


The FOLLOW UP

with Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D.

EVOLUTION

ISSUE #54



INDICAPPING REVOL

1995 Holiday Issue

Publisher's Desk	1
Editor's Desk	3
On Line with Shane	4
Computer Column	6
From The Top	10
Vox Populi	16
Commentary	21
Psychology of Winning	32
Revised Standard Extension Table	41
Q&A with Doc	44
Meet The Press	50
Spot Play Form	51
Ends & Odds	55
Problem Races	61

The FOLLOW UP is published six (6) times a year by O. Henry House, Inc. in conjunction with the Inland Empire Institute. Subscription price is \$72 per year third class mail and \$87 per year first class & Canadian mail. Back issues available @ \$12.50 ea. California residents add 7.75% sales tax. If you have any problem with your subscription or have a change of address, please contact O. Henry House at the address below. All information in this publication is for informational purposes only.

The FOLLOW UP

O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.

1390 E. 6th Street, Ste 5

Beaumont, CA 92223

909-845-5907

between 1 and 3 Pacific time

Please address all correspondence to this address. This includes submission of material for publication consideration, letters to the editor, opinions, comments - whatever.

Thank you,
Spencer Toner
Managing Editor


HENRY HOUSE, INC.
THE SARTIN
METHODOLOGY
1390 E. 6th Street #5
Beaumont, CA 92223



STATEMENT OF POLICY

The Sartin Methodology is based in Psychotherapy and its goals are NOT directed toward fostering the illusions or delusions of gamblers seeking magic solutions for picking winners. We are primarily a healing arts organization dedicated to providing an alternative solution to mainstream psychiatry's prescription of total abstinence for non-winning handicappers. Our slogan is - and always has been -

"THE CURE FOR LOSING IS WINNING"



COPYRIGHT 1995 O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.

All right reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher.


Past Performance and Results charts copyrighted by Daily Racing Form, Inc.

DOC SARTIN

FROM THE



Publisher's Desk



This being the Holiday Season I have given myself strict orders NOT to include ANY criticism of pirates, thieves or slanderers by name. I shall subscribe to the ethic of Jesus, Ghandi and others through the practice of Peace and Non-Violence in thought and deed.

So, it's peace on earth - goodwill to all, lots of Problem Races and some news about our new "low-end" priced computer programs and the Thoromation Up-Date so many have asked about.

In a previous issue we mentioned that we were re-writing some of the old manuals like the 55% Solution, Tandem Races and Pace Line Manual. Once started, that project grew exponentially and is now well on its way to being a full-sized book! Since Andy Beyer said in his book, *Beyer On Speed*, that the Sartin Methodology was the "most interesting development in handicapping since (his) speed figures," I decided to paraphrase his title. It will be *SARTIN ON PACE*, ready late winter/early spring...honest.

The Pacific Northwest is alive and well! When Longacres closed, a lot of Washingtonians were traumatized and briefly lost interest in racing. Now, with Seattle's *new* track scheduled to open in 1996, the area has become revitalized. Our November 95 Vegas seminar was very well attended with a full 20% of the attendees coming from Washington and British Columbia. Only California produced a higher percentage. I missed Longacres too, and the great Pacific Northwest particularly. Looks like we'll be going up there in '96.

A belated Happy Birthday to Harold Byrd from near San Diego, Calif. who was 88 years young this Fall. He's one of the few clients left who can still call me 'son', and be chronologically correct. From Harold comes this little tale that fits the Holiday Season and gives a Value Wagering lesson as well. It may be slightly apocryphal, but it has at least a semi-religious theme while making a good point.

Harold is on an American Airlines flight to attend an East Coast Sartin Seminar. He is seated next to another client. Both are enjoying a cup of coffee after their airline version of a meal.

Move in for medium two shot: Harold and Other client.

HAROLD: Doc's a great guy but I've been handicapping for longer than he's been alive. I don't care what he says, I bet to WIN only.

Suddenly the airplane experiences violent turbulence. It shakes; plates fall, coffee cups spill and a moaning cry is heard from all the passengers.

HAROLD: I knew it! I never should have come. Oh, how I hate flying. If God had wanted man to fly he would have given us wings!

OTHER CLIENT: Yea, but if God only wanted us to bet WIN, why did he create Place and Show?

HAROLD: (Looking heavenward). I swear, Lord, if you let this plane land safely, I'll find the Place and Show horse. I'll BET them. I'll bet Exactas, Trifectas, ANYTHING, just let us land safely!"

Now the Turbulence ends and the plane settles once again into smooth flight and lands safely at Newark. The passengers disembark.

Zoom in for tight one shot: Harold kissing the ground.

FADE OUT Slowly:


True to his Godly vow, Harold now bets, when appropriate value exists, both Place and Show, finds the predictive Counter Energy Place horse and Factor Evaluates the most likely Show horse. Always a profitable handicapper, he now reports a 200% increase in his profits as a result of his altered state of consciousness. So, an old dog CAN learn new tricks. Try it. It's called "Beyond Winning," from the book of the same name. Maybe God didn't create Place and Show, but I'm sure HE inspired it through the Beatitudes: "Blessed are the meek: for they shall inherit the earth -"

After a little bout with illness that kept him off the road for awhile, Joe Reay is alive and well and is making available the audio tapes for both the October Oklahoma Seminar and the November Las Vegas Seminar. As always, he makes the prices very reasonable. To get your seminar tapes, contact Joe Reay at 10209 Norman Ave, Albuquerque, N.M. 87112-3040. The accompanying manuals are available through O. Henry House. Oklahoma is only \$15.00 - and Las Vegas is \$25.

We just received a contract from the Riviera Hotel in Las Vegas confirming our Spring '96 seminar dates...

MARCH 1 - 2 - 3, 1996

We'll have many new programs and techniques to discuss, so make your plans NOW.



FROM THE
**Editor's
Desk**




We recently returned from the Las Vegas seminar after seeing many old and meeting new friends. The tone of the clients seemed very serious and professional in their general demeanor. Privately there are more comments about the professional level of performance and results of their handicapping.

Glen Connolly again lived up to his excellence in instructing to the highest level of the purest expression of the Sartin Methodology. He sticks to the process and can back up his instruction with direct reference to manual and page as to why he has made this discipline work.

The Riviera Hotel was huge and terrific. The Riviera is planning for our return much to client's applause. Having driven by the hotel for years I did not know how big the Riviera is - every bit of available space is developed and high quality. We didn't have the Comdex Computer Convention breathing down our necks in that we were two weeks before their arrival.

A discussion came up about the many programs on the market that have an automatic process for picking pacelines. Four different ones came to mind, and the thought that each of these programs were picking pace lines in a manner that was different. One thought that was expressed was the conflict a client who was privy to all of this technology would be in the same state of confusion as the client in our methodology feels when he is unsure about his own paceline selection.

Joe Reay was in Las Vegas making his tapes of the seminar. See Publisher Desk this issue for information on obtaining the tapes and accompanying manual.



ON LINE

with Shane

Ever wake up one morning with a funny feeling in your chest, headache, stuffy nose, aches and pains you didn't have when you went to bed? Chances are you've come down with something and you say to yourself, "Where did this come from? I haven't been around any sick people..... at least, not that I KNOW of anyway!" Some of us would take aspirin and vitamins and who knows what else to get rid of the symptoms of whatever you've caught from who knows who or where. Usually the bug you caught eventually goes away... maybe.

The same thing can happen to your computer. You turn it on one day and you start to notice something different. Is it acting sluggish? Does it refuse to let you run certain programs or worse yet, any programs? There may be a virus lurking in your computer! A computer virus is

basically a piece of code that doesn't belong in your system. Some are fairly harmless but, more often than not, can cause a lot of damage to your computer's hard drive in many different ways. You can't just give your computer an aspirin to hide the symptoms hoping it will just go away eventually. In fact, the longer a virus stays in your computer, the worse it gets. So you'll have to take your computer to a doctor. Better yet, go out IMMEDIATELY to BUY a doctor-an anti virus program. ANYONE who owns a computer should have an anti-virus program in their machine. In fact, it's a must! I have run across THREE different strains of viruses in as many months on disks sent or brought in by clients.. They were : Monkey-B, FORM-A and ANTIEXE. The first two mentioned attack the boot sector of the hard drive, The ANTIEXE virus attacks .EXE (executable) files and will continue to attach itself to more and more files as will many, if

not most, viruses. I found these viruses on programs that had been returned because they weren't working right or not at all by testing them with the anti-virus program I have installed in my computer which is VIRUSCAN by McAfee. There are a lot of anti-virus programs available. Some are more effective than others for cleaning out viruses so be sure to get one that can clean as well as scan for viruses and be sure to get one that is as up-to-date as possible. Many of the companies that make anti-virus programs update them every month or so and a few even update as they discover a new strain of virus. Remember, viruses can come from any source you feed into your computer (floppy disks, bulletin boards, etc.) So, do like I do and test ANY disk ANYONE gives or loans you before using it!

GOING TO DOS WITH WINDOWS 95

I called Jim Bradshaw recently and he gave me a quick way to go to DOS without going through Windows 95 first. While booting up the computer, press the F8 key. A menu should come up with some selections. I don't know what all of the selections are since I don't have Windows 95, but Jimmy told me selection #5 is the one to choose to go straight to DOS. I was talking with a client the other day and found out that there is a DOS prompt that would read: WINDOWS C:\. This is not the DOS prompt to use for our programs since it is telling you that you are in DOS, BUT you are going through Windows to get to it.



THE COMPUTER COLUMN

by Spencer Toner



STAR-DOT-STAR

The seminar in Las Vegas had a session on Saturday evening where clients could have their individual problems addressed in a one on one environment. I got to help with the computer problems. Recurring questions still come up about deleting files from computers as well as other often repeated questions.

When I got my first computer I was so lost and confused that I didn't know where to start to get information and how to apply it. So I understand how you feel if you are new to the computer. One computer magazine had an article each issue with the title "STAR-DOT-STAR". Reading the articles for a year, I finally realized that the star they were referring to in the title was an asterisk and the dot was a period. Each of us know that a file needs a name and the file needs an extension to describe the type of file we've saved and are now wanting to delete.

For example:

HO112401.RAC

The first two letters in this typical file that we use to describe a saved race file is HO, which tells us the race was held at Hollywood Race Track.

The first two numbers, 11, tell us the race was run in November. The second two numbers, 24, tell us the race was run on the 24th day of that month. The last two numbers, 01, tell us this was the first race. The period following these numbers is a dot (a period) that separates the name of the file from the files extension.

The RAC is the extension which tells the computer what type of file this is. In this case the type of file containing the data about a horse RACe.

Several times at the seminar and since we've been home, clients have asked how to delete all of their Santa Anita races since in southern California, Hollywood is running and the clients feel burdened by all of those old races or simply do not have the hard disk space to hold the files on their computers. In this article I hope to clear up this lack of understanding so you can delete safely and with confidence.

Directed to do this task may seem easy to the experienced and knowledgeable, but not

for the person who does not know how. Don't you just hate the experience of having to ask someone how to do something and the one with the knowledge is not humble enough to help, or is incapable of giving direction that is understandable. The contemporary phrase is to say they have an "attitude". Meaning that they have a bad attitude. I would love for this phrase to mean a good "attitude".

Back to the subject at hand. The * is used in DOS as a wild card. The wild card character allows a match to any character or group of characters, from its particular position in the file name to the end of that part of the file name. A typical use of this wild card is to search all files that match a specific extension. You use * to represent any combination of characters in the name part of the file, while specifying a particular extension. For example, to identify all rac files type the following: *.rac This identifies all files with the rac extension, triggered with the wild card asterisk, and separated with the period.

In DOS another wild card is the question mark. The ? wild card allows a match to any single character in that particular position in the file name.

A command to give action to the file is needed. To delete the file, there must be the command "del" to give action to the file. This action DOS command must precede the file description. Also, a space is needed after "del" to separate it from the file itself.

Let us look now at how to delete all the races in a particular directory that occurred in October at Santa Anita: DEL SA10?????.RAC

After hitting enter after typing the above command all races at Santa Anita in October would be deleted.. The "10" specifies October. The wild card question marks signify all dates and all races in the specific month.

DEL *.RAC Using an asterisk would indicate any race at any track on any date.

Downloading is common, and not all clients are wanting to print the free fast charts that BRIS makes available. How do you look at these charts on your screen or any other text files.

DOS has a command called "type". This command when it precedes a complete file name sends the contents of the file to the screen so that the file can be read. The downside of using "type" is that most files are large enough that they very quickly scroll right off the screen and you can't read them. There is a way to control this through DOS using the key on your computer key board called the piping character, "|". When this key and the DOS command are used correctly you can read the text.

First enter the command "type" followed by a space, then followed by the whole file name. After the file put in another space followed by the character created by the keyboard character "|". Add another space then the word "more" and hit enter. The file will appear on your screen and stop when the first screen-full of data fills the screen. Then, each time you hit "enter" the first screenfull scrolls off and the next screenfull appears, and so on, and so on until you've had the opportunity to view the whole file. An example: type ho1124.res | more This example would give you the fast results charts from BRIS on November 24 from Hollywood Park.

There is wonderful shareware program available called "typ". This program is available anyplace that shareware is available. Most computer users have acquired this program (typ.com) and will gladly share. The reason this shareware program is so desirable is that the DOS command "TYPE" can only be used to get a one way trip through your file from top to bottom. Whereas, "typ" can be used to scroll a file up as well as down and even left and right.

Backup. Now there is word that appeals to someone who has lost their data in the past and knows the empty feeling that goes with knowing that there is absolutely no way to get it back. However, we know that if we plan ahead well enough we'll be good Scouts and live by the motto of "be prepared". Instead of completely deleting all of those old *.rac files we can use the backup command and put those races on floppy disks. Why do that? Many tell me if they had saved last years races they would have had an opportunity to do some research on some races from their track before it opens its new season this year. But the *.rac files are gone forever.

So before you do delete those races forever let's back them up on some floppy disks just in case. First put a disk in your floppy drive. Second get into the directory where these *.rac files are located. Now use this command: backup *.rac a: What happens is that all of those files will be sent from your present directory to your floppies in a compressed mode occupying less space on the floppy. Also when one floppy gets full the computer will tell you to insert additional floppies as needed.

Next year, or anytime you might want to see these races again they can be restored to your hard disk for use. To restore these races it is a reverse process of putting the first disk in your floppy drive and using the "restore" command. How you would do this is, after putting the disk in the drive and then use this command: restore a: c:\race . Now all of those races you backed up will be located in your directory c:\race or some other appropriate directory you designate.

It is also possible to restore just those races and even a single race you want to see even if in the backup process you may have multiple disks. To do this let's use an example of naming the race we want to restore as the first race at Hollywood Park on November 24.

Use this command: RESTORE A: C:\RACE\HO112401.RAC .

Amazingly, the race is found and restored.

While we're at it let's consider doing some preventative damage control. Having a floppy disk with your computer's basic systems file on a floppy disk just in case something happens, is a must. What this is for is to have a way to turn on your computer and have it start when nothing seems to work due to some malfunction of the computer or the operator. Put a brand new disk in your floppy drive and at the C:\> prompt type this command: FORMAT/ S A: . What will occur is that a floppy disk (a boot disk) will be formatted that can be used to start the computer in case of disaster. To test it, turn off your computer. Put the your new boot disk in your floppy drive and then turn the computer on again. Now instead of your computer

starting from your hard drive, it is starting from the floppy drive and is booting from the floppy disk itself. Now you know you are safe from the worst of disasters. Put this boot disk in the safest location possible, but accessible.

Experience with these DOS commands and the ease of using the proper syntax will expand. It is evident that the DOS commands are of a very limited vocabulary and that the syntax transfers to all the other DOS commands.

Directory (DIR) is a command I use the most. After using some DOS function I want to look in a directory for myself to see if what I just did actually happened. Some directories become so large you need help to sort things out.

Many times by using DIR with a forward slash plus a P (indicating one page) will bring just one screenfull of files. Then when you want to see an additional page you just hit enter and the next page comes up till you get to the bottom of the directory..

By also adding on another forward slash and an O the files in the directory come up in order as well.

Example: DIR/P/O

All of the uses of an asterisk and question marks are just as efficient with DIR as they were with DEL.

I've made a personal decision not to go to Windows 95 at this time. Usually I want to be up to date with the latest, but in this case it would require lots of additional expense to upgrade. Presently my computers don't require any of the advanced features of Windows 95, so I'm staying where I am and watching the dust settle before I make a move I might regret.

If it was not possible to attend the Las Vegas seminar, here is hoping that some of the questions you've been wanting to ask have been answered. I'm available to help, just ask.

Once again...

from the top

by Doc Sartin



A Special Series of
Articles for Beginners and a
Refresher Course for those in need



Trainers and Jockeys

Nothing I've ever written or said has tended to infuriate most "Horseplayers" more than my statements about trainers and jockeys. My assertion that "The Horse Runs The Race," has stirred up a lot of controversy. Yet, most of those whom I initially angered and who continued trying to succeed, but failed dismally, when trying to apply "Trainer-Jockey angles," finally agreed with me when they began focusing on the *horse* and its relative merits against the competition of TODAY'S Match-Up.

I have been at tracks in the U.S. and Canada with virtually every well-known expert in the business. Most of them put a lot of stock in so-called Trainer-Jockey combination statistics and maneuvers. I have yet to see any of them benefit from their knowledge with a profitable degree of consistency. They will sometimes combine their knowledge of trainer angles to win Maiden Races with first time starters; but first time starters cannot be rated by any pace method so I yield to them here, *when* it works. There is also the occasional SPOT PLAY they claim to "nail" through their trainer-jockey records. However, the situation is most rare when the Methodology does not point to the same horse(s).

At Laurel Race Track in Maryland they have a special room with computers where one can look up virtually every kind of record. The most used information pertains to trainers-jockeys statistics. I have attended this track on numerous occasions with some of the most famed Trainer-Jockey maneuver advocates in our business. They are, for the most part, good handicappers. Yet despite their diligent search for trainer-jockey angles, they won fewer races and LESS money than many of my clients at the same meetings who, by all professional handicapping standards, would be considered amateurs.

These alleged experts, along with their devotees, are often as adamant in their beliefs as a zealot preacher at a revival meeting. They go into a rage when disputed. But oddly enough, neither trainers nor jockeys share their fervor. When did you ever hear any legitimate trainers claim for *themselves* the distinction of being the sole reason for their horse winning a race?

The most generous appraisal of jockey abilities was made by Laffit Pincay when he said that the BEST jockey might add from two or three lengths to a horse's finish. Then he quickly

added, "Which means instead of losing by four lengths, I might lose by only one." Jockeys are not only brave, they are humble. They tell us to look at their records. In a full season it is rare for a jockey to have a win percentage in excess of 23%. Top jockeys get the best horses. The BEST horses pay lower mutuels. Top trainers own similar statistics, both in percentage of wins and mutuel price.

A Trainer's ability is reflected in the Past Performance lines of the animals he/she trains. I'll take an East Coast horse at random, Look:

Late Guest		Dk. hcr br g. s		Sire: Fit to Flight (Chieftain)		1995 13 1 3 2		\$47,680 ✓			
Own: Davis Barbara J		Dam: Sleepytime Gal (Drone)		Br: Backer Williams M (Ky)		Tr: Moschera Gasper S (4 0 0 0 .00)		L 114			
BAILEY JD (7 0 0 1 .00)		\$55,000									
+ 22Oct95-58Bel(my 6f	:221	:451	1:09 1:16	3+	Cim 50000	93	5 7 6 5 4 3 2 1	Bailey JD	L 115	*2.45	92-12
⊕ 9Oct95-58Bel fst 6f	:222	:451	1:10 1:17	3+	Cim 70000	89	6 7 4 1 5 1 4 3 5	Luzzi M J	L 114	4.50	85-18
⊕ 29Sep95-58Bel fst 6f	:224	:462	1:10 1:10 3+	Cim 50000	92	6 8 8 8 8 4 7 3 5 2	Luzzi M J	L 116	5.50	86-20	
+ 15Sep95-68Bel fst 7f	:23	:462	1:10 1:22 3+	Cim 40000	99	2 5 4 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Luzzi M J	L 116	*1.90	88-14	
⊙ 24Aug95-35Sar fst 6f	:222	:461	1:11 1:11	3+	Cim 50000	79	4 4 5 5 6 4 7 6 7 6 3	Sellers S J	113	*1.05e	80-18
+ 7Aug95-75Sar fst 7f	:222	:452	1:10 1:23 3+	Cim 65000	96	4 3 4 2 4 3 2 1 2 2 2	Sellers S J	113	5.00	88-19	
⊕ 31Jly95-75Sar fst 6f	:222	:453	1:10 1:10	3+	Alw 36000N\$Y	89	6 3 2 1 2 1 3 2 4 5	Smith M E	113	6.00	86-16
⊙ 22Jly95-75Sar fst 7f	:223	:452	1:09 1:22 3+	Alw 36000N\$Y	68	4 2 5 2 4 2 6 5 6 1 2 1	Smith M E	115	5.00	81-07	
⊕ 23Jun95-78Bel fst 7f	:223	:45	1:10 1:24	Cim 70000	90	1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	Chavez J F	112	*1.30	77-22	
⊕ 23Jun95-48Bel wf 6f	:22	:45	1:09 1:09	Cim c-50000	91	4 4 3 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5	Krone J A	116	*2.30	90-09	

The trainer of LATE GUEST for the past ten races is Gaspar Moschera. In those races showing only three have paid no money to the stable. LATE GUEST's ten race record is one win, two place and one show. It has been fifth or better three times. This is a fairly FAST horse. Only ONCE has it failed to register a Speed Rating under 80. Its average Speed Rating is 85.3. In two Allowance races the animal flashed early speed and faded. It won a \$40,000 Claiming race, placed in a \$50,000 Claimer and at \$65,000. It has THREE + (plus) races, Five Plus within an 0 (+) races, and only two 0 races. It is six years old. Once it went from a 0 race to a + WIN. Its other + races were preceded by a one or two plus within an 0 (+) races. The trainer is bright enough to realize that this horse is definitely a Sprinter. It has been in-the-money 46.15% of the time. It is being ridden today for the second consecutive time by J.D. Bailey. In its only win showing, the jockey was M.J. Luiz. In its second best effort, the jockey was S.J. Sellers.

Now let's examine the LATE GUEST'S Running Style and Pace capability. It won by a big margin against a second call time of 46:2, Placed against a 45:1 and a 45:2. It came from behind to finish second in its last outing. But that was in the mud. In its other good races it was within striking distance at the Second Call. The horse is essentially a Presser. Today, for some strange reason, the trainer has entered it in a Route where the Second Call is the 3/4 mile (6 furlong time). Its best 6 furlong time showing on a fast track is 110:3. On a wet fast track it ran a 110:1 back in June of '95. Its best final fraction was 55.3 feet per second in the 7 furlong race it won. Its next best was in its last race: 54.28 f-p-s. Its third best was in the first race showing, 23 June '95: 53.22 f-p-s. So, when in form it can close FASTER than the Aqueduct sprint average of 53.01 f-p-s.

I am wondering, does Mr. Moschera know all these things about his charge? Maybe, maybe not. I have six fairly well known trainers who are clients. They tell me that we, as astute

handicappers, probably know more about their horse's abilities in a given race than they. WHY? Because we look at ALL contenders from an OBJECTIVE view and, even more important, we can do something a trainer can not: effectively scratch a horse at the last second simply by NOT betting on it.

Apparently our trainer suddenly got the notion that LATE could route. He entered the horse today at one mile. Then he thought better of it. Here is the Result Chart. Note that LATE was the only SCRATCH!

FOURTH RACE 1 MILE. (1:32³) CLAIMING. Purse \$30,000 (plus up to \$11,775 NYSPFA). 3-year-olds and upward. Weights: 3-year-olds, 119 lbs. Older, 122 lbs. Non-winners of two races since September 21, allowed 2 lbs. A race since October 7, 4 lbs. A race since September 6, 6 lbs. Claiming price \$60,000, if for \$35,000, allowed 2 lbs. (Races where entered for \$30,000 or less not considered.)

Aqueduct
NOVEMBER 4, 1995

Value of Race: \$30,000 Winner \$18,000; second \$5,000; third \$3,300; fourth \$1,800; fifth \$900. Mutuel Pool \$350,952.00 Exacta Pool \$524,379.00 Quinella Pool \$36,801.00

Last Raced	Horse	M/Eq.L.A.Wt	PP	St	¼	½	¾	Str	Fin	Jockey	C/g Pr	Odds \$1
20Oct95 7Bel2	Rocking Josh	f 6 116	8 1	6 ² ₄	6 ³	51	41	1 st	3 rd	Chavez J F	60000	3.55
20Oct95 4Bel1	Cody's Key	L 6 114	2 5	1 ¹ ₄	1 ¹ ₄	1 ¹ ₄	1 ¹ ₄	2 ²	2 ²	Migliore R	55000	2.05
30Sep95 7Med8	Toroweap	Lf 5 116	4 6	5 ² ₄	2 ²	2 nd	2 nd	3 ¹ ₂	3 ¹ ₂	Marquez C H Jr	60000	6.40
22Oct95 3Bel1	Slice Of Reality	Lf 3 114	5 4	3 nd	4 ¹ ₂	3 ¹ ₂	3 ¹ ₂	4 ^{nk}	4 ^{nk}	Alvarado F T	60000	2.65
22Sep95 4Med4	Dynamic Brush	L 5 114	6 3	2 nd	3 nd	4 ¹ ₂	5 ⁴	5 ⁷ ₂	5 ⁷ ₂	Davis R G	55000	18.00
18Aug95 4Sar6	Absent Minded	bf 4 114	7 2	4 ¹ ₂	5 ² ₄	6 ⁴	6 ¹ ₂	6 ^{no}	6 ^{no}	Santagata N	55000	9.10
20Oct95 7Bel6	Regal Mike	Lb 7 114	3 7	7 ⁵	7 ⁴	8	8	7 ^{nk}	7 ^{nk}	Leon F	55000	17.20
23Sep95 2Bel4	Crafty Coventry	L 6 107	1 8	8	8	7 ¹ ₂	7 ¹ ₂	8	8	Trejo J J	55000	40.75

OFF AT 1:51 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :24, :47¹, 1:11⁴, 1:36³ Track wet fast.

\$2 Mutuel Prices:

8-ROCKING JOSH	9.10	4.10	3.20
1-CODYS KEY		3.90	3.20
4-TOROWEAP			4.40

\$2 EXACTA 8-1 PAID \$29.20 \$2 QUINELLA 1-8 PAID \$13.00

~~Scratched~~—Late Guest (220ct95 5BEL2)

The contender who WON the race was ROCKING JOSH. Look at its Past Performance Chart and duplicate what I did with LATE. To give you a start, I've marked the +, 0 and (+) for you.

Rocking Josh
Own: Bennett's Probrek

Ch. g. 6
Sire: Whitesburg (Crimson Satis)
Dam: Cold Carol (Run a Native) 1995 20 3 2 7 \$102,750 ✓
Bri: Farrow Edith O (Ky)
Tr: Priele Philip (—) 116

CHAVEZ J.F (3 0 2 1 .00) \$60,000

Date	Track	Dist	Class	Time	Speed	St	PP	Str	Fin	Jockey	Prize	Time	Dist	Class	Speed	St	PP	Str	Fin	Jockey	Prize	Time	Dist	Class	Speed	St	PP	Str	Fin	Jockey	Prize	Time	Dist	Class	Speed	St	PP	Str	Fin	Jockey	Prize
20Oct95	7Bel	fst	1 ¹ ₄	:23	.452	1:09 ³	1:41 ⁴	3 ⁴	Clim	50000		95	5	5	5 ¹²	4 ⁴	3 ²	2 nd	3 rd	Chavez J F	116 f	4.70	90-10																		
8Oct95	3Bel	fst	1 ¹ ₄	:24	.471	1:12	1:44 ²	3 ⁴	Clim	50000		88	1	5	5 ⁹	6 ⁷ ₂	3 ⁴	4 ¹ ₂	Chavez J F	117 f	3.15	75-25																			
13Sep95	7Bel	fst	1	:23 ¹	.461	1:11	1:35 ³	3 ⁴	Clim	60000		86	2	6	6 ⁹	6 ³ ₂	4 ³ ₂	3 ⁴	Chavez J F	116 f	4.90	81-15																			
7Sep95	4Bel	fst	8 ⁴	:22	.451	:57 ¹	1:09 ²	3 ⁴	Clim	60000		70	2	5	5 ⁸	5 ⁴ ₂	4 ⁷	4 ¹ ₂	Santos J A	117 f	3.95	82-13																			
3Aug95	4Sar	fst	7 ¹	:22 ²	.452	1:09 ⁴	1:23 ¹	3 ⁴	Ahw	42000C		95	2	7	7 ⁸	7 ¹³	6 ⁵		Day P	114 f	11.20	85-12																			
24Jun95	6Bel	wf	1	:23 ³	.461	1:10 ⁴	1:36 ⁴		Clim	75000		91	1	2	2 nd	2 nd	3 ^{nk}	3 ³ ₂	Chavez J F	116 f	4.20	75-24																			
14Jun95	7Bel	slly	6 ¹ ₂	:22	.452	1:10	1:16 ²		Clim	75000		89	4	4	5 ⁴ ₂	5 ⁴ ₂	5 ⁴ ₂	4 ⁴ ₂	Krone J A	116 f	5.50	88-16																			
4Jun95	8Bel	fst	1 ¹ ₄	:23 ³	.464	1:10 ⁴	1:42 ²		Handicap	50k		89	3	5	5 ⁴ ₂	6 ³ ₂	6 ¹¹	4 ¹⁰	Krone J A	109 f	13.10	77-16																			
14May95	6Bel	fst	1 ¹ ₄	:22 ⁴	.46	1:10 ³	1:43 ⁴		Clim	c-50000		90	4	5	4 ⁶	3 ⁴	3 ¹	4 ⁵ ₂	Bailey J D	120 f	.95	74-29																			
5May95	9Bel	fst	1 ¹ ₄	:22 ⁴	.453	1:10 ²	1:42 ¹		Clim	c-40000		100	8	7	7 ³ ₂	1 ¹ ₂	1 ³ ₂	1 ⁴	Santos J A	116	3.10	88-21																			

Claimed from Schwartz Barry K, Hushion Michael E Trainer

If you'd like to know more about a trainer's ability than he/she does, photocopy a supply of this chart and learn for yourself.

Trainer Evaluation Chart

Trainer _____ Name of horse running today _____ Track condition _____

Number of races by horse conditioned by this trainer: _____

Wins _____ Place _____ Show _____ 4th _____ 5th _____

Number of: _____ + (plus) races, _____ (+) (plus within a zero) races, _____ 0 (zero) races

Horse is essentially a: _____ Sprinter _____ Router _____ Both

Number: _____ Win _____ Place _____ Show

Best 2nd Call today's distance (within 1 furlong) _____

Class level _____

Average 2nd Call when horse did well at today's distance (within 1 furlong) _____

Average class level (above category) _____

Best 3rd Fraction at today's distance (within 1 furlong) _____

Class level _____

Average 3rd Fraction when horse did well at today's distance (within 1 furlong) _____

Average Class level (above category) _____

Always make a note of the condition of the track, weather, etc. when answering all the questions!

Comments & conclusions: _____



VOX POPULI

THE CLIENTS SPEAK

Dear Doc,

Thanks to Shane I am able to download and use XTOR with the best of them. It is really increased my level of play and confidence. I use Quad all the time. It is a magnificent program. It is the basis of long range profits in my handicapping. It is the basis of many exotic plays and longshots wins....Thank you very much. It is great to have the support of the Sartin Methodology L.P., New Jersey

Dear Doc,

I just finished going over your article on page 15 from Follow Up #52: Value and the Self-Made Odds Line for the 4th time. Great article! I think it will be very helpful to the clients who take the time to digest and apply these insights. Thanks.

Best Regards,
B.M., Pennsylvania

Doc,

...I've been reading "What to Say When You Talk to Yourself" by Shad Helmstetter as you recommended in the FU and am getting his tape from the library today. Good stuff!

Your FU articles on putting together a self talk tape are very helpful and the detailed list by Mel Shrawder (FU 52) really helps

put the theory into practice.

My current reading list also includes "A Course in Miracles" which I feel (somewhat surprisingly) fits right in with your teachings. The Workbook is especially good as a reprogramming tool. Although the text is based on the Christian tradition, I believe it is a very pragmatic approach that can be interpreted in a non-secular way. (i.e. the Holy Spirit = Universal Inspiration, the spirit of joy). The "miracle" entails a *shift in perception*, and the *undoing of fear*. Its all based on the Golden Rule which, by the way, I feel that you embody quite eloquently. Take a look at it... you may find it useful as it was written by a psychologist and contains considerable information on the workings of the mind.

By the way I noticed some text on the 'second coming' which you may find helpful in reinterpreting the comment mentioned in FU 52. The second coming – is the end of the ego's rule and the healing of the mind.

All the best to you and everyone at *the House!*

P.B., California

O. Henry House:

...Thank you and thank you to Shane for all of your pleasant and courteous service.

L.R., Minnesota

DOC COMMENTS: The following letter is from Peter Tolan who was nominated for two Emmy awards for writing. He finished 2nd to Frasier, so we gave him the O. Henry Award for Writing and Serious Handicapping.

Dear Doc:-

Thanks again for the award. It was very thoughtful; kind and appreciated, and it now sits in a place of honor above my stack of back issues of the Follow Up. I've come to the conclusion that my O. Henry is far better to have than an Emmy as it requires less dusting. I thank you...and all of the members of the O. Henry Academy. And thanks also for the kind words in FU 53. I'm honored. It's a little like seeing your name in the Bible!

I was in New York City on Sunday and managed to secure a Racing Form...I searched out one race that I thought would offer a positive return. The race in question turned out to be the ninth, and Quad-Rater (which I take everywhere!) assisted me in finding a \$58 exacta and a \$200 trifecta. So five minutes in a grungy OTB and my hotel bill was paid for!

P.T., California

We can see that JOSH has been in both Sprint and Route races. Its only win showing came at a mile and one sixteenth *before* its present trainer, Phillip Priolo claimed it. His best training effort before TODAY'S win was in its last race where the horse ran a 110:4 Second Call and a final Fraction of 31:4 (51.89 f-p-s) slightly below Aqueduct's average winning final fraction at a mile and one sixteenth.

In TODAY'S race it ran against a second call pace of 111:4. That fact alone could well be the reason it won. It proved it could run a full second faster at the Second Call, *same track*, Belmont. So overcoming a 111:4 was no great feat.

Did Priolo, the trainer, know this? Perhaps. But WE certainly knew it before the race simply by understanding HOW and WHAT to read in the Past Performance lines of each contender. Applying this technique will put you way ahead of every trainer at your track(s) and, I hope, cast a shadow of doubt over the trainer mystique and the mythical notion that races are humanly manipulated and that handicappers are the hapless victims of shrewd trainer maneuvers.

If you want to mingle with someone from the "horsy" in-group who can really help you, become close to the track veterinarian. His/her knowledge of an animal's current physical condition is more important than all the Trainer-Jockey statistics put together.

Here are a few revealing quotes from some famous names:

TRAINERS:

From such icons as Charlie Whittingham and D. Wayne Lukas come quotes made on network television putting their role and that of other trainers in perspective:

"Horses have certain inbred abilities, characteristics and running styles. All a good trainer can do is OPTIMIZE those innate abilities by bringing an animal into its top form. After that, it's up to the HORSE to run its race."


JOCKEYS:

There is no question that these diminutive but brave souls who make a living riding Thoroughbred Race Horses at the risk of life and limb, play an important role in the outcome of every race. But how do we quantify their efforts? A lot of authors and other experts have tried. Yet, these efforts have not been fruitful except in those rare SPOTS situations, as mentioned above.

Here is a L.A. Times Quote from top jockey Chris McCarron that sums up the situation:

"All jockeys are deterrents to a race horse. Good jockeys are just LESS of a deterrent."

If YOU believe that the outcome of races is determined to any quantitative degree by trainers and jockeys, there is little that can be said to dissuade you. If you are consistently winning, you must be correct. If not, I offer these cartoons as a summary of my position.



Closing on a serious note, always bear in mind that the ability of ANY trainer is reflected by the PAST PERFORMANCE lines of the HORSE that he/she conditions. So, When we handicap the HORSE, we are also handicapping the trainer. The HORSE runs the race!

Dear Doc:

How are you doing? I've been thinking about you for some time now. Left a phone message early this afternoon.

My handicapping success is continuing to improve. Sunday was one of my best ever - I pitched a shut-out by winning all 10 races handicapped. My wife was as ever present and even she got excited as the "event" progressed. Needless to say it was quite a day as we were also celebrating our 34th Wedding Anniversary.

As the year comes to a close, it is with great comfort to recognize my success in the past year is as a result of your direction and ongoing vision.

I remain, however, concerned about your health. Many of "us" would be lost without your continuing guidance. So don't over work yourself on our behalf. For I have accepted as my own that part of your essence and will hold it for eternity.

Anyway - take a break. Go out to view the ocean, the mountains, or listen to a little jazz.

As always,

D.F., Washington

DOC COMMENTS: Thanks to people like you, I'm fine. Got a lot of mileage left.

Dear Howard,

Espero que tu tienes bien.

I want to thank you for bringing me into the 21st Century of handicapping.

(Also) I want you to know that I am aware that Connolly is an Irish name. Glen is a wonderful person and I wish I knew a hundred more

like him.

Speakin' o' the old sod (Ireland) - you know I called you the king of the leprechauns, as you have given me the rainbow to find me pot of gold. In the fairy kingdom, the leprechaun is the only person who works. He is the shoemaker, as all the others dance, drink & party. Pishogue is the Gaelic word for fairy spell. So whether you call it pishogue, methodology or mythology, it all means the same thing. For a myth is only a myth to a non-believer.

T.G., Louisiana

Dear Doc Sartin and staff:

Please send me the following back issues of Follow Up: 40, 44, & 45.

The Follow Up is an invaluable source of information and guidance to me, especially psychological and mental aspects.

I continue to struggle in my quest to become a consistent winner.

Follow Up helps keep me honest in my thinking...

...the real reason for delaying my success, which is the need to re-read the manuals and publications to fully comprehend and internalize their contents. The other reason is keeping proper models.

I sincerely thank you and your staff for publishing Follow Up and look forward to receiving each issue.

...Thanks again for the insights provided in your manuals and publications. Becoming a consistent winner is up to me and what I do with the insights provided.

D.R., New Jersey

To Doc:

I would like to take this opportunity to pass along my thanks for the replacement of my computer programs in which I carelessly left behind one evening at the race track. Hope & praying someone would return the disks to track security. I returned the following day without any luck. I waited a week and a half to see if they would turn up but to no avail. I called the O. Henry office and briefly explained my unfortunate situation to Mary. She without hesitation said she would replace the disks at a minimal cost. This only reconfirms my feelings about the Sartin Methodology as being a total class act not only in helping me become a winning handicapper, but also helping me become a winner in all aspects of life.

Thank you,

S.B., Massachusetts

Dear Doc,

I have not written to you in a while since I was pursuing other endeavors, but have not forgotten about my study and pursuit of the handicapping revolution. There is not a doubt in my mind that your methodology works and will make anybody a winner at their favorite track or through a simulcast outlet, if they study the methodology enough.

I find the entire subject fascinating, both winning and having the ability to win. I thoroughly enjoyed the Meadowlands Workshop and the entire Monmouth meet...

N.P.N., New Jersey

Dear Howard and Friends:

I like the improvements you made (on Quad-Rater) on printing out the various screens.

I am also enclosing my readouts from the first two races I played on Sunday 9/17. ...As you can see race 3 went right according to plan and netted a nice \$388.50 Triactor for the Four horse box that I always play. As for race 5 I cut Devil's beaten lengths back to 9 but unfortunately chose to believe my Thoromation readout over the POR and CHAOS graph readouts. AS you can see this little error in judgement cost me to miss a \$6676.00 Triactor and totally fried my confidence for the rest of the day. Because I couldn't put it out of my mind I went on to lose 4 more races and only showed a \$100 profit on the day. I also added more salt to the wound because when I got home I tandemized Devil's line with that of Cape and he is a strong third choice. I suspect I will suffer with that for awhile. I have enclosed the form and charts for your perusal, maybe you should get Spencer to stick them in the Follow Up under the heading of the one that got away. Well I'll sign off for now so here's wishing you all the best and keep up the good work.

Yours Sincerely

D.M., Canada

DOC'S COMMENT: A generous sharing from D.M. He confirms what I've repeatedly published in *The Psychology of Winning*. A loss or two, resulting from errors in judgement, can destroy our psychological equanimity for a

goodly length of time. Another example of why computers alone can't win races for you - and why psychological protocol is still most important to consistent success.

Dear Howard,

...I am grateful to you for all of the support, encouragement and kind words and thoughts you have given me in the 4-5 years since we met. And as I prepare to start a new chapter in my life, I want to take at least a moment to thank those people around me such as yourself, whom I feel have contributed to my success. Many of us thank you simply for the ability to supplement our incomes. I would like to thank you for supplementing my self-esteem, which no exacta/trifecta/triple payoff could have ever done.

M.B., California

DOC COMMENTS: Following is a portion of a letter that illustrates better than I can what can happen when someone joins the Methodology and tries to start with an advanced program BEFORE becoming thoroughly grounded in Methodology concepts. Happily this gentleman remembers that I warned him against doing so; just as I warn all newcomers. We are NOT out to take your money, we are dedicated to help you MAKE money. Let this person's experience be a lesson to all. Don't try climbing Mt. Everest just because it's there. Start with a lesser mountain and work your way UP!

...Perhaps my biggest failure was in not following your advice from the first seminar I attended. You said we should not spend our money on computers and other equipment until we could do it

with our winnings. Instead of following your advice I felt I had to have a computer to be able to stay up to date with the methodology.

...Please accept my heartfelt thanks for what I have learned from you.

Respectfully Yours

R.R., California

Dear Doc Sartin,

I found Follow Up #53 particularly outstanding.

My serious handicapping began 35 years ago, i.e., (B)efore (C)omputers, where I started multiple horse wagering because I hated to lose money on a race, and a practical solution, when the odds were right, was to bet two or three horses per race. Then, as now, it supports the adage that "Success at the races is not how many winners you have, but how many losers you *don't* have."

For those proponents of wagering who follow the Kelley criterion, I refer them to Steven Brecher's *Beating the Races With a Computer*, where multiple wagering per se is explained on pages 8-6 through 8-9.

Incidentally, your explanation on page 18 for calculation of the Golden Mean can be reduced as a mathematical identity to $0.75 \text{ Best} + 0.25 \text{ 5th ranked}$. Try it, you'll like it!

G.T., New York

DOC COMMENTS: Oh for a thousand like you, G.T. Thanks for the info.

AODDS/QUAD-RATER MARRIAGE PAYS OFF!

Dear Doc Sartin & Staff,
After being away from the races for a year, busy with my work directing a residential and day treatment program for S.F.D. children, I took a vacation and played Del Mar. I started my first race off with a bang thanks to correlating AODDS Gold and Quad-Rater. In my first race back, I pulled in more money from *one race* than I *ever have*, winning the Win, Exacta and Trifecta. Thanks for all your efforts to develop programs and pass on your insight to us. Thanks to Jim "the Hat" too.
Sincerely,
N.C., California

Dear Doc,
I guess the first thing I would like to say is thanks to your and all the people who have made the Methodology what it is.
I first got involved with the Methodology with the tape and Chaos manual from the Expo 93 seminar. I started to handicap with what I'd learned from the tape and manual with my calculator. Next I purchased Modern Pace Handicapping and learned more about the Methodology. Finally I decided that becoming a client was the only way to fully understand and practice the Methodology every day. At the present time I have Synergetic Match Up II.
When I first started handicapping with the program, the readouts confused me. Reading the

manuals and handicapping the races at the end of the day. I would redo my mistakes, and study the things I did right.

The Follow Up pointed things out to me. It also gives me something to look forward to...there's always something to learn.

Over a 260 race period narrowing every race to 3 horses, using the top 2, am having a 68.8% win average. The third horse wins 15.88%. Top 3 = 84.5%. Exactas same period using top two with 3rd horse winning 54.58%.

I would like to get Thoromation. Hopefully it will help me keep up with the Methodology and where it is going.

I also feel Thoromation will help with exactas and trifectas.

Doc, this is how I make my living and I work hard at it.

Sincerely,
R.P. Florida
P.S. I think Synergetic Match Up is a great program.

Dear Dr. Sartin:

I want to thank you for your recent article in the Psychology of Winning (issue #52). It caused me to go back and re-read those sheets which helped lead to a rather profitable Labor Day at the races despite the entire card being sprints (I'm much better in routes than sprints). Anyway, this letter is just a brief note to thank you and enclose my subscription for the coming year. I've received my three issues that came with initial membership and want to make sure I don't miss a future one. I must have read each issue I've

received a dozen or so times, because it seems just when I say to myself – file this baby away – I see some piece of information that gets my mind thinking. For example, I initially fought the best of last 3 SR-TV comparable distance and surface, but have come around to it 80% of the time. In the other 20% of those races I set up what I call a paceline dilemma chart. It basically forces me to list (1) the reasons I decided not to use the best SR-TV paceline, (2) why I felt my choice was a more appropriate line and (3) a post mortem on the success of my decision. In some cases my intuition has been correct while in others it has not. One thing already I've noticed. One bad race within the same class does not constitute a solid reason to not use a paceline before that last bad race. Wonderful mutuels can be derived from a horse coming off a bad race. The public drops an ugly horse quickly. However, the verdict is still out on class droppers turning in poorer races and double failure horses. Hopefully, my paceline dilemma model, will get shorter and shorter as I arrive at more confident decisions.

Again, thanks Doc, under your guidance I hope to continually improve and solve many of the nagging doubts that have hindered my handicapping...

Sincerely,
M.S., Pennsylvania

DOC COMMENTS:This person's advice on pace line selection is pure gold.

Dear Doc Sartin,
I thank you very much for the trust that you have shown me by sending out my replacement disks before receiving the difference in price for the installable version of the Quad-Rater program. Since I started using the Quad Rater in conjunction with Thoromation my Win percent has gone from around 50% to a little over 65%. And my average mutuel is now just under \$9.00, but what has amazed me is that I very rarely leave out the place and show horses. However Doc, I have to disagree with you over the modelling issue, for I model twenty one different readings from both Quad and Thoromation. I have found that the winners of different age groups, sex and different distances, come from different read outs. I guess "its everyone to his own thing". Last Wednesday I handicapped the card for Stampede Park and had to fall back on Entropy, a program that I had not used since I received Quad Rater. Anyway I thought that I would send you a copy of the last performance of the last race on the card. I picked Proud Falcon and Calaveras as my bets, but Andegen ended up winning the race, Terra Rizon was second and Arctic ran third. You will notice that every horse except Arctic ran at the same distance on the same day, their last time out and all the horses had competed at the same class level. Magnificent Horizon was a late scratch in this race. I intend to run this race through Quad and Thoromation ..., because I had a

very good model for the older horses at this distance. Here are the mutuels for this race:

W	31.80	11.80	6.80
P		13.20	8.00
S			9.30

Quinella 140.90

Triactor 4541.40

Once again I must thank you for all that you have done to help the likes of me and others, who depend on our pari-mutuel investments for a living.

Kindest regards to all the staff.

F.R., Canada

Dear Dr. Sartin,

I received the recent Follow Up (great job as usual) and am renewing my subscription. I look forward to it so much. Thank you greatly for embarking on a journey to help other believe they can be successful in handicapping as well as in life.

I certainly do thank you and everyone connected with the Methodology for the Follow Up and the many other materials and programs. I re-read the Follow Up periodically and always seem to find a new insight (concept is key; as you say, Doc).

Once again, my heartfelt thanks!

Very truly yours,

S.B., Texas

...Follow Up #51 has some good stuff. I think that Shane's piece is especially instructive, being specific and direct. But I do wish that someone out there would read, proof, or something. Shane revels in a \$383 exacta which he thinks occurred at Hialeah on

April 18. The winner paid 7.80 and the place horse went off at 4-1. A footnote to that section of the article says that the trifecta paid \$7,094. Inasmuch as the show horse was a 3-1 shot, I decided to look for the actual results in my pile of Forms and discovered that the exacta paid 36.80 and the trifecta 121.00. I hope I am the only one who checked out that story, but I doubt it. Such things should not happen; my dear friend. They add to The Burden. They muddy the waters.

Best regards,

R.C., New York

DOC'S COMMENT: The erroneous payoff readout was not Shane's doing. I pasted up the wrong one. 4 people proof the Follow Up. We are as appalled as R.C. Such things should not happen, even though they do - and we appreciate your bringing this to our attention. We will work harder in the future to make sure that such errors are corrected before they go to press.

Doc,

I appreciate all the work that goes into this publication. I have every copy and can always go back and find answers to my questions. Keep up the good work.

Thanks a million,

P.M., Texas



Doc's

COMMENTARY

“Which computer program is best?”

This question is asked many times a week; not just of me but of everyone associated with the Methodology.

In the *past* we required clients to begin with our basic computer program, the classic Phase III or the more advanced Phase III-A, called Synergism II. We felt that a full comprehension of basic incremental velocity was essential. From 1982 through 1986 this was quite true. But in 1987, we fired the first shots in what is now commonly referred to as the Sartin Handicapping Revolution. We introduced factors of ENERGY and DECELERATION that are light years ahead of conventional wisdom.

With so many pirated imitations of our initial programs now on the market we have decided to make our advanced concepts available to beginning clients. In so doing we wish to stress a salient fact: THE MORE INTERNALLY COMPLEX THE PROGRAM'S FORMULAE, THE MORE SIMPLE IT IS TO USE AND COMPREHEND!

Our more advanced programs employ highly sophisticated Automatic Adjustments for all possible racing variations: surface, distance, class, track to track, et al. They feature cutting edge Energy and Deceleration compoundings that are more dynamic than any other handicapping program on the market today. The Dean of North American Handicapping Author/Experts, Tom Ainslie, says that these advanced computer programs, based on Energy Exertion are, “simply great, great stuff,” because they compare the pace of the horse to the pace it ran against *and* projects how it will run against TODAY'S probable pace.” (See Ends & Odds, this issue).

Our *most* Advanced Programs are more costly than the old basic ones, therefore we recommend them only to those seriously considering handicapping as a profession or serious

avocation: those who wish to truly join the army of The Handicapping Revolution. We require that your commitment is sufficient before taking this important step. You can write or call for an appointment with myself or with Shane – call for an appointment: (909) 845-5907, weekdays, 1-3 PM. Pacific Time. Your appointment will be set up for morning or afternoon after 3 PM.

I'm sorry that I cannot bring myself to a point where I can join the "hucksters" and tell you that you NEED a more expensive program in order to succeed—like those you see advertised selling for \$1,000+. The fact is, you DON'T. Many of you don't even need Thoromation which sells for less than half their figure. For some clients, our more elaborate programs have proven to be too complex and difficult for them to properly interpret. Others take to them like ducks to water and succeed accordingly.

The reason we have such a variety of programs from which to choose is based on the vagaries of individual psychology. It is your personal and individual nature that will take you to the winner's circle, NOT the computer program you're using: not by itself. Take Entropy for instance. Glen Connolly, a retired rocket scientist, can make it yield for him, a verified two horse win percentage of 77% Others can't even begin to fathom its mystery because its numerical functions are beyond what they even *want* to comprehend. Then, there are a lot of clients who want EXDC Thoromation because word of mouth says it's the *best*. Best for those who can use it properly, but it seems that too much interpretation is required for those who cannot. They love the program because they enjoy watching the horses run across the screen even while admitting that they can't decide which ones they should bet. Synergetic Match-Up, which we call the 'poor man's Thoromation', (because it costs less) often poses the same problem.

A lot of people who were not doing as well with the more expensive programs settled on Quad-Rater because it dealt less with numbers and readouts and almost exclusively with line graphs depicting the Methodology's most important quantitative factors: Pace of Race/Pace of Horse, plus components most prevalent in long shots. Those who comprehend this POR/POH relationship, the essence of the Match-Up, realize that it is *most* vital to handicapping success so they win with it as never before.

Yet, those who dwell only on HOW the horse runs and cannot visualize the importance of the match-up as perceived via Pace of Race/Pace of Horse against today's projected pace, might even find Quad-Rater too complex. I found raising guppies too complex when they all died.

Certain users of all four of these programs will always persist in asking the same questions we heard back in 1982; "Which screen or which adjustment should I use?" The answer now, as it has always been is: "The one that works BEST in accordance with YOUR handicapping!" It reminds me of when, for a short while, we focused on pars and par time adjustments. Everybody seemed to believe in them because they were "The IN thing." But then came the questions: "Now that I've got them, what do I do with them?" Those receiving an answer they understood, then began complaining that using pars got too many low priced horses and seldom produced long shots. This is to be expected. If you shoot for par you won't get many birdies or eagles. Also, too many horses run bogies but still win.

So we began creating automatic adjustments that worked for those for whom they worked. Others wouldn't let them work because they refused to accept the fact that every horse in every race can't win. So they put too many horses into their computers and even altered the running times of some. For them the Auto Type Adjustment became useless.

It seems, regardless of program, adjustment or even watching the projected order finish pictured onto the computer screen and printouts, that some people just don't really *want* to win. WANT, that's the operative word. They take some self-destructive glee in ignoring the obvious; they disregard instructions, change things around and seem to misinterpret readouts in order to justify some inner need to NOT win. (I still avoid the word, LOSE). It's called stubborn resistance to reality and is quite common among horse "Players." But take heart. Without them NOT winning we wouldn't be able to make any money and Phil Gowens wouldn't get so many phone calls. So, as the song goes: "Here's To The Losers, Bless 'Em all."

But why does it have to be some of you? I ask this because all questions about readouts, adjustments, distance changes et al, can be answered by you, *if* you keep records. By records I DON'T mean AFTER-THE FACT records from Result Charts. I mean YOUR records from YOUR "style" (as Tom Brohamer calls it), of handicapping.

To further simplify our computer programs I developed Pace Launcher I. This is a program designed for public (non-client) consumption. It was developed for two reasons. (1) I wanted to see if I could write a user manual even "horseplayers" could understand, and (2) develop a program this same breed could use to WIN. It eventuated. Neither my wife nor Tom Ainslie could believe I wrote the user manual because it was so easy to fathom. So easy it damned near destroyed my reputation for being arcane.

However, since it IS easy, users will begin to think they fully understand it. As a result they'll play around, alter it and, despite all the current rave notices, begin to NOT win. That's what always happens when instructions are written like third grade primers. "This is John. See John Run. This is Jane. See John run after Jane. This is Fido. Fido is a dog. John feeds Fido his dinner. Fido loves John, etc. etc., ad nauseam.

How would you like it if your appendix burst? You go to a hospital and hear the surgeon calling out to his nurse, "Grab a knife and some Lysol, strip the patient and we'll cut. Uh, Mm, say, Oh, around here someplace. Yea, about here, looks all right."

NO. Medical language is specific and, to a non-professional, arcane. It's that way for good reason: because a non-specific, vague lexicon could never suffice.

The old language of handicapping is too simplistic to produce lasting or consistent success. It IS vague, loosely defined and non-specific. It is highly subjective and reflective of a past no longer valid. Successful handicapping is not unlike skilled surgery. Like a qualified surgeon, a good handicapper must possess and USE a vocabulary specific to our "profession."

Always remember, as difficult acquiring a medical degree may be, there are far more qualified brain surgeons than successful handicappers.

The Follow Up subscription list is a great barometer of people's attitudes. As you can read in Vox Populi, many think it's the greatest thing since sliced bread. Others stop subscribing

because, to them, it's more like the hole in a doughnut. They WILL NOT read and absorb anything that doesn't conform to their own PREcepts. They'll browse through the Gamblers Book Store to find material conforming to their prejudices but eschew anything that smacks of reality. They WILL NOT accept the fact that successful handicapping requires a corollary figure, graph or some other confirming evidence. They cling to the notion that A SINGLE figure or readout, like a Beyer or other such figure, will work consistently and is all they need. They're certain beyond doubt that personal psychology plays no part in whether or not they win. It's just 'crap' because trainers manipulate races and jockeys can "rate" horses to go against the grain of their genetic behavioral tendencies; and there is no such thing as a "NEED to lead" horse or a one-move closer. I guess they never met a jockey up close and personal since NO jockey ever made such claims.

They refuse to accept the Match Up concept in which we handicap *races* not individual horses, as such. The concept that horses will respond differently in one match up as opposed to another is anathema to them. They believe animals run to a "figure," not against each other.

So be it. Like the mechanic in the TV ad says, "You can pay me now or pay me later." It's THEIR money we're winning when we wager properly.

As a result of having to deal with this kind of thinking, I came up with what I initially meant as a joke. It's a computer program that abbreviates the readouts of Pace Launcher III, the one for *clients*, into a single readout called BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE. With the stroke of a computer key comes a synthesis of ALL known viable Sartin readouts. No need to look for corollaries. Then it ranks no more than five contenders in order along with the closing ODDS they deserve. If one or more of them become Tote Board Overlays, they become bets, especially to those subscribing to the "value" concept.

I can vouch for one thing about this feature. It works whenever it works. It also fails when conditions dictate that certain readouts are more important than others or a combination of all. It does work far better than those \$1,000 plus programs I've seen. I get them FREE from the various pirates. Whether they send them out of gratitude or malice, I don't know. But I faithfully test them. In fact it was while testing one of these expensive programs that inspired BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE. I'll stack its results against theirs any day.

I can remember back, when as a joke, our former editor said we had a program called: "Everything Scan" that developed a single number and picked a pace line and produced 80% winners. It was meant in jest, but a lot of people took it seriously and wanted to order it. BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE is NOT a joke. On the following page are a few examples of what it looks like even when you don't bother to look at detailed readouts or graphs.

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

SAX 10-19-95 1 6.5 D

		RAW	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
W1	COPEL	22.5	EVEN
2	MINJI	20.0	EVEN
3	CREST	13.0	3-1
4	PREFA	9.0	5-1
5	COMIN	4.0	10-1

		ADJUSTED	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
W1	COPEL	20.5	EVEN
2	PREFA	16.0	2-1
2	MINJI	16.0	2-1
3	COMIN	12.0	7-2
4	CREST	5.0	9-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

SAX 10-19-95 3 8 D

		RAW	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
1	SIYAH	18.5	EVEN
2	TAIAN	17.0	3-2
W3	BRITI	15.0	2-1
4	ANELD	12.0	7-2
5	AFLEE	4.0	10-1

		ADJUSTED	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
W1	BRITI	20.0	EVEN
2	SIYAH	17.5	EVEN
3	AFLEE	16.0	2-1
4	TAIAN	11.0	4-1
5	ANELD	8.5	6-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

SAX 10-19-95 5 6.5 D

		RAW	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
1	T. H.	13.5	3-1
1	BERIN	13.5	3-1
2	FIVE	12.0	7-2
3	STAR	11.0	4-1
W4	MAXIB	9.0	5-1
5	SUPER	6.5	8-1
6	TOAST	5.0	9-1
7	BOLD	2.0	15-1

		ADJUSTED	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
1	T. H.	13.5	3-1
2	BERIN	12.5	7-2
3	FIVE	12.0	7-2
4	STAR	11.0	4-1
W5	MAXIB	10.0	9-2
8	SUPER	5.5	9-1
7	TOAST	4.0	10-1
8	BOLD	2.0	15-1

SEE NEXT PAGE FOR REASONS:

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

SAX 10-19-95 8 6.5 D

		RAW	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
1	AIRIS	21.0	EVEN
2	RUN A	20.5	EVEN
W3	DANCI	19.0	EVEN
4	FLYIN	8.0	6-1

		ADJUSTED	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
1	RUN A	19.5	EVEN
2	AIRIS	19.0	EVEN
W3	DANCI	15.0	2-1
4	FLYIN	13.0	3-1

SAX 10-19-95 9 8.5 D

		RAW	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
W1	MARGI	20.0	EVEN
2	FLEET	16.0	2-1
3	CLARK	14.5	2-1
4	PRINC	10.5	9-2
5	ALTA	8.0	8-1
8	FABUL	5.0	9-1
7	GAS M	1.0	20-1
7	JESSE	1.0	20-1
8	COUNT	0.0	20-1

		ADJUSTED	
		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
		LS	ODDS
W1	MARGI	17.0	3-2
2	CLARK	14.0	2-1
2	FLEET	14.0	2-1
3	PRINC	9.5	5-1
4	ALTA	7.0	7-1
5	FABUL	2.0	15-1
5	GAS M	2.0	15-1
6	JESSE	1.0	20-1
7	COUNT	0.0	20-1

For you who would rather think and handicap for yourself; for all who relish the multi-thousand year challenge unique to Horse Racing, there are also readouts like these:

PACE LAUNCHER III CHAOS FORMULA COMPOSITE -- ADJUSTED SAX 10-19-95 5 8.5 D

94	EPR	100	94	LPR	100
STAR 1	-----+	-----+	T. H. 2	-----+	-----+
FIVE 1	-----+	-----+	MAXIB 3	-----+	-----+
BERIN 1	-----+	-----+	BOLD 3	-----+	-----+
MAXIB 3	-----+	-----+	SUPER 1	-----+	-----+
SUPER 1	-----+	-----+	TOAST 1	-----+	-----+

94	CPR	100
T. H. 2	-----+	-----+
MAXIB 3	-----+	-----+
BERIN 1	-----+	-----+
FIVE 1	-----+	-----+
STAR 1	-----+	-----+

94	TT (HIDDEN FRACTION)	100
BERIN 1	-----+	-----+
T. H. 2	-----+	-----+
FIVE 1	-----+	-----+
STAR 1	-----+	-----+
MAXIB 3	-----+	-----+

94	FACTOR X	100
TOAST 1	-----+	-----+
SUPER 1	-----+	-----+
MAXIB 3	-----+	-----+
BOLD 3	-----+	-----+
T. H. 2	-----+	-----+

94	HIDDEN	100	94	FACTOR W	100
T. H. 2	-----+	-----+	STAR 1	-----+	-----+
BERIN 1	-----+	-----+	FIVE 1	-----+	-----+
MAXIB 3	-----+	-----+	MAXIB 3	-----+	-----+
FIVE 1	-----+	-----+	BERIN 1	-----+	-----+
BOLD 3	-----+	-----+	T. H. 2	-----+	-----+

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

SAX 10-19-95 5 8.5 D

	RAW		ADJUSTED	
	BOTTOM LINE LS.	BETTING LINE ODDS	BOTTOM LINE LS.	BETTING LINE ODDS
1 T. H.	13.5	3-1	1 T. H.	13.5 3-1
2 BERIN	12.5	7-2	2 FIVE	12.0 7-2
3 FIVE	12.0	7-2	3 BERIN	11.5 4-1
4 STAR	11.0	4-1	4 MAXIB	11.0 4-1
5 MAXIB	10.0	8-2	4 STAR	11.0 4-1
6 SUPER	8.5	8-1	5 SUPER	8.5 8-1
7 TOAST	6.0	9-1	6 TOAST	4.0 10-1
8 BOLD	2.0	15-1	7 BOLD	2.0 15-1

As you can plainly see, in all races but the fifth, the winner was a choice in both line scores and, to some, the prime Value choice.

The failure of BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE to properly evaluate MAXIB in the fifth race is a good example of what Tom Ainslie talks about in his speech about *observing* bias and other factors lending themselves to making us more *complete* handicappers. Ainslie is no friend of computer generated, automatic contender pace line selectors. We might put up a slight argument here since AODDS lines and Best of Last Three, etc., (with lots of caveats) have made winners of those who previously floundered. Mr. Ainslie is quite correct in principle. However, certain psychological factors have persisted in deterring "horseplayers" from principle and the "idea" since long before Ainslie's brilliant works were published. We tried the "ideal" for nineteen years before we gave in to reality.

Yet the ideal in that 5th race would be to know that late runners that managed to score in the Top 2 Late were *overcoming* early deficiencies. Hence MAXIB's and T.H.'s late and CPR ratings were decisive factors in the running of this race. STAR and FIVE, with NO LATE and very little, if any, Late or Hidden were not true contenders for Win. MAXIB came off a 7.5 furlong race with a late fraction *stronger* than all other contenders except T.H., who didn't fire at all today. Another good reason for multiple choice wagering.



BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE WIN ODDS ONLY BMX 11-5-95 1 8.5 D

RAW			ADJUSTED		
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS		LS	ODDS
1 SUNSH	24.5	EVEN	1 SUNSH	24.0	EVEN
2 SILEN	15.0	2-1	2 SILEN	13.0	3-1
2 MISS	15.0	2-1	3 MISS	12.5	7-2
3 WEDDI	11.0	4-1	4 WEDDI	9.0	5-1
4 FORTR	4.0	10-1	5 BETYO	8.0	8-1
W5 TEMUL	3.0	12-1	W6 TEMUL	2.0	15-1
5 BETYO	3.0	12-1	7 FORTR	1.0	20-1

2-TEMULAC... 34.00 12.50 10.40

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE WIN ODDS ONLY BMX 11-5-95 3 8 D

RAW			ADJUSTED		
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS		LS	ODDS
1 BIT O	21.0	EVEN	1 BIT O	19.0	EVEN
W2 COFFE	18.5	2-1	W2 COFFE	17.0	3-2
3 YEARL	12.5	7-2	3 YEARL	13.5	3-1
4 DARIN	8.5	8-1	4 DARIN	8.5	8-1
5 HAIL	6.0	8-1	5 HAIL	7.0	7-1
6 JOIE	5.0	9-1	6 JOIE	5.0	8-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE WIN ODDS ONLY BMX 11-5-95 4 8 D

RAW			ADJUSTED		
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS		LS	ODDS
1 BLAZE	20.5	EVEN	1 BLAZE	18.5	EVEN
W2 J. R.	12.5	7-2	W2 J. R.	14.5	2-1
2 WE AR	12.5	7-2	3 WE AR	13.5	3-1
3 U. S.	8.0	8-1	4 U. S.	11.0	4-1
4 GOJRA	5.0	9-1	5 ASSUM	4.0	10-1
5 TAJ R	4.0	10-1	6 GOJRA	3.0	12-1
6 ASSUM	4.0	10-1	7 TAJ R	2.0	15-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE WIN ODDS ONLY BMX 11-5-95 6 8 D

RAW			ADJUSTED		
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS		LS	ODDS
1 PERIL	18.5	EVEN	1 PERIL	20.5	EVEN
2 NANA	15.0	2-1	2 TEMEC	14.0	2-1
3 TEMEC	13.5	3-1	W3 VATAN	12.5	7-2
W4 VATAN	11.5	4-1	4 NANA	10.0	9-2
5 GARDE	8.5	8-1	5 GARDE	6.5	8-1
6 SILEN	4.0	10-1	6 GO KA	8.0	8-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE WIN ODDS ONLY BMX 11-5-95 7 8 T

RAW			ADJUSTED		
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE		BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS		LS	ODDS
W1 ANNUA	20.5	EVEN	W1 ANNUA	19.0	EVEN
2 INCUR	18.0	EVEN	2 INCUR	15.0	2-1
3 BONNE	16.0	2-1	3 BONNE	12.0	7-2
4 LIL S	11.5	4-1	4 LADY	9.0	5-1
5 SUNNY	5.5	9-1	5 LIL S	8.0	8-1
6 LADY	5.0	9-1	6 SUNNY	4.5	10-1
7 STORM	2.0	15-1	7 STORM	2.0	15-1
8 WEE M	1.0	20-1	8 WEE M	1.0	20-1

These are the 5 races Shane won at Bay Meadows during the Las Vegas Seminar. All winners were in the Top 2 except the BIG VALUE horses, TEMULAC, paying \$34 to win, and VATAN, paying \$28.40 to win. If we follow the procedure recommended by the famed "Value Boys" both of these horses qualify as "the value". I would hesitate to bet TEMUL in the 1st race based on BL-BL alone. However it came up #1 Longshot on Shane's Quad readout. I would not hesitate to bet VATAN, who is clearly the FIRST value choice in the 6th race.

A DAY AT THE RACES

Santa Anita (non-Maiden) with

BOTTOM LINE - BETTING LINE

Oak Tree Racing Assoc., Inc. Santa Anita Park, Arcadia, California
 Copyright 1986 by Daily Racing Form, Inc.
 Thursday, October 18, 1986, Day 10 of a 32-Day Meet.

4109—FIRST RACE, 6 1/2 Furlongs, Purses \$43,000, 3-year-olds and up. Claiming Price: \$80,000-70,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Sr.	Fin.	To \$1
4030	Copelan's Eagle, C Nakatani	117	2	2	1 1/4	1 1/2	-	11	1 1/4	4.00
(2301)	Creston, E Delahoussaye	117	3	1	3 1/2	5	-	42	2 1/4	5.70
2241	Mininsky, R Douglas	117	6	5	5 1/2	6 1/2	-	31	3 1/4	11.80
	Prehabrissa, D Sorenson	117	1	3	2 1/4	3 1/4	-	2 1/2	4 1/2	27.00
3127	Corinnellehot, A Solis	117	4	6	4 1/2	4 1/2	-	5	5	13.80
4653	Moscow Changes, C McCarron	115	5	4	6	6	down	cnt		4.70
No Scratches										
2—COPELAN'S EAGLE..... 2.80 2.40 2.10										
3—CRESTON..... 3.30 2.40										
6—MININSKY..... 2.80										

Off: 1:00 Time: :22-44.4 1:08 2/5, 1:15 3/5, Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-B.g.5, Copelan-Red And Blue Eagle (by Nakatani) Tr., R Mandella Ownr: F W Jones, Mutual Pool \$152,931, Exacta Pool \$119,968, Quinella Pool \$22,038.

4111—THIRD RACE, One Mile, Purses \$48,000, Allowance, Fillies & Mares, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Sr.	Fin.	To \$1
3157	British Bauble, A Solis	117	2	1	2 1/4	2 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/4	3.30	
1617	Siyah Nara, C McCarron	117	3	3	3 1/2	3 1/2	2 1/4	2 1/4	1.00	
4026	Afleet Floozie, G Almeida	117	5	5	5	5	4 1/2	4 1/2	22.90	
2365	Taurina, C Nakatani	115	4	4	4 1/4	4 1/4	5	5	1.70	
2216	Anelida, P Toccano	117	1	2	1 1/2	1 1/2	3 1/2	5	16.40	
No Scratches										
2—BRITISH BAUBLE..... 6.80 3.80 2.40										
3—SIYAH NARA..... 2.80 2.20										
5—AFLEET FLOOZIE..... 3.00										

Off: 2:01 Time: :23.1 :47.2 1:11 4/5, 1:23 4/5, 1:36 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-obb.1.4 Kris S.-English Trifle (by Protano) Tr., D LaCroix Ownr: Meadowbrook Farms, Inc. Mutual Pool \$208,182.70, Exacta Pool \$154,248, Quinella Pool \$28,911, Triple Pool \$82,991.

\$2 EXACTA (2-3) PAID \$28.80 \$2 QUINELLA (2-3) PAID \$8.80
 \$3 TRIPLE (2/5/2) PAID \$478.20

4116—EIGHTH RACE, 8 1/2 Furlongs, Purses \$58,000, Classified Allowance, Fillies & Mares, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Sr.	Fin.	To \$1
1297	Dancing Mirage, C Nakatani	117	3	6	6	5 1/2	1 1/2	-	1 1/2	4.00
2107	Flying In The Lane, A Solis	117	5	3	2 1/2	2 3/4	-	2 1/2	2 1/2	2.70
(3117)	Aristar, M Pedroza	122	1	2	1 1/2	1 1/2	-	3 2 1/2	3 1/2	2.50
(9511)	Run Away Steve, Delahoussaye	115	4	1	4 1/4	4 1/2	-	4 2 1/2	4 3 1/2	2.60
3157	Lunar Spook, L Pincay Jr	117	6	4	3 1/2	3 1/2	-	5 2	5 1/2	10.20
7215	Pub River, R Douglas	115	2	5	5 3/4	6	-	6	6	13.70
Scratched — Rising Mist										
3—DANCING MIRAGE..... 10.00 5.80 3.00										
5—FLYING IN THE LANE..... 4.40 2.80										
1—ARISTAR..... 2.80										

Off: 4:31 Time: :22.4 :45.3 1:09 1/5, 1:15 1/5, Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-B.1.4 Alleged-Flying Leaves (by Olden Times) Tr., R Rash Ownr: R E Hibbert, Mutual Pool \$288,195.40, Exacta Pool \$232,565, Quinella Pool \$40,756, Triple Pool \$60,503.

\$2 EXACTA (3-5) PAID \$38.80 \$2 QUINELLA (3-5) PAID \$18.40
 \$3 TRIPLE (5/2/3) PAID \$1,087.40

4113—FIFTH RACE, 6 1/2 Furlongs, Purses \$10,000, 3-year-olds and up. Claiming Price: \$10,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Sr.	Fin.	To \$1
2386	Maxbob, C Nakatani	117	6	11	10 1/2	10 1/2	-	6 1/2	3 3/4	3.30
3170	Toast To Society, M Pedroza	117	9	6	6 1/2	6 1/2	-	3 1 1/2	2 1/2	7.40
3014	Super Trax, J Valdivia Jr	112	5	2	2 1/2	2 1/2	-	2 1 1/2	3 1/2	2.10
4004	Silver Star, P Toccano	117	1	4	3 1/2	1 1/2	-	1 1/2	4 1/4	24.80
3167	Bold Patriot, D Sorenson	117	7	8	9 1/2	8 1/2	-	7 1 1/2	5 1 1/2	67.40
4014	Bering Gift, O Berrio	117	11	12	12	11 1/2	-	8 1/2	6 1/4	6.70
2325	T.H. Fappiano, B Blanc	112	8	10	11 1/2	12	-	10 1/2	7 1/2	6.40
4014	Five Shaps, L Mawing	112	12	7	7 1/2	7 1/2	-	5 1/2	6 3/4	26.30
3170	My Liberty, J Scott	117	3	9	8 1 1/2	9 1	-	9 1	9 1	57.80
4014	Star O' The West, D Flores	117	10	1	5 1/4	5 1/4	-	4 1/4	10 1/2	14.10
4014	Jencho's Prospect, J Atherton	117	2	3	1 1/2	3 1/2	-	1 1 1/2	11 1/2	88.80
3170	Dry Gulch, R Douglas	117	4	5	4 1/2	4 1/2	-	12	12	14.30
Scratched — Famegama, Smooth Hammer										
6—MAXBOB..... 6.80 4.80 3.80										
9—TOAST TO SOCIETY..... 6.20 4.20										
5—SUPER TRAX..... 3.40										

Off: 3:01 Time: :21.4 :44.4 1:10 1/5, 1:16 1/5, Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-Gr.g.8 Pappagallo-Colleen Star (by Trondheim) Tr., J Sahadi Ownr: Gleason & Sahadi, Mutual Pool \$279,367.60, Exacta Pool \$188,251, Trifecta Pool \$254,903, Quinella Pool \$38,258, Triple Pool \$55,639.

\$2 EXACTA (5-11) PAID \$60.20 \$2 QUINELLA (5-11) PAID \$35.80
 \$3 TRIPLE (8/6/5) PAID \$246.30 \$2 TRIFECTA (5-11-2) PAID \$1,621.60

4115—SEVENTH RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purses \$37,000, Allowance, 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Sr.	Fin.	To \$1
4007	High Stakes Player, D Flores	117	1	3	1 1/4	1 1/4	-	1 1/2	1 1/2	5.50
2295	The Exeter Man, C Nakatani	117	6	1	2 1/4	2 3/4	-	3 1/2	2 1/2	2.20
4007	Flight Of Majesty, J Lambert	117	5	2	4 1/4	4 2 1/2	-	3 1 1/2	3 1/4	6.10
(3134)	Metro Express, F Valenzuela	117	4	4	3 1/2	3 1/2	-	4 2 1/2	4 1/2	34.10
3027	Jade Master, R Douglas	119	3	5	5 1/4	5 1/2	-	5 1/2	5 1/2	22.10
4007	Anynewhoadheart, J Castro	119	2	6	6	6	-	6	6	28.30
Scratched — Page Turner										
3—HIGH STAKES PLAYER..... 13.00 3.00 2.10										
7—THE EXETER MAN..... 2.20 2.10										
6—FLIGHT OF MAJESTY..... 2.10										

Off: 4:01 Time: :21.2 :43.4 :55.4 1:08 3/5, Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-B.g.3, B Balfant Ownr: M Pegrant, Mutual Pool \$204,984.50, Exacta Pool \$243,280, Quinella Pool \$38,561, Triple Pool \$58,459, Minus Show Pool - \$2,230.18.

\$2 EXACTA (2-7) PAID \$26.60 \$2 QUINELLA (2-7) PAID \$6.80
 \$3 TRIPLE (6/6/2) PAID \$632.20

4117—NINTH RACE, 1 1/16 Miles, Purses \$11,000, 3-year-olds and up, bred in California. Claiming Price: \$10,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Sr.	Fin.	To \$1
2386	Margie's Boy, J Scott	117	6	5	5 1/4	5 1/2	5 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	4.80
4018	Prince Tam, K Desormeaux	117	9	7	6 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	3.80
4022	Fabulous Pole, M Pedroza	117	8	4	3 1/2	2 1/2	1 1/2	3 1 1/2	3 1/4	7.80
4018	Clarkville, P Atkinson	117	4	9	9 1/2	8 1/2	8 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/4	4.10
4018	Fleet Wizard, J Valdivia Jr	112	7	8	7 1/2	9 1/2	7 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	3.70
4055	Jesse's Regards, O Berrio	117	10	6	1 1/2	1 1/2	2 1/2	6 1/2	6 1/2	25.60
3161	Alta Blue, C Nakatani	117	2	3	10	10	10	8 1/2	7 1/2	5.80
1499	Gas Man, F Martinez	117	1	2	2 1/2	3 1/2	4 1/2	7 1/2	8 1/2	15.00
4018	Clever Wheatly, F Valenzuela	117	3	1	4 1/2	4 1/2	3 1/2	9 1/2	9 1/2	43.40
2386	Count Cor, D Sorenson	117	5	10	8 1/2	7 1/2	9 1/2	10	10	48.60
Scratched — Devms Bounty, Half Past Ten, Marinaledschoka										
Claimed — Margie's Boy - A Foot's Paradise Racing Stable-Slave Young										
Prince Tam-Lavenson S-Roger Stein										
7—MARGIE'S BOY..... 11.40 5.20 3.80										
10—PRINCE TAM..... 4.80 3.40										
8—FABULOUS POLE..... 5.20										

Off: 5:01 Time: :23 :46.3 1:11 3/5, 1:37 2/5, 1:43 4/5, Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-Ch.g.4 The Carpenter-Courageous Thing (by Gln Tour) Tr., J R McCutcheon Ownr: D A & M Bailey, Mutual Pool \$267,701.10, Exacta Pool \$175,128, Daily Double Pool \$107,857, Trifecta Pool \$263,081, Quinella Pool \$33,678, Triple Pool \$93,664.

\$2 EXACTA (7-10) PAID \$63.80 \$2 DAILY DOUBLE (3/7) PAID \$45.80
 \$2 TRIFECTA (7-10-9) PAID \$617.20 \$2 QUINELLA (7-10) PAID \$35.00
 \$3 TRIPLE (2/3/7) PAID \$1,446.90



Sunday, November 5, 1995

FIRST RACE 1 1/2 MILE (LSP) CLASSIC. Purse \$6,000. F/M and mare, 3-year-olds and upward, which have never won two races. Weights 3-year-olds, 110 lbs. 4-year-olds, 115 lbs. Non-winners of a race at a mile or over since September 1, allowed 3 lbs. Claiming price \$2,000. (Maiden races, claiming, starter and classified handicap races for \$4,000 or less not considered.) (Day 25 of a 90 Day Meet. Clcar. 74.)

Value of Race \$4,000 Winner \$2,000 second \$1,000 third \$500 fourth \$250 fifth \$175. Mutual Pool \$117,380.00 Exacta Pool \$11,500.00

Last Race	Horse	M/E	L	A	W	P	P	St	W	W	W	St	Fin	Jockey	C/P	P	Odds
20Oct95 78M1	Temecula	L	B	4	1	1	1	4	4	1	1	1	1	Mercede P	\$250	\$2.50	3.75
21Oct95 18M2	Silent's Lovable	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Hummel C R	\$250	\$2.50	4.25
20Oct95 4Fps1	Fertal	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lopez A D	\$250	\$2.50	1.50
20Oct95 78M1	Belyovskiyevsk	L	B	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Schroeder C P	\$250	\$2.50	14.25
20Oct95 78M4	Sunshine Time	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Chapman T M	\$250	\$2.50	5.50
20Oct95 78M7	Wedding Gift	B	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Paterson A	\$250	\$2.50	7.50
27Sept95 78M1	Miss Lisa C	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Combs R M	\$250	\$2.50	2.75

OFF AT 2:16 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :22 1/2, :47, 1:11, 1:29, 1:57 Track fast.
\$2 Mutuel Prices:
 2-TEMUCULA 3.00 4.00 5.00
 4-SILENT'S LOVABLE 1.50 2.00 3.00
 7-FERTAL 1.50 2.00 3.00
 31 EXACTA 3-4 PAID \$21.50 31 GUINELLA 3-4 PAID \$9.50

THIRD RACE 1 MILE (LSP) CLASSIC. Purse \$4,000. F/M and mare, 3-year-olds and upward. Weights 3-year-olds, 110 lbs. 4-year-olds, 115 lbs. Non-winners of two races at one mile or over since September 1, allowed 3 lbs. One race since then if no claiming price \$2,000. (Maiden races, claiming, starter and classified handicap races for \$4,000 or less not considered.)

Value of Race \$2,000 Winner \$1,000 second \$500 third \$250 fourth \$125 fifth \$75. Mutual Pool \$108,100.00 Exacta Pool \$174.00

Last Race	Horse	M/E	L	A	W	P	P	St	W	W	W	St	Fin	Jockey	C/P	P	Odds
21Oct95 18M1	Coffee Cream	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Schroeder C P	\$250	\$2.50	4.00
20Oct95 78M4	Yearly Committee	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Gonzalez R M	\$250	\$2.50	3.50
14Oct95 11Fps1	Bk O' Sunshine	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Campbell B C	\$250	\$2.50	3.00
14Oct95 11Fps1	Hail A Promise	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Sanders R F	\$250	\$2.50	4.00
14Oct95 11Fps1	Jule De Vie	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Hummel C R	\$250	\$2.50	4.00
21Oct95 78M7	Parade Hat	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Maders C D	\$250	\$2.50	4.00
10Oct95 78M1	Daring Dame	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lopez A D	\$250	\$2.50	4.00

OFF AT 2:16 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :22 1/2, :47, 1:11, 1:28, 1:50 Track fast.
\$2 Mutuel Prices:
 2-COFFEE CREAM 2.50 3.00 4.00
 1-7 YEARLY COMMITTEE 4.00 5.00 6.00
 1-BIT O' SUNSHINE 1.50 2.00 3.00
 31 EXACTA 3-4 PAID \$24.50 31 GUINELLA 3-4 PAID \$10.00

Dr. H. or M. by Progressive Star-Light Cream, by Fluorescent Light, Trainer Brianne Sims. Bred by Johnson Sally (Co).

COFFEE CREAM allowed to leg well back early, moved up strongly while far wide on the second turn, challenged in stretch and drew clear late. YEARLY COMMITTEE favored for the lead in upper stretch but could not match the winner bit O' SUNSHINE reserved early, moved up on the second turn but could not sustain her bid. HAIL A PROMISE overran early and after the needed rally. JOIE DE VIE well placed early, gave way. PSEUDO NOT duffed for the early lead and in. DARING DAME prompted the early pace from the outside and gave way readily.
 Owners—1, Brianne Sims; 2, Barney Russell & Gomez Harold; 3, Brenda & Brereton; 4, Jeanie Octavia & Simpson Maurice; 5, Wallace John & Shepard Robert; 7, Hollendorfer Jerry & Lili Howard
 Trainers—1, Brianne Sims; 2, White Dale; 3, Jackson Jerry; 4, John M W; 5, Lord Bonnie; 6, Cabrera Manetti; 7, Hollendorfer J Overweights: Parade Hat 11. Daring Dame 11.

\$2 Pick 3 (2-4-7) Paid \$37.50; Pick 3 Pool \$37,702.

FOURTH RACE 1 1/4 MILE (LSP) CLASSIC. Purse \$4,000. F/M and mare, 3-year-olds and upward. Weights 3-year-olds, 110 lbs. 4-year-olds, 115 lbs. Non-winners of two races at one mile or over since September 1, allowed 3 lbs. One race since then if no claiming price \$2,000. (Maiden races, claiming, starter and classified handicap races for \$4,000 or less not considered.)

Value of Race \$2,000 Winner \$1,000 second \$500 third \$250 fourth \$125 fifth \$75. Mutual Pool \$108,100.00 Exacta Pool \$174.00

Last Race	Horse	M/E	L	A	W	P	P	St	W	W	W	St	Fin	Jockey	C/P	P	Odds
18Oct95 78M4	J. R. Clear	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Miranda V	\$500	\$5.00	5.00
20Oct95 78M1	Blaze Boreals	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Baze R A	\$500	\$5.00	5.00
22Oct95 78M1	We Are One	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Tobin K S	\$500	\$5.00	5.00
12Oct95 4Fps1	Tai Rai	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Roby R L	\$500	\$5.00	5.00
22Oct95 78M1	U. S. Forever	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Hummel C R	\$500	\$5.00	5.00
12Oct95 4Fps1	Go Jira	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Martinez Jose C	\$500	\$5.00	5.00
20Oct95 78M1	Montarrey Joke	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Debus A	\$500	\$5.00	5.00
22Oct95 78M1	Assume The Throne	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lopez A D	\$500	\$5.00	5.00

OFF AT 2:46 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :22 1/2, :47, :50, 1:17 Track fast.
\$2 Mutuel Prices:
 5-J. R. CLEAR 14.00 4.00 3.00
 7-BLAZE BOREALS 2.00 2.00 2.00
 5-WE ARE ONE 1.50 2.00 3.00
 31 EXACTA 5-7 PAID \$28.50 31 GUINELLA 5-7 PAID \$13.50 31 TRIFECTA 5-7-5 PAID \$28.50

SIXTH RACE 1 MILE (LSP) STARTER ALLOWANCE. Purse \$2,000. F/M and mare, 3-year-olds and upward which have started for a claiming price of \$2,000 or less in 1995. Weights 3-year-olds, 110 lbs. 4-year-olds, 121 lbs. Non-winners of two races at one mile or over since September 1, allowed 3 lbs. Sash a race since then 5 lbs. (Maiden races, claiming, starter and classified handicap races for \$2,000 or less not considered.)

Value of Race \$1,000 Winner \$500 second \$250 third \$125 fourth \$75 fifth \$50. Mutual Pool \$174,000.00 Exacta Pool \$14,500.00

Last Race	Horse	M/E	L	A	W	P	P	St	W	W	W	St	Fin	Jockey	C/P	P	Odds
11Oct95 78M1	Valan	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Hummel C R	\$250	\$2.50	13.25
22Oct95 78M4	Temecula Dollars	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Belovk V T	\$250	\$2.50	4.25
20Oct95 78M7	Golden Star	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Warren R J Jr	\$250	\$2.50	8.50
30Oct95 78M1	Mama's Two	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Baze R A	\$250	\$2.50	8.00
18Oct95 78M1	Perilous Flyer	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lopez A D	\$250	\$2.50	7.75
11Oct95 78M4	Fidge	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Gonzalez R M	\$250	\$2.50	48.50
22Oct95 78M4	Go Kathy Go	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Miranda V	\$250	\$2.50	43.75
20Oct95 78M1	Silent Draw	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Esposito V	\$250	\$2.50	3.25

OFF AT 3:46 Start Good. Won ridden out. Time, :22 1/2, :47, 1:11, 1:29, 1:57 Track fast.
\$2 Mutuel Prices:
 5-VATAN 25.00 3.00 3.00
 4-TEMUCULA DOLLARS 4.25 3.00 3.00
 8-BARDEN STAR 4.25 3.00 3.00
 31 EXACTA 5-4 PAID \$15.00 31 GUINELLA 4-5 PAID \$7.00 31 TRIFECTA 5-4-5 PAID \$1,002.50

Dr. H. or M. by Affair's Prospect-Mable Barding, by Vaguelly Noble, Trainer Route John. Bred by Paulson Allen E (Ky).

VATAN reserved just off the early leaders, moved to gain a short lead on the turn, responded into the stretch and remained well clear under intermittent urging. TEMECULA DOLLARS reserved for six furlongs, moved out for the drive and closed for the race. GARDEN STAR was sent out quickly to duel for the lead, remained a strong factor in the stretch and weakened. NANA'S TWO well placed for six furlongs, finished evenly in the drive. PERILOUS FLYER showed little. FIDGE was outrun. SILENT DRAW duffed for the early lead, stopped after six furlongs.
 Owners—1, Coimane Roy; 2, Starobal Stable; 3, Hollendorfer Jerry & Lili Howard; 4, Manire Shirley L; 5, Redman Gerald E; 6, Van Den Borch Herman; 7, Roberts Glady M; 8, Sims Joe L
 Trainers—1, Route John; 2, McLean Bill; 3, Hollendorfer Jerry; 4, Shoemaker Leonard; 5, Davis William; 6, Dominguez Roger; 7, Henderson Francis; 8, Silva Jose L

\$2 Pick 3 (6-4-5) Paid \$4,373.00; Pick 3 Pool \$23,978.

SEVENTH RACE 1 MILE (TRF)(LSP) CLASSIC. Purse \$2,000. F/M and mare, 3-year-olds and upward. Weights 3-year-olds, 110 lbs. 4-year-olds, 121 lbs. Non-winners of two races at one mile or over since September 1, allowed 3 lbs. One race since then if no claiming price \$2,000. (Maiden races, claiming, starter and classified handicap races for \$2,000 or less not considered.)

Value of Race \$1,000 Winner \$500 second \$250 third \$125 fourth \$75 fifth \$50. Mutual Pool \$174,000.00 Exacta Pool \$14,500.00

Last Race	Horse	M/E	L	A	W	P	P	St	W	W	W	St	Fin	Jockey	C/P	P	Odds
20Oct95 78M1	Annual Dance	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Esposito V	\$500	\$5.00	3.75
30Oct95 11Fps1	Lil Sister Slew	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Elde B M	\$500	\$5.00	13.10
18Oct95 4Dms10	Storming Norma-NZ	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Cur D	\$500	\$5.00	32.00
10Oct95 11Fps1	Incurable Romantic	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Hummel C R	\$500	\$5.00	19.70
20Oct95 11Fps1	Far Nist-FR	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Warren R J Jr	\$500	\$5.00	25.50
18Oct95 15A2	Bonne Nuit	L	B	6	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Lopez A D	\$500	\$5.00	4.00
22Oct95 78M1	Lady Rhythm-NZ	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Baze R A	\$500	\$5.00	4.00
13Oct95 78M4	Ouzo Powered	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Belovk V T	\$500	\$5.00	14.25
22Oct95 78M1	Sunny Variety	L	B	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Castanon A L	\$500	\$5.00	16.00
17Sept95 78M1	Wee Miss Bee	L	B	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	Chapman T M	\$500	\$5.00	2.40

OFF AT 4:17 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :22 1/2, :47, 1:11, 1:29, 1:57 Course firm.
\$2 Mutuel Prices:
 7-ANNUAL DANCE 5.00 3.00 4.00
 3-LIL SISTER SLEW 12.00 3.00 3.00
 1-STORMING NORMA-NZ 13.00 3.00 3.00
 31 EXACTA 7-3 PAID \$28.50 31 GUINELLA 3-7 PAID \$6.50 31 TRIFECTA 7-3-1 PAID \$3,067.50

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

SAX 11-5-95 7 8 D

	RAW	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
P 1 IMPEC	22.0	EVEN
W 2 WE GO	19.0	EVEN
3 BALLA	14.0	2-1
3 PATRI	14.0	2-1

	ADJUSTED	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
P 1 IMPEC	20.0	EVEN
W 2 WE GO	19.5	EVEN
3 PATRI	14.0	2-1
4 BALLA	13.0	3-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

SAX 11-5-95 8 9 T

	RAW	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
P 1 VIRGI	21.0	EVEN
W 2 HELMS	19.0	EVEN
3 LAKE	13.0	3-1
4 LONGL	7.5	7-1
5 MR PU	6.0	8-1
6 CUZZI	3.0	12-1
7 CANYO	2.0	15-1
7 RUG	2.0	15-1

	ADJUSTED	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
P 1 VIRGI	20.0	EVEN
W 2 HELMS	18.0	EVEN
3 LONGL	12.0	7-2
4 MR PU	8.0	8-1
5 CUZZI	5.5	9-1
6 CANYO	4.0	10-1
8 LAKE	4.0	10-1
7 RUG	0.0	20-1

SEVENTH RACE 1 MILE (1.33¹) ALLOWANCE. Purse \$30,000. Fries and mares, 3-year-olds and upward bred in California which are non-winners of \$3,000 other than maiden or claiming or have never won two races. Weights: 3-year-olds, 115 lbs. Older, 121 lbs. Non-winners of a race other than maiden or claiming at one mile or over, allowed 3 lbs. Of such a race other than claiming, 5 lbs.

Santa Anita
NOVEMBER 5, 1995

Value of Race: \$30,000 Winner \$11,000; second \$7,000; third \$5,000; fourth \$2,000; fifth \$1,000. Mutual Pool \$305,823.00 Exacta Pool \$234,244.00 Guinella Pool \$81,108.00

Last Raced	Horse	M/Eq.	A.Wt	PP	St	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str	Fin	Jockey	Odds
27Oct95 #SA1	We Got The Obrero	Lb	4 116	5	3	1 1/4	1 1/2	1 3/4	1 1/4	1 1/2	Solis A	2.80
22Oct95 #SA5	Impeccably Right	Lb	4 116	1	6	5	6	6	5 1/2	2 1/2	Almolda G F	3.10
8Sep95 #Dmr3	Patriot Star	Lb	4 116	6	2	4nd	5 1/4	4nd	3 1/2	3 1/4	Nakatani C S	0.90
20Oct95 #SA5	Time For Saratoga	Lb	4 116	4	5	5 1/4	4nd	3 1/2	4 1/2	4 1/2	Pedroza M A	16.50
20Oct95 #SA1	La Nativa	Lb	3 114	2	4	2 1/2	2nd	2 1/2	2nd	5 1/2	Douglas R R	4.20
27Oct95 #SA8	Ballama	Lb	3 109	3	1	3 1/2	3 1/2	5 1/4	6	6	Blanc B 5	19.10

OFF AT 3:32 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :23 1/4, :48, 1:12 1/2, 1:24 1/4, 1:37 1/4 Track fast.

\$2 Mutuel Prices:
 5-WE GOT THE DINERO 7.50 3.80 2.20
 1-IMPECCABLY RIGHT 6.20 2.40 1.40
 6-PATRIOT STAR 2.20

\$2 EXACTA 5-1 PAID \$38.00 \$2 QUINELLA 1-5 PAID \$25.40

EIGHTH RACE 1 1/4 MILES. (Turf)(1.43¹) 29th Running of THE VOLANTE HANDICAP. Purse \$125,000 added. Grade III. 3-year-olds. By subscription of \$50 each, \$750 additional to start with \$125,000 added, of which \$25,000 to second, \$18,750 to third, \$3,375 to fourth and \$3,125 to fifth. Weights: Tuesday, October 31. Starters to be named through the entry box by the closing time of entries. A trophy will be presented to the owner of the winner. Closed Wednesday, October 25, with 18 nominations.

Santa Anita
NOVEMBER 5, 1995

Value of Race: \$125,000 Winner \$75,650; second \$25,000; third \$18,750; fourth \$3,375; fifth \$3,125. Mutual Pool \$505,893.00 Exacta Pool \$234,218.00 Triecta Pool \$285,016.00 Guinella Pool \$53,308.00

Last Raced	Horse	M/Eq.	A.Wt	PP	St	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str	Fin	Jockey	Odds
14Oct95 #BM2	Helmsman	Lb	3 115	3	2	3 1/2	3 1/2	2 1/2	1 1/4	1 1/2	McCarron C J	2.80
14Oct95 #BM1	Virginia Carnival	Lb	3 118	6	5	6 1/4	6 1/4	6 1/4	3 1/4	2 1/4	Desormeaux K J	4.30
7Oct95 #Kee3	Mr Purple	Lb	3 121	4	6	5 1/2	5 1/2	5 1/2	4 1/2	3 1/2	Nakatani C S	3.70
17Sep95 #W07	Lake George	Lb	3 119	8	4	2 1/4	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/4	2 1/4	Stevens G L	4.70
13Aug95 Cur1	Shemaran-IR	Lb	3 119	7	8	8	8	8	7 1/2	5 1/2	Delahoussaye E	4.70
20Oct95 #Med3	Cuzzin Jeb	Lb	3 118	5	3	4 1/2	4 1/2	3 1/2	5 1/2	6 1/2	Lopez C C	17.20
18Sep95 ML4	The Key Rainbow-IR	B	3 116	2	7	7 1/4	7 1/4	7 1/2	6 1/2	7 1/2	Antley C W	26.50
14Oct95 #BM8	Rug-GB	Lb	3 111	1	1	1 1/2	2 1/4	4 1/2	8	8	Valdivia J Jr	53.00

OFF AT 4:02 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :24 1/4, :48, 1:11 1/4, 1:36 1/4, 1:48 1/4 Course firm.

\$2 Mutuel Prices:
 4-HELMSMAN 7.50 3.50 2.80
 8-VIRGINIA CARNIVAL 4.80 3.40 2.40
 6-MR PURPLE 3.00

\$2 EXACTA 4-8 PAID \$30.50 \$2 TRIECTA 4-8-5 PAID \$106.80 \$2 QUINELLA 4-8 PAID \$17.00

Man cannot live by bread or longshots alone. When horses have Betting Lines of EVEN and pay \$7.60, are they overlays? Is it Value? Well, they come in one-two in both races with \$2 Exactas exceeding \$30. In TODAY'S racing, I think you have to take a few of these kinds of gifts.

Summary: BOTTOM LINE - BETTING LINE

Here is another message from the BRIS line:

MESSAGE : 1771 DATE: 12-Aug-95 TIME: 01:42 PM
FROM : JAY
SUBJECT : CAPTAIN Q PICKING WINNERS ETC.

AODDS, MPH, T-MATION ETC ALL HAVE THEIR GENESIS WITH SARTIN AND HIS FACE STYLE HANDICAPPING. ONE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT THEIR METHOD DOESN'T COVER WELL IS MONEY MANAGEMENT. SO AODDS WILL LIST YOU THREE OR FOUR CONTENDERS HOW DO YOU SEPARATE THEM? BY THE ODDS. I USE MPH AND IT IS PRETTY GOOD AND GIVES AN ODDS LINE, BUT IF THE #1 HORSE IS GOING OFF AT 4 TO 5 YOU JUST CAN'T BET IT. WHILE I DON'T USE PLUS 3 BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO SHELL OUT \$14.00 A DAY THEY HAVE AN OPTIMAL BETTING SCHEME BASED ON THE PROGRAMS PERCEIVED VALUE LINE VERSUS THE ACTUAL ODDS THE HORSES ARE GOING OFF AT. IT IS A WINNER. I HAVE DONE A STUDY OF MY MPH ODDS LINE VERSUS THE PLUS 3 AND OVERALL THEY ARE VERY SIMILAR (AS THEY SHOULD BE WITH A WINNING PROGRAM) SO I VALUE BET. AND YES YOU CAN DUTCH 2,3 OR 4 HORSES A RACE AND WIN PROVIDING YOU HAVE THE RIGHT CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH AN OPTIMAL-BET DUTCHING PROGRAM CAN DO.

While code name, JAY is accurate in his historical genesis statement, I can't help but wonder if he is a client. No way to tell from BRIS code names. However, we've had a form of an ODDS LINE for Money Management from 1982 on. In the 90's, our advanced programs from EXDC/Thoromation, Synergetic Match Up and all versions of AODDS have their own built-in Odds Lines. Perhaps JAY makes his assumptions about our not "well covering Money Management" from Dick Mitchell's books which incorrectly harp on the subject. The truth is we always dedicate hours at each seminar to the subject. It has *never* been our chief focus, however, because Value is useless unless you produce some Brownie Points for the actual winner. Value without a horse that pays off is folly.

The fact is that most clients ignore our money management lessons and choose to favor *selection* over *Value*. It's their constitutional right. With the advent of BOTTOM LINE, BETTING LINE, they can now enjoy the best of both. The program establishes from viable readouts the Odds a horse *should* have. You compare them with actual odds and make your wagers accordingly.

I must stress that these are *Win Odds ONLY* and the horse second most likely to win only places 25% of the time (see new Standard Extension Table for Place and Show, this issue).



The Psychology of Winning

Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D

The appearance of Phil Gowens at the November, '95 Las Vegas Seminar enhanced it richly. At least 35% of the attendees told me that his talks on the psychological aspects of winning was of more help than anything we did on handicapping per se. The other 65% were more focused on Glen Connolly's thoroughly detailed explanations of Read-Out Interpretations and techniques for success with every program we offer. The same honors include presentations made by Tom Brohamer, Vic Palermo, and the always exhilarating and enormously funny commentaries of Jim "The Hat." But this 35-65% balance is unprecedented. The best we could ever manage before was 80% INFORMATION, 20% INSPIRATION.

Seems like people are gradually catching on.

All of you who have had personal contact with Phil know that he loves to *observe*. On Sunday, when we went to the book, he chose to observe Shane. He was fascinated by the number of times Shane went to the payoff window and by how he could do it with so little handicapping knowledge or background. As most of you know Shane has been forbidden to read handicapping books, with the exception of Brohamer's and one of Ainslie's. Another taboo to which Shane subscribes is: NO hanging out with "horseplayers" whose comments might corrupt him.

After a few hours Gowens concluded that the reason Shane has been so consistently successful for over three years now, (long time for a perennial beginner), is that he has no *second thoughts* about his choices. He suffers no anxieties in the disastrous time that elapses between choice and wager. In their own way both Bradshaw and Brohamer function similarly. They have more experience and better insights into the vagaries of racing and, especially Tom,


more knowledge of the patterns of individual trainers and jockeys and other racing data deemed so important by the “experts.”

Yet once a decision is reached they *act* upon it without reservation.

Others get caught up in that eternal—“I had that horse but I didn’t bet it because——.” The reasons are endless. You’ve heard or spoken them all. They boil down to *second thoughts* caused by internal *or* external messages that corrupt initial, spontaneous decision.

If Shane were not my son, I’d take his case further with reasons why he never second guesses himself. I don’t want to sound like a doting father so I’ll continue in a less personal, more abstract vein.

There is a new book out called “Emotional Intelligence.” It’s by Harvard Psychologist, Daniel Goleman Ph.D. I don’t expect many of you to read it but those who do will find an extraordinary text that will, for those who choose to let it, be most helpful in overcoming those barriers that may be preventing YOU from making as many trips to the payoff window as you would like.



Here are portions of just two paragraphs from the book that may give you some pause for thought.

What factors are at play, for example, when people of high IQ flounder and those of modest IQ do surprisingly well? I would argue that the difference quite often lies in the abilities called here *emotional intelligence*, which include self-control, zeal and persistence, and the ability to motivate oneself. And these skills, as we shall see, can be taught to children, giving them a better chance to use whatever intellectual potential the genetic lottery may have given them.


Beyond this possibility looms a pressing moral imperative. These are times when the fabric of society seems to unravel at ever-greater speed, when selfishness, violence, and a meanness of spirit seem to be rotting the goodness of our communal lives. Here the argument for the importance of emotional intelligence hinges on the link between sentiment, character, and moral instincts. There is growing evidence that fundamental ethical stances in life stem from underlying emotional capacities. For one, impulse is the medium of emotion; the seed of all impulse is a feeling bursting to express itself in action. Those who are at the mercy of impulse—who lack self-control—suffer a moral deficiency: The ability to control impulse is the base of will and character. By the same token, the root of altruism lies in empathy, the ability to read emotions in others; lacking a sense of another’s need or despair, there is no caring. And if there are any two moral stances that our times call for, they are precisely these, self-restraint and compassion.

You've heard it from me before. Now you can get it from Harvard where both Andy Beyer and Steve Crist matriculated. If it comes out of Harvard it's supposed to be the true gen. In *this* case it is.

Dr. Goleman's statements about structural IQ should put an end to the garbage pandered to by less than successful clients who claim that they're "Not Smart Enough" to understand our now Mainstream Concepts. (Mainstream according to the Gospel cited by Tom Ainslie).

When one with "Normal" structural intelligence (IQ) fails to achieve ANY goal set for him/herself, the reason stems from an imbalance between structural and "EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE." Let me assure you that I've NEVER received indications that ANY client lacks sufficient structural intelligence to be a consistent WINNER!

In the 1940's we referred to Goleman's two definitions of IQ as Vertical and Lateral Intelligence, with Lateral being the equivalent of what he calls, "Emotional." Here is a little diagram featuring two large tumblers of equal size with two small drinking glasses of the same size. The SIZE of the glass represents CAPACITY. The little wavy lines show EXTENT OF CAPACITY utilized by an individual.



Glass #1 is small but FULL! It represents those with average IQ and formal education who have learned to USE their combined Structural and Emotional Intelligence to FULL CAPACITY. This is a WINNER!

Glass #2 is tall and capacious but it is less than HALF FULL and contains no more than the smaller glass. IT represents a person with an IMBALANCE between combined intelligences.

Glass #2A is ALSO Tall but it is FULL. A perfect balance for the more gifted. Yet, GLASS #1 designee should be equally capable of achieving a given goal because, in most endeavors -especially ours - the FULLNESS of the glass is more important than its total capacity.

GLASS 1A represents what we see all too often. There is no real excuse for it. A glass with LESS capacity being only HALF FULL!

If the results of YOUR handicapping reflect pictures 2 or 1A, this article is aimed primarily at you.

Everyone, including myself, needs, on occasion, to engage in what is known as *Reframing*.. All this requires is that we change the FRAME around our picture: frame of reference, that is.

How different individuals view ANYTHING, even the SAME thing, is based on their frame of reference. What we view is static unto itself. *How* we view it is governed only minimally by structural intelligence. The how stems from the emotion of the moment and the way we handle emotions in balance with our IQ. Unfortunately, when we're out of balance, most of us do not see a warning light reading: *TILT!*

This is why we often see supposedly "smart" people doing dumb things. And, also why persons who may appear "dumb," do smart things.

The appearance of "Dumb" or "Smart" lies, like beauty, in the *frame of reference* of the beholder. When we persist in *viewing ourselves* as one or the other, we are most likely to behave and function in accordance with our self-categorization. This is where positive self-talk should be employed (see Follow Up #'s 51, 52 & 53). If one keeps *telling* him or herself, even subconsciously that he/she is in any way dumb, that person will function as "dumb." The truth is that such an individual *fears* being *perceived* as dumb and anticipates the fear through negative self-labeling. The Bible says it: "That which we fear the most shall most surely befall us."

One client I know begins every conversation with me by saying, "Doc, I need some more couch time." He didn't. He need only look into a mirror and say that statement repeatedly to himself. To note the expression on his face and do his OWN "couch time." He is playing a game that Dr. Eric Berne called: "HELPLESS." Perhaps, at some point in his formative years he got rewarded for actually being helpless, or more to the point, hapless.

To recapture those early rewards, or "Strokes," as some prefer calling them, he plays the game hoping someone will be his patsy and buy in. Someone usually does, so he keeps repeating this game script over and over. The patsy who buys in is playing the game of "RESCUER" and is always on the lookout for a "Helpless" victim. It is a staple quality of many marriages and most divorces. Over and Over scripts are also the functional basis for alcoholism, narcotic addiction, eating disorders, multiple sex partners and constant infidelity.

Game playing is depicted in Eric Berne's best seller of the mid 1960's, *Games People Play*. I also published some Game Matrixes handicappers play in the text of my booklet *The Psychology of Winning*. Is it ironic that Berne's book was a runaway best seller yet people play the same games today as they did when it came out? No, once again it reveals the vast cleavage between teaching and learning. Here is a short definition of GAME:

**"A SERIES OF SEEMINGLY INNOCENT
ONGOING TRANSACTIONS
WHICH HAVE A CONCEALED, ULTERIOR MOTIVE,
LEADING PROGRESSIVELY TO A WELL-DEFINED PAYOFF."**

By *transactions* we refer to interpersonal behaviors of persons toward one another. Here are but two of the many games people play with me.

GP is Game Player. M is Me.

Setting: A Seminar. Player is a beginner or new client.

GP: I just don't understand all the strange terms you guys use.

M: Connolly and I just spent three hours defining and explaining them.

GP: Yes, BUT It's all Greek to me. Guess I'm dumb.

At this point I'm supposed to play "patsy" and say, "no you're not," and then coddle him/her with a private repetition of the seminar. The person is NOT dumb. At an impressionable stage in his (it's almost always a him) life he got rewarded for PLAYING dumb; so he plays the GAME of DUMB over and over. That's the ulterior motive behind this seemingly innocent transaction.

As for understanding terminology, look:

Ω Ψ Φ

If the numbers, ranking or graph accompanying that second symbol was producing more winners than the other two, who needs to define the term? It is merely a symbol or designation. Defining it is an intellectual exercise and has no bearing on winning or NON winning.

An important factor here is that the above Game Player doesn't WANT the terms defined. If he did he would respond to:

M: "Go over to the desk and have Jan put 'Send Free Glossary' after your name and we'll send you one."

Does he do it? You guessed it: NO. Proving he didn't really WANT to learn a NEW lexicon, he wanted ME, and the other teachers to revert back to using terms fitting a vocabulary identical with HIS. Terms from the very same frame of reference that kept him a NON winner for so many years. A condition for which he supposedly came to the Seminar to cure.

Here's another often played game I won't buy into:

First let's set the stage. It's a seminar, workshop or even a private session. I've just explained the workings of our various computer programs and stated emphatically that the USER must determine which one best suits his/her personality and perception.

GP: What computer program do you recommend that I get?

M: If, after what I've just explained you still feel you need to ask, I recommend one of the LESS expensive one for starters.

GP: But I wanted Thoromation, that's the best isn't it?

M: Also the most expensive.

GP: I don't care, I want the best.

Two games for the price of one here.


Game one: He wants ME to recommend the most expensive program so he can tell himself (and others) that I hustled him for top dollar.

Game two: He wants ME to choose the program so that, if HE doesn't do the work to make IT WORK, he can blame me instead of himself.

These kinds of games are not vicious. Often the GP doesn't even know what game he/she is playing. As games are played over and over they become as natural as breathing. In the 1960's Dr. Berne indicated that after the "patsy" got suckered into the game the GP's internal dialogue would be: "Gotcha!" This is often, but not always true, at least in the conscious mind. Not all games are debilitating but the "Over and Over, going Nowhere" games are self-destructive to all whose true goal is total success.

Over and Over games played from a CLOSED FRAME OF REFERENCE can become a coping mechanism wherein playing the game is PREFERABLE to success. Unless we OPEN our FRAME, Game Playing of itself becomes virtually essential to our very existence.

FRAME OF REFERENCE



Whether we care to admit it or not, most of us function from a CLOSED Frame of Reference. We function from a loosely defined intellectual pattern and a more clearly defined emotional set. The term: "Over and Over" best defines this condition. Behavioral ritual is the symptom. Manifestations include all habit patterns from eating, to the way we put on our shoes; driving to work by the same route, sitting in the same church pew or classroom seat; a wardrobe selected with defined color-style similarities; single-groove entertainment and musical tastes worn like labels: Country-Western. Classical, Jazz, Rock, TV Sit Coms, Cop Shows, Quiz Shows, Soaps, Shopper's Network. Smoke? Always the same brand. Drink? Always the same. You walk into a bar and order "the usual." When a bartender knows what *your* drink is

even before you order, you're in trouble because you're too predictable and habit ridden. One of the more popular symptoms is telling canned jokes, over and over, as a substitute for spontaneous humor. "Have you heard the one about—?" is the tip off. Once in a while, okay, but if it DOMINATES all conversational gambits, watch out. I could go on but I think you get the idea.


In handicapping the top three ranked "over and overs" are: (1) constant repetition of the same so-called "mistakes." (2) Saying, "I need help in money management," even after reading every known book and attending seminars on the subject. (3) Rephrasing the same question about some handicapping problem time after time. The subconscious wish here is that someone will FINALLY give you an answer that affirms the fixed belief you had about solving the problem to begin with.

One of the keys to knowing that you have a predictable, over and over, set of behavioral habit patterns is when others start finishing your sentences for you - *accurately*. It may be rude when people do it, but when it happens to *you*, accept it as a rude awakening.

The cure for destructive over and over scripts is CHANGE. Open up your solidified FRAME and let some air in. Try something FRESH (as in new) and DIFFERENT. Different (but still healthy) foods; different routes to work or play; changing wardrobe styles, etc. etc. Above ALL, an OPEN MINDED PERCEPTION of what you're doing relative to REALITY. Hearing things with a different ear. Seeing with different eyes. Expand your reading, listening and entertainment receptivity to include things that you've previously excluded through some prejudice you probably cannot even clearly define. Find out. Try defending your position to an audience of strangers; some of them possibly hostile to your alleged "ideas." If you *can't* do it, you didn't really believe it in the first place. I realize most of you will make no effort to change. Your over and over patterns currently sustain your life. You'd feel lost without them. True. But in order to FIND, one must first be LOST. Those who THINK and/or FEEL they are or were not lost at some point or another are smugly residing in a closed, self-damaging frame. When we open holes in our FRAME OF REFERENCE the arrows point both inward and outward. As we widen our horizons our consciousness and perception becomes positively *expanded*. We absorb more, hence we exude more. Like breathing in and out; out and in. In doing so we receive and GIVE the ether of a new, revitalized life of truly heightened awareness. Our IQ, or structural intellect becomes balanced with our emotional intelligence and we are whole. From this state *all of you* can become and REMAIN winners at handicapping and in life itself.

Examine the graph on the following page –

I.Q. and Emotional Intelligence SYNCHRONIZATION



At the top we see our IQ, our structural, genetic intellect. There are still some behaviorists who think this is the ONLY kind of IQ. Reality has proved them incorrect.

THESIS defines the GOAL we set for ourselves. Successful handicapping being one. The dotted line leading to THESIS is intermittently crossed by vertical barriers. These barriers are inevitable for all who would make handicapping a purely intellectual, informational endeavor. When this intellectual, informational orientation fails, a person's behavior begins that downward dotted slant toward ANTITHESIS: self destruction, blaming, excusing, trying to rationalize away their failure to (I despise this therapeutic term) "Get in touch" with their EMOTIONAL intelligence: INSPIRATION! The source of all insight, intuition and, especially, SPONTANEITY.

The exact reverse occurs when we let our emotions get out of hand and function solely from this level. We must learn to balance the two kinds of intelligence. 20% structural intellect, 80% EMOTIONAL intelligence. To achieve that balance we must REFRAME or reprogram ourselves by abandoning over and over behavioral patterns.

Now, this column has gone around in a big circle. From Phil Gowen's observations of Shane's spontaneous seemingly non-intellectual, semi-autonomous handicapping practices; through contamination and repetitive self-destructive life scripts; and finally, back to where we began: SPONTANEITY, the open, living breathing, blissful state from which success will surely flow.

Those who care to throw away their coping crutch and dare to open up their closed Frame Of Reference are welcome. The road will be tough at first but the rewards will be worth the effort.

In Follow Up #55 we'll explore the means of fully developing and utilizing "Emotional Intelligence".

Pack a gun? Texans first have to load up on pop psychology

By SAM HOWE VERHOVEK
New York Times News Service

HOUSTON, Texas — To carry a concealed weapon in Texas, a person must pay a \$140 application fee, have no history of major crime or mental illness, demonstrate an understanding of use-of-force laws and pass a shooting proficiency test with a .38-caliber revolver or a 9 mm semiautomatic handgun.

Oh, and one other thing: the applicant must be in touch with his or her inner child.

As part of a 12-hour course required under a law that will soon allow Texans to carry hidden guns for the first time in more than a century, state residents must pass a test covering the rudiments of nonviolent, conflict-resolution techniques.

Borrowing directly from pop-psychology classics of the 1960s and '70s like "Games People Play" and "I'm OK — You're OK," the official state gun-class curriculum requires that applicants for a gun permit know about the three "ego states" said to exist within every-

one: the parent, the child, and the adult.

To minimize the risk of gunfire in any dispute ranging from a minor traffic accident to a holdup, the state says, people who carry a gun must first do everything they can to elevate the conflict from emotion to logic — or, in the psychological vernacular, to the adult-to-adult mode.

That is also known as the "we-we" or "win-win" mode of communication, as opposed to the more violence-prone "I-you" mode, which exists when someone's inner child is dealing with someone else's inner child or inner parent.

"Move the verbal encounter toward resolution incorporating as much 'win-win' strategy as possible," says the state's official lesson plan. "'Adult to adult' or 'I'm OK — you're OK' is very de-escalating."


To be sure, in a state that mythologizes laconic cowboys, it is possible to find Texans who deride the curriculum as worthless psychobabble, while others suggest the

curriculum is the logical product of a modern society in which Americans are fascinated both with guns and with exploring their own inner feelings.

But more strikingly, to judge from the reactions of several students who are taking the classes, the instruction just may have significant value.

A VIABLE COMPUTER HANDICAPPING PROGRAM IS LIKE A LOADED GUN. MISFIRE IT AND YOU MAY DO YOURSELF AND YOUR FAMILY HARM. SHOULD WE BE ANY LESS KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT P.A.C AND "GAMES PEOPLE PLAY" THAN THOSE IN TEXAS SEEKING A CONCEALED WEAPON LICENSE?

PARENTAL MESSAGES



DOC SARTIN

A REVISED, UPDATED EXTENSION TABLE FOR PLACE & SHOW

In Follow Up # 48 we published the famous Standard Extension Table. It had been used by bookmakers for decades and, based on win odds, produced place and show payoff expectancies that were usually accurate within about 20 cents.

Now, along with the many changes in racing, the tables need to be revised. Some of the revisions are necessitated by increased track take, others from more money in place and show pools. Whatever the reason, this new table is superior.

It is designed most specifically for races with eight to ten horses. When there are fewer than eight, DEDUCT the anticipated payoff by ONE level. OVER 10 horses, ADD one level.

A table such as this is very important to all of us but especially to those who don't go to the track itself and spend most of their time between races with a hand held calculator or computer figuring place and show odds. Now that all of our advanced programs are featuring so-called Betting Lines these table are even more important.

I cannot stress too much the fact that *all* computerized Betting Lines are based on Win and on "Brownie Points," as Huey Mahl calls them, assigned by a particular system or method. Even a good Betting Line does *not* apply to back up or exotic wagers. Therefore, in these areas the revised Extension Table is most useful. For those interested in over-all profit as well as win-only profit, there will be many times when a Place or Show ONLY Spot Play will present itself. This table will help you find your projected payoff based on win odds.

Any computerized Method that does not produce the preponderance of its winners in the top TWO ranked selections is suffering from either a faulty set of formulae, an inept user, or both.

Take a look at Tim Gagas' SPOT PLAY Report in this issue. In three screens totaling one hundred-eighty squares, the winner ranked third only FIVE times. That's .03% POINT OH THREE! The top TWO Ranked screens produced the winner 16 of 20 times - 80%! So the notion of that famous author, that we'd do better wagering on our third and fourth choices, holds no water if you're following prescribed procedure. Anyone getting a lot of winners from THIRD or FOURTH choices is putting in too many *bad* horses and expecting the computer to

think for them. It will not. They must look within themselves and ask WHY they're going against established procedures. We provide as many answers as possible here in the pages of the Follow Up, in Manuals and at Seminars. But the final answers must come to you from *within!*

We've had a sprinkling of clients over the years, especially in recent times, who believe that computers can be cognizant. When they saw it advertised, they accepted the term "artificial intelligence" as being accurate. They spent a thousand or more dollars on certain programs that are so advertised. They were disappointed to discover that the program's intelligence was indeed, "artificial." Some did something about it and beefed up the USE of their OWN intelligence and went back to one of our own programs. Others just kept on buying new, falsely advertised programs seeking some magic formula that simply isn't there. The only place to find such "Magic" is in your own mind. Your intelligence. You may wish you had more. We all do. But at least what we do have is real, *not* artificial.


*1990'S UPDATE -- STANDARD EXTENSION TABLE *

* IF :	WIN :	PLACE :	SHOW :	* IF :	WIN :	PLACE :	SHOW :
ODDS:	PAYS :	PAYS :	PAYS :	ODDS:	PAYS :	PAYS :	PAYS :
* 1-2:	3.00:	2.40:		* 7-1:	16.00:	7.60:	4.80:
3-5:	3.20:	2.50:		8-1:	18.00:	8.40:	5.20:
4-5:	3.60:	2.60:		9-1:	20.00:	9.20:	5.60:
1-1:	4.00:	2.80:		10-1:	22.00:	10.00:	6.00:
6-5:	4.40:	3.00:		11-1:	24.00:	10.80:	6.40:
* 3-2:	5.00:	3.20:		* 12-1:	26.00:	11.60:	6.80:
9-5:	5.60:	3.50:		13-1:	28.00:	12.40:	7.20:
2-1:	6.00:	3.60:		14-1:	30.00:	13.20:	7.60:
5-2:	7.00:	4.00:	3.00:	15-1:	32.00:	14.00:	8.00:
3-1:	8.00:	4.40:	3.20:	16-1:	34.00:	14.80:	8.40:
* 7-2:	9.00:	4.80:	3.40:	* 17-1:	36.00:	15.60:	8.80:
4-1:	10.00:	5.20:	3.60:	18-1:	38.00:	16.40:	9.20:
9-2:	11.00:	5.60:	3.80:	19-1:	40.00:	17.20:	9.60:
5-1:	12.00:	6.00:	4.00:	20-1:	42.00:	18.00:	10.00:
6-1:	14.00:	6.80:	4.40:	21-1:	44.00:	18.80:	10.40:
* IF :	WIN :	PLACE :	SHOW :	* IF :	WIN :	PLACE :	SHOW :
ODDS:	PAYS :	PAYS :	PAYS :	ODDS:	PAYS :	PAYS :	PAYS :
* 30-1:	62.00:	26.00:	14.00:	* 30-1:	62.00:	26.00:	14.00:
40-1:	82.00:	34.00:	18.00:	40-1:	82.00:	34.00:	18.00:
50-1:	102.00:	42.00:	22.00:	50-1:	102.00:	42.00:	22.00:
60-1:	122.00:	50.00:	26.00:	60-1:	122.00:	50.00:	26.00:
80-1:	162.00:	66.00:	34.00:	80-1:	162.00:	66.00:	34.00:
* PLACE BETS PAYING LESS THAN :				* PLACE BETS PAYING LESS THAN :			
*4.00 OR SHOW LESS THAN *3.00:				*4.00 OR SHOW LESS THAN *3.00:			
NOT ADVISED.				NOT ADVISED.			

Actual readout from one of our advanced programs

THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY presents

THOROMATION 2 A



with Advanced EQUA-JUST

**The dramatic new Automatic Adjustment procedure
that mediates between the (1) and (2) Adjustments
&**

OMNI-PROJECTOR/EXTRACTOR

The All-Purpose Distance Projector.

**Projects or Extracts ANY distance, including Mile 40
and Mile 70 yards and up to a Mile and a half
to the distance of TODAY'S race**

**Allows you to make
ALL-PURPOSE Manual Energy Adjustments
based on YOUR knowledge of the tracks YOU handicap.
Instruction Manual explains in detail how Glen Connolly does it
and how YOU can do it too.**

**THIS NEW UPDATE HAS A TWELVE HORSE FIELD
AND INSTALLS ONTO YOUR HARD DRIVE**

**Comes with a separate, installable disk for KGEN.
Downloads automatically to race file from
any BRIS "Hat File" downloading program.**

***UPGRADE FROM YOUR OLD
THOROMATION FOR ONLY \$89***

**If you don't have Thoromation, call or write the office for details
on contract information (909-845-5907 M-F 1to 3 Pacific time).**

Q&A WITH THE DOC

The Holidays are at hand. This is the season when we all go around in an altered state of consciousness, tending to give more than we get.

It is in that spirit that I answered a letter from a NON-Client who is one of the many hundreds who have written to me as a result of reading my articles in AMERICAN TURF MONTHLY. I want YOU to read this letter EXACTLY as it was written and my answer as I typed it; not made pretty by Mary Jr.'s MAC computer. Let me assure you that the writer of this letter ran a successful business before he retired to Florida. He is not in the least bit stupid or illiterate and I beg you to not think I'm trying to paint him as such.

He isn't a client so he doesn't subscribe to the FOLLOW UP. Of course his identity remains anonymous. Yet, his letter is TYPICAL of the dozens I receive each month from NON clients. American Turf Monthly has 28,000 subscribers which constitutes a great percentage of the North American "Horseplayer" public. They regularly compete with US for the pari-mutuel dollar.

I publish this as a gift to you so that you can compare what YOU know with what your competition understands. If you could look over my shoulder and read several hundred similar letters, you would realize that you are eons ahead of the field and function accordingly. YOU are better than the competition and your handicapping results should manifest this fact.

Dr. Sartin:

I am ashamed of my poor performance for all the time I spend doing and reading.

I am writing you for the formulae (American Turf Monthly, Sept. 1994). I met you in Canada and have corresponded with your son. I am now retired and in Florida. I am a once-a-week race goer and am on a very low budget. So some help would be appreciated. Is there a member in my area that could help?

I am having a problem with Lex. Also the readouts from the Energy Generator. I have charts from 94-95 from Hialeah/ Gulfstream and Calder. My problem seems that the Med. Energy from the charts vary and I seem to find that the Place horse energy is winning often, and I am playing 2 horses closest to the Win %. In your Follow Up issue #28 Huey Mahl article "Gambling and Mindstuff" fits me to a "T". Also...talks about picking your spots I bet 2 races when I go, but \$6.00 + \$.00 is small and expenses are about a little better than even.

My win % is not 63%, not quite 50%. 90% of all my horses run at least third. I play win only.

Also your son set my small computer, PC6 Tandy, but sent no instructions. My question is how do I use the question "2nd Btn Line and "Final Btn Ln" The rest I understand. I use both ways and when they agree I might make a larger bet - \$15 and \$10. But if they disagree, I foolishly will lean on the Ft Per Min, especially if the horse is gaining on his pace. But I do not do well on this. I have faith in this way but results are not as good as I would like. I also have a 286 computer given to me. Would a program improve me? Hope you can help me.

PS - Is your son still with you? I have some problem races I would like to send him. Why not you? - You are a harsh taskmaster.

This man has written me before. He says he has read every major work on handicapping from Taulbot and AINSLIE forward, including Brohamer and our original Pace Makes The Race. His questions are the perfect embodiment of what I mean when I say that it is NOT ENOUGH for even the best Teacher to merely teach. The job isn't finished until the student LEARNS.

Here is my response to the writer and to ALL who share his problems:

Dear Mr. _____

Perhaps without you even realizing it, your problems are both spelled out and SOLVED by YOU in your letter.

Since you "play" only to win, I'll come from that perspective. For openers, Median Energy is used to determine PARAMETERS of a percentage that is too high or too low to win. There is NO SUCH THING as Early or Median Energy Pars.

You say that Median Energy varies from week to week. Of course it does. That's why it's essential to plot it by surface, distance and to keep it CURRENT. NOTHING in racing is static.

I understand perfectly that you can only go to your track once a week. But to determine WIN Energy vs. Counter Energy, you must "Work" the races at your track regularly. You say that "PLACE" Energy is winning. Not true. If IT'S winning then it is obviously WIN ENERGY!

You say you're playing the two horses closest to the Win Median Energy from PAST RESULT CHARTS. My questions: HOW FAR PAST and do you keep records BY DISTANCE and Surface? Your letter would suggest otherwise.

You say you don't understand LEX. It is LATE ENERGY EXPENDITURE. What's to understand? Like Mt. Everest, it is there. If you don't want to climb it, ignore it.

If the winner is in your final mix, ranked at least third 90% of the time, you are well within the winner's ball park. You just don't seem to realize it; a lot of people would envy you.

The answer to your 50% dilemma is easy. Instead of focusing on RESULT CHARTS, MODEL the handicapping factors that YOU USE. Since you are NOT a client and do not have one of our advanced programs, I can't tell from your letter what these are. Therefore only YOU can determine from the FACTORS YOU USE, the THREE that correlate most often with what is CURRENTLY WINNING at your track by distance and surface.

Yes, my son loaded your small computer with the original, simplistic Phase I program. We show that you DID receive instructions. They should not have been necessary, however, because YOU got the program based on your reading PACE MAKES THE RACE, which contained ALL the instruction you needed. I'm sending you ANOTHER copy of those instructions herein.

As for the meaning of "Beaten Lengths, 2nd," enter the beaten lengths at the 2nd Call. I am disturbed that you asked this question because it indicates a failure on your part to comprehend the lexicon of the Daily Racing form and has nothing to do with our programs.

The rest of that question I don't understand. What do you mean I use it both ways?

Yes, my son IS still with me. You say I'm a harsh taskmaster because I told you in that letter a couple of years back that only 5% win on a given day, 2% consistently. You then wrote American Turf Monthly the same question and they coddled you with a contrary but ambiguous answer. Naturally. They exist on subscribers who, like yourself, think that everybody wins. My figures are correct and as long as you think that handicapping has "rules" and that you can win from RESULT CHART data, they will remain that way with you in the 95% group.

You require a harsh taskmaster. But I am not so harsh that I don't answer your letters

even though you are not a client. By all means send some problem races with your pace line selections and REASONS for your choices spelled out. This is another privilege reserved for clients but we'll make an exception for you.

As for your question "would a program help me?" Yes, if you're willing to help yourself. I refuse to show you the kind of sympathy you seek from your statement about moving from Canada to RETIRE in Florida. You're about the same age as I. And, when an old dog is "willing to learn," it CAN be taught new tricks.

Best,

Sartin

NEXT, a letter from a client who is really "Trying" to comprehend the Methodology and has put in hundreds of study hours to prove it. If he relaxes a little and begins to handicap from a less mechanistic Frame of Reference, he will become a WINNER!

Dear Dr. Sartin

Since you accepted me as a client earlier this year, I have literally put a few hundred hours into the study of SMU II. I have grasped most of the readouts and factors, but two are giving me fits. I feel I have worked hard enough to ask for a modest amount of assistance.

I have learned that the SP screen always represents F1, F2, and F3 of the match-up, whether it is presented first or second, or whether 1 or 2 adjust is used. For the life of me I cannot figure out what the TP section of the EXDC screen represents when the 2 adjust is used. If I used a "0" adjust the TP screen represents total energy, but with 2 adjust, total energy is equalized. I am lost!

Is counter energy the SP screen or the second screen presented?

Also, what do the paradigms represent? It seems that my screens, my paradigms and my odds line rarely agree on a horse.

After the fall meet at Remington I will work a hundred or so races in California and put together some questions and call for a conference. In the mean time, a couple of answers would sure save some midnight oil!

It was good to hear you speak in OKC.

Thanks.

Dear _____,

Delighted for the chance to save you some midnight oil and give you all the assistance you may need.

In stating that you put a few hundred hours into the study of Synergetic Match Up II, you manifest a sound scientific mind set consistent with someone associated with engineering or some other precise field. You didn't mention anything about your USE of the program for which it was designed: to help you WIN races.

Yes, the SP screen does offer a visual look at the race by fractions as weighted INTERNALLY by either RAW or Auto Adjust. The first two screens remain static regardless of adjustment.

The TP (Total Pace screen) is weighted in accordance with the Match-Up of the horses YOU enter. When SP appears, the FINAL PORTION of the race gets its full weight RELATIVE to the 3rd fraction run by each horse in the Match Up.

The TWO adjust EQUALIZES Total Energy REGARDLESS of which screen appears. Think back to some of the books and articles you may have read over the years. How many times did they say that if ALL entrants had the exact same ability and were running on the same track, same day, same circumstances, racing would be easier to predict. The TWO adjust does this. It gives each horse the SAME Total Energy, based on the feet-per-second value of each fraction added together. In the case of SMU II, this total is divided by three. In Thoromation it is not. It is for this reason that the TWO adjust gets more LONG SHOTS when they DESERVE to be equalized with the other entrants.

By the same token, if you enter horses that DO NOT deserve to be equalized to the rest of the entrants, you get a false readout because of the old garbage in-garbage out concept. Certain tracks under certain conditions DEMAND a TWO adjust because of wide swings in daily variant caused by weather or whatever. After the TOTAL Energy is equalized, the program then favors the horses that would RUN BEST IF they were all of equal ability.

The EXDC screen is weighted in accordance with how each horse distributes its Energy. Since ALL horses distribute more Energy in the Early half of a race, the final fraction is weighted by the horse's Early Energy percentage.

NO PROGRAM can decide for you what is WIN or COUNTER ENERGY. This you must do from keeping RECORDS from the STOP ACTION screens themselves. It must be done by distance, surface and, to a small measure, class of RACE. If you find that most winners (and Show horses) are ranked high in EXDC and most place horses appear on SP then SP IS Counter Energy. The program itself indicates that the FIRST screen you see IS WIN Energy and the second, Counter Energy. But this is true ONLY when you've entered predictive lines for TRUE contenders. If you fine-tune your handicapping skills and enter such lines and horses, the program will usually do the job.

However there are MANY instances when circumstances dictate that tracks themselves, ALONG WITH the Match Up, have a marked influence in determining WIN and Counter Energy. ONLY you can make this distinction through spending some of those hours you speak of in keeping RECORDS from your readouts of which SCREEN or Screens Produce more winners, and which

more place horses. For some users, depending on skill and track "bias," the horse hitting the SECOND Stop Action Panel first is producing Place and/or in-the-money horses; and often, even the winner.

Keep your printouts of the Stop Action Screens and record their results. Above all, keep them CURRENT. Conditions are subject to change with little notice. If you keep current records you'll be way ahead of the crowd in noting changes in factors that produce Win, Place and Show.

The Paradigms represent in 1-2-3 order the horses who run the Fastest Early, Mid Race (Presser) and Late. If your Odds lines disagree all that much it is because the odds line changes according to the adjustment you use. A horse may be 3-2 on the ONE adjust but 40-1 on the TWO adjust. If you use AUTO to get your Paradigms then Auto should give you Odds Lines that conform to MOST other readouts. The same is true when using the 2 Adjust.

When Glen Connolly reads this answer in the FOLLOW UP he'll tear out the remains of his hair. He spent four hours detailing all this at the OKLAHOMA Seminar you attended. This is NOT an indictment of YOU. It is certainly not atypical of Seminar attendees to mis-hear, or mis-interpret what is said.

It is with YOUR best interests at heart that I say the following, so please don't let your feelings be hurt. I'm hearing a message from you indicating that you focus more on the mechanics of a program than on its potential performance. Think of a Botanist entering a beautiful forest. He studies the foliage of each tree, examining the leaves under a microscope, analyzing their chlorophyll and other chemical content. The Artist, on the other hand, takes his easel into the forest and paints it as a WHOLE, seeing the trees merely as components of the great and beautiful forest.

Try balancing the Botanist's scrutiny with the Artist's perception. Even Tom Ainslie, Dean of Handicapping literature and expert of experts, freely admits (in this issue) that he doesn't understand the mechanics of what makes the programs work. And, further, he doesn't care. Early on he wanted to examine the mechanics just as you have done. He abandoned that in favor of LETTING them work for his profit. He has the intellect of the botanist but the sensitivity of the artist.

There is danger of knowing or, thinking you know the algorithms of a program. You tend to second guess it based on your presumptions. When I say YOU, I mean it rhetorically. Twenty years of experience has proven to me that people who THINK they understand the nuts and bolts of a program let their tacit understanding stand in the way of their success. In short, ALL of our programs are designed to pick horses for PROFIT. We call this WINNING.

For centuries pundits have been "trying" to teach handicapping. They teach it all right, but very few actually LEARN it. Most Teachers feel that all they need do is teach and then smugly walk away, their job done and, if the student doesn't *get it*, the onus is on him/her.

In my philosophy the onus remains on the TEACHER, so please feel free to call upon Shane and/or myself when questions arise. But also please bear in mind that "working" hundreds of races tells you *less* than betting on ONE RACE.

Sincerely,
Sartin

MEET THE PRESS

HORSE RACING

Beginning in March, Santa Anita will hold 4-furlong races for 2-year-olds. This is a little like asking a 12-year-old to run the New York Marathon.

The horses must be a full 24 months old to run in the races, which on the surface seems a humane stipulation. But most thoroughbreds are born in April, May and June. If only a handful of horses are available to run, you can be sure those horses will be ready — or not, to wit — come race time.

If Santa Anita management needs any evidence of what early racing can do to a horse, perhaps it should take a peek at the charts for Churchill Downs' 5-furlong WHAS Stakes for 2-year-olds, held annually on Derby day. Past WHAS winners have included Z. Plasty, Twice Around and One That Got Away.

Never heard of them? We thought so.

Over the years we've had a lot of queries about handicapping four furlong races. There are two ways to do it. The easiest is with a hand held calculator. You have two calls, the first and final quarter mile. Just divide the number of feet per second in the quarter mile, 1320 by the seconds and tenths run by the horse. SUBTRACT ten feet for every length beaten. If it's beaten a length subtract 10 feet from 1320 feet. That's 1310 divided by the Pace of the Race: 22. That's $1310/22=59.54$ f-p-s. The final fraction is determined by subtracting the first call from the half mile time. Example: $22 - 45 = 23$, Now adjust for lengths beaten or gained as described above. It is also useful to utilize TRUE SPEED in these shorter races. The total length of a four furlong race is 2640 feet. Divide that by the number of seconds and TENTHS run by the horse. EXAMPLE $45:4 = 2640/45.8 = 57.64$. In these shorter races it's even OK to use the old fifth of a second per beaten length just in case the more finite math confuses you.

To work these races in a computer just enter the race as SIX furlongs. It doesn't matter what you use for the stretch or final time. Just enter the first and second calls as shown in the Form. Enter BL1 and BL2 and let your analysis end at the second call. In Thoromation and Synergetic Match Up look only at the Finish at THE SECOND PANEL and the TURN TIME Pie Chart. IN Quad Rater use only the LONG THICK BAR seen on the Auto or Auto S Adjust. You'll seldom use the Auto S at 4 furlongs.

Contrary to popular belief these races are mostly won by the best FINAL quarter mile time RELATIVE to first call time. So pay particular attention to that final quarter: the equivalent of Turn Time in all readouts.

DOC SARTIN

THE THOROMATION/ SYNERGETIC MATCH UP *SPOT PLAY FORM*

We introduced the SPOT PLAY Form at the 1995 New Jersey Workshop. The procedure for using the SPOT PLAY form appeared in a past issue of the Follow Up. The intent was to help users to solve the problem of "Which Adjustment do I use?" This has been the most universally asked question in all of handicapping since the turn of the century. The Spot Play concept urges those in doubt to wager more decisively on races where contenders on Thoromation's three "Horse picture" screens rank either one-two or two-one; and modified a bit for Synergetic Match-Up.

By giving an X each time a horse so appears one might end up with 0 X's, in which we recommend NO WAGER; 2 X'S a fairly safe bet, or THREE X's, a remarkably safe wager. Glen Connolly demonstrated the Spot Play plan with his usual thoroughness in New Jersey, Oklahoma and at the November Las Vegas conclave. For virtually everyone who has tried it success has ensued and the adjustment problem solved. In Las Vegas one client thought the X's meant he should scratch the horse from contention. The speakers must have been tuned to what Phil Gowens calls the person's non-cognizant ear. However, it all worked out after Glen explained the procedure for the sixth time.

One of the first to fill out his Spot Play Form was Tim Gagas wagering at New York's Belmont Park. Tim is living proof of my assertion that on a per capita basis, East Coast Handicappers do better with the Methodology than those from any other part of North America. By *per capita* I mean relative to the number of clients in a given area. The advantage is slight but it is there. Perhaps the reason behind it is environmental. Life is more dog eat dog in major East Coast cities so these clients have learned how to grab the brass ring when the opportunity arises. Oddly enough, our *least proficient*, en masse, clients come from the San Diego area which accurately boasts the most even, sunshine-filled weather in the U.S. or Canada. In the San Diego area clients are either extremely successful or woefully inept. Very few in-betweens. Can't be the water. Maybe too much sunshine.

At any rate when you look at Tim's report you'll see that TWO X's won all FIVE races in which they appeared and THREE X'S won all EIGHT of the races in which they ranked. Had Tim confined himself to double or triple X races he would have won them all. As it was he also wagered on some single X races for a total of 16 wins in 20 races.

His double and triple X wins paid an average of just under \$9. His single X wins paid an average of just over \$9. However when he confined his larger wagers to "Value" horses, he had FIVE double digit two or three X payoffs. The largest was \$21.40 (XXX). He also had one single X payoff of \$22. "Value" wagering is highly personal and often at major tracks when average mutuels are low, they are too infrequent and take away much of the enjoyment from going to the races. Maybe you and I don't go for enjoyment, but a lot of clients *do!*

I certainly don't expect all reports to be this good. I've lost a few double and triple X races and quite a number with only one X. In any 20 race cycle, however, confining myself to XX horses has produced a win proficiency of over 70%, with XXX producing right at 80%.

I emphasize again – that's wagering *only* on double or triple X races! It is a highly profitable technique, especially when one insists on minimum odds of five to two. Doing this, even on lower paying days, even if your average mutuel is lower than Tim's, for example just \$8, you'll make a profit.

2X times 70% win @ \$8 = \$56 for every \$40 bet. (Flat Bet Profit = 1.40) .3X times 80% win @ \$8 = \$64 for every \$40 bet. (Flat Bet profit = 1.60)

This is a worse case scenario scripted for those of you who wager at certain tracks where at times the average mutuels are quite low.

After we show you Tim's report we'll include another blank form. It also appeared in Follow Up #53. We've had a few reports back but not as many as we'd like to see. Since the XX'S are a key to both profit and problems of adjustments, I'm truly surprised that more of you haven't utilized it more fully.

My congratulations to Tim Gagas. He is one hell of a handicapper.

THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY

THOROMATION & SYNERGETIC MATCH UP II
Spot Play Form

TIM GAHAS
Bel - 1095

AUTOMATIC
ADJUSTMENT (1)

Stop Action Instant PREplay

Thoromation
1 2 3

EXDC Screen
1 2 3

SP Screen
1 2 3

SPOT PLAY

of X's, 1-2 or 2-1

1	(9) ^w 5 ^o 6 ^o	(9) ^w 5 ^o 6 ^o	(9) ^w 5 ^o 7 ^o	880	XXX
2	(3) ^w 6 ^o 9 ^o	(3) ^w 6 ^o 9 ^o	(3) ^w 6 ^o 6 ^o	460	XXV
3	(1) ^w 5 ^o 7 ^o	(1) ^w 5 ^o 7 ^o	(1) ^w 2 ^o 7 ^o	1370	XXX
4	(4) ^w 7 ^o 1 ^o	(4) ^w 7 ^o 6 ^o	(4) ^w 7 ^o 7 ^o	760	XXX
5	(A) ^w 9 ^o 5 ^o	5 ^o 9 ^o (A) ^w	(A) ^w 9 ^o 5 ^o	1300	XX
6	0 ^o 3 ^o (11) ^w 4 ^o	0 ^o 3 ^o 4 ^o (11) ^w	0 ^o 3 ^o 4 ^o (11) ^w	-	X 470
7	0 ^o 5 ^o (8) ^w 7 ^o	0 ^o 5 ^o (8) ^w 7 ^o	(8) ^w 0 ^o 5 ^o	1240	XXX
8	(4) ^w 6 ^o 2 ^o	(4) ^w 6 ^o 2 ^o	5 ^o 3 ^o 2 ^o	330	XX
9	0 ^o 6 ^o 10 ^o (7) ^w	0 ^o 6 ^o 10 ^o (7) ^w	6 ^o 10 ^o (7) ^w	X	0
10	0 ^o 8 ^o 2 ^o (4) ^w	0 ^o 8 ^o 2 ^o 6 ^o	20 (4) ^w 8 ^o	-	X- 490
11	(7) ^w 6 ^o 5 ^o	(7) ^w 6 ^o 5 ^o	9 4 6 ^o	380	XX
12	0 ^o 10 ^o (12) ^w (5) ^o	10 ^o (12) ^w 5 ^o	0 ^o 10 ^o 15 ^o	620	XX
13	0 ^o 10 ^o 20 ^o 30 ^o	20 ^o 10 ^o 30 ^o	3 ^o 20 ^o 10 ^o	X	0
14	(6) ^w 4 ^o 9 ^o	(6) ^w 4 ^o 9 ^o	(6) ^w 4 ^o 8 ^o	1240	XXV
15	3 ^o (8) ^w 7 ^o	(8) ^w 3 ^o 20 ^o	3 ^o (8) ^w 7 ^o	2140	XXX
16	(11) ^w 2 ^o 5 ^o	5 ^o (11) ^w 2 ^o	2 (11) ^w 5 ^o	280	XXX
17	0 ^o 4 ^o 3 ^o 6 ^o	0 ^o 4 ^o 3 ^o 5 ^o	0 ^o 4 ^o 6 ^o	X	0
18	6 ^o 20 ^o 30 ^o	20 ^o 5 ^o 30 ^o	1 ^o 6 ^o 30 ^o	X	0
19	6 ^o (10) ^w 10 ^o	10 ^o (10) ^w 5 ^o	6 ^o 10 ^o (10) ^w	340	XX
20	13 ^o 20 ^o 10 ^o	13 ^o 10 ^o 20 ^o	13 ^o (6) ^w 2 ^o	X	X 220

11310 11670

THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY

THOROMATION & SYNERGETIC MATCH UP II
Spot Play Form

	AUTOMATIC ADJUSTMENT (1)			Stop Action Instant PREplay			FOR SYNERGETIC MATCH UP II ONLY									
	Thoromation			EXDC Screen			SP Screen			SPOT PLAY			OTHER*			
	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	# of X's, 1-2 or 2-1	1	2	3	1	2	3
1																
2																
3																
4																
5																
6																
7																
8																
9																
10																
11																
12																
13																
14																
15																
16																
17																
18																
19																
20																

* Since Synergetic Match Up II has NO animated Thoromation Screen, use the readout that has proved to be MOST POTENT for you: Par E-P-S or MUV Range might be applicable. Whatever you do DON'T try to correlate MORE than THREE readouts. You'll defeat the entire purpose of this SPOT PLAY plan.

DOC SARTIN

ENDS & ODDS

Business

C-9
The PRESS-EXAMINER
Wednesday, October 25, 1983

Computer crime widespread, survey finds

Corporate America a prime target

By Brian S. Albre
The Associated Press

Near
reason
had to
employ
like to
"It's
Carter,
Michigan
who can
interview

The most common crimes and misdeeds reported in the survey were credit card fraud, telecommunications fraud, employee use of computers for personal reasons, unauthorized access to confidential files, and unlawful copying of copyrighted or licensed software.

What she has seen in ferreting out abuse of corporate computer systems. What we're seeing is it's such a riskier game," she said. "If you're computer literate, you can find ways to steal or get information without being caught." The problems with hackers breaking computers from outside a company are on the rise, most computer crimes are committed by staff and contractors, the survey found. "Users mistakenly believe hackers are the biggest threat because of the heavy coverage they have received," she said.

Please see CRIME, C-13

and unlawful copying of copyrighted or licensed software.

This is from a recent, more lengthy syndicated article that strongly indicates software piracy is NOT limited to purveyors of handicapping programs. The major difference between software and software FORMULAE piracy in other fields and in handicapping, is price.

In other areas the pirates charge LESS for the pirated software. Only in our field do they charge MORE! Hundreds, even up to a thousand dollars MORE! It appears that "horseplayers," believing in MAGIC are under the impression that MORE is BETTER: that the more a program costs the better it will be at "picking" winners and in-the-money horses. We have numerous testimonials to the contrary.

It seems that a few of our former clients, even current ones, bit on some high priced advertising and bought expensive Hi End programs and tried getting the "promised" results as advertised. Unfortunately for them, it didn't work out that way. To their dismay and diminished bank accounts, they received a hodge podge of complex readouts, some openly claiming to use what they called Sartin figures among a batch of many others, and wound up being more

confused than ever. It would appear that they were looking for a magical "bottom line" pick that would make them a fortune instead of costing one.

Many of these persons stopped even trying to use these miracle programs and went back to one of ours that they had before being led astray. On this subject, here is something that came over the BRIS user forum line in response to someone who complained that Sartin Programs alone didn't necessarily pick winners.

MESSAGE : 2061 DATE: 12-Oct-95 TIME: 10:58 PM
FROM : BULLDOG
SUBJECT : SARTIN

Sartin's programs do not pick winners!

The handicapper USES the program to help him pick winners.

He doesn't claim that his program gives you the winner of a race, and he goes to great lengths to show you how to use the different tools of the methodology to get you to the cashier.

If anyone thinks there is any program that you simply download a card, press a button and presto! There is the winner is really better off throwing his money out the window...he might actually wake up to that way of losing.

The most important part of handicapping is the mind game we all play with ourselves. Howard Sartin is not a mathematician, he is a psychologist. If you really want to improve your game, read his articles about this side of the handicapping world.

Programs are only tools. I could buy the best woodworking tools on the market, but I would still make sawdust!!

But a master cabinet maker, using a few hand tools, could make a work of art. Dont' expect to get out of this game more than you put into it.

End of Message.

I don't know who BULLDOG is: BRIS users all have code names. Yet, I thank him for his comprehension and his attempt to put the attitudes of others into proper perspective. Computer programs don't win races, *users* do! That's the essence of Bulldog's message; identical with the message I have always conveyed. His comment about my not being a mathematician was more true in 1982. Since then, through diligent study, I've apparently been proved a better mathematician than those pirating my formulae. I'm especially good with the mathematics of Chaos physics which is more important than the kind of math I flunked so often in school. Even then, had I known that mathematics had a practical application that would help me make money through handicapping, I might have received A's.

Bulldog is absolutely correct: successful handicapping is at least 75% psychological and 25% method. There are a lot of people using code names on the BRIS and other mass communication info lines, who refuse to accept this fact.

BRIS users are not all unaware of reality. Here is another message, this time from code name BEAR, who I could also give a bear hug:

MESSAGE : 2045 DATE: 10-Oct-95 TIME: 02:54 PM
FROM : BEAR
SUBJECT : S/W VS USERS

I wish to say a great big "THANK YOU" & express my eternal gratitude to all those who have failed to reach a professional level with any branch of the Sartin Methodology. Why? Because the damn average mutuels don't need to drop any further... Oh, and thanks for your money, too!

End of Message.

This is BEAR'S version of what I tell people who say that the Sartin Methodology "doesn't work." I say to them: "Tell me what track you play, (with the emphasis on PLAY), and I'll go there and use the Methodology to take all that money you're losing." For years I was under the impression, as was Spencer Toner, that with the advance of the Information Age, the proliferation of less expensive but more powerful computers and, especially, with the mass communication networks now in place, that handicappers would begin to enjoy greater success. With a few exceptions, the reverse has been true. Communication sciences have advanced but, for the most part, "HorsePLAYERS" using them have *not*!

Nobody I know is more eloquently vocal about this than Tom Ainslie. He spends a lot of time at tracks and off-site wagering sites observing the competition. He feels strongly that today's bettors are less aware of handicapping realities than those he encountered when he first began writing in our field back in the pre-information age 1960's. It is the venerable Dean's opinion that now a lot of would-be handicappers have stopped thinking and analyzing races and are just accepting "numbers," more often than not, from sources they don't even know personally. Dangerous stuff, he says. This is the equivalent to walking up to a stranger at a track and asking: "who do you like?" "Horseplayers" have been doing that, both with strangers and non-winners, for generations. I guess it will go on forever.

We are most proud to have Tom Ainslie as a working member of our group. He has been the keynote speaker at a number of our seminars. His remarks at our 1995 New Jersey Workshop were particularly pertinent. We got out the audio tape of some of his remarks Here's how it went:

*Excerpted from Tom Ainslie's Keynote speech
New Jersey Handicapping Conclave, July 1995*

"I have been a member and definitely an enthusiast of Howard Sartin, along with Jim Bradshaw, Glen Connolly and the rest of the teaching group since 1993, which isn't all that long ago. I met Howard at that handicapping festival, Expo '93.

I was a pretty good horseplayer for many years and still am. I've been playing the horses for going on 50 years now. But, I never came close to being as good as I am now since I got my feet wet in the Sartin Methodology in 1993.

I was always a conservative handicapper. Or, perhaps I should say, a conservative bettor. I used to adhere very carefully to the old maxim that no decent handicapper plays all nine or ten races on the card each day. I picked my spots and tread softly. I would regard it as a very busy day. I went out to Aqueduct or Belmont and made four bets. And *now*, (laughing) it is not unusual for me to make seven or eight bets on a card. And, it's not unusual for me to win five or six of those bets. That's what the Methodology has done for me.

I'm still a little ignoramus about the why of all this. But Doc says, 'don't worry about my language or the abbreviations in the Methodology, just use it and win.' Well, I couldn't avoid wondering what all those terms and abbreviations meant but I finally gave up on it. I just plodded through to the end of the (computer) program..I use Quad-Rater and EXDC Thoromation. I just kept banging on until the final answer came up. IT WORKS! They both work. The two programs complement each other. I think what these programs have done for me, and I assume any other thoughtful handicapper, is they differentiate among contenders. They make distinctions that are finer than any I was ever able to make for myself. As I suppose you all know, they make these distinctions in terms of Energy Expenditure. They compare the Pace of the Horse to the Pace of The Race in which it runs and then against what today's pace is likely to be, showing which horses are most likely to come in under these circumstances. This is great, great stuff.

I was billed on the announcement for this workshop as the one who would talk about bridging the gap between Mainstream Handicapping and the Sartin Methodology and these programs I've been bragging about. That's what I'll try now to do. First of all, when I think of Mainstream Handicapping, I think of *good* handicapping. I don't know how mainstream this is. It is certainly not a majority phenomenon. It is certainly NOT what I find in any season's crop of books on how to play the races. Some beauts have come out in the last couple of years that contain some stuff that could absolutely poison your mind and ruin your handicapping.

This sort of goes along with the sense of moral values and ethics that Howard refers to as belonging to a "bunch of hucksters." They'll sell anything anybody will try. This includes things published in hardcover book that shouldn't be. If we say Mainstream handicapping is GOOD handicapping, then it is rare. It's unusual. But it's within the reach of all you people. Good handicapping will fortify your ability to select contenders; tell you what horses belong in your computations and which pacelines to use in the process.

NO computer program, including these brilliant Sartin ones, can tell in advance how the track will run today; what the weather will be. This time of year (Summer) on the East Coast, as in most other parts of the country, tracks tend to be uniform from day to day, in between rainstorms, that is. (laughter). We must be aware of

what happens day by day by keeping careful record of how individual horses respond to different track conditions. To current Bias. Does it favor Early pace horses, Pressers, Sustained or even Late? Is it something that happens quite frequently or not. You must be able to make such determinations. Keeping records will help you tremendously in this regard. Knowing when there is a bias; when the track has a little 'glitch' in it, or whether it will run normally with no particular bias.

With your records in hand, certainly Quad-Rater and/or EXDC Thoromation, will determine which horses are the BEST under what circumstances, conditions or bias. So, when looking for pacelines you look for horses whose running patterns fit today's Pace under today's conditions.

I'll say it again, Mainstream Handicapping is GOOD Handicapping. The Sartin Methodology is GOOD handicapping; or as Doc says, WINNING handicapping. So Howard can stop worrying about bridging the gap between the Methodology and the Mainstream. Today's Sartin Methodology IS the Mainstream."

So endeth the gospel according to Saint Tom. A most flattering speech. While it's nice to hear that it's THEM who are crazy and not I, it will take me some time to get used to being the new Mainstream. I most enjoyed his comments on the current season's crop of handicapping hardcover books that could poison you.

This was only an excerpt of the highlights of Ainslie's address. In the full text, he went into a lot more detail on good handicapping. The Dean was especially emphatic, both in this speech and in personal communication, about the fact that we must ALL become MORE COMPLETE HANDICAPPERS! He cited that using a static formula for selecting contenders and pacelines could be a dangerous and deceptive practice; that complete ignorance of the influence of certain trainers, jockeys, post position and other aspects of bias were not compatible with consistent success. And, finally, as Ernest Hemingway advised all young writers, "especially the weather—."

I trust you have ALL read the latest revision of *Ainslie's Complete Guide to Thoroughbred Racing* (1982). For those who have asked me about a good sourcebook on Harness Racing, I recommend *Ainslie's Complete Guide to Harness Racing*.

One of the more brilliant statements ever made by James Quinn was, that horseplayers are the *least* knowledgeable and *most* under-educated about their subject than fans of any other sport. This from a man who is now working on his 11th handicapping book. It would seem that, beginning way back with Ray Taulbot and continuing with the more erudite and literate

works of Ainslie, handicappers should be more knowledgeable. Throw in books by Mahl, Quirin, Quinn, Davidowitz, Scott, Mitchell and Tom Brohamer, not even mentioning myself, and you have MORE written information about horse racing than any other "sport," if that's what you wish to call it.

Granted, a lot of handicapping works are myth-laden, but so is much of the material written about sports betting. Ainslie's biggest gripe is that "Horseplayers," past and present, cannot separate the wheat from the chaff. Or to be more crude: The truth from the B.S.

BULLDOG and BEAR understand it. So do many of you. But you just can't afford to relax and turn off the analytic, intuitive powers of your mind and leave it up to "Magical" devices to pick your winners. The human mind is still the best computer and the power of the written and spoken word the best communications system. I just saw an interview with a noted educator on PBS. She said that by the year two thousand, two thirds of school age and up Americans will be functionally illiterate! That means they won't be able to read complete sentences, even in a newspaper or magazine. They'll just be able to pick out a few words here and there. Almost half of our population suffers from this dysfunction now. When dealing with the public at large, I've often thought that those in *our* field constituted a goodly part of that number. I'm incorrect. The plague is pandemic.

PLEASE, don't allow yourself be a part of this dreadful statistic.

MESSAGE FOR: HOWARD

FROM: D JILLI DOWNS DATE: 9/26/95
 OF: SAN FRANCISCO TIME: AM PM

MESSAGE: THANKS FOR sticking w/him
he can now isolate HOT
FACTORS properly - IS NOW
*spreadsheet EXT: 13 Wms
 PHONE () Geeks MAY call him BY: out of 16

Phoned you
 Please call
 Will call again
 Returned your call
 Came to see you
 Wants to see you
 URGENT



Anatomy of Some Problem Races

LET'S GO TO THE RACES WITH DOC & SHANE

USING NEW PROGRAMS AND THOROMATION 2A UPDATE

We'll show Past Performance Charts in only a few of these races. The reason being that we ALWAYS use the same criteria for picking contenders and pace lines. This almost invariably gives us the same lines as those recommended by all versions of "The Hat's" AODDS and XTOR. Using the top 4 and ties Daily Racing Form SR+V as contenders, and the best of the last 3 SR+V at a COMPARABLE, distance, surface (for win only) and relative class.

This procedure may be considered less than optimal handicapping to those "expert" enough to win with a more sophisticated technique, but it has been the salvation of many clients who were previously perplexed in the areas of contender/pace line selection.

You will seldom, if ever, see a paceline chosen from a line more than four races back. When such a line is chosen it's because one or more of the last three was at a DIFFERENT distance or surface.

A lot of clients misinterpret the meaning of "Surface." It refers not ONLY to dirt or turf but also the surface of a DIFFERENT track.

With that out of the way we'll peruse a bevy of races done from a variety of programs in order to help those of you who have not yet settled on a winning procedure to decide which one best suits your personality and comprehension. For those seeking a program beyond the one they now have, it will be an adventure of exploration.

LET'S GO TO THE RACES....

USING THOMATION IIA'S
NEW OMNI-JECT AND
EQUA-JUST.

8

Santa Anita Park

1 MILE. (Turf). (1:32³) ALLOWANCE. Purse \$49,000. 3-year-olds and upward which are non-winners of \$3,000 three times other than maiden or claiming, or have never won four races. Weights: 3-year-olds, 119 lbs. Older, 121 lbs. Non-winners of two such races at one mile or over since August 15, allowed 3 lbs. Of such a race since September 1, 5 lbs. (Horses eligible only to the above conditions are preferred.) (Rail at 30 feet.)



Coupled - Miami Sands (Ire) and Silver Kite

Duplicity (Ire)

Ow: Caban & Linton Tot & Red Barn's St

DELAHOUSAYE E (M3 M 16 16)

26Oct95-3SA fst 1 1/2 @ :22 :47 1:12 1:31 3/4 Ahw 4900N2x
29Jly95-7Dmr fm 1 1/2 @ :24 :48 1:12 1:33 3/4 Clm 9000B
9Jly95-1Hof fm 1 @ :22 :45 1:08 1:34 Clm 8000B
21Jan95-3Hof fm 1 1/4 @ :27 :52 1:12 1:34 1/2 Clm 8000B

Awarded first purse money

12May95-2Hof fm 1 1/2 @ :23 :46 1:04 1:34 1/2 Clm 6200B
22Apr95-1SA fst 7 @ :22 :45 1:04 1:22 Clm 6200B
12Apr95-5SA fm 1 1/4 @ :22 :44 1:07 1:29 Clm 6200B
20Feb95-5SA fm 1 1/4 @ :21 :44 1:04 1:13 Ahw 5300N2x
30Nov94-2Hof fm 5/8 @ :21 :44 1:04 1:13 Clm 8000B
28Oct94-Newbury (GB) yf 6f @ Sir 1:42 3/4 Gardner-Merchaunt Rated Hcp
Tr: Leonard Holt Hcp 2250B

B. h. 7
Sire: Double Schwartz (Double Farm)
Dam: Gairin (Lynnway)
Br: Gairin K (Ire)
Tr: Vienna Durrell (19 2 3 2 11)

L 116

93 4 1 3 3 4 4 5 4 2 1 Delahoussaye E LB 117 1.30 78-21
89 5 2 2 2 1 2 4 3 6 4 Delahoussaye E LB 117 1.23 82-11
100 3 5 4 4 4 4 3 1 2 Delahoussaye E LB 116 1.49 91-17
98 2 4 3 7 2 4 1 3 12 Nakatani C S LB 115 1.50 81-18
95 5 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 Nakatani C S LB 116 1.40 85-12
89 6 5 5 4 5 4 4 3 2 McCarron C J LB 116 1.50 85-11
95 7 4 8 4 7 4 5 4 2 1 Delahoussaye E LB 116 1.30 89-10
83 2 8 6 4 5 4 4 5 4 McCarron C J LB 116 1.19 85-07
85 3 6 6 7 6 4 5 4 2 4 McCarron C J B 117 28.40 87-04
8 2 4 3 1 2 4 1 1 1 McGlone A B 118 28.00

Lifetime Record:		45	5	10	3	\$183,804
1995	8 2 3 3	\$95,475	Turf	43	5	9 2 \$172,954
1994	10 1 3 0	\$38,332	Wet	0	0	0 0
SA	2 0 1 0	\$10,725	Dist	1	1	0 0 \$29,150

Cezind1191 Duplicity1173 Swank1171 Finished well 6
Lissitki1173 Bird Brown1174 Varadavou1174 Stalked, weakened 7
Duplicity1162 Kingdom Of Spain1161 Palmdale1154 Clearly best 6
Palmdale1162 Duplicity1152 Campanology1164 Led, caught late 5
Service Ace1160 Duplicity1162 Sharp Words1161 Game, caught on wire 10
Bucking Bird1154 Cantua Creek1164 Duplicity1161 Late bid 8
Silver Ray1171 Duplicity1164 With A Passion1171 Finished well 8
Typhoon1164 Turkish Blazer1164 Nicer1154 Evenly 9
Gotta Groove1194 Duplicity1173 Crack Regiment1173 Late for 2nd 6
Branton Abby1224 Double Blue1171 New Capricorn1204 15

WORKOUTS: Oct 21 SA 5f ft :1:22 H 2/26 Oct 15 SA 5f ft :1:24 H 1/2 Oct 9 SA 5f ft :1:13 H 1/2 Oct 4 SA 5f ft :1:30 H 1/1 Sep 23 SA 5f ft :1:02 H 1/1 Sep 23 SA 5f ft :1:37 H 1/2

One Thousand Fine

Ow: Mc Caffery & Toffan

NAKATANI C S (M2 32 25 21 23)

11Oct95-3SA fst 1 :21 :40 1:12 1:37 3/4 Ahw 4000N2x
8Sept95-8Dmr fst 1 :22 :45 1:10 1:34 3/4 Ahw 5700N2x
20Jly95-10Dmr fst 1 1/2 @ :22 :45 1:10 1:32 3/4 Ahw 5000N2x
16Jly95-1Hof fst 6f @ :21 :44 :52 1:09 1:31 Ahw 5000N2x
2Apr95-5SA fst 6f @ :22 :44 1:04 1:32 Ahw 4700N2x

Placed 4th through disqualification.

21Jan94-5SA fst 1 1/2 @ :21 :40 1:04 1:32 Ahw 4000N2x
7Jan94-6SA fst 1 :21 :42 1:04 1:33 Ahw 4000N2x
14Nov93-7SA fst 1 :22 :45 1:04 1:34 3/4 Ahw 3800N2x
23Oct93-7SA fst 1 1/2 @ :21 :40 1:04 1:31 Ahw 3400N1x
6Oct93-5SA fst 6f @ :21 :44 1:01 1:34 3/4 Ahw 3200N1x

Ch. h. 5
Sire: Forty Niner (Mr. Prospector)
Dam: Leon (Acceptor)
Br: Claiborne Farm & Thompson Robert B (Ky)
Tr: Gonzalez J Pace (15 8 4 2 30)

L 116

91 4 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 Nakatani C S LB 117 1.30 84-21
84 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 9 Nakatani C S LB 117 2.40 87-04
90 4 4 3 4 2 1 2 1 2 Nakatani C S LB 117 1.10 90-12
90 3 5 5 4 5 4 4 3 3 Nakatani C S B 116 1.58 91-08
85 6 3 4 2 3 4 5 4 5 Antley C W B 115 7.50 90-12
97 3 4 4 4 2 1 2 1 2 3 McCarron C J B 115 2.50 87-16
92 7 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 3 4 Delahoussaye E B 116 2.70 90-11
98 1 4 3 3 2 4 2 2 2 Delahoussaye E B 116 6.40 95-09
94 2 5 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 Delahoussaye E B 117 1.30 89-10
98 6 5 4 4 4 4 3 1 2 Delahoussaye E B 118 2.50 95-10

Lifetime Record:		15	3	4	4	\$126,100
1995	5 1 1 1	\$49,000	Turf	1	0	0 0 \$900
1994	2 0 1 1	\$15,400	Wet	0	0	0 0 0
SA	0 0 0 0		Dist	0	0	0 0 0

RegRowdy1174 OneThousandFine1174 SnsEcocide1174 - Bid, outfinished 5
Geenger Man1174 Trumpet Solo1173 Sir Gilley1194 Rail to lane 5
One Thousand Fine1174 Elmhurst1174 Set On Cruise1174 Gamely 6
Robataille1144 Rocky T.1144 One Thousand Fine1154 Bit wide into lane 6
Copelan's Eagle1154 D'Avokel1154 Desert Act1173 Steadied 1/8 8
Justtofit1174 One Thousand Fine1154 Turkish Blazer1174 Game try 9
Mojava Gold1164 Quintana1164 One Thousand Fine1161 Good effort 10
Stuka1164 One Thousand Fine1174 Man At War1174 Inside trip 10
OneThousandFin1174 ConstCraving1204 I'mChekin'Out1174 Split rivals 7
Man At War1214 One Thousand Fine1184 Sir Hulch1185 Second best 10

Juiceberry

Ow: Headley & Kordick & Roche Rio Hoods

SOLIS A (M1 23 19 25 15)

14Oct95-3SA fst 6f @ :21 :44 1:02 1:16 3/4 Ahw 4000N2x
30Aug95-7Dmr fst 7 @ :22 :45 1:01 1:21 3/4 Ahw 4700N2x
23Apr95-5SA fm 1 @ :23 :47 1:14 1:31 Ahw 4600N1x
5Apr95-6SA fst 6f @ :21 :44 1:00 1:19 Mid Sp Wt
19Feb95-2SA fst 6f @ :22 :45 1:01 1:19 Mid Sp Wt
8Jan94-2SA fst 1 1/2 @ :23 :46 1:02 1:24 Mid Sp Wt
20Nov93-1Hof fst 7f @ :22 :44 1:04 1:21 3/4 Mid Sp Wt
21Oct93-6SA fst 6f @ :21 :44 1:02 1:16 3/4 Mid Sp Wt

Broke in, bumped, lugged out, eased

7Oct93-6SA fst 6f @ :21 :44 1:04 1:24 Mid Sp Wt
12Sept93-5Dmr fst 6f @ :21 :44 1:01 1:18 3/4 Mid Sp Wt

Ch. h. 9 5
Sire: Donemurry (Matadon)
Dam: Sugarberry (King's Bishop)
Br: James Brantson C (Ky)
Tr: Henry Bruce (17 4 4 2 24)

L 116

94 7 6 6 4 4 4 4 1 1 4 Solis A LB 117 5.00 92-11
60 6 9 7 4 7 4 4 4 3 1 Solis A LB 117 6.10 76-13
94 5 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 Solis A LB 117 4.30 81-17
94 7 1 5 5 4 4 2 1 1 Solis A B 120 4.90 94-10
63 1 4 5 4 4 4 4 4 1 1 Solis A B 120 4.50 78-11
75 2 4 3 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 Solis A B 119 b 5.70 71-15
70 7 4 4 2 3 3 4 4 1 1 Solis A B 119 b 1.90 85-04
- 7 8 8 7 10 1 1 1 1 - Solis A B 117 3.70 - 14

Lifetime Record:		15	3	2	2	\$96,075
1995	5 3 0 0	\$70,425	Turf	1	1	0 0 \$25,300
1994	1 0 0 0	\$850	Wet	0	0	0 0 0
SA	1 1 0 0	\$25,300	Dist	1	1	0 0 \$25,300

Juiceberry1174 Summer At Saratoga1204 Ocean Orbit1171 Gamely 7
Raised State1171 Master Runner1194 Ocean Orbit1171 Stumbled start 8
Juiceberry1174 Archangel1204 Nevada Range1174 Cleared late 8
Juiceberry1201 ForeignRscu1204 DoctorWondrful1204 Steady handling 8
Elmhurst1204 Slew A Few1201 Intuition1194 Stumbled start 7
SlewSupreme1194 ShredProspect1194 Recommendation1194 Rank early 8
Turble1194 Wooden Ticket1194 Water Prospector1164 Steadied 4 1/2 10
Getting Enough1124 Foreign Merger1204 Crimson Leaf1201 11
Giant Asset1174 Shared Prospect1171 Juiceberry1174 Finished well 9
Sir Hulch1184 Juiceberry1184 Desert Habitat1161 In tight 1/2, 1/8 12

WORKOUTS: Nov 6 SA 3f ft :3:02 H 2/20 Oct 31 SA 5f ft :5:74 H 2/21 Oct 25 SA 5f ft :1:00 H 4/2 Oct 11 SA 3f ft :3:11 H 1/21 Oct 5 SA 3f ft :3:67 H 2/24 Sep 23 SA 5f ft :5:41 H 1/2

Palmdale

Ow: Anderson Robert B

ALMEIDA G F (M7 15 11 H 14)

10Oct95-7Fpx fst 1 1/4 @ :43 :1:12 1:41 2:18 1/4 Hcp 2500B
14Sept95-8Fpx fst 1 1/4 @ :42 :1:12 1:37 1:54 3/4 Ahw 1250B
13Aug95-5Dmr fm 1 1/2 @ :24 :48 1:11 1:41 3/4 Clm 7000
21Jly95-8Hof fm 1 1/4 @ :27 :51 1:12 1:45 3/4 Ahw 1500B
9Jly95-1Hof fm 1 @ :22 :45 1:05 1:34 Clm 8000B

Disqualified from purse

21Jan95-3Hof fm 1 1/4 @ :27 :51 1:12 1:34 Clm 8000B
Disqualified from purse money
29May95-7Hof fm 1 1/2 @ :23 :46 1:04 1:40 Clm 8000B
10May95-8Hof fm 1 @ :23 :46 1:04 1:34 Ahw 5400N2x
22Apr95-3SA fst 1 :24 :48 1:12 1:36 Ahw 5700N2x
22Mar95-2SA fst 1 1/4 @ :41 :1:12 1:37 1:49 Ahw 4750N2x

B. h. 7
Sire: Dance Kid (Northern Dancer)
Dam: Curvo (Ruckpasser)
Br: Creek Investment Co (Ky)
Tr: Carin Vladimiro (25 6 3 1 24)

L 116

93 5 5 5 4 4 4 1 1 1 Almeida G F L 120 f 1.90 92-06
98 5 5 4 4 3 1 1 1 1 Almeida G F L 113 f 2.10 94-11
74 6 6 5 6 7 3 6 1 2 2 McCarron C J L 114 f 7.70 83-05
84 4 4 6 12 6 4 7 2 5 Valenzuela F H L 119 f 1.50 77-13
92 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Valenzuela F H L 119 f 4.10 88-17
100 4 4 5 4 4 4 4 1 1 Valenzuela F H L 116 f 6.30 82-18
89 3 7 3 4 4 5 4 7 4 Valenzuela F H L 116 f 4.70 86-10
88 8 2 2 2 4 4 6 4 8 Valenzuela F H L 117 f 4.40 88-06
95 3 1 3 3 3 2 1 2 1 Valenzuela P A L 117 f 2.50 88-18
88 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 Valenzuela F H L 116 f 1.40 85-19

Lifetime Record:		75	15	13	11	\$405,473
1995	13 5 1 0	\$137,200	Turf	15	5	0 0 \$132,925
1994	16 5 2 2	\$128,283	Wet	1	0	0 0 \$1,125
SA	4 3 0 0	\$80,300	Dist	5	1	0 0 \$30,800

Palmdale1204 Welcome Day1162 Judgement Maker1193 Gamely 6
Palmdale1174 Truly A Pleasure1214 Jaltigan1082 Handily 8
Typhoon1174 Dourbadakan1174 Turkish Blazer1174 Wide into lane 8
Ofuscador1194 Moondust1191 Known Lover1154 No late bid 8
Duplicity1162 Kingdom Of Spain1161 Palmdale1154 Late for 3rd 6
Palmdale1164 Duplicity1152 Campanology1164 Closed gamely 5
Turkish Blazer1162 Ocean Orbit1164 Siebe1164 Saved ground 11
Sharman1152 Geenger Man1154 Saltgrass1151 Weakened 8
Straight To Bed1151 Palmdale1174 Duc D'Iligovill1154 Bid, outfinished 5
Palmdale1162 Garrick1164 Hot Number1164 Dueled all the way 4

WORKOUTS: Oct 28 SA 5f ft :1:42 H 2/49 Oct 22 SA 5f ft :5:28 H 2/46 Oct 15 SA 3f ft :3:74 H 3/19 Sep 7 Dmr 6f ft :1:41 H 5/17 Aug 30 Dmr 4f ft :5:04 H 5/35

Lynton

Ow: Judgement Farms

ANTLEY 6W (20 4 2 1 20)

11Oct95-35A	fst 1	2:21	4:09	1:22	1:32	31	Alw 4000m2x
8Sep95-30m	fst 1	2:24	4:04	1:10	1:34	34	Alw 5700m2x
3Jun95-70L	fm 1	2:24	4:17	1:30	1:33		Alw 5400m2x
14Apr95-55A	fm 1	2:24	4:01	1:10	1:32		Alw 5700m2x
19Feb95-75A	fm 1	2:14	4:04	1:24	1:41		Alw 4700m2x
20Nov93-4	MrselleRty(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	31 Grand Prix de Marseille (Listed) Slk 71700
24Oct93-4	Longchamp(Fr)	sf	1	1	1	1	31 Prix du Ranelagh (Listed) Slk 35700
24Jul93-4	Evry(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	31 Prix Daphnis-G3 Slk 37700
2Jul93-4	Longchamp(Fr)	by	1	1	1	1	31 Prix de Saint-Patrick (Listed) Slk 36800
9Jun93-4	Evry(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	31 Prix Laine Alw 30800

B. h. 5

Sire: Lythard (Northern Dancer)
 Dam: Harwood (Blushing Groom (Fr))
 Br: Judgement Farms Inc (Ky)
 Tr: Frankie Robert (17 1 5 3 26)

L 116

Lifetime Record:		12	3	1	2	\$85,425
1995	5	1	0	0		\$33,900
1993	7	2	1	2		\$51,625
SA	2	1	0	0		\$25,850
Turf	10	3	1	2		\$77,475
Wet	0	0	0	0		
Dist	7	2	1	2		\$51,625

WORKOUTS: Nov 3 Hol 61 fct 1:501 H 3/71 Oct 29 Hol 51 fct 1:514 H 17/20 Oct 22 Hol 41 fct 1:404 H 14/20 Oct 8 Hol 51 fct 1:422 H 12/20 Oct 2 Hol 51 fct 1:401 H 2/24 Sep 24 Hol 51 fct 1:314 H 13/22

Battle Wise (Fr)

Ow: Sable & Robinson & Tann Elcot

DESORMEAUX K J (18 21 19 18 18)

6Oct95-75A	fst 1 1/2	2:43	4:39	1:34	1:47	31	Alw 4300m2x
13Sep95-70m	fm 1	2:21	4:02	1:30	1:34	31	Live Th Dream HGG
19Aug95-70m	fm 1 1/2	2:46	4:11	1:32	1:45	34	Alw 5000m2x
15Feb95-75A	fm 1	2:14	4:01	1:13	1:37		Alw 4600m2x
30Apr94-4	Deauville(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	41 Pr Conseil General du Calvados Hcp 50200
2Aug94-4	Deauville(Fr)	yl	1	1	1	1	41 Prix des Chevettes Hcp 50800
3Jul94-4	Evry(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	41 Prix Jean Gillots Hcp 50900
15Jun94-4	Longchamp(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	31 Prix du Bel Air Hcp 50400
30Apr94-4	Saint-Cloud(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	24 Prix de Colleville Hcp 22800
18Apr94-4	Toulouse(Fr)	gd	1	1	1	1	52 Prix Aymari de Mauleon (Listed) Slk 25800

B. h. 4

Sire: Kahlum (Cave)
 Dam: Badyala (Green Dancer)
 Br: Bryant M B & Zouira Bahwell & Hammerfield Bloodstock Ltd (Fr)
 Tr: James Gary (23 4 2 6 17)

L 116

Lifetime Record:		12	2	3	1	\$80,555
1995	4	1	0	0		\$34,650
1994	8	1	3	1		\$45,905
SA	1	1	0	0		\$25,300
Turf	11	2	3	1		\$77,330
Wet	0	0	0	0		
Dist	10	2	3	1		\$76,080

WORKOUTS: Nov 4 SA 71 fct 1:261 H 2/5 Oct 30 SA 51 fct 1:301 H 4/9 Oct 24 SA 71 fct 1:253 H 1/2 Oct 19 SA 51 fct 1:313 H 15/45 Oct 1 SA 51 fct 1:121 B 4/22 Sep 26 SA 51 fct 1:003 B 11/40

Miami Sands (Ire)

Ow: Paul Henry

BLANC H (18 12 11 11 10)

21May94-80C	fm 1 1/2	2:44	4:51	1:34	1:57	31	Verbal Beena-G3
11Apr94-80L	fm 1 1/2	2:31	4:04	1:10	1:41	31	Wishaire H-G2
10Apr94-85A	fm 1 1/2	2:47	4:14	1:16	1:36	28	Sata Barbra H-G1
19Mar94-85A	yl 1 1/2	2:51	4:19	1:30	1:51		Santa Ana H-G1
17Feb94-85A	fm 1	2:21	4:03	1:21	1:31		Alw 5000m2x
11Nov93-85A	fm 1 1/2	2:58	4:34	1:30	1:54	31	Yf Rba Lm-G1
30Oct93-85A	yl 1 1/2	2:44	4:13	1:10	1:33		Alw Elab H-G1
11Oct93-75A	fm 1	2:22	4:07	1:19	1:34		Alw 3000m2x
22Aug93-50m	fm 1 1/2	2:57	4:19	1:32	1:48		Om Oaks-G2
1Aug93-50m	fm 1	2:21	4:06	1:10	1:34		San Claret HGG

B. h. 5

Sire: Bls-Mans-Man (Polaris)
 Dam: Madona De Grey (Fr) (Whisper)
 Br: Holmwood Estate (Ire)
 Tr: Cross Richard J (4 1 0 0 28)

L 108⁵

Lifetime Record:		14	3	5	2	\$287,764
1994	5	0	2	1		\$72,250
1993	7	2	2	1		\$118,980
SA	5	1	0	0		\$58,150
Turf	13	3	5	2		\$207,764
Wet	0	0	0	0		
Dist	4	1	2	0		\$51,410

WORKOUTS: Nov 4 SA 71 fct 1:271 H 2/7 Oct 30 SA 71 fct 1:241 H 1/4 Oct 25 SA 51 fct 1:201 H 4/2 Oct 19 SA 51 fct 1:181 H 11/45 Oct 5 SA 51 fct 1:121 H 1/2 Sep 11 Nov 31 fct 1:321 H 4/27

Swank

Ow: Agnes Bae J

MCCARRON C J (18 15 15 12 20)

26Oct95-25A	fst 1 1/2	2:22	4:01	1:19	1:41	31	Alw 4000m2x
10Oct95-11Fst	fst 1 1/2	2:24	4:13	1:23	1:38	31	Pennon Lev LH 200k
13Sep95-70m	fm 1	2:21	4:04	1:10	1:34	31	Live Th Dream HGG
24Aug95-30m	fst 1 1/2	2:24	4:07	1:19	1:31	31	Alw 3000m2x
15Jul95-5AP	fst 1	2:21	4:03	1:09	1:29	31	Equipeuse H-G3
2Jul95-40D	fst 1 1/2	2:24	4:10	1:21	1:34	31	Alw 3000m2x
16Jun95-90D	fst 1 1/2	2:21	4:03	1:10	1:31	31	Alw 4300m2x
19May95-90D	fst 1 1/2	2:22	4:07	1:10	1:34	31	Alw 3000m2x
23Apr95-8Kee	st 7	2:22	4:04	1:11	1:29		Alw 3450m2x
10Apr95-85A	fm 1 1/2	2:21	4:01	1:09	1:29	31	Alw 4000m2x

B. h. 4

Sire: Topidar (Northern Dancer)
 Dam: Saxon (Majestic Prince)
 Br: Challenge Farm (Ky)
 Tr: Panning Jerry (28 2 0 4 20)

L 116

Lifetime Record:		22	3	5	5	\$168,530
1995	12	2	1	3		\$102,400
1994	9	1	4	2		\$63,200
SA	4	0	1	2		\$24,100
Turf	9	1	4	2		\$108,000
Wet	2	1	0	0		\$23,000
Dist	3	0	1	0		\$10,250

Sir Gilley

Ow: Carter & Glenn & Neer

BLACK CA (17 16 17 21 34)

8Sep95-80m	fst 1	2:24	4:04	1:10	1:34	31	Alw 5700m2x
14Aug95-80m	fst 1	2:21	4:03	1:09	1:33	31	Alw 5000m2x
12Jul95-70L	fst 1 1/2	2:21	4:04	1:10	1:34	31	Alw 5000m2x
21May95-75A	fst 1	2:22	4:06	1:10	1:30		Alw 5000m2x
25Jan95-75A	st 7	2:21	4:01	1:10	1:30		Clm 2200
20Dec94-75A	st 7	2:22	4:04	1:10	1:34	31	Clm 2000
7Nov94-85A	fst 6	2:21	4:02	1:09	1:31	31	Clm C-2000
23Oct94-85A	fst 6 1/2	2:22	4:01	1:09	1:31	31	Clm 2500
11Sep94-50m	fst 6 1/2	2:21	4:03	1:09	1:30	31	Clm 2500
26Aug94-50m	fst 7	2:21	4:04	1:08	1:29	31	Clm 1600

B. h. 6

Sire: Polaris (The Ass II)
 Dam: Powerful (Gray Banner)
 Br: Whinnay Stud Ltd (Can)
 Tr: Trevino Stephen E (2 1 8 1 30)

L 116

Lifetime Record:		22	5	1	3	\$126,223
1995	5	2	0	1		\$42,100
1994	9	2	1	1		\$32,715
SA	0	0	0	0		
Turf	1	0	0	0		
Wet	2	2	0	0		\$28,500
Dist	0	0	0	0		

WORKOUTS: Nov 3 SA 71 fct 1:264 H 2/9 Oct 27 SA 71 fct 1:137 H 4/27 Oct 17 SA 71 fct 1:171 H 4/27 Oct 6 SA 71 fct 1:171 H 5/45 Sep 5 Nov 31 fct 1:36 H 1/27

Lines AFTER Omni-Ject

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
1	DUPL3	8.0	22.4	45.1	109.0	134.2	8.50	6.50	3.00	0.00
2	LYNT3	8.0	24.0	47.0	110.2	133.3	7.00	5.50	5.50	7.75
3	PALM3	8.0	24.2	48.0	111.3	135.0	7.00	7.75	10.00	12.25
4	BATT2	8.0	23.0	46.4	110.2	134.3	1.75	1.00	0.75	1.50
5	MIAM2	8.0	23.1	46.3	110.2	134.3	4.00	2.50	1.75	1.25
6	SWAN3	8.0	23.0	46.4	110.2	134.3	4.75	4.75	3.75	3.00

EQUA JUST - First Screen

			1 DUPL3
			2 LYNT3 W
	DPH *		3 PALM3 PL
			4 BATT2
			5 MIAM2
			6 SWAN3 Sh

IP NP TP

Final Fraction



Lines BEFORE Omni-Ject

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
1	DUPL3	8.0	22.4	45.1	109.0	134.2	8.50	6.50	3.00	0.00
2	LYNT3	8.0	24.0	47.0	110.2	133.3	7.00	5.50	5.50	7.75
3	PALM3	8.5	24.2	48.0	111.3	141.4	7.00	7.75	10.00	12.25
4	BATT2	8.0	23.0	46.4	110.2	134.3	1.75	1.00	0.75	1.50
5	MIAM2	8.5	23.1	46.3	110.2	141.1	4.00	2.50	1.75	1.25
8	SWAN3	8.0	23.0	46.4	110.2	134.3	4.75	4.75	3.75	3.00

4251 - EIGHTH RACE. One Mile. Turf. Purse \$49,000. Allowance. 3-year-olds and up.

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
4057	Lynton, C Antley	116	4	5	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1	1 2/2	19.50
(3171)	Palmdale, G Almeida	116	5	2	5 1/2	5	6hd	5	2nk	10.30
4156	Swank, C McCarron	116	8	7	4 1/2	4 1/2	2hd	22	3nk	7.90
4025	Battie Wise (Fr), Desormux	117	6	9	6hd	7	5hd	3hd	4	2.10
4089	A-Miami Sands (Ire), B Blanc	108	7	8	9	8 1/2	7 1/2	6	5 1/2	5.40
4156	Duplicity (Ire), Delahussy	116	1	1	3 1/2	3 1/2	32	4 1/2	6	2.90
4030	Houston Sunrise, R Douglas	114	2	3	7 2/2	6	4hd	7hd	7 1/4	75.10
(4084)	Juiceberry, A Soils	116	3	4	8hd	9	9	8 2/2	8 1/2	5.70
4057	One Thousand Fine, C Nakatani	116	9	8	2 1/2	2	8 1/2	9	9	20.60

- 4-LYNTON41.00 17.80 9.80
- 5-PALMDALE.....11.00 7.20
- 8-SWANK 6.00

Off: 4:01 Time: :23:47.1 1:12 1:24 1/5, 1:36 1/5, Track: Clear & Firm. Winner-b.h.5 Lyphard-Nashmeel. Tr-Robert Frankel Own-Juddmonte Farms. Mutuel Pool \$316,691. Exacta Pool \$199,125. Quinella Pool \$37,248. Triple Pool \$73,887. Trifecta Pool \$230,871.

\$2 EXACTA (4-5) PAID \$471.60 \$2 QUINELLA (4-5) PAID \$170.20
 \$3 TRIPLE (10,11/8/4) PAID \$469.20 \$2 TRIFECTA (4-5-8) PAID \$3,510.00

WIN ODDS ONLY

	BOTTOM LINE LS	BETTING LINE ODDS
1 MIAMI	17.5	EVEN
2 LYNTO	14.5	2-1 w #41
3 DUPLI	12.5	7-2
4 BATTL	8.0	8-1
4 PALMD	8.0	8-1 PL #11
5 SWANK	8.0	8-1
6 JUICE	0.0	20-1

THERE ARE STILL THOSE LABORING UNDER THE DELUSION THAT AN ODDS LINE IS SUPPOSED TO PREDICT THE ACTUAL ODDS AT WHICH A HORSE WILL GO OFF. THIS IS DECIDEDLY UNTRUE. THE ODDS LINE IS DESIGNED TO SHOW THE ODDS THE PROGRAM SUGGESTS A HORSE SHOULD HAVE. SO, WHEN YOU SEE EVEN, 2-1, 5-2 OR 7-2 AND THE HORSE'S ACTUAL ODDS ARE 20-1, THIS MEANS YOU HAVE AN OVERLAY AND A TREMENDOUS VALUE BET.




#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
1	DUPL4	8.5	22.4	45.1	109.0	141.0	8.50	6.50	3.00	0.00
2	LISS3	8.5	23.3	47.1	111.1	141.4	8.00	4.00	5.50	10.25
3	GARY3	8.5	22.4	48.1	110.2	142.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
4	SERV4	8.5	23.1	46.3	110.4	140.2	3.00	1.50	1.50	0.00
5	ELEC1	8.5	23.3	47.1	110.2	140.4	2.00	1.50	1.50	0.00
6	THE 3	8.5	23.1	48.4	111.1	142.4	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
7	FIRM3	8.5	22.4	45.4	110.0	142.0	0.13	0.00	0.00	4.50

HOL 11-25-1995 RACE 2 DIST 8.5 SURF T PURSE \$43,000
 CHAOS FORMULA POWER AND LONGSHOT RATINGS COMPOSITE


#	NAME	L	POR R	POH-R R	LS/P R	W/W
1	DUPLI	4	144.75- 2	135.78- 2	108.78- 1	
2	LISSI	3	143.04- 4	132.12- 7	105.22- 5	
3	GARY'	3	142.40- 8	134.39- 4	98.95- 7	
4	SERVI	4	144.32- 3	135.57- 3	106.92- 2	
5	ELECT	1	146.97- 1	137.20- 1	106.71- 3	
6	THE T	3	141.10- 7	133.48- 5	105.25- 4	
7	FIRM	3	142.54- 5	133.42- 8	104.81- 6	

R=Rank W/W=Wire to Wire LS/P=Long Shot Potential *=Hidden


CHAOS Formula Power Rating




CHAOS Formula Long Shot Potential Rating



HOL 11-25-1995 RACE 2 DIST 8.5 SURF T PURSE \$43,000
 CHAOS - RATER CHAOS MATCH UP -- AUTO-5



HOL 11-25-1995 RACE 2 DIST 8.5 SURF T PURSE \$43,000
 CHAOS - RATER CHAOS MATCH UP -- AUTO



BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

HOL 11-25-95 2 8.5 T

RAW			ADJUSTED		
	BOTTOM LINE LS	BETTING LINE ODDS EVEN		BOTTOM LINE LS	BETTING LINE ODDS EVEN
1 ELECT	20.5	EVEN	1 ELECT	19.5	EVEN
W2 SERVI	16.0	2-1	2 DUPLI	17.5	EVEN
3 DUPLI	12.5	7-2	3 SERVI	12.0	7-2 W
4 LISSI	8.0	8-1	4 BIRD	7.0	7-1
5 BIRD	7.0	7-1	5 LISSI	5.0	9-1
6 FIRM	4.5	10-1	6 FIRM	3.5	12-1
7 GARY'	2.0	15-1	7 GARY'	2.0	15-1
8 SAKUR	0.0	20-1	8 SAKUR	0.0	20-1
8 THE T	0.0	20-1	8 THE T	0.0	20-1

FROM THOROMATION IIA-SORT DC/V COMPOSITE

LOW IS BEST

Name	Ep/dv	P/dv	He/dv	Td/dv	Pm/dv	Total
ELEC1	1	6	2	1	2	12
FIRM3	3	1	7	1	2	24
DUPL4	3	3	5	2	5	23
GARY4	4	2	4	2	6	23
SERVI4	4	4	1	2	1	33
LISSI3	6	7	6	3	4	33
THE 3	7	5	3	4	3	22

HERE IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF WHAT BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE CAN DO. THIS WINNER, SERVICE, WAS TAKEN OFF ITS LAST GOOD TURF LINE, 4 BACK AT THE SAME TRACK, HOLLYWOOD. IT RANKS #3 ON POH AND POR; #2 ON LONG SHOT DETECTOR. BUT BECAUSE DUPLICATE IS 1 AND 2 ON CHAOS FORMULA, SERVICE BECOMES #1 LONG SHOT DETECTOR HORSE. AUTO ADJUST IT'S #1. AUTO-S, #2.

IT ALSO RANKS #2 ON THE OFT MALIGNED DC/V SORT

WHAT EXCUSE COULD ANYONE HAVE FOR FAILING TO BET THIS HORSE WHEN ITS PREDICTED ODDS ARE 7=2 AND ITS ACTUAL ODDS = 35 -1?? HAPPILY BOTH CLIENT GERALD SPRINGER AND SHANE HAD THE WIN.

5077—SECOND RACE. 1 1/16 Miles Turf. Purse \$43,000. 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$80,000-70,000.

Index	Horse and Jockey	WL	PP	ST	¼	½	¾	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
2377	Service Ace, B Blanc	109	5	2	52	51½	4hd	2hd	11	35.00
(4016)	Sakura Bering, L Pincay Jr	119	1	9	9	8½	61½	2nd	6.40	
4016	Lisatki (Fr), C Black	116	3	7	61	61	5½	3½	5.20	
4016	Bird Brown (Brz), G Almeida	116	9	4	31	3hd	31	31	41½	10.50
4251	Duplicity (Ire), E Delahoussaye	116	2	8	71½	83½	9	85	51¾	3.90
2273	Firm Pledge, C McCarron	116	8	3	21	21	1hd	1½	61	3.50
5023	The Tender Track, P Valenzuela	118	7	5	42½	42	51½	4hd	7¾	14.50
(5023)	Electrify, G Stevens	116	6	8	82½	7½	72	7hd	815	3.10
1068	Gary's Lucky One, A Soils	116	4	1	1hd	1½	2½	9	9	19.50

No Scratches.

5—SERVICE ACE72.00 29.20 15.20
 1—SAKURA BERING8.80 5.40
 3—LISATKI4.00

Off: 1:02 Time: :22.3 :45.2 1:09 1/5, 1:33 3/5, 1:40. Track: Clear & Firm.

PACE LAUNCHER 3B
WITH BOTTOM LINE - BETTING LINE

ON SUNDAY, NOVEMBER 26. SHANE AND I WERE ASKED TO ACCOMPANY A COUPLE WHO WERE NEW TO THE METHODOLOGY. THEY HAD NEVER USED THEIR PROGRAM. PACE LAUNCHER, TO MAKE A BET. WE DID NOT FEEL THEY WERE READY TO INVEST THEIR OWN MONEY SO WE BANKED THEM. THEY SUCCESSFULLY USED OUR CHOICES FOR SEVERAL RACES, THEN HAD A GO AT USING THEIR OWN READOUTS. HERE IS THE RESULT. P.S. WE GOT OUR SEED MONEY BACK AND THEY MADE A TIDY PROFIT.

HOL 11-26-1995 RACE 8 DIST 7 SURF D PURSE \$38,000 A38 3+
PACELINE DATA ENTRY

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FnlC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV	T/S	N	T
1	FREON	3	6.0	D	22.1	45.2	57.4	110.0	2.50	2.50	1.25	1.25	88	17	-1.0	3	
2	IRON	1	7.0	D	22.2	45.2	110.0	122.4	13.00	7.75	5.00	0.00	88	13	0.0	3	
3	CANA	1	6.0	D	21.3	44.1	56.2	108.4	1.50	1.50	2.50	6.50	86	14	-3.0		
4	HUNT	1	6.5	D	21.3	44.2	109.1	115.1	7.50	3.25	0.50	0.00	96	11	3.0	2	
5	PAY	1	6.0	D	22.1	45.2	57.4	110.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	89	10	-1.0	1	
6	EXP	3	6.0	D	21.3	44.1	56.2	108.2	3.00	1.00	0.50	1.50	97	6	1.0	2	
7	TEST	1	6.5	D	21.3	44.2	109.1	115.1	2.00	1.25	0.15	1.00	95	11	3.0	3	

8

Hollywood Park

7 Furlongs (1:20) ALLOWANCE. Purse \$38,000. 3-year-olds and upward which are non-winners of \$3,000 twice other than maiden, claiming or starter or have never won three races. Weights: 3-year-olds, 120 lbs. Older, 122 lbs. Non-winners of two races other than claiming since September 22, allowed 3 lbs. A race other than claiming since then, 6 lbs.



Coupled - Freon and Hunt For Missouri

Freon

Ow: Cuccini & Em Midw Race & Wist Rc Sth

MCCARRON C J (25 4 6 3 16)

7 Jun 95	5Hol fm 1	222	463	1:11	1:36	Alw 43000N1x
14 May 95	7Hol fm 1	221	462	1:104	1:35	Cfm 80000
23 Apr 95	9SA fst 1	222	454	1:102	1:33	Alw 46000N1x
25 Mar 95	78M fst 1 1/2	222	47	1:111	1:43	GldnStateDby150k
25 Feb 95	15A fst 1	221	464	1:114	1:374	Md 62500
4 Feb 95	6SA fst 5/8	213	442	1:10	1:17	Mid Sp Wt
27 Nov 94	10Hol fst 6/8	221	451	1:102	1:16	Mid Sp Wt
3 Nov 94	6SA fst 6/8	213	444	1:072	1:102	Md 50000
Placed second through disqualification.						
3 Sep 94	60Mr fst 6/8	224	46	1:08	1:10	Md 50000

WORKOUTS: Nov 14 Hol 5/8 fst 1:012 H 2/29

Dk. b or br & 3 (Mar)
Sire: Dr's Freezing (T. V. Commercial)
Dam: Margaret's Hope (Carnoverast)
Br: Greely John J III (Ky)
Tr: Delaine Wallace (3 1 9 1 23)

L 114

Year	Dist	Time	Surface	Record	Notes
1995	6 2 1 2	\$73,650	Turf	2 1 0 1	\$31,600
1994	3 M 1 1	\$11,174	Wet	0 0 0 0	
Hol	1 0 0 1	\$4,500	Dist	0 0 0 0	

94 6 7 57 64 64 104	Solis A	LB 116 b	5.20	83-17	Freon 116 nd Can't Decipher 116 th Huge Gator 118 th	Blocked upper stretch 9
86 1 8 64 64 32 32	Solis A	LB 115 b	2.50	85-09	Tac Squad 117 th Calypso Clue 114 th Freon 115 th	Inside bid 10
89 2 6 54 44 33 37	Solis A	LB 116 b	6.80	84-10	Paying Dues 117 th Canyon Crest 116 th Freon 116 th	Just held 3rd 8
88 2 5 54 54 54 43	Baze R A	LB 116 b	6.60	88-15	Jumron 120 th Skewer 116 th Captain Video 117 th	Even late 5
80 6 4 34 21 21 11	Solis A	LB 117 b	1.40	80-23	Freon 117 th California Candi 117 th Calypso Clue 117 th	Strong handling 7
75 2 5 54 45 34 24	Solis A	LB 118 b	6.40	87-08	Huge Gator 118 th Freon 118 th Tru's Heritage 118 th	Closed fastest 8
72 1 6 41 21 32 34	Desormeaux K J	LB 119 b	5.10	83-11	Score Quick 119 th Feather River One 119 th Freon 119 th	Rail trip 10
75 5 11 10 11 10 9 54 31	Stevens G L	LB 118 b	14.20	84-13	Flying Standby 118 th Ruby Beads 118 th Freon 118 th	In tight at wire 12
56 5 7 94 97 710 47	Stevens G L	B 118	13.00	81-11	Art Force 118 th Sleek Cat 111 th Fortunate Fetoun 116 th	Drifted out lane 9

Iron Rochelle

Ow: Johnston & Johnston & Rochelle

ANTLEY C W (29 1 3 3 05)

1 Nov 95	7SA fst 7/8	222	452	1:10	1:224 3/4	Alw 37000N1x
30 Sep 95	10Fpx fst 1 1/2	223	46	1:112	1:432 3/4	Alw 37000N2x
16 Sep 95	9Fpx fst 1 1/2	224	462	1:12	1:451 3/4	Alw 37000N2x
17 Aug 95	60Mr fst 6/8	222	452	1:059	1:154 3/4	Md 32000
21 Dec 94	9Hol fst 6/8	221	452	1:072	1:094 3/4	Md 32000
17 Feb 94	9SA wd 7/8	223	452	1:10	1:223	Md 35000
6 Dec 92	6Hol fst 6/8	213	443	1:072	1:103	Mid Sp Wt

WORKOUTS: Nov 15 SA 5/8 fst 1:022 H 2/27 Oct 24 SA 4/8 fst 1:024 H 2/26 Oct 19 SA 4/8 fst 1:012 H 2/27 Oct 12 SA 4/8 fst 1:011 H 2/27 Sep 27 Fpx 5/8 fst 1:024 H 2/29 Sep 11 Fpx 6/8 fst 1:15 H 2/29

B. h. 5
Sire: Spectacular Bid (Bold Bidder)
Dam: Miss Snowflake (Snow Sporting)
Br: Rochelle Ban (Ky)
Tr: Warren Donald (7 0 2 1 08)

L 119

Year	Dist	Time	Surface	Record	Notes
1995	4 2 0 0	\$33,390	Turf	0 0 0 0	
1994	2 M 1 0	\$3,400	Wet	1 0 0 0	
Hol	2 0 1 0	\$3,400	Dist	2 1 0 0	\$20,350

92 1 7 713 77 55 13	Antley C W	LB 116 f	16.50	88-13	Iron Rochelle 116 th Devon Dancer 116 th Western Trip 114 th	Wide rally 8
88 4 9 94 75 64 57	Atkinson P	LB 118 f	19.80	85-17	Momonymomonymomy 118 th DggtLPk 118 th Elgc 121 th	Improved position 10
71 9 9 104 82 64 64	Warren R J Jr	LB 118	9.20	78-15	Hermosilla 115 th Elegiac 121 th Blowin De Turn 121 th	By tired ones 10
86 1 7 74 63 43 11	Solis A	LB 122	8.70	92-11	Iron Rochelle 122 th QuieyDefiant 113 th Sheer Luxury 122 th	5 wide into lane 9
76 2 10 64 84 45 24	Solis A	B 122 f	8.50	85-13	Rah Rah's Cheer 120 th Iron Rochelle 123 th Star O' The West 118 th	Just got 2nd 11
34 3 3 41 44 74 72	Castanon A L	B 117	14.80	66-07	Bold Halo 115 th Prestigious Gem 119 th Water Prospector 120 th	Gave way 9
60 10 4 64 94 95 114	Solis A	B 118	7.80	79-11	D'hallerant 118 th Real Hit 118 th Whosthepurplecomet 118 th	Gave way 12

Canaan Land

Ow: Mandysland Farm

STEVENS G L (41 11 6 5 27)

29 Dec 94	8SA fst 6/8	213	441	1:084	1:154 3/4	Alw 47000N2x
14 Dec 94	7Hol gd 6/8	221	46	1:114	1:114 3/4	Alw 40000N2x
19 Nov 94	88M fst 6/8	221	443	1:084	1:154 3/4	Saratoga H54k
Off slowly, far wide stretch						
8 Oct 94	15A fst 6/8	214	442	1:084	1:154 3/4	Alw 35000N2x
2 July 94	10Hol fst 6/8	221	462	1:10	1:10	Mid Sp Wt
22 May 93	6Hol fst 6/8	22	45	1:091	1:10	Mid Sp Wt

Ch. h. 5
Sire: Secretariat (Bold Ruler)
Dam: Jones Time Machine (Current Concept)
Br: Mandysland Farm & Emery Stable (Ky)
Tr: Bradshaw Randy (7 1 2 1 14)

L 116

Year	Dist	Time	Surface	Record	Notes
1994	5 2 0 1	\$50,875	Turf	0 0 0 0	
1993	1 M 0 0	\$2,400	Wet	0 0 0 0	
Hol	3 1 0 0	\$23,000	Dist	0 0 0 0	

85 3 4 21 21 34 44	Valenzuela P A	LB 117	5.20	86-14	Isilingood 116 th Desert Act 117 th Heavenly Crusade 115 th	Weakened 8
85 4 5 31 41 42 41	Delahoussaye E	LB 117	1.90	78-21	Virtuous Regent 117 th Skim The Gravy 117 th Stately Warrior 119 th	Outfinished 7
93 4 8 74 74 61 32	Baze R A	LB 115	2.00	94-12	Ackachina's Day 114 th Argoid 117 th Canaan Land 115 th	
85 4 5 2nd 2nd 104 123	Delahoussaye E	LB 121	3.30	95-07	Canaan Land 121 th Raised State 116 th Tobin Ruler 115 th	Steady handling 6
86 3 5 104 11 12 12	Valenzuela P A	B 122	7.50	90-08	Canaan Land 122 th Le Dome 117 th Sheer Luxury 117 th	Driving 11
69 8 5 31 21 34 41 12	Flores D R	B 115 b	15.20	82-09	Talent To Amuse 116 th Summer Crossing 122 th Greg's Native 115 th	Wide trip 11

Explorateur

Ow: Haras Santa Maria De Araras

NAKATANI CS (-)

Table with columns for race date, distance, sex, age, time, and notes for Explorateur's offspring.

WORKOUTS:

Sire: Aiymer (Roses a Native)
Dam: So Blvd (Lily (FR))
Br: Haras Santa Maria de Araras (Fla)
Tr: McAnulty-Ronald (17 2 4 3 .12)

Lifetime Record: 12 2 3 3 \$83,266

Summary table for Explorateur's performance: 1995 3 0 0 1 \$7,050 Turf 1 0 0 0; 1994 9 2 3 2 \$76,216 Wet 0 0 0 0; Hol 4 1 2 1 \$39,300 Dist 3 0 1 2 \$17,000

L 116

Main performance table for Explorateur's offspring, listing names, sires, dams, and race results.

Mint Green

Ow: Stone & Whittingham

ALMEIDA GF (27 5 2 8 .19)

Table with columns for race date, distance, sex, age, time, and notes for Mint Green's offspring.

WORKOUTS:

Ch. g. 4
Sire: Grindin (GB) (Green Dancer)
Dam: Kid to the Mint (Key to the Mint)
Br: Stone Martin & Whittingham C (Ky)
Tr: Whittingham Charles (4 1 6 1 .25)

Lifetime Record: 20 3 2 4 \$87,550

Summary table for Mint Green's performance: 1995 8 2 1 0 \$49,175 Turf 4 0 0 1 \$8,175; 1994 8 1 1 3 \$31,550 Wet 1 0 0 1 \$3,600; Hol 6 2 1 1 \$46,600 Dist 3 1 1 0 \$20,700

116

Main performance table for Mint Green's offspring, listing names, sires, dams, and race results.

Testimony

Ow: Wyped Mr & Mrs Martin J

DELAHOUSSE E (33 8 6 4 .24)

Table with columns for race date, distance, sex, age, time, and notes for Testimony's offspring.

WORKOUTS:

Ch. h or br g. 3 (Mar)
Sire: Never Tabled (Never Bend)
Dam: No Buzzards (Drama)
Br: Rancho Jovina (Cal)
Tr: Hendricks Dan L (5 0 1 0 .06)

Lifetime Record: 8 2 2 2 \$79,590

Summary table for Testimony's performance: 1995 2 1 0 1 \$25,900 Turf 1 0 0 0; 1994 6 1 2 1 \$53,690 Wet 0 0 0 0; Hol 1 0 1 0 \$6,800 Dist 0 0 0 0

L 120

Main performance table for Testimony's offspring, listing names, sires, dams, and race results.

Hunt For Missouri

Ow: Graham & Highland Pm & LaCroix

SOLIS A (38 7 11 0 .31)

Table with columns for race date, distance, sex, age, time, and notes for Hunt For Missouri's offspring.

WORKOUTS:

Ch. g. 3 (Apr)
Sire: Little Missouri (Cox's Ridge)
Dam: Huntress (Makra)
Br: Mendocutworth Farm (Cal)
Tr: Bonnie Wallace (3 1 0 1 .33)

Lifetime Record: 7 2 2 2 \$80,327

Summary table for Hunt For Missouri's performance: 1995 4 2 1 0 \$57,100 Turf 0 0 0 0; 1994 3 M 1 2 \$23,227 Wet 0 0 0 0; Hol 1 0 0 1 \$11,250 Dist 2 0 0 1 \$18,750

L 117

Main performance table for Hunt For Missouri's offspring, listing names, sires, dams, and race results.

Paying Dues

Ow: Ballantyne & Boyle & Welty

DESORMEAUX K (23 1 4 3 .24)

Table with columns for race date, distance, sex, age, time, and notes for Paying Dues's offspring.

WORKOUTS:

Ch. g. 3 (Apr)
Sire: Cure The Blues (Stop the Music)
Dam: Far Too Young (Young Generation)
Br: Foxcatcher Associates Ltd (Ky)
Tr: Sise Clifford Jr (5 0 1 1 .00)

Lifetime Record: 3 2 0 1 \$51,200

Summary table for Paying Dues's performance: 1995 3 2 0 1 \$51,200 Turf 0 0 0 0; 1994 0 M 0 0 Wet 1 0 0 1 \$5,550; Hol 0 0 0 0 Dist 0 0 0 0

L 114

Main performance table for Paying Dues's offspring, listing names, sires, dams, and race results.

PAGE LAUNCHER III CHAOS FORMULA COMPOSITE

HOL 11-26-95 8 7 D

94	EPR.	188	94	LPR	188
EXP	3	-----+	IRON	1	-----+
CANA	1	-----+	HUNT	1	-----+
TEST	1	-----+	EXP	3	-----+
HUNT	1	-----+	FREDN	3	-----+
PAY	1	-----+	TEST	1	-----+

94	CPR	188	94	TT (HIDDEN FRACTION)	188
EXP	3	-----+ PL	HUNT	1	-----+
HUNT	1	-----+	IRON	1	-----+
TEST	1	-----+ W	EXP	3	-----+
PAY	1	-----+	CANA	1	-----+
CANA	1	-----+	TEST	1	-----+

94	FACTOR X	188
PAY	1	-----+
FREDN	3	-----+
TEST	1	-----+
EXP	3	-----+
CANA	1	-----+

94	HIDDEN	188	94	FACTOR W	188
IRON	1	-----+	EXP	3	-----+ PL
HUNT	1	-----+	TEST	1	-----+ W
EXP	3	-----+	HUNT	1	-----+
TEST	1	-----+	CANA	1	-----+
FREDN	3	-----+	PAY	1	-----+

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

	RAW		ADJUSTED		
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE	
1 EXP	20.0	EVEN	1 HUNT	21.0	EVEN
2 HUNT	17.5	EVEN	2 TEST	18.5	EVEN ^{1/2} ₄₀
3 TEST	11.0	4-1	3 EXP	11.0	4-1 _{PL} ⁶ ₈₀
4 IRON	10.0	9-2	4 IRON	10.0	9-2
5 CANA	6.0	8-1	5 FREDN	4.0	10-1
6 FREDN	1.0	20-1	6 CANA	2.0	15-1
6 PAY	1.0	20-1	7 PAY	0.0	20-1

5093 EIGHTH RACE. 7 furlongs. Allowance. Three year olds & up. Purse \$38,000.

(4151) Testimony, Dihaye	117	8	2	3 ¹	3 ^{hd}	-	3 ¹ / ₂	1 ³ / ₄	5.20
4097 Explorateur, Nakatani	116	8	3	2 ¹	2 ¹ / ₂	-	1 ^{hd}	2 ¹ / ₂	6.20
(9803) Paying Dues, Desormeaux	117	5	1	1 ^{hd}	1 ^{hd}	-	2 ¹	3 ¹ / ₄	1.40
(4082) Hunt For Missouri, Sols	117	4	5	4 ¹	4 ² / ₂	-	4 ⁴	4 ⁵	2.70
4084 Mint Green, Almeida	118	7	6	5 ^{hd}	5 ³	-	5 ³	5 ²	13.90
(1285) Freon, McCarron	115	1	7	7 ¹	7 ³	-	6 ²	6 ^{nk}	2.70
(4196) Iron Rochelle, Antley	119	2	8	8	8	-	8	7 ¹⁰	20.30
9026 Canaan Land, Stevens	116	3	4	6 ⁵	6 ¹	-	7 ¹ / ₂	8	9.80

\$2 EXACTA (7-8)

PAID \$98.00

7—TESTIMONY	12.40	6.00	3.60
8—EXPLORATEUR	6.80	4.40	
4—PAYING DUES	3.00		

Time—22 2/5, 45 1/5, 1.09.0, 1.21 2/5. Clear & fast. Winner—dbb.g.3. Never Tabled—No Buzzers trained by Dan L. Hendricks. Owned by Mr & Mrs Martin J. Wygod. Mutuel pool—\$403,430.40. Double pool—\$29,024. Exacta pool—\$408,767. Triple pool—\$114,834. Quinella pool—\$67,265.

\$2 DOUBLE (7/7) PAID \$168.40; \$2 EXACTA (7-8) PAID \$98.00; \$3 TRIPLE (5/7/7)

P A C E L A U N C H E R I I I
with BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE

USING ALMOST EVERY HORSE IN THE RACE, NONE OF WHOM HAD A RECENT WINNING LINE. B U T THESE ARE THE KINDS OF RACES THAT PRODUCE THE HIGHER PAYOFFS. TOO MANY OF YOU FOCUS ON THE EASY, LOW MUTUEL RACES.

HOL 11-17-1995 RACE 9 DIST 6.5 SURF D PURSE \$12,000

PACELINE DATA

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FinC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV	T&S
1	YUGO	1	6.5	D	21.2	44.1	109.0	116.0	8.00	7.50	7.00	6.00	88	15	0.0
2	RON W	2	6.5	D	21.3	44.3	109.3	116.1	8.50	8.75	11.00	11.00	80	11	0.0
3	NEVER	2	6.5	D	22.0	45.0	109.3	116.1	3.50	3.00	2.00	7.00	84	14	0.0
4	KAY'S	2	6.5	D	21.3	44.3	110.2	117.0	10.00	8.75	3.25	1.25	88	13	0.0
5	BUSY	4	6.5	D	21.3	44.2	109.0	115.3	8.50	8.25	8.00	5.75	88	10	0.0
6	BRYSO	3	6.5	D	22.0	45.3	110.4	117.3	0.50	1.50	3.00	4.00	80	10	0.0
7	TALIB	1	6.5	D	21.4	44.3	109.2	116.3	11.00	8.75	7.75	2.50	88	12	0.0
8	PLUME	3	6.5	D	21.4	44.2	109.3	116.2	7.25	5.75	6.00	3.75	86	11	0.0
9	ANOTH	4	6.5	D	22.0	44.4	109.3	115.4	5.00	4.50	4.50	1.75	91	10	0.0

PACELINE LAUNCHER III CHAOS FORMULA COMPOSITE HOL 11-17-95 9 6.5 D

94	KPR	100	94	LPR	100
PLUME 3	-----+	-----+	ANOTH 4	-----+	-----+
NEVER 2	-----+	-----+	TALIB 1	-----+	-----+
YUGO 1	-----+	-----+	BUSY 4	-----+	-----+
ANOTH 4	-----+	-----+	KAY'S 2	-----+	-----+
BRYSO 3	-----+	-----+	YUGO 1	-----+	-----+

94	CPR	100
ANOTH 4	-----+	-----+
BUSY 4	-----+	-----+
TALIB 1	-----+	-----+
PLUME 3	-----+	-----+
YUGO 1	-----+	-----+

94	TT (HIDDEN FRACTION)	100	94	FACTOR X	100
PLUME 3	-----+	-----+	BRYSO 3	-----+	-----+
TALIB 1	-----+	-----+	BUSY 4	-----+	-----+
YUGO 1	-----+	-----+	ANOTH 4	-----+	-----+
ANOTH 4	-----+	-----+	RON W 2	-----+	-----+
KAY'S 2	-----+	-----+	NEVER 2	-----+	-----+

94	HIDDEN	100	94	FACTOR W	100
TALIB 1	-----+	-----+	ANOTH 4	-----+	-----+
ANOTH 4	-----+	-----+	PLUME 3	-----+	-----+
BUSY 4	-----+	-----+	BUSY 4	-----+	-----+
KAY'S 2	-----+	-----+	YUGO 1	-----+	-----+
PLUME 3	-----+	-----+	NEVER 2	-----+	-----+

CHAOS FORMULA -- AUTO

HOL 11-17-95 9 6.5 D

#	NAME	L	F 1	F 2	F 3	S C	POR R	POE R
1	YUGO	1	81.72 87.83	57.83 100.21**	51.83 100.52**	59.73 87.73	154.95-2	140.18-3
2	RON W	2	81.73 87.83	57.51 100.14**	52.34 88.58	59.18 87.35	154.05-4	138.73-8
3	NEVER	2	80.17 88.57	57.58 100.21**	53.06 88.88	58.97 89.09	153.52-6	139.23-7
4	KAY'S	2	81.38 88.81	57.88 100.30**	51.19 102.18**	59.18 87.35	152.51-8	139.59-8
5	BUSY	4	81.10 89.79	57.88 100.13**	52.88 100.81**	59.48 87.80	155.48-14	140.50-2 ^W
6	BRYSO	3	80.48 88.31	58.41 88.88	52.04 89.20	57.89 88.53	150.21-9	137.89-9
7	TALIB	1	80.76 88.71	58.10 100.28**	51.78 102.15**	59.19 87.05	153.20-7	139.77-5
8	PLUME	3	80.89 87.78	58.57 100.43**	51.71 100.58**	59.48 88.28	153.82-5	139.95-4
9	ANOTH	4	80.08 88.12	57.87 100.08**	53.30 100.73**	58.93 88.13	154.54-3	140.76-1 ^{PL}

HOL 11-17-95 9 6.5 D

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
P1 ANOTH	20.5	2 EVEN # 5
W2 BUSY	12.5	W 7-2 \$30 ⁶⁰
3 TALIB	11.5	4-1
4 PLUME	11.0	4-1
5 YUGO	7.0	7-1
6 NEVER	4.0	10-1
7 KAY'S	3.0	12-1
8 BRYSO	1.0	20-1
9 RON W	0.0	20-1

FOR THOSE WHO PERSIST IN SAYING, "I PLAY TO WIN ONLY." YOU ARE MISSING OUT ON SOME HUGE PAYOFFS THIS PROGRAM GETS WITH EASE.

\$2 QUINELLA (6-10) PAID \$79.40

\$2 EXACTA (6-10) PAID \$218.60

MORE
PAGE LAUNCHER III
with BOTTOM LINE-BETTING LINE

PACELINE DATA

LRL 11-3-95 5 6 D

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FnlC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV	ADJ	N	T
1	SOLID	3	6.5	D	23.0	47.4	114.1	119.4	0.00	1.50	3.00	3.00	86	7	-4.5		
2	GOLD	2	6.0	D	22.2	47.0	100.0	112.4	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	84	13	-1.5		
3	HAIL	1	5.5	D	22.3	48.4	59.2	106.0	10.00	10.00	4.50	1.00	88	15	-0.5		
4	YOUBE	3	6.5	D	23.0	47.4	114.1	119.4	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	89	7	-4.5		
5	WILLA	2	5.5	D	22.3	48.4	59.2	106.0	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.50	88	15	-0.5		
6	SUNSE	2	5.5	D	22.4	48.1	58.1	104.3	3.00	3.50	5.50	5.75	92	12	-2.0		
7	MOM'S	3	6.0	D	23.2	48.3	58.4	111.3	1.50	2.50	3.00	4.75	85	11	-2.5		
8	JASON	2	5.5	D	22.3	48.4	59.2	106.0	7.75	8.25	4.75	1.50	87	15	-0.5		
9	BINTA	3	5.5	D	22.0	48.1	58.4	105.0	8.50	5.50	4.50	5.00	89	14	-1.0		


Equalize Distances 1=Yes 2=No

PACELINE DATA

LRL 11-3-95 5 6 D

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FnlC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV	ADJ	N	T
1	SOLID	3	8.0	D	23.0	47.4	101.4	113.3	0.00	1.50	3.00	3.00	89	7	-4.5		
2	GOLD	2	6.0	D	22.2	47.0	100.0	112.4	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	76	13	-1.5	2	
3	HAIL	1	8.0	D	22.2	48.4	59.3	112.2	10.00	10.00	4.50	1.00	77	15	-0.5	3	1
4	YOUBE	3	8.0	D	23.0	47.4	101.4	113.3	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	72	7	-4.5	2	
5	WILLA	2	8.0	D	22.2	48.4	59.3	112.2	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.50	77	15	-0.5		1
6	SUNSE	2	8.0	D	22.3	48.1	58.2	111.0	3.00	3.50	5.50	5.75	79	12	-2.0		
7	MOM'S	3	8.0	D	23.2	48.3	58.4	111.3	1.50	2.50	3.00	4.75	77	11	-2.5		
8	JASON	2	8.0	D	22.2	48.4	59.3	112.2	7.75	8.25	4.75	1.50	76	15	-0.5		1
9	BINTA	3	8.0	D	21.4	48.1	59.0	111.2	6.50	5.50	4.50	5.00	78	14	-1.0		

PACE LAUNCHER III CHAOS FORMULA COMPOSITE -- ADJUSTED LRL 11-3-95 5 6 D



USING THE BOTH-AND GRAPH GIVES YOU THE MOST FINITE TOOL EVER FOR MODELING WIN, PLACE & SHOW ENERGY DISTRIBUTION

Early-Sustained BOTH/AND Difference Graphic -- ADJUSTED LRL 11-3-95 5 6 D

NAME	L	N	%EARLY	%SUST
SOLID	3		48.9	51.1
GOLD	2		51.5	48.5
HAIL	1		41.0	59.0
YOUBE	3		48.9	51.1
WILLA	2	Show	52.4	47.6
SUNSE	2	WIN	58.6	49.4
MOM'S	3		58.5	49.5
JASON	2		43.3	56.7
BINTA	3		49.2	50.8

Pace Launcher III EPR, LPR, CPR Graphic -- ADJUSTED

LRL 11-3-95 5 6 NOT TO BE CONFUSED WITH THE OLD PMTR TPR RATINGS.

	EPR - R	LPR - R	CPR - R
SOLID	76.49-7	78.19-9	154.58-8
GOLD	83.58-3	81.00-7	164.58-6
HAIL	75.79-8	98.89-1	166.58-3
YOUBE	77.99-5	79.69-8	157.58-7
WILLA	85.58-1	81.58-6	167.00-2
SUNSE	84.19-2	83.19-4	167.20-1
MOM'S	82.89-4	82.89-5	164.88-5
JASON	77.49-6	88.68-2	166.88-4
BINTA	82.89-4	84.28-3	167.00-2

FOR ALL THOSE WHO FEEL IT'S CRAZY TO BOX THREE FOR AN EXACTA AND FOUR FOR A TRIFECTA, THESE AND DOZENS OF OTHER RACES THAT WERE IN 1995 FOLLOW UP'S, SHOULD MAKE YOU RE-THINK WHAT IS CRAZY!

LRL 11-3-95 5 6 D

WIN ODDS ONLY

		ADJUSTED BOTTOM LINE LS	BETTING LINE ODDS
1	SUNSE	16.5	2-1 W #20 ²⁰
2	WILLA	14.0	2-1 S #4 ¹²
3	BINTA	13.5	3-1
4	HAIL	10.0	9-2 P-#12 ⁸⁹
5	JASON	8.0	6-1
6	MOM'S	6.5	8-1
7	GOLD	3.0	12-1
8	YOUBE	0.0	20-1
8	SOLID	0.0	20-1

TR1 = \$1,559²⁰

FIFTH RACE
Laurel

6 FURLONGS. (1.96) CLAIMING. Purse \$3,500. Fillies and mares, 3-year-olds and upward, which have not won two races since July 1, 1995. Weights: 3-year-olds, 120 lbs. Older, 122 lbs. Non-winners of a race since September 8, 3 lbs. A race since August 8, 5 lbs. Claiming price \$5,000; for each \$500 to \$4,000, allowed 2 lbs.

NOVEMBER 3, 1995
Value of Race: \$3,500 Winner \$3,135; second \$1,135; third \$605; fourth \$330; fifth \$165; sixth \$110. Mutuel Pool \$54,465.00 Exacta Pool \$63,234.00 Triple Pool \$47,539.00

Last Raced	Horse	M/Eqt.A.Wt	PP	St	¼	½	Str	Fin	Jockey	Cl'g Pr	Odds \$1	
80Oct95 3Lr15	Sunset Cindy	Lb 4	117	6	2	5 1/2	5 1/2	4 1/2	12 1/2	Purdom M D	5000	9.10
60Oct95 1Lr14	Hail Me Now	Lb 6	117	3	7	8 1/2	7 1/2	7 1/2	2nd	Baboolal J J	5000	12.70
190Oct95 3Lr11	Willard's Peach	Lb 4	122	5	1	2nd	2 1/2	12	3 1/2	Umana J L	5000	3.90
190Oct95 3Lr13	Solid Romance	Lb 6	117	1	6	4 1/2	4th	5th	4 1/2	Prado E S	5000	6.80
190Oct95 3Lr12	Mom's Redneck	Lb 4	117	7	4	6 1/2	6 1/2	5 1/2		Klinger C O	5000	3.00
10Oct95 2P1m3	Gold Cut	Lb 7	110	2	8	3 1/2	3 1/2	3rd	6 1/2	Goodwin N S	4000	10.80
240Oct95 2Lr15	We Try Harder	Lb 3	115	8	3	9 1/2	8th	8 1/2	7 1/2	Sollars W R	5000	40.90
190Oct95 3Lr14	Jason's Dancer	Lbf 7	117	9	10	10	9 1/2	9 1/2	8th	Douglas F G	5000	19.80
23Sep95 6CT5	Youbelievethat	Lb 4	119	4	5	1 1/2	1st	2nd	9 1/2	Reynolds L C	5000	3.40
28Aug95 2Tim4	Bintalhawa	Lbf 4	117	10	9	7 1/2	10	10	10	Mancilla O G	5000	12.70

OFF AT 2:19 Start Good. Won driving. Time, :22.2, :46.3, :59.3, 1:12.4 Track fast.

\$2 Mutuel Prices:

6-SUNSET CINDY	20.20	9.40	6.80
3-HAIL ME NOW		12.80	7.60
5-WILLARD'S PEACH			4.40

\$2 EXACTA 6-3 PAID \$225.80 \$2 TRIPLE 6-3-5 PAID \$1,559.20



"This time, I'm skipping love and looking for value."

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE



BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

LRL 10-7-95 2 6 D

	RAW	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
1 SEAL	20.0	EVEN
W 2 FOREI	14.0	2-1
3 SPART	13.0	3-1
4 UNPLU	12.0	7-2
5 NURSE	9.5	5-1

	ADJUSTED	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
1 SEAL	22.5	EVEN
2 FOREI	19.0	EVEN <i>W #13.80</i>
3 UNPLU	13.0	3-1
4 SPART	8.0	6-1
5 NURSE	7.5	7-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

LRL 10-7-95 3 8 D

	RAW	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
W 1 DUKE'	21.5	EVEN
1 TUNEI	21.5	EVEN
2 COUNT	16.5	2-1
3 CALM	7.0	7-1
4 YOU T	0.0	20-1

	ADJUSTED	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
1 DUKE'	24.0	EVEN <i>W #36.00</i>
2 TUNEI	20.5	EVEN <i>P 11.00</i>
3 COUNT	16.5	2-1
4 CALM	8.0	6-1
5 YOU T	1.0	20-1

BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

LRL 10-7-95 7 5.5 D

	RAW	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
1 FROZE	17.0	3-2
2 WHAT	14.5	2-1
3 LADY	14.0	2-1
4 DANJI	10.5	9-2
5 MYSTI	8.5	6-1
6 BELOW	7.5	7-1
7 RAW B	0.0	20-1

	ADJUSTED	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
1 DANJI	16.0	2-1
2 FROZE	14.5	2-1
3 BELOW	12.5	7-2 <i>P</i>
4 RAW B	8.0	6-1 <i>W #131.00</i>
5 LADY	7.0	7-1
6 WHAT	5.5	9-1
7 MYSTI	3.0	12-1


BOTTOM LINE -- BETTING LINE

WIN ODDS ONLY

LRL 10-7-95 12 8.5 D

	RAW	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
W 1 PLEAS	19.5	EVEN
2 BULLS	18.5	EVEN
3 LULU'	13.5	3-1
4 LONGL	13.0	3-1
5 BATTL	6.0	8-1
6 BREAK	0.0	20-1

	ADJUSTED	
	BOTTOM LINE	BETTING LINE
	LS	ODDS
1 PLEAS	18.5	EVEN <i>W - #11.00</i>
2 BULLS	18.0	EVEN
3 BATTL	11.5	4-1
4 LULU'	10.5	9-2
5 LONGL	10.0	9-2
6 BREAK	0.0	20-1



A Holiday Message

Each year since 1987 we've published a Holiday message here. The theme has never been sectarian. We embrace ALL religions even though our graphic symbolism depicts only Christianity and Judaism. Yet Peace and Goodwill are also a part of the Islamic Koran, Hindu Vedas and the teachings of the compassionate Buddha, among others. Sometimes even atheists embrace the concept of love and brotherhood more strongly than their religious counterparts. I've received a few unsigned letters from born-again Christians criticizing me for including non-Christians in our message. The fact that they were unsigned speaks much more loudly than their protest.

This year, on behalf of all of us at O. Henry House, I'd like to make the message more personal. O. Henry's classic short story, *The Gift of the Magi* begins, "One dollar and eighty seven cents, that's all." This is the little money the heroine Della and her husband Jim had to their names at Christmas time. Della's most prized possession is a lustrous head of long and beautiful hair. Jim has as his sole possession of value, a family heirloom watch. Della longs for a pair of decorative combs to adorn her locks. Jim has always wanted a watch fob of a quality to match his prized heirloom.

Della sells her hair to a wig maker to buy Jim a splendid watch fob. Meanwhile, Jim has hocked his watch to buy Della the bejeweled hair combs she longs for. These are their gifts to each other at yuletide.

The story ends: "The Magi, as you know, were wonderfully wise men who brought gifts to the babe in the manger. They invented the art of giving Christmas presents. Being wise, their gifts were no doubt wise ones. But in a last word to the wise of THESE days let it be said that of all who give gifts, these two, Della and Jim are the wisest. Of all who give and receive gifts everywhere they are wisest. They are the Magi."

Your gifts to me are the letters that appear in *Vox Populi* in appreciative response to my gifts that you proclaim are making you winners in *LIFE* as well as in handicapping. Winning in life, being the more important. Then there is the gift of great magnitude that came to me recently from the wife of a school teacher whose contract had not been renewed and they were short of money, just as Della and Jim. They needed a computer program to help them to handicap for financial support. I gave them one with no invoice or expectation of payment. Then the husband got a new teaching contract and they sent me a check in full payment for the program. They did it of their own volition, from a personal sense of honor. They too, are the Magi.

May the peace that passeth all understanding be with you and yours during these holidays and for all time to come.

Doc, Mary Sr., Mary Jr., Shane, Gloria, Joyce, Spencer, and June

