PRIZE1	81		5	17.0	5-2	SA 9.0T	4/1	74	6
(3)	3	THE K2	79		9	16.5	3-1	SA 10.0T	5/2	19	7
(5)	6	RATE 1	75		7	15.5	7-2	SA 9.0T	4/1	89	5

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACIES															PRIM					SUPP											
=====				B	L	T	PRIM			SUPP		FRACT			=====					E	L	C	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T
=====				A	S	O									=====					P	P	P	T	E	W	X	P	X	P	S	P
##	PNCNAME	LdNT	SR	L	P	T	LS	R	LS	R	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	
1	1 LUCAY1		79	7	2	4	14	1	9	1	4	3	1	LAT	9.0	4	1	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	
2	2 MONTJ3		81	2	4	1	20	2	15	3	3	1	2	SUS	1.0	1	5	1	1	3	5	4	4	4	5	1	1	1	1	1	
3	3 THE K2		79	9	1	5	23	3	16	4	2	4	5	LAT	4.0	3	3	3	5	5	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	5	1	1	
4	4 PRIZE1	1	81	5	5	2	20	2	14	2	1	2	3	SUS		2	4	1	2	4	4	3	3	3	4	2	2	2	2	2	
5	6 RATE 1		75	7	3	3	24	4	21	5	5	5	4	LAT	9.0	5	2	4	3	2	3	5	5	5	5	2	5	4	1	1	

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

AFTER

SA0325 7 10.0 T OC 4+ \$62,000 CP=\$100,000
 BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE
 HIDE# PN CNAME Ld SR BAL LS ODDS TRKDIST M/L DAYS AGE

(1)	1	LUCAY1	79		6	23.5	EVEN	SA 10.0T	5/1	33	4
(2)	2	MONTJ3	81		2	20.5	9-5	HOL 8.5T	4/1	40	7
(3)	4	PRIZE1	81		5	20.0	9-5	SA 9.0T	4/1	74	6
(4)	6	RATE 1	75		7	19.8	2-1	SA 9.0T	4/1	89	5

SYNTHESIS POWER RANKINGS AND FRACTALS

=====															=====										PRIM										SUPP									
				B L		T	PRIM		SUPP		FRACT							E	L	C	T	H	F	F	S	F	E	T	T															
##	PNCNAME	LGNT	SR	A	S	O	L	P	T	L	S	R	L	S	R	E	L	N	ESP	SCBL	P	P	R	R	P	R	R	P	R	X	P	X	S	F	E	T	T							
1	1	LUCAY1	79	6	1	4	13	1	9	1	3	3	1	LAT	9.0	3	1	2	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3							
2	2	MONTJ3	81	2	3	1	17	2	11	2	2	1	2	SUS	1.0	1	4	1	1	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1							
3	4	PRIZE1	1 81	5	4	2	17	2	12	3	1	2	3	SUS		2	3	1	2	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2							
4	6	RATE 1	75	7	2	3	20	3	18	4	4	4	4	LAT	9.0	4	2	3	3	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4							
=====																																												

FRACTALS WEIGHTED: E=Early L=Late N=Normal

6554—SEVENTH RACE. 1 1/4 miles on turf. Purse: \$62,000. Allowance.
 4-year-olds and up. Claiming price: \$100,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
(6310)	Montjoy, J Vakkila Jr.	118	2	3	3 1/2	3 1/2	3rd	1st	12	7.90
6357	Lucayan Indian (re), McCarron	118	1	1 1/2	1st	1st	21	3 1/2	260	2.60
6003	Rate Cut, C Nakatani	118	5	5	5	5	4	4	6.10	
(6443)	The Key Rainbow (re), Desormeaux	120	2	2	2 1/2	2 1/2	3 1/2	5	1.10	

Scratched — Stanton Harcourt

2—MONTJOY 17.80 7.80 4.40
 1—LUCAYAN INDIAN 5.40 3.20
 4—PRIZE GIVING 3.20

Off: 4:08 Time: :23.29 :48.34 1:12.83 1:37.44 2:02.32 Track: Showery & Good. Winner-
 b.h.92 Manila-Wendy's Ten. Tr-BDA Cecil Own-Gary, A Tanaka. Mutuel Pool \$247,359.
 Exacta Pool \$215,645. Quinella Pool \$31,581. Pick Three Pool \$65,764.

\$1 EXACTA (2-1) PAID \$40.80 \$2 QUINELLA (1-2) PAID \$41.20
 \$1 PICK THREE (10/5,6/2) PAID \$48.70

Result Chart leaves out the Show Horse. It was on the TrackMaster Download used by Shane. Do these races for yourself. You'll get the same results IF you followed the directions I've given so often over the years. NOTICE: NO contender was taken from MORE than 3 lines back! Incidentally, we passed the 8th race, not because it was a maiden event, but because it was a mixed bag of sprinters and routers, ultimately won by a stretch out with NOT enough corollary strength to recommend it for a bet and because its odds vacillated from below 5-2 to 2.6-1. Time to pick up and go home.

PASSING is an art in itself to which we should dedicate as much space in future Follow Up's as we have in this one. However, I'll be the first to admit that there are those who'll have more trouble understanding how to PROFITABLY pass races than they had learning to handicap. The reason for this is that the art and science of handicapping can be made more MECHANICAL than the art of Passing Races. This is not to say that successful handicapping is purely mechanical, just more so than knowing when to pass.

For those who feel they may never truly learn the art of passing, take solace in what I'm about to explain and demonstrate.

When a distraught client comes to visit me in the office, I always have a supply of several weeks of day by day Result Charts.

That's where the exercise begins AND where YOU should begin.

More next issue~

Keep your own running daily Result Charts for the track(s) where you wager. You don't need to have handicapped these races. Just check the number of low paying horse vs the number of OVERLAY winners.

Keep a running tally then handicap. Work on getting those overlays in the top 3 Tiers of BL/BL. Focus on the corollary factors that point to these overlays. Keep working at it until you are able to win most of those races. When a winner paying ten to one or better lands in Tier #4, be certain to consider it for a wager by viewing ALL its corollaries after appropriate HIDES.



BOXED IN?

by the 'CAPPER

BOX? WHAT BOX? This is horse race betting not a feel good about yourself social confab. So what is the box and why is it important to me, the handicapper? The answer to the first part is pretty simple. It's that riddle you get sometimes about connecting the nine dots using four lines without lifting your pencil or re-tracing a line. What it does have to do with horse race

handicapping may be another story and not quite so easy to accomplish.

Let's start with another story, this one about a baseball player. His name is Ozzie Smith. Ozzie Smith characterizes thinking and doing outside the box. Ozzie's box? Major League shortstop. Any one who followed baseball before Ozzie probably has their favorite shortstop or remembers some great fielding plays in their own right. My favorite was Luis Aparicio of the Chicago White Sox. Luis made some dazzling plays. And what constituted a great play in the pre-Ozzie era? It was getting to the ball and knocking it down and HOLDING the runner to one base. Now along comes Ozzie and he is not satisfied with knocking the ball down no matter how tough it was to get to. He wants to throw the runner out. And he does so, with regularity. Ozzie Smith does this so well that he gets into the All-Star game hitting under .200. Amazing! But his fielding will more than compensate for his lack of hitting. After this the other infielders began stretching their limits, and before long they too began making some Ozzie-like plays by not only getting to the ball but throwing the runner out. Now back to the box and handicapping.


What has happened here? One player has redefined the edges of not only great plays but also of normal day to day expectations. He stretched the boundaries by going outside the acknowledged limits of the game. He went outside the box.

Handicapper's have a huge box to get outside. Mainstream handicapping fills up the box, inside the lines with speed figures, trip notes, class levels, jockey winning percentages, trainer winning percentages, 900 numbers, tout sheets, captivating jargon, par times, angles and more angles. All with the allure of easy money and the envy of all our friends. So what is outside the box? Winning! What do we have that we can use in order to overcome all this bias, to 'cap outside the box? Like Ozzie we have ourselves and a guide with a direction which takes us outside the box. It is what we have and we have to use it. There is the Follow Up and Doc Sartin and his programs. And there is each one of us. For it is not enough to have these tools and support if we are unable to wield them to isolate the contenders and to make the successful, winning investment. Winning has to become more important than hanging out in the 'box'. The winning feeling has to become more important than the rush of disappointment at backing a non-winner, more important than the excitement of rationalizing and attracting other losers to commiserate with, to share the losing story with and to rage at the 'reason' for our defeat. Winning must become our prime motivation. Winning must become our highest state of exhilaration.

Winning has to be OUR drive. Howard Sartin can only do so much. After all he is in the business of helping clients succeed not in creating dependencies. Sartin has provided the tools for us to move outside the box. It is now up to each of us to use these tools. We have to develop our own feeling about winning and how each tool in our hands will bring that feeling of exhilaration and success to us, will move us outside the box of mainstream handicapping paradigms. We are the craftsmen that will create our own masterpieces with these tools and our intuitive use of them. Our masterpieces will be created outside the 'box'. And as we all know there really is no better feeling than winning days at the track. The 'Capper here with a race day reminder....work the plan, be profitable.

'Til next time.

Sartin's Tools for 1999



- The ***Video*** and/or ***Audio*** series with Workbooks
- The ***Pace Launcher*** series -
and, especially, the top of the line ***Synthesis***
with its adjunct program in the • ***TrackMaster version***
of Pace Launcher 3, 4 and Synthesis
- The ***Wagering Decision Form Program***
currently for Synthesis users only

Sartin Methodology Manuals:

The 55% Solution, The Psychology of Winning, The Tandem Race

and these back issues of the Follow Up:

Issue #59 ~ Introducing Pace Launcher with new Pace Line Selection Guidelines

Issue #60 ~ The Era of the Corollary with Sartin Glossary of terminology

**Issue #61 ~ Value Wagering and advanced Pace Launcher corollaries,
Pace Launcher 4**

Issue #62 ~ Step by Step instructions given in detail for a full 9 race card

Issue #63 ~ Answers given to ALL problems encountered prior to this issue

**Issue #64 and # 65 ~ Both issues are dedicated to helping you make
profitable Decisions**

Issue #66 ~ Introduction and explanation of Synthesis


**Issue #67 ~ Benefits unique to the TrackMaster download and Money
Management**

**Issue #68, #69 and # 70 ~ Exploring TODAY'S realities in Handicapping
Success and how they differ from the past. The flaws in even the
best material written before 1992**

**Issue #71, #72 and #73 ~ An objective testing of our Bottom Line/Betting Line
with real money by Mark Cramer. New concepts in making a profit
with virtually NO traditional concepts.**

Back Issues of the Follow Up are \$12.50 each ~ California residents \$13.47 (includes tax)

Sartin's Tools for 1999



- The ***Video*** and/or ***Audio*** series with Workbooks
- The ***Pace Launcher*** series -
and, especially, the top of the line ***Synthesis***
with its adjunct program in the • ***TrackMaster version***
of Pace Launcher 3, 4 and Synthesis
- The ***Wagering Decision Form Program***
currently for Synthesis users only

Sartin Methodology Manuals:

The 55% Solution, The Psychology of Winning, The Tandem Race

and these back issues of the Follow Up:

Issue #59 ~ Introducing Pace Launcher with new Pace Line Selection Guidelines

Issue #60 ~ The Era of the Corollary with Sartin Glossary of terminology

**Issue #61 ~ Value Wagering and advanced Pace Launcher corollaries,
Pace Launcher 4**

Issue #62 ~ Step by Step instructions given in detail for a full 9 race card

Issue #63 ~ Answers given to ALL problems encountered prior to this issue

**Issue #64 and # 65 ~ Both issues are dedicated to helping you make
profitable Decisions**

Issue #66 ~ Introduction and explanation of Synthesis

**Issue #67 ~ Benefits unique to the TrackMaster download and Money
Management**

**Issue #68, #69 and # 70 ~ Exploring TODAY'S realities in Handicapping
Success and how they differ from the past. The flaws in even the
best material written before 1992**

**Issue #71, #72 and #73 ~ An objective testing of our Bottom Line/Betting Line
with real money by Mark Cramer. New concepts in making a profit
with virtually NO traditional concepts.**

Back Issues of the Follow Up are \$12.50 each ~ California residents \$13.47 (includes tax)

