

# The FOLLOW UP

with Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D.

EVOLUTION

ISSUE # 47



INDICAPPING REVOL

## TAO OF CHAOS

Publisher's Desk	1
Editor's Desk	9
Computer Column	12
From The Top	14
TAO of Chaos	22
Quad-Rater Update	29
More Preponderance of Evidence	39
Psychology of Winning	43
Vox Populi	56
Q & A with the Doc	60
Problem Race	72

The FOLLOW UP is published six (6) times a year by O. Henry House, Inc. in conjunction with the Inland Empire Institute. Subscription price is \$72 per year third class mail and \$87 per year first class & Canadian mail. Back issues available @ \$12.50 ea. California residents add 7.75% sales tax. If you have any problem with your subscription or have a change of address, please contact O. Henry House at the address below. All information in this publication is for informational purposes only.

## **The FOLLOW UP**

**O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.**

**1390 E. 6th Street, Ste 5**

**Beaumont, CA 92223**

**909-845-5907**

**between 1 and 3 Pacific time**

Please address all correspondence to this address. This includes submission of material for publication consideration, letters to the editor, opinions, comments - whatever.

Thank you,  
Spencer Toner  
Managing Editor

**HENRY HOUSE, INC.**



THE SARTIN  
METHODOLOGY

**1390 E. 6th Street #5**

**O. Beaumont, CA 92223**



### **STATEMENT OF POLICY**

The Sartin Methodology is based in Psychotherapy and its goals are NOT directed toward fostering the illusions or delusions of gamblers seeking magic solutions for picking winners. We are primarily a healing arts organization dedicated to providing an alternative solution to mainstream psychiatry's prescription of total abstinence for non-winning handicappers. Our slogan is - and always has been -

**"THE CURE FOR LOSING IS WINNING"**



COPYRIGHT 1993 O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.

All right reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher.


Past Performance and Results charts copyrighted by Daily Racing Form, Inc.



FROM THE

# Publisher's Desk

Doc Sartin



If I wore a Hat, which I do NOT, under strict orders from Jim "The Hat" Bradshaw, I would tip it to JIM BAYLE of SPORTSTAT in Las Vegas. He is both a gentleman and a scholar and NEVER lets his subjective opinions influence his statistics.

A few months ago one of our clients told someone at SPORTSTAT that-- "Sartin says--" (Fighting words to most of those outside our group) "Sartin says that the Daily Racing Form Speed Rating and Variant is 8% better than the Beyer Numbers and that using the best of the LAST THREE SR (+V when called for) is the best mechanical way to pick contenders and pace lines."

I am told that the response was "As usual Sartin is full of --- (expletives deleted). Further, I am told, that One Thousand Dollars was offered to anyone who could prove me correct. Fade In-Fade Out. Six Months Later, (last month) master statistician, JIM BAYLE of SPORTSTAT published a report comparing, with NO DISCRIMINATION as to distance, surface, class or ANYthing else, Daily Racing Form Speed Ratings with and without Variant to a number of OTHER ratings. Among them, but not limited to, the Beyer numbers, Ragozin Sheets and Thoroughgraph. I won't usurp SPORTSTAT'S prerogative or infringe upon their copyright by releasing any other numbers, ONLY those which come free for the price of a Racing Form.

I am not out to embarrass anyone's service, especially Ragozin's or Henry Kuck's, whose numbers are best applied when interpretive instructions are followed. But, on the other hand, that also applies to DRF figures, doesn't it?

Because he's a nice guy, I will say that analysis of Barry Meadow's Master Ratings for horses with odds of 4-1 and up were exciting because, even while producing a low Win Percentage and fewer "Plays," they actually showed a profit.

In SPORTSTAT'S study they used Hollywood Park's Summer Meet, because it was virtually uninfluenced by weather changes; and OTHER, meaning samples from areas in North America that ARE subject to the vagaries of weather.

OUR (My) recommended use of the DRF SR (+V) when called for, is THE BEST OF THE LAST THREE AT A DISTANCE COMPARABLE TO THAT OF TODAY'S RACE. This means that sometimes you'll have to go back four races. Beyond four I'm very skeptical. Even this guideline can be modified, WHEN APPLICABLE, by use of our own Sprint/Route Projector or Route to Sprint Extractor.

---

Project Sprint to Route ↘ 1=Yes 2=No

---

Extract Sprint from Route 1=Yes 2=No

I previously added Comparable Class and Surface.(Track, Dirt, Turf). This may be best for beginners but our current and ongoing research indicates that, especially in Exotic Wagering, a Turf line in a Dirt Race or Vice Versa, often gets the Place and/or Show horse. As for CLASS, the BIG winners with Quad-Rater combined with EXDC, I ignore it completely. So does Shane because CLASS is inherent in TWO of our new Quad-Rater's readouts: POR and POH. We had ONE client who missed a \$72 horse because he threw it out on the basis of it going UP in class. (Man-Made Class designation). Shane and I will take the \$72 anytime.

Before viewing the SPORTSTAT figures let me once again remind you that they were compiled without regard to distance, class or even surface.

## Daily Racing Form Speed Ratings

### Best Speed Rating of Last Three Starts

Tracks	Num	Avg Odds	Exp. W%	Act W%	Act P%	Act S%	Win ROI
Hol	233	↘ 7.28	20.95	25.75	39.48	53.65	-0.05
Others	771	↘ 6.60	20.18	20.88	35.67	50.84	-0.18

## Daily Racing Form Speed Ratings + Track Variant

### Best Figure of Last Three Starts

Tracks	Num	Avg Odds	Exp. W%	Act W%	Act P%	Act S%	Win ROI
Hol	232	↘ 9.01	18.83	23.71	39.22	50.88	-0.04
Others	826	↘ 6.60	19.72	21.31	36.80	49.64	-0.04

## Beyer Speed Ratings

Daily Racing Form

### Best Last Race Figure

Tracks	Num	Avg Odds	Exp. W%	Act W%	Act P%	Act S%	Win ROI
Hol	223	↘ 3.79	25.22	27.35	43.95	62.78	-0.20
Others	737	↘ 3.63	25.12	25.24	41.52	56.85	-0.17

Chart 9

### Best Figure of Last Three Starts

Tracks	Num	Avg Odds	Exp. W%	Act W%	Act P%	Act S%	Win ROI
Hol	225	↘ 4.58	24.27	26.67	43.56	57.33	-0.10
Others	745	↘ 4.26	24.03	24.43	41.21	55.84	-0.17

Pay particular attention to the columns headed: "AVE. ODDS." and the ROI. You'll note that while the Best of the Last Three Beyer Numbers produced about 5% more winners at Hollywood and 3% elsewhere, the Average Win Odds were 4.2 to one. The Best of the last three DRF Speed Ratings ALONE show average win odds of 6.45 to one; AND, with Variant added, win odds of 7.8 to one.

All this becomes especially interesting, yea, amusing, when we look back and remember that when the Daily Racing Form "Purchased" the rights to the Beyer Numbers from Racing Times, they made it known that they were going to do away with the Speed Ratings + Variant and go with Beyer's Ratings only. I personally started a protest against this and enlisted the support of many clients led by Dr. Alex Milstein, Jim Bradshaw, Tom Brohamer and his many race track cronies. All together we amassed over one thousand telegrams, phone calls, letters and Fax's.

Finally the New Jersey office of the Daily Racing Form called me personally and said, "Enough Already!" and kept the Speed Rating and Variant figures.

I have been "touting" the use of the DRF Speed Rating + Variant in most areas, for 17 years. based on extensive research by myself and those probationary truck drivers I started out with in 1975. We took all *THREE* major editions of the DRF, plus a few minor one's. Until just a few years ago *ONLY* the Eastern Edition contained Variants. For all of those seventeen years I have heard nothing but criticism of my findings from so-called experts *EVERYWHERE*. Therefore it was music to my ears when I called JIM BAYLE at SPORTSTAT to get the report and his first words to me were: "You guys were right all along."

This is the reason I tip my non-existent hat to BAYLE. He is willing to subordinate his subjective, sensory perception to TRUTH!

I didn't tell him that "You Guys" was me alone until Bradshaw came along and, to a certain degree, backed me up. I will credit a large number of clients with accepting my premise. Many others did not and still *DO NOT* because they've been contaminated by false assertions from virtually every "Expert" in print. They debunk the Racing Form's SR because the times on which they are based was allegedly run by some superhorse who mysteriously disappeared and never ran at that track again. They dismiss the Variant because they say that the way it is averaged is misleading.

**ALL AVERAGES are misleading! Especially those made by the Mainstream's so-called Experts. Their stats are distorted by the grinding of a self-serving Ax.**

**The true reason the experts debunk the DRF Speed Ratings and Variant is: MOST OF THEM ARE SELLING EITHER THEIR OWN RATINGS OR VARIANTS OR BOTH!!! An' dat's da' name a' dat' tune.**

**My SPORTSTAT report cost me \$38 even though the cover price says \$45. It was well worth the money. The cost of Sportstat's Service is much less when purchased by the month. They have and, will continue to produce very interesting and dependable statistics to aid all those who wager enough to justify their professional aspirations AND who will optimally USE FACTS over fiction.**

-----0-----

**Here is a copy of a Memorandum sent by me in July to all members of a group of clients throughout North America who Test various programs and Methodology concepts:**

**MEMORANDUM**

**TO: All Testers, Sartin Methodology Advanced Computer programs.  
FROM: Sartin**

**HENCEFORTH, UNTIL FURTHER NOTICE, ALL TESTS SHOULD COME FROM TRACKS OTHER THAN THOSE LOCATED IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. WHILE WE ALL KNOW THESE PROGRAMS WORK JUST AS WELL THROUGHOUT NORTH AMERICA, A NUMBER OF PERSONS, LED BY ANDREW BEYER, DAVE LIT FIN, ETC. SEEM TO BE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT WE ARE A "WEST COAST PHENOMENON." WE ARE NOT AND THIS MEMO IS DESIGNED TO PROVE THAT FACT CONCLUSIVELY.**

-----0-----

**In September PHIL GOWENS invited a few clients to join him at Stateline, Nevada for a two-day hands-on training session. Editor SPENCER TONER invited a few more and we ended up with eighteen, including STEVE SCHMIDT who helped me solve the FRACTALS dilemma by giving me a book called the TAU OF CHAOS.**

**At the Prima Donna Horsebook we spent TWO grueling but rewarding days taking some clients who wanted help step by step through whatever computer program they were using. A couple were even practicing the visual MATCHUP ala Bradshaw and our "You Call The Race" visualization exercise from FU 46.**

The rest were using QUAD-RATER copied to either SYNERGETIC/MATHCH-UP, which everyone insists on calling Syner-GIS-tic Match Up, or EXDC/THOROMATION. Everyone made money! Some made *LOTS* of money. Phil and I didn't make much. We couldn't focus on handicapping. Our reward was hour after hour of helping others. Their response gave us the kind of riches that was a greater reward than mere money.

-----o-----

During 1993 and 1994 I wrote three articles for American Turf Monthly. In each I gave away what "Used to be" some of "our secrets." I even offered ATM readers FREE most of our basic, 1980's handicapping formulae, but only if they sent me a stamped self-addressed envelope. I didn't want to make it too easy. Surprisingly we have had to date from both articles, over sixteen hundred requests for the material and "Thank You" letters from ATM subscribers telling me that by using JUST ONE SINGLE factor from my material they were winning for the first time in their lives.

Sadly, this won't last long for many. They'll start fiddling with the formulae and return to their same old ways. A lot of you did that before we started protecting our programs. Some clients STILL do it. They WIN big following my specific directions, then they decide to deviate from my prescription and mix in some miscalculated class number or body language or whatever, and wonder what's wrong with OUR programs. Their real problem is that they're still like the little children of Hamelin: they follow any pied piper with a flute so long as he's written a book, some articles or has his name in a racing publication.

In short, they have no real self-esteem and become, as so many persons in all fields and all areas of society: A LAST MAN'S MAN. People like this have no business wasting money in a stochastic endeavor. SUCCESSFUL Horse Race Handicapping requires a deep sense of SELF WORTH and cannot be consistently achieved by listening to other "horseplay's: OR - and this is an even more common error, trying to bask in the glory of some handicapping "Big Name."

Yes, it's true: the great achievers in this world have had MENTORS. But if you listen to or read their words, they chose ONE MENTOR per field of endeavor for life and were influenced only by Him/Her or those under the same aegis. They heard the flute of only ONE pied piper. Yet they didn't follow blindly, en masse, to be, along with all the rats, lost forever. No, they followed only long enough to join their piper as equals, sometimes even surpassing the Mentor to achieve their goals. The moral of that OTHER pied piper story is that one will be lost if one FAILS to PAY THE PIPER. That's where the term comes from. And when I say pay, I'm not re-



ferring to money. I mean pay your piper by duplicating and then exceeding his/her feats or deeds. But don't ever forget who it was 'what brung you.' Those who do will eventually pay a price much HIGHER than that due the Piper.

Which brings me to the point of this thesis: Many of YOU are being very critical of ME for giving away of formulae. I do it for THREE good reasons.

1. WE DO NOT USE THE FORMULAE I GAVE AWAY in any of our advanced programs. Nor will we ever use them in the future.
2. To spawn a school of Red Herrings. As in our book, Pace Makes the Race, to let the general public have something that might help them to at least break even and lead the pirates to believe that these formulae are the basis of the Methodology.
3. All of our original formulae has been "importuned" by 90% of those currently peddling computer handicapping material.

Concurrent with my September AMERICAN TURF MONTHLY articles there appeared this Editorial from IAN C. BLAIR, the magazine's editor. Not only was I NEVER Phi Beta Kappa, I wasn't even invited to join Sigma Chi (The social fraternity). I would hardly call my article a "Think Piece," since of the 700+ letters I received from ATM subscribers since that article appeared, and of the almost one thousand responses to a January article, OVER HALF were neatly typed and from obviously educated, high I.Q. persons.

Mr. Blair is a very kind, patient man and DOES have an excellent Editorial Policy. However, I think he tends to underestimate the average intelligence of his readers. Granted, I got a few "dumb" letters, too. But the majority were bright. ATM must never forget that it was THEY who launched and perpetuated the writings of RAY TAULBOT.



## • EDITOR'S NOTE •

As we put together this month's AMERICAN TURF MONTHLY, we began referring to it as "the Phi Beta Kappa issue." If you take a moment to read Charles Phillips' article on Beyer Speed Figures on page 12 or Howard Sartin's feature on page 46, we think you'll understand why.

Initially, we were a little overwhelmed by Phillips' math critique and by Dr. Sartin's energy analyses. We admit it: we're not highly sophisticated, math-oriented handicappers. As editors, however, we're always looking to publish features that explore all aspects of the game. The contributions by Sartin and Phillips in this issue represent one end of the handicapping spectrum—the numbers-oriented, computer-assisted school which emphasizes the science of the game more than its art. Even if you're like us and sometimes get put off by those who would turn horseplaying into rocket science, we urge you to take the time to read the features by Sartin and Phillips if for no other reason than to open yourself up to their perspective and to understand ways of seeing the game you may not have previously considered. Even if you think what they're saying is hogwash, at least we've stimulated some critical thinking on the part of our readers.

However, our mission is also to achieve a sense of editorial balance. While the Sartin and Phillips articles may be the Phi Beta Kappa "think pieces" of this issue,


He goes on to describe some other features in the same issue. Then he concludes with...

— horse race handicapping can never be reduced to pure science.\*


Thoroughbred racing is one of the world's great games because there's room for everyone—the speed guys, pace handicappers, body english analysts, hunch bettors, trip handicappers, class and pedigree experts, off-track players, people who play trainers, jockeys or even their kids' birthdays. No one school of handicapping can legitimately claim the moral high ground. However you play the game—whether by computer-assisted number crunching or by betting on jockey silks—what matters ultimately is having fun and cashing enough tickets along the way to show a profit.

\* APPARENTLY MR. BLAIR DOES NOT REALIZE THAT I HAVE CHAMPIONED THE ACCURACY OF THIS STATEMENT FOR 20 YEARS. I HAVE ALWAYS SAID THAT SUCCESSFUL HANDICAPPING IS 20% INFORMATION (SCIENCE) AND 80% INSPIRATION. (INSIGHT - INTUITION). SINCE HIS EDITORIAL CONCLUDES WITH "-TO SHOW A PROFIT." I WOULD HASTEN TO WARN THOSE WHO PLAY THEIR KID'S BIRTHDAY TO RETIRE AFTER THE FIRST WIN. THEN QUIT HANDICAPPING, AND FOR THAT MATTER, QUIT HAVING KIDS.

ON PRINCIPLE I AGREE 100% WITH BLAIR'S ECLECTIC EDITORIAL APPROACH. A FAR CRY FROM THE CONTRIVED, SELF-SERVING UNITY DISPLAYED THUS FAR IN ATM'S COMPETITOR: "HORSEPLAYER MAGAZINE."



FROM THE  
**Editor's**  
**Desk**



As we go to press we are also leaving for the seminar in Oklahoma City. Remington Park Race Track will be such a pleasure to attend as the ambiance and perfect maintenance of the facilities are a dream to behold. Remington Park truly is the model and standard by which to measure how a race track can be run.

Also, it will be with happy anticipation, seeing again friends and the *Oklahoma Mafia*, which gave us Past Performance Plus. Using the services of Past Performance Plus gave many of us the first taste of what the rest of the world is experiencing in the popularly labeled *INFORMATION SUPER HIGHWAY* What a pleasure it's been to use that service, provided by Jim Bradshaw and Tony Rust, and others behind the scenes that were making it work. Even now that we are shifting our source of data to Bloodstock Research Information Service (BRIS) from Lexington, Kentucky, the standards of quality service and innovation from PP+ carry on.

Bloodstock has set-up a special area on their down-loading service, where the files will be *pre-compressed* as Tony Rust did for us these many months.

The file area is called HAT. HAT can be found by choosing special area #9, the "programmers data base" and then typing : HAT. Very nice of these folks at BRIS to take us into consideration and provide for our needs. We look forward to a long term relationship with BRIS.

Bloodstock is also, because of PP+'s influence, installing newer , faster computers to get our downloading time reduced. PP+ set a new standard and BRIS is responding.

Each year that I've attended *COMDEX* the computer trade-show in Las Vegas, the pessimistic side of me (the small side I hope) sees new innovations that *I feel* the market is not ready to embrace. A few years ago fax machines were everywhere at Comdex. I didn't think they would fly. Was I ever wrong. Fax machines today are everywhere, to the point office workers fax their lunch orders to the deli on the corner. Now fax messages are not necessarily input from a sheet of paper, but directly from the computer screen itself. My new sub-notebook computer even has a mode to keep it in a suspended mode ready to come awake whenever an incoming message occurs.

Last year the big new thing at *COMDEX*, was hooking radios and computers together. I could see the application for fleets of delivery trucks, but give me a break, horseplayers would never find this useful. So again watch pessimistic me be wrong. Now, Racetrax devices (an fm receiver with scratches and results and eventually live odds), and the Connecticut OTB with a toll free 800 number to bet, have made our favorite sport portable. It's inevitable that other states, other than Connecticut will introduce a competitive alternative with innovative new services.

Keep me posted of anything new out there, so it can be shared.

---

In a recent discussion with a colleague, who has an excellent background in statistics, a reawakening of reality set in about the feelings one gets after a great or poor day or week-end of betting.

The real bottom line is dollars won. The occurrence of the wins and or the price of the mutuel may make us feel good or bad, (how we handle it emotionally may affect our skill), but when all is said and done the money in the pocket is what counts. This particular individual, first kept a large enough record base of his wins (with \$ amounts) and losses.

Now when he plays, he could care less what occurs, because he knows the expected results. He first had to get the large sample to know what to expect. Now he plays and when the results go to extremes his background in statistics tells him what is occurring is perfectly normal and to just "keep betting" and the money will be there.

This is another reminder for us to keep accurate records.

This past week to get the Follow -Up out and to get away from the phone I joined my statistically-oriented friend in Las Vegas. Armed with records of my own, each morning after making my selections, my friend would take my bets as I remained and worked on the F.U.

Great results the early part of the week, and not so good at the end. The net results were almost exactly as my long term records indicated my win percentage and profit should be. Amazingly- he was correct.

---

QUAD-RATER has been added to my arsenal. I have added the factors to my deliberation in preponderance of evidence mode of decision making. It works, try it.

---

QUAD-RATER requires that you keep the write protect tab on your 3.5 disk in the closed (write enable) position. This is referring the square window in the upper corner of your disk. We ship it to you in the closed position, but sometimes people open it thinking that they are protecting their disk. This is fine, as long as you remember to CLOSE the window when you are using the program! When the window is in the open position, the program cannot receive (write) any data.

**MESSAGE** (FOR: SHANE)

FROM: DEAN MILLWARD DATE: 9-27-94  
OF: CN TIME:  AM  PM  
MESSAGE: RETRAINING GRAPH DOT COM  
IT WAS XTRA ONE  
QUAD WORKS GREAT  
PHONE ( ) EXT:   
FAX ( ) BY: DEA

Phoned you  
 Please call  
 Will call again  
 Returned your call  
 Came to see you  
 Wants to see you  
 URGENT

# THE COMPUTER COLUMN

by Spencer Toner



## COMPUTER COLUMN

By Spencer Toner

Computers are always improving as the one we own is losing its value. Never can a computer be sold for what you paid. The perfect computer for our needs is so highly priced when first on the market. Then, finally we are ready to buy that computer with its features. Now, a newer computer hits the market with even greater features, and of course, we feel it is definitely too pricey. And then the cycle begins again.

Recently, a client bought a new computer for handicapping and I got to help him set-up his new prize. It's a sub-notebook, with the new metal nickel hydride battery (that doesn't have the chemical memory problems of the Ni-Cads), a 170 megabyte hard disk drive, a crystal clear screen and the computer is small enough to carry to the window to cash tickets.

Working with the clients computer, I couldn't resist. I now have one too. Everything I do on a computer is now in this one unit. One of the first things I did was run *Stacker* which compresses the hard disk, so now 400 megabytes are available for storage. The computer comes loaded with *Windows* and since *Word for Windows* is my choice for a word processing program, I'm doing the F. U. on this new machine. I now keep the little jewel with me constantly because of its small size, long life battery and clear screen.

Comdex, in Las Vegas, the largest computer show in the world will be here (November) before we know it. Even though there will be many new things to see, I'm happy with my choice. Hope you attend and I see you there.

---

The computer industry is so huge and to comment about computers is what this column is intended. In the Sartin Methodology the basic principles of winning requires that we use computers to fully utilize our tools to win..

A lot of clients call with their computer questions and most of these questions are easily answered. However, in talking to computer science professionals, of which I am not one, I'm reminded that it may do the client a dis-service to help too much. What I'm referring to is when clients don't know how to find the answers they just call. Basic questions are answered without clients trying to answer the questions themselves. They may not develop strong skills because they don't have too.

Who would have guessed such a complex world as we now experience would evolve. The basics of readin, writin and rithmetic used to be all that was required for literacy. This passed some distant decades ago. What does the contemporary individual do to be computer literate? The client has available resources from the industry and community to learn computer skills.

May I suggest you get a library card and read regularly the magazines and books pertaining to computers. The amount of information is staggering and free.

The day has passed when one's formal education stopped at graduation. Today, all communities have public adult education classes for computers. These classes are perfect for us. Sign up for these classes. They fill early and require early enrollment because of their popularity.

Computer science has a language of its own (as does the Methodology). To be literate with computers, study is required to learn the language and other skills.

Consideration was made to have this article be information on some of the basics in computer usage. It's natural to be helpful. You'll find that if you help someone with their computer your own knowledge will expand. Due to the complexity of the subject, in depth articles would fill volumes and only repeat what is already available. That would be an error. Therefore, reach out to the industry and community that truly wants to share information in depth and in ways that could not be covered here.

Once again...

from the top

by Doc Sartin



A Special Series of  
Articles for Beginners and a  
Refresher Course for those in need



## WIN ENERGY - COUNTER ENERGY & TANDEM RACES

---

As promised in FU #46 these are our topics for discussion this time.

**FIRST** and foremost, the old dichotomy of Early-Late must be discarded. This will be the hardest thing for most of you to accept and I'm certain that many of you **NEVER** will. Fortunately many attendees at the Meadowlands Workshop **DID** believe. When they handicapped Monmouth and Pimlico on Sunday their profitable results proved it to them for all time. As one of New York's Finest, said to me: "What a relief. Going with that Early-Late stuff has been the main cause of my losses." If a street-wise New York police officer can accept the premise, why can't everyone else?

This is not a condemnation of *MODELING* per se but of modeling strictly by *YOUR VISUAL PERCEPTION* as to whether horses necessarily run Early or Late or that Tracks themselves can be categorized as Early or Late.

### **MODELING**

A time honored Scientific  
Concept. But in new era  
Handicapping, The old  
Early/Late dichotomy is  
an **ILLUSION.**



The concept of Modeling is one of science's most revered techniques. As a concept it is *STILL* quite valid. It is the Early/Late dichotomy so many of you are following, that is invalid. Tom Brohamer's old simplistic Modeling of Phase Three Factors *DID NOT* recommend an Early/Late dichotomy. It included Factor W or Average Pace, as he preferred figuring it, as a synthesis. It was a great tool for Phase III users who utilized it properly in a day when our readouts were minimal. But all too many clients forgot the synthesis and turned modeling into a dangerous either-or proposition.

---

~~Later in this same issue,~~ we'll discuss an inexpensive *NEW* computer program and technique for using it called FACTOR EVALUATOR (FEV1) that is applicable to EVERY computer program in our arsenal.

SORRY, NOT ENOUGH ROOM  
THIS ISSUE. SEE F.U.48

---

Yes, there is such a thing as a "Bias!" There are still times when any track may favor, by distance and/or surface, those horses that produce numerically definable *TENDENCIES* for win or being in the money through the exertion of given Energy percentage in the early, middle or later stages of the race. Likewise there are *HORSES* that *TEND* to *WIN* or be In The Money in accordance with certain defined Energy Expenditures.

But these factors cannot be delineated except by certain extraordinary handicappers using the simple dichotomy of a visually subjective Early, Presser, Sustained or Late quadchotomy. (quadruple dichotomy). And, I'm going to repeat that **MANY OF YOU WILL NOT ACCEPT THIS FACT** because it goes against the simplistic, ritualized manifesto that has been previously preached by some of our foremost teachers. Even to some extent, by ME. But, never I must add by Jim "The Hat," who has always said, "Sheeat, I don't use no model-" By that he means, Early-Late Model. Although he will confess to an Early, Late *TENDENCY* by distance at certain tracks such as Oaklawn Park in Arkansas.

Visual ESP is vital in determining *NEED TO LEAD* horses and those who are perennial *ONE MOVE CLOSERS*. But, it is *VITAL* that you make your decision about *NEED TO LEAD* horses based on whether, in order to win, or be in the money, they need that lead at the *FIRST CALL* or at the *SECOND*. The distinction is important. Unless you are blessed with a certain American Indian or Asiatic-type insight, these are the **ONLY** kind of horses whose actual running patterns can **ACCURATELY** be determined by sensory perception. I'm talking about the kind of sensory perception that tells us the world is flat and stationary. Oh, sure we *KNOW NOW* that it's round and spins at dizzying speeds. But our material senses don't tell us that.

We learned it primarily from the voyages of Christopher Columbus. Before Columbus there were many European Scientists who suspected it and the Chinese have known it for five thousand years.

Another thing that will be hard for many to accept is that a *TRACK PROFILE* made from Results Charts is, to all but the literati, highly misleading. A Results Profile tells you how horses won or were In-The Money according to the match-up of a race already run. To believe that just because all winners - for instance - were *ON THE LEAD* or within two and one half lengths at the Second Call is almost meaningless *EXCEPT* to those who spot play *ONLY* certain kinds of highly formful races and even then surprises abound.

This is something I've always preached about because the Match-Up of the Past Performances from which you are making your predictions is seldom the same as the Match-Up seen in the Results Charts.

This doesn't mean that your analysis of the Past Performances is not correct. Our computer programs are specifically *DESIGNED* to overcome this paradox. The differences between a well-made Profile and an analysis of Model-able computer readouts may be subtle, especially to Spot Players, but it is *THERE*, and accepting it as *FACT* can be the difference between winning and losing the race.

To help you make ESP distinctions we have for several years now, provided you with Energy and/or Kinetic Generators that evaluate ESP by percentage of Energy Exertion at all race calls. Many clients ignore these distinctions and rely on their senses and then ask *ME* why their profile and/or model is off. It was *OFF* because they were relying on their fickle, subjective perception to lure them into dangerous misconceptions.

While it's too late for you to do anything about it now, Del Mar, 1994 was a prime example. A battle raged throughout the meet between some recognized experts as to whether the track had an Early or Late Bias. A few of them wrote articles about it in American Turf Monthly and in a new magazine called, "The Horseplayer." Their arguments had to come from their visual perception because none of them, happily for us, had/or believed in the ESP designations made by an Energy Generator.

To demonstrate what I mean, I took the results from *TWO* consecutive days at Del Mar in which there were *SEVEN* wire to wire winners. To those whose egos trusted their material senses seven wire to wire winners two days running, meant *EARLY*. That was *NOT* the case. Here, from our Energy Generator are the races in question:

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
1	TELF	5.0	22.1	45.4	58.1	58.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	%Med	Lex	Fin	ESP Type
TELF	188.62	64.74	66.83	68.43	31.57	31.57	Sustained

#	NAME	DIST.	CALL	CALL	CALL	CALL	B/L	B/L	B/L	B/L
2	SHARP	5.0	22.0	45.1	57.3	57.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	%Med	Lex	Fin	ESP Type
SHARP	170.12	64.73	66.56	68.71	31.29	31.29	S/P Presser

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
3	SHES	6.5	22.0	45.1	109.4	116.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	%Med	Lex	Fin	ESP Type
SHES	170.47	64.80	66.62	68.57	31.43	31.43	S/P Presser

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
4	FORGT	8.5	23.4	47.4	112.2	143.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	%Med	Lex	Fin	ESP Type
FORGT	161.77	65.86	66.83	67.31	32.69	32.69	Sustained

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
5	KING	8.5	23.1	46.4	110.1	141.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	%Med	Lex	Fin	ESP Type
KING	166.05	66.03	66.03	67.95	32.05	32.05	S/P Presser

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
6	WIND	6.5	22.2	45.0	110.1	116.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	%Med	Lex	Fin	ESP Type
WIND	169.88	65.31	65.62	69.07	30.93	30.93	E/P Presser

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	3RD CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
7	WENS	8.5	22.3	48.1	113.1	144.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	%Med	Lex	Fin	ESP Type
WENS	160.80	65.94	67.16	66.90	33.10	33.10	Sustained

SUMMARY

There were Four Sprints and Three Routes in this particular two day cluster of Wire to Wire Winners:

AVE. MEDIAN ENERGY PERCENT: 68.13 %  
AVE. 3RD FRACTION PERCENT : 31.85 %

These are anything but EARLY percentages as you all know. An Early Median would be around 69.80%. An Early 3rd Fraction percentage would be in the 30.20% area, NOT almost 32%. It is easy to see why so many "Experts" whose egos prevent them from researching and assaying objective data rather than subjective perceptions, have so much trouble getting a "handle" on Del Mar.

Most so-called handicapping "Experts" eschew the scientific method for *THEIR* data analysis because, to BE an expert requires a very healthy ego. Unfortunately too many highly regarded experts confuse a healthy ego with an inflated one. Perhaps, for some persons being known a real or "advertised" winner, especially when one writes several "How To" books, imposes pressures that can only be compensated for by the kind of personal and literary bravado that extols the "expert's" personal and subjective perceptions ahead of reality.

Granted, there are a given FEW (very few) whose depth of insight DO WIN consistently despite flaws in their material perception. I'm happy to say that I know and am friends with two of them. I admire and like them a lot, but I'm not egocentric enough to think that I can begin to *DUPLICATE* their prescience. If YOU can, just tell me who you are, give me your phone number and I'll call you for advice.

Meanwhile, I'll use my Engen.

The above races are but seven examples. We tracked every wire-to-wire winner for the entire Del Mar meet and there were only Three fitting any designation that even approached being EARLY and these were EARLY PRESSERS. One of them is on the above list: WIND whose Median Energy was 69.70% and whose 3rd fraction was 31.21%

Since 1983 Del Mar has demanded, by distance, certain parameters of final fraction Energy demands that are distinctly higher than those imposed by any other California Track. To the dismay of those whose egos, or whatever, insist on determining EARLY, SUSTAINED, PRESSER or LATE by subjective visual perception, these 3rd fraction Energy requirements *DO* exist, by distance, *REGARDLESS* of a horse's *POSITION* relative to the lead at the 2nd Call.

While *ALL* tracks can be similarly *ENERGY* profiled, none to date, has shown such long term consistency as Del Mar. Perhaps this is why so many renowned experts flee the track crying that they just can't get a "handle" on it. In the East, Saratoga, has been showing similar tendencies since 1985 when we first started going there.

## COUNTER ENERGY

---

The term means just what it says: a horse whose energy pattern is counter to that of the winner. This means that you need to know from what Energy Parameter Range winners are coming from by track, surface and distance. Counter-Energy horses are the ones that most frequently PLACE. Roughly 60% of the time, in fact.

Horses with the SAME energy pattern as winners place on an average of 25% of the time. SHOW horses display energy patterns similar to the winner 67% of the time. Ironically, when the Place Horse qualifies in the 25% category of running the Winner's Pattern, the Show horse is generally the COUNTER-Energy horse.

A lot of clients mistakenly think that the COUNTER-ENERGY for Place horse is the one whose Early or Late Energy is the most deviant from WIN Energy. This is NOT the case. Harkening back to the words of TOM BROHAMER, who said, "NEVER leave a horse in your readouts unless you are willing to BET IT." In terms of Exactas this means that *ONLY VIABLE* contenders from *OTHER* readouts should be considered. Then use the two who fit the WIN Energy pattern with the ONE with the Energy pattern counter to them. In so doing, boxing the three, you optimize your chances of winning the Exacta with the 25% who DO exhibit Win Energy along with the 60% who Place with Counter Energy.

Since this adds up to only 85%, what wins the other fifteen percent? A variety of factors, including inordinately high class and other things not sufficiently dependable for consistent prediction. And PLEASE, please remember that capitalizing on the 3 horse box potential of 85% Exactas is totally dependent on the user choosing the correct three. When you can do this at least 55% of the time, you'll be up there with the very best. Work on it, practice, keep records. That's the only way to accomplish it.

## THE TANDEM CONCEPT

---

Along with Counter-Energy, the Tandem Concept remains as a singular product of the Sartin Methodology. It's quite easy to understand why nobody ever conceived of Counter-Energy before. Nobody else ever tried to find a way to measure *ENERGY PERCENTAGES* at all before.

Why the TANDEM CONCEPT should be unique with us I'll never know. (Well it WAS unique with us until Dick Mitchell wrote about it in two books as being the "Best kept secret in Handicapping"). Now it's a secret only to non-literate handicappers who, fortunately are in the vast majority. (That's *NON*-literate, not illiterate).

Quite simply A TANDEM RACE is one in which two or more contenders come out of the SAME race; preferably the LAST race; but any of the last THREE at a comparable distance, (Sprint or Route), is acceptable. The SECOND qualifier is that the PACE OF THE TANDEM race MUST rank in the TOP 2 when evaluating Tandem Horses against other contenders. The simplest way to determine this is to ENTER the PACE of the Tandem Race, the calls with NO beaten lengths, in whatever computer program you use. (Quad-Rater has a special column for this). If that line ranks in the POR top two (or ties), ANY tandem horse who finished within 4.5 lengths of the Tandem Race Winner, becomes eligible. We have teachers who don't CARE about the number of beaten lengths and enter ANY Tandem horse. But before you join them in playing left field I suggest that you learn thoroughly the basic concept FIRST.

When the *WINNER* of the Tandem Race is *NOT* in Today's race but two or more others from that race ARE, we use the Best Tandem Finisher as *IF IT WERE* the winner and apply the same procedure.

The Tandem Concept was developed because clients kept asking me why the computer's predicted order of finish was the same as that of the Tandem Race as actually run? To best answer them I did a long study. At major North American Tracks winners will repeat a maximum of 23% of the time. At lower class tracks the number dips to around 13%. The National average is 17%. *HOWEVER*, in races that qualify by the above guidelines, a maximum of 12% and an average of 8% winners will repeat. Even these repeaters are those whose running styles *OVERWHELMINGLY* conform to the Median or 3rd Fraction Energy Percent (Whichever you choose as YOUR evaluator) that is dominant among winners by distance and surface at the time. *KNOWING THIS* is useful but not truly essential because the percentage of repeat winners is still quite low. Just don't get discouraged and drop the concept when you become the victim of the 8 to 12% who *DO* repeat as winners.

-----0-----

In the next installment we'll discuss "Modeling" as a legitimate scientific concept and how it can best be currently applied through Factor Evaluation, NOT as an "Either/Or Binary dichotomy but as an outgrowth of the Analog: "Both-And," which is at the very foundation of "New Era" Math and physics. Just look back on your Modeling attempts and truthfully answer which of the concepts below has been the more applicable, The Binary shunt or Analog cycle?

**S**OMETIMES  
THE ROAD LESS  
TRAVELED IS  
LESS TRAVELED  
FOR A REASON.

THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY

# LAS VEGAS SEMINAR

**NOVEMBER 18•19•20•1994**

**THE SANDS**

*Howard Sartin*

*Tom Ainslie • Jim Bradshaw • Tom Brohamer*

*Phil Gouvens • Vic Palermo • Spencer Toner*

## **THE BIG ONE!**

**OPEN TO CLIENT AND NON-CLIENT ALIKE**

**A COMPLETE OVERVIEW  
FROM PHASE I  
TO  
THOROMATION**

**TO RESERVE A ROOM AT THE SANDS:**

MAKE YOUR ROOM RESERVATIONS AT THE SANDS  
NO LATER THAN NOV 3, 1994 FOR SPECIAL ROOM RATE OF \$70  
INCLUDES CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST DAILY

1-800-446-4078 and ask for extension 5121 -

BE SURE TO SAY YOU ARE WITH THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY GROUP

**TO RESERVE YOUR SPACE AT THE SEMINAR**

FLYERS WILL BE SENT TO OUR MAILING LIST  
OR SEND SEMINAR FEE TO O. HENRY HOUSE, INC.

**\$275 PER PERSON** CLIENT

**\$470 COUPLES** CLIENT/MARRIED OR SIGNIFICANT OTHER

**\$300 NON-CLIENT** PER PERSON NON-CLIENT

DOC SARTIN — **TAO  
OF  
CHAOS**  
HANDICAPPING

*DOC SARTIN NOTE: It is unfortunate that this word is pronounced "dow". When one uses the word around those unfamiliar with it, they often confuse it with the Dow, as in "Dow Jones averages". Even in their great wisdom, which today has so much influence on Western science, medicine and philosophy, the Chinese didn't anticipate the New York Stock Exchange several thousand years ago.*

**AS AN INTRODUCTION:**

**A PORTION OF A LETTER FROM CLIENT STEVE SCHMIDT TO MY DAUGHTER, MARY**

I am very impressed with the Doc's application of the *Tao of Chaos* to the Sartin Methodology and look forward to discussing it with him.

I am enclosing my notes on the Shuso Hossen which took place last Sunday at the Zen Mountain Center near Lake Hemet. When Shuso discussed what occurs when he (the separate "I") is lost in his art and the Ki is flowing I was reminded of that sense I think we all get when we are totally involved in a race analysis. It would seem that all of the valuable tools which the methodology provides are the entry point to this state where we "see" the race beyond the concepts of the mind (the only rule is there are no rules) and not "...as in a dream." Each race, then, is a new case, a new koan.


In the definition of Koan, ZCLA states that "...Koans are used to bring the student to realization...the implication is that we are studying ourselves by studying Koans." This strikes me as very similar to what Doc had been teaching all of these years in his discussions on the Psychology of Winning. As we study the races, as we study the Methodology, we are really studying ourselves and the success of our self study is relational to our success at the track.

Quad-Raterly yours,



For openers, we are NOT asking anyone to believe in, or in any manner to accept, the Tao Te Ching. Suffice it to say that many members of the Western intellectual literati in fields such as medicine, physics and philosophy, including some Nobel Prize winners, *have* accepted and are gradually adapting it to Western science and thought. Even the concept and origins of DNA, so much in the news lately, was perceived through the 64 hexagrams of the Chinese *I CHING* thousands of years ago.






two regular arrangements of the 64 hexagrams

### I Ching Tree



### Genetic Code Tree



No, it is not the WAY of Zen to go around chopping down totem poles and erecting crosses. Our only reason for this treatise is to offer you some understanding of certain factors which, when turned into computer formulae, will make the future of YOUR handicapping more profitable and readouts easier to interpret: perhaps even doing away with virtually any need for adjustments or concerns about which paceline to use or what contenders to consider. Since this seems to be what all of you seek, why should you care about its origins?

Even three years ago I would have thought this impossible. But that was before an increasing number of Western world M.D.'s were willing to accept Vedic healing or Western scientists began probing Asian Truths to solve questions about Energy and the Universe.

## Chaos

### The East-West Fork

Platonic polarity. I Ching duality. "The third thing." Gestalts. Yang & yin as field & ground. Chaos bifurcation. Chakras are transformer stations. I Ching history. *Wu wei*. Binary shunt = *either-or*. Analog cycle = *both-and*.

Binary shunt = *either-or*. Analog cycle = *both-and*.

It was NOT Lao Tsu who convinced attendees at the Meadowlands workshop of the fallacy that a track or the horses on it ran either EARLY or LATE. When it was explained to them, NOT in Chinese but in simple English, that the EITHER/OR dichotomy was invalid, they accepted and won lavishly as a result. By dismissing EITHER/OR we come full cycle, returning to my *back-to-the-future*, original Analog Cycle Principle from the yellow manual (it came with your membership package): BOTH/AND. Either/Or is Newtonian, Reductionist. Nothing more than Linear Progression - i.e. "the total equals the sum of its parts". These are the guiding principles behind the systems and methods with which horseplayers have been losing for ages.

BINARY SHUNT = either-or      ANALOG CYCLE = both-and

Further, such systems all had a list of rules and absolutes to follow that made them even more ridiculous. The idea that a set of simplistic rules could conquer something as stochastic as successfully handicapping horses is pure fantasy born of an age when Western theologic science maintained that the earth was flat and the center of the universe and anyone saying otherwise was ridiculed, even punished.

Several thousands of years *before* the Spanish Inquisition, the Chinese sage Lao Tsu said, "When the great WAY is lost, there arise codes of conduct and behavior..." In other words, RULES. He didn't mean that there should be no INTERNALLY imposed codes of behavior. He meant that all who followed the WAY would manifest proper behavior NATURALLY.

What is the WAY? It is known as the TAO.



Look at the above. Do you see vases or faces, or both?

Now, look again



yang  
faces

yang  
vase

yang  
vase

yang  
faces

**Yang-Yin Shift in a Dynamic Gestalt of Field & Ground**

Since I've been accused so often of using esoteric terms, this time I'll oblige by offering specific definitions:

- TAO - THE WAY** The WAY in all things, including behavior, philosophy, science, medicine, AND handicapping. The most ancient precept of the TAO is: If you can verbally explain it, it is NOT the TAO. However, for centuries the great intellects, from both East and West, ignored this dictum and *have* tried to explain it.
- ZEN** From Buddhism: To See (The Way) through contemplation. Seeking Truth, Wisdom and Illumination in all matters, including successful handicapping, through meditative intuition.
- KOAN** In Japanese culture this means a matter for investigative consideration. In Zen, it most often means asking the student or disciple a seemingly "nonsense" question in order to bring that student, through contemplation of the question, to a greater awareness of reality (we get many examples of this definition at seminars).
- MAYA** (this one applies to all "horseplayers" and even many students of handicapping) ILLUSION. The illusory world of pure sensory perception. Seeing not the truth, but subjective "fact". With actual truth being obscured by many veils woven by egocentric trust in one's own sensory perception.

All these terms have great meaning to anyone wishing to make successful handicapping *their* WAY. For of all human endeavors involving material gain, true and successful handicapping is the most honorable of goals. Through the pari-mutuel system, it rewards only the correct and denies the misguided. It is the most democratic and truly egalitarian of all financial endeavors. It is without prejudice as to one's color, sex, political or religious beliefs, national origin, dress mode, physical condition, or cosmetic appearance.

In short, it is the last frontier of the great American Dream.

So, I'll just ask you to humor me for using time-honored Asian terms to explain a few points and for using the eternal wisdom behind the *I Ching* to produce the ULTIMATE weapons for winning the handicapping wars. I'll begin by drawing a parallel between the Tao and Jim "the hat" Bradshaw. Perhaps he never studied the Tao, may even pronounce it with a "T". Yet, very early in our association, he began practicing meditative focus, let his mind pierce the veils of illusion that separated his sensory perception from the truth.

Since most anthropologists agree that the American Indian is of Asian descent, perhaps, in Jim, the Tao is genetic. I almost said in Jim's genes, but spoken aloud that could be misconstrued. "The Hat's " unique application of what he calls his Match-Up, is actually an insightful, intuitive vision of a race as it unfolds from start to finish. A vision born of deep meditation. And if you doubt that, just try conversing with Bradshaw while he's analyzing a race. He is in a virtually transcendental state, immune to all outside influence.

I am always amused when I overhear clients saying they want to "do it like Jimmy". Perhaps one in a hundred, at best, will succeed. Is it not strangely coincidental that Lao Tsu said of the Tao, that if you can explain it, it is NOT the Tao? And of his Match Up, Jim says, "I know it deep inside but I just can't put it into words".

To succeed with the Bradshaw Match Up one cannot merely imitate him, they must, from the

start, DO as Bradshaw DID: Meditate, Visualize and fail; continue to meditate, visualize and then fail a little less. Then continue meditating and visualize until finally MAYA disappears and reality becomes as clear as it ever can be in an aleatory venture.

Now, you ask, So why does he have so much trouble getting the place horse? Why do his exacta choices so often come in first and third? The answer is quite obvious. Jimmy is only half American Indian (he hates the term Native American). His other half is cowboy. While the Indian part meditates and visualizes, the cowboy seeks only to WIN. This is not an uncommon geo-social aspect of those coming from Oklahoma or Texas. The Indian in Jimmy accepts this paradox because, in Jim's mind, the Great Spirit will frown on him if he wins very many exactas.

I'm part Indian too – descended from Pocahontis. But I guess our tribe learned to adapt better than the Cherokee. Sure, we liked to win but with all those white eyes after us, we learned to accept second place, as well. I think we founded Avis while Jimmy's forefathers founded Hertz.

One great example of Jimmy's refusal to think SECOND happened at the Meadowlands Workshop. He was analyzing a race for the group. He picked the winner right on top. Then, when reviewing another horse, he said, "This is an UGLY horse" He turned to me and said, "Howard will probably bet it to Place". I did and it did, at 50 to 1 keying the largest exacta of the day. Was Jim upset? NO! He only wanted the winner...and he got it.


Because, for so many years, I have watched clients try and fail to emulate Bradshaw's ability to win with his Match Up, all of our advanced computer programs are built with algorithms designed to duplicate the power of the Match Up. This is why you see the name Bradshaw even on the few that he did not program.

His spirit is within all advanced programs. And to me, spirit is more important than perceptual fact. The day will come when Jimmy's "cowboy" stubbornness will succumb to his Indian insights. Then all those folks making a good income betting his "second" horse to show will have to do their own work.

It was Jimmy who, several years ago, openly disdained the EARLY/LATE dichotomy; stopped considering man-made class concepts or Body Language; and scorned the mainstream's definition of Trip Handicapping, jockey or trainer influence. He loves to watch the races but he never knows exactly where "his" horse is until the stretch. Even then, in Vegas, he's watching the wrong screen.

"The Hat" bases his handicapping on what the horse has done and, more importantly, what it can do IN TODAY'S MATCH UP. "The WAY". Not many different ways, but *THE WAY*. The way as determined through the art of KOAN: investigating and contemplating all aspects of our subject. Separating the sublime from the ridiculous and reaching a state of awareness of reality. And, except for place and show horses, tearing through the veils of MAYA clouding the vision and hiding reality from so many "horseplayer" handicappers.

Having now followed the dictate of my personal I Ching, projecting my own egocentricity onto Jimmy, sublimating my Yin to his Yang, I can tell you that through my practice of certain Zen principles and Steve Schmidt's gift to me of a book called *The Tao of Chaos*, which quantifies the marriage of Western science to Eastern thought, we have finally solved many problems in adapting CHAOS PHYSICS to handicapping – including those that made the Fractals program less than perfect (which is why it is not yet available).



It is the merging of all opposing forces – leading to ultimate truth. But my rationale need not concern you. Is it not true that in the final analysis ALL you really want is SOMETHING that will help you win even more? And, especially, more easily? Who cares about the source if it works? As American philosopher John Dewey said:

" Every great advance in science has issued from a new audacity of imagination".  
Audacity of imagination is an endangered species in contemporary handicapping literature and thought.

And, in answer to those who complain about me constantly changing, striving to improve toward perfection and dispelling past myths, those from others and ourselves; those who ask "why can't you just stick with ONE thing?" I say:

"There is nothing constant in the Universe.  
All is ebb and flow; and every shape that is born,  
bears in its womb the seeds of change".

**OVID, Metamorphoses**

If YOU will bring yourself to understand the above quote and follow the wisdom the past merged with the holistic science of the present, you too can cast away the deceitful veils of MAYA, and pursue KOAN toward the ultimate truth and become forever successful in the art and science of handicapping.

**Doc Sartin**

# TAO of CHAOS


陽

## HANDICAPPING


陰

SUPREME  
ULTIMATE


**YANG**  
positive  
active  
male  
creative  
light  
day  
heat  
hard  
dry  
summer  
sun



**YIN**  
negative  
passive  
female  
receptive  
dark  
night  
cold  
soft  
wet  
winter  
shadow



BOTH AND  
**EP SP**  
**FW**




RULING LINES



Depending on  
Distance-Surface  
Valence

FW = FACTORS THAT WIN = 2 8



## NEWS UPDATE

With so many clients sending me winning races from all over the U.S. and Canada, it's hard to choose which example races to use in this update. It's an eeny, meeny, miny, mo situation. DICK MATHIE, from Ohio, handicapping MOUNTAINEER PARK in West Virginia, has had some spectacular results with Quad and, as we so highly recommend, copied to EXDC/THOROMATION for a perfect marriage of winning corollaries.

And here are a couple of reports from GLEN CONNOLLY, who also confirms through EXDC/THOROMATION.

*Glen E. Connolly*

*Bowie, MD 20716*

*Had 5 for 8 at Churchill Downs  
4 for 4 at Laurel  
Quad-Rater is spectacular!*

WHEN HE SAYS "SPECTACULAR, HE MEANS  
SPECTACULAR IN CONCERT WITH THOROMATION  
OR, BY ITSELF FOR THOSE WHO ARE NOT YET  
READY FOR THOROMATION. Doc...

*Best, Glen*

GLEN's handicapping is always impressive, no matter WHAT program(s) he's using; and he primarily handicaps tracks from a major circuit. MATHIE is confined to Mountaineer Park and Charles Town, West VA. A far cry from a major circuit. The reports that impressed and pleased me beyond description, came from VIRGINA BUTLER. A thorough, meticulous handicapper keeping lengthy and precise records, Ginny's problem has never been winning, per se, but in wagering enough to make it all worthwhile. Since she got Quad-Rater she, along with her handicapping companion, Ruth Adams, have scored heavily with Exactas and Trifectas at YAKIMA MEADOWS in Washington. Before LongGoneAcres closed, Seattle area folks used to think that God made Yakima Meadows so they'd have some place to look *down on*.

Well, Ginny is about to show us the kind of profits that can be made, with a *small* bankroll at little ol' Yakima. I'll begin with her short note to me:

**Howard! LOVE the new Quad-Rater; like you said, the perfect companion piece to Thoromation. Here are some races I won along with the Exacta - All of Them - and the one available Trifecta. As you can see, I entered EVERY horse in each race (the "Hat" will kill me). Per your instruction, I used the best SR+V of the last three races, comparable distance and surface. Sometimes, in following this process I had to go back more than three; so many odd distance changes up here...**



Well, one thing about her entering EVERY ENTRANT in each race, and following my best of last three SR's at comparable distance and surface guidelines for those who haven't mastered a more sophisticated means of getting contenders and paceline, we can save Follow-Up pages by NOT having to show you the Racing Form PP's.

Here are the races she sent. She included every readout in Quad-Rater, including some I NEVER use and can hardly remember inserting. However, she won all these races plus the Exactas and the One Trifecta, so who am I to talk? BUT, I left out many of her readouts to save space.

As you can see, Ginny doesn't focus just on Long Shots. Some clients do but this is a mistake in my opinion except for those who can weather a losing storm when favorites and near favorites come in. Ginny merely bet them by the numbers and took what she could get, which was plenty considering the size of her wagers. She also uses each screen to full advantage. NOTE that she often pays more attention to the RAW figures and line graphs over the Adjusted CIGAR GRAPHS. Just as prescribed.

So, Ginny proves that you CAN make good profits from meager wagers. That's in direct CONTRAST to what one might read in such otherwise fine books such as *Common Sense Handicapping*

Doc



4<sup>TH</sup> YM 07-13-1994 RACE 4 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,900 quad test  
 VISUAL ESP, APV, CLASS, SCR, AND SPEED) BEYER

#	NAME	VESP	APV	R	S/CR	R	LN	SR	TV	TOT	R	BYR	R	AVE	CTR	FIN
1	sess	E/P	80.4-	7	92.6-	7	2	70	24	94-	4	53-	6	5.0	N	---
2	irish	E/P	152.0-	1	127.6-	3	1	81	19	100-	1	65-	1	1.0	TAN	---
3	nine	EAR	87.7-	5	139.6-	1	1	73	22	95-	3	61-	4	3.5	Y	---
4	real	PRE	104.0-	2	116.3-	6	2	67	27	94-	4	54-	5	4.5	N	---
5	maui	PRE	82.8-	6	122.1-	4	1	86	11	97-	2	62-	3	2.5	Y	W
6	sig	PRE	102.0-	3	120.1-	5	1	81	19	100-	1	65-	1	1.0	TAN	Y S
7	dusty	PRE	93.5-	4	136.7-	2	1	81	19	100-	1	64-	2	1.5	TAN	Y P

CTR=Contender FIN=Actual Finish

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 4 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,900 quad test

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FinC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV
1	sess	2	8.0	D	22.4	46.4	112.1	139.0	6.00	0.50	0.10	0.00	70	24
2	irish	1	8.5	D	23.0	46.4	111.1	145.3	5.00	4.00	0.50	0.10	81	19
3	nine	1	8.0	D	23.3	47.1	112.1	138.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	73	22
4	real	2	8.0	D	24.1	48.0	112.3	139.0	1.50	1.00	0.50	2.75	67	27
5	maui	5	8.0	D	22.3	46.4	112.4	138.3	8.00	0.10	2.00	3.50	68	26
6	sig	1	8.5	D	23.0	46.4	111.1	145.3	8.50	4.50	0.00	0.00	81	19
7	dusty	1	8.5	D	23.0	46.4	111.1	145.3	9.00	4.00	0.10	0.10	81	19

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 4 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,900 quad test  
 Q U A D - R A T I E R MATCH UP AUTO

DREAM RACE	RP	FINISH	R
sess	1 -0.71	-0.69	2
irish	2 -0.69	-0.85	4
maui	5 -1.32	0.00	1 W
sig	6 -0.85	-0.82	3
dusty	7 -0.81	-0.87	5

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 4 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,900 quad test  
 Q U A D - R A T I E R MATCH UP AUTO-S

DREAM RACE	RP	FINISH	R
sess	1 -0.53	-0.54	2
irish	2 -0.68	-1.17	5
maui	5 -1.17	0.00	1 W
sig	6 -0.85	-1.13	3
dusty	7 -0.88	-1.17	4

4<sup>th</sup> FOURTH Race - Purse \$3900. 4yo & up F/M 119-3,6 5,000 1 Mile

Horse	Jockey	PP	1/2	Str	Fin	Odds
Maui Me(B Maeltey)		5	7-	6-2	1-1	6.60
I'm Dusty(J Vitek)		7	5-1/2	4-1 1/2	2-1 1/4	3.40
Signal Your Turn(T Izadi)		6	4-1 1/2	3-HD	3-1/4	5.50
I'm Irish O'carol(W Southwick)		2	3-1/2	5-1/2	4-NO	1.30
In Session(V Ward)		1	8-1/2	7-	5-1 1/4	31.90
Real Fit(P Alvarado)		4	2-1/2	2-HD	6-2	9.80
Flight Ninety One(M Ardis)		3	1-1/2	1-HD	7-	6.70

OFF: 5:52 COMMENT: No.2 I'M IRISH O'CAROL CLAIMED BY DALAL AL-SAUD, TR. A.B. AZIZ-ALSAUD TIME: 0:23.3 0:47.2 1:12.2 1:38.3 MUTUELS: TRIPLE 1-4-5: \$381.00 (\$21,775.00) EXACTA 5-7: \$65.60 (\$24,673.00) WPS: (\$21,549.00)

5 MAUI ME	15.20	6.20	4.20
7 I'M DUSTY		5.80	3.00
6 SIGNAL YOUR TURN			3.40

IN THIS RACE, WITH THREE TANDEM HORSES, GINNY USED THE APV/CLASS, AVE. OF SPEED RATING & BEYER NUMBERS TO GET HER CONTENDERS AND MADE I'M DUSTY PART OF HER EXACTA BECAUSE IT WAS 2ND IN THE TANDEM RACE. AT THE BOTTOM I'VE INCLUDED SOME DEFINITIONS FOR NEWCOMERS.

R=Rank W/W=Wire to Wire LS/P=Long Shot Potential

5<sup>TH</sup> YM 07-13-1994 RACE 5 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,600 quad test tri  
 VISUAL ESP, APV, CLASS, SCR, AND SPEED/BETER

#	NAME	VESP	APV	R	S/CR	R	LN	SR	TV	TOT	R	BYR	R	AVE	CTR	FIN
1	north	NF	210.3-	1	197.7-	1	2	75	17	92-	7	48-	9	8.0	<u>N</u>	---
2	grape	EAR	128.0-	6	112.5-	9	2	81	22	103-	2	52-	8	5.0	<u>N</u>	---
3	kid	E/P	123.5-	7	190.9-	3	2	76	19	95-	6	66-	2	4.0	<u>Y</u>	---
4	clev	PRE	136.1-	4	121.0-	8	1	69	22	91-	8	53-	7	7.5	<u>N</u>	---
5	press	NF	182.1-	2	193.4-	2	8	71	21	92-	7	59-	5	8.0	<u>N</u>	---
6	nida	E/P	98.8-	9	166.0-	5	1	86	20	106-	1	72-	1	TE (1.0)	<u>Y</u>	<u>W</u>
7	joe	SUB	130.2-	5	141.4-	6	6	82	16	98-	4	60-	4	4.0	<u>Y</u>	<u>P</u>
8	def	EAR	91.3-	10	103.0-	10	1	72	24	96-	5	57-	6	5.5	<u>N</u>	---
9	much	EAR	139.1-	3	176.4-	4	5	96	4	100-	3	62-	3	TE 3.0	<u>Y</u>	<u>S</u>
10	kash	E/P	115.0-	8	123.6-	7	3	84	22	106-	1	72-	1	TE (1.0)	<u>Y</u>	---

CTR=Contender FIN=Actual Finish

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 5 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,600 quad test tri

#	NAME	L	DIST	PACELINE DATA										
				8	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FriC	BL1	BL2	BL3	BLF	SR	TV
1	grape	1	8.5 D	23.0	46.2	111.0	143.4	0.00	0.50	2.00	8.50	81	22	
2	kid	2	8.0 D	23.3	47.1	111.3	136.2	1.50	0.10	0.00	6.50	76	19	
3	nida	2	8.0 D	23.4	47.0	111.2	136.4	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.75	80	24	
4	much	5	8.0 D	24.0	47.1	111.2	136.3	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	96	4	
5	kash	3	8.5 D	22.3	46.2	111.3	144.4	6.25	2.50	2.75	1.25	84	22	
6	joe	6	8.5 D	24.1	48.0	112.2	144.4	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	82	16	

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 5 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,600 quad test tri

NAME	L	F 1	F 2	F 3	S C	SC/BL	POR	R	POH-R	R	LS/P	R
much	8.0 D	POR	55.93	54.55	52.38	55.46		139.59-	2			
		POH	55.92	54.56	52.38	55.24	0.00			133.79-	1	
		PCT	99.97	100.03	100.00	99.60						106.01-
nida	8.0 D	POR	56.17	54.10	51.97	55.46		138.53-	3			
		POH	55.66	54.26	52.17	54.96	2.00			132.02-	2	
		PCT	99.09	100.30	100.38	99.10						106.32-
kid	8.0 D	POR	55.93	54.10	53.23	55.31		140.28-	1			
		POH	55.68	54.33	52.19	55.00	0.10			131.55-	3	
		PCT	99.55	100.42	98.06	99.45						104.80-
joe	8.5 D	POR	55.00	54.10	50.93	54.70		134.78-	5			
		POH	55.00	54.10	50.46	54.55	0.00			129.26-	4	
		PCT	100.00	100.00	99.09	99.73						99.70-
grape	8.5 D	POR	56.90	53.66	50.30	55.77		136.19-	4			
		POH	56.90	53.58	49.09	55.24	0.50			128.70-	5	
		PCT	100.00	99.85	97.58	99.04						99.14-
kash	8.5 D	POR	56.90	52.38	49.70	55.31		133.02-	6			
		POH	55.82	52.98	49.89	54.40	2.50			128.56-	6	
		PCT	98.11	101.14	100.38	98.36						107.13-

CHAOS Formula Rating

	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	99%	100%
much 5	133.79	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
nida 2	132.02	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
kid 2	131.55	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
joe 6	129.26	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
grape1	128.70	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+

Long Shot Potential Rating

	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	99%	100%
kash 3	107.13	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
nida 2	106.32	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
much 5	106.01	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
kid 2	104.80	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
joe 6	99.70	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 5 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,600 quad test tri  
CHAOS FORMULA POWER AND LONGSHOT RATINGS COMPOSITE

OUT	#	NAME	L	POR	R	POH-R	R	LS/P	R	W/W
	1	grape	1	136.19-	4	128.70-	5	99.14-	6	
	2	kid	2	140.28-	1	131.55-	3	104.80-	4	
	3	nida	2	138.53-	3	132.02-	2	106.32-	2	w
	4	much	5	139.59-	2x	133.79-	1x	106.01-	3	Sh
No	5	kash	3	133.02-	6x	128.56-	6x	107.13-	1	
OK	6	joe	6	134.78-	5x	129.26-	4x	99.70-	5	pl

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 5 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,600 quad test tri  
QUAD-RATE R MATCH UP AUTO

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH	R
kid 2	-0.16	-----	
nida 3	-0.19	-----	-0.28-2
much 4	0.00	-----	-0.32-3
kash 5	-0.38	-----	0.00-1
joe 6	-0.32	-----	-2.41-5
			-1.97-4

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 5 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,600 quad test tri  
QUAD-RATE R MATCH UP AUTO-S

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH	R
kid 2	-0.60	-----	
nida 3	-0.60	-----	-0.00-2
much 4	-0.59	-----	0.00-1
kash 5	0.00	-----	-0.02-3
joe 6	-0.15	-----	-0.60-5
			-0.45-4

**5th** FIFTH Race - Purse \$3600. 4 yo 120-3  
5,000 1 Mile :

Horse	Jockey	PP	1/2	Str	Fin	Odd
Nida's Joy(B Malety)		6	4-HD	2-2½	1-5½	1.80
Gunsmoke Joe(J Vitek)		7	8-2	5-2	2-NK	20.10
Mucho Mas(B Campbell)		9	1-½	1-HD	3-1	2.10
Northern Wildfire(G Baze)		1	9-1	7-1	4-1	28.20
Sporty Kid(P Alvarado)		3	6-2	4-2	5-2½	7.00
He's So Clever(M Ardis)		4	7-1½	8-1½	6-NO	33.80
Tall Press(T Izadi)		5	5-4	3-HD	7-2	10.50
Kash Return(S Valdez)		10	10-	9-2	8-7	13.60
Grapevine Road(V Ward)		2	2-HD	6-HD	9-	22.30
By Default(W Southwick)		8	3-1½	10-	10-	10.90

OFF: 6:19 COMMENT: No.8 BY DEFAULT WAS EASED TIME: 0:22.4 0:46.1 1:11.3 1:37.1 MUTUELS: TRIFECTA 6-7-9: \$151.40 (\$36,754.00) EXACTA 6-7: \$58.80 (\$15,366.00) WPS: (\$21,533.00)

6 NIDA'S JOY	5.60	3.40	2.60
7 GUNSMOKE JOE		15.80	9.20
9 MUCHO MAS			4.20

GINNY GETS THE WIN, EXACTA AND TRIFECTA. I MARVEL AT HER ABILITY TO ACCEPT MY INSTRUCTIONS UNLESS THEY GO AGAINST HER SPECIAL TRACK KNOWLEDGE. SHE RECALLS THAT I SAID IF A HORSE CANNOT MAKE THE TOP 5 POR OR POH, THROW IT OUT FOR ONE THAT DOES. SO, OUT WENT KASH, LEAVING ONLY FOUR HORSES TO BOX FOR THE TRI. SHE GOT THE EXACTA BY USING A KEY BOX: NIDA THE KEY, WITH THE OTHER THREE.

6TH

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 6 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,700 quad test  
VISUAL ESP, APV, CLASS, SCR, AND SPEED/BEYER

#	NAME	VESP	APV	R	S/CR	R	LN	SR	TV	TOT	R	BYR	R	AVE	CTR	FIN
1	chin	SUS	492.6-	1	438.3-	1	2	81	17	98-	6	55-	7	6.5	N	---
2	sail	SUS	118.6-	3	120.4-	5	4	80	24	104-	(3)	58-	6	4.5	Y?	S
3	danc	SUS	110.6-	4	182.5-	2	1	90	18	108-	1	69-	1	1.0	Y	P
4	spank	SUS	78.7-	7	98.5-	7	7	84	23	107-	2	60-	5	3.5	Y	---
5	freez	EAR	95.4-	5	124.0-	4	3	84	19	103-	4	61-	4	4.0	Y	---
6	gall	E/P	90.2-	6	116.7-	6	4	82	17	99-	5	67-	2	3.5	Y	W
7	pic	EAR	131.7-	2	124.5-	3	1	76	18	94-	7	63-	3	5.0	N	---

CTR=Contender FIN=Actual Finish

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 6 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,700 quad test  
PACELINE DATA ENTRY

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FinC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV
1	chin	1	8.0	D	23.2	47.1	112.1	137.4	4.50	4.75	5.75	5.50	70	22
2	sail	1	8.0	D	23.2	47.1	112.1	137.4	4.75	4.75	5.50	6.00	70	22
3	danc	3	8.0	D	22.3	46.0	110.2	136.1	6.00	2.25	0.50	0.00	84	17
4	freez	1	8.0	D	23.2	47.1	112.1	137.4	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.50	73	22
5	gall	1	8.0	D	23.2	47.1	112.1	137.4	1.50	0.10	1.50	1.00	75	22
6	pic	1	8.0	D	23.1	46.1	111.2	137.4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	76	18

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 6 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,700 quad test  
FPS and ENERGY

DREAM	TOT	F1	F2	F3	8C	F1	F2	F3	MED-E
P	163.37	57.14	54.71	51.51	55.53	35.82	33.65	32.28	69.48
chin	159.37	55.17	52.76	51.45	53.96	34.62	33.10	32.28	67.72
sail	159.29	55.13	52.80	51.37	53.96	34.61	33.15	32.25	67.75
danc	162.57	56.35	54.71	51.51	55.53	34.86	33.65	31.69	68.31
freez	159.90	55.93	52.80	51.17	54.37	34.98	33.02	32.00	68.00
gall	160.12	55.68	53.02	51.42	54.35	34.77	33.11	32.11	67.89
pic	159.52	57.14	52.38	50.00	54.76	35.82	32.84	31.34	68.66

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 6 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,700 quad test  
CHAOS FORMULA AND LONGSHOT RATINGS

CHAOS Formula Rating

	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	99%	100%
P danc 3	133.50	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
pic 1	130.42	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
W gall 1	130.02	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
freez 1	129.65	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
chin 1	128.82	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+

Long Shot Potential Rating

	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	99%	100%
P danc 3	108.20	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
W gall 1	105.38	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
pic 1	100.90	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
freez 1	99.75	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
chin 1	99.44	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 6 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,700 quad test  
 Q U A D - R A T I E R MATCH UP AUTO

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH R
sail 2 -1.20	████████████████████	-0.99- 4
danc 3 0.00	████████████████████	0.00- 1
freez 4 -0.87	████████████████████	-0.91- 3
gall 5 -0.91	████████████████████	-0.73- 2
pic 6 -0.43	████████████████████	-1.60- 5

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 6 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$3,700 quad test  
 Q U A D - R A T I E R MATCH UP AUTO-S

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH R
sail 2 -0.73	████████████████████	0.00- 1
danc 3 -0.28	████████████████████	-0.45- 4
freez 4 -0.53	████████████████████	-0.20- 3
gall 5 -0.62	████████████████████	-0.11- 2
pic 6 0.00	████████████████████	-0.73- 5

**6th** SIXTH Race - Purse \$3700. 4yo & up  
 120-3,6 4,000 T Mile

Horse	Jockey	PP	1/2	Str	Fin	Odds
Gallant Dreamer(G Baze)		5	1-HD	1-2	1-1/2	3.0
Allaire Dancer(P Alvarado)		3	4-5	2-1 1/2	2-HD	1.0
Mr. Sailalong(J Vitek)		2	5-HD	4-1	3-3 1/2	12.0
Chinese Prince(V Ward)		1	6-	3-1/2	4-5 1/4	7.0
It May Freeze(T Izadi)		4	3-2	6-	5-5	5.0
Super Pic(B Campbell)		6	2-1	5-HD	6-	6.0

OFF: 6:46 TIME: 0:22.4 0:46.1 1:11.2 1:37.3 MU-  
 TUELS: EXACTA 5-3: \$21.40 (\$21,956.00) WPS:  
 (\$20,610.00)

5 GALLANT DREAMER	8.80	4.00	3.20
3 ALLAIRE DANCER		3.00	2.60
2 MR. SAILALONG			5.00

JUST TO SHOW THAT WE CANNOT TRY  
 LIVING ON LONG SHOTS ALONE.  
 ALTHOUGH I KNOW A FEW TO WHOM  
 \$8.80 IS A "GOOD" PRICE. THE  
 \$21.40 EXACTA IS NOT THERE TO  
 THRILL YOU BUT TO LET YOU  
 REALIZE THAT WE GET THESE KINDS  
 OF RACES, TOO.

8TH

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 8 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$5,200 quad test  
 VISUAL ESP, APV, CLASS, SCR, AND SPEED/BEYER

#	NAME	VESP	APV	R	S/CR	R	LN	SR	TV	TOT	R	BYR	R	AVE	CTR	FIN
1	amaki	PRE	104.1-	5	111.7-	6	1	87	20	107-	3	74-	2	2.5	Tal	S
2	road	NF	285.9-	1	212.3-	1	1	85	19	104-	5	66-	6	5.5	N	---
3	moon	EAR	83.0-	6	112.7-	5	1	90	18	106-	2	71-	4	3.0	Tal	P
4	true	SUS	141.8-	3	201.3-	2	1	87	20	107-	3	73-	3	3.0	Tal	NW
5	kitt	SUS	138.3-	4	116.4-	4	1	85	20	105-	4	70-	5	4.5	N	---
6	lucky	SUS	212.7-	2	132.0-	3	1	93	18	111-	1	75-	1	1.0	Tal	---

CTR=Contender FIN=Actual Finish

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 8 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$5,200 quad test

PACELINE DATA

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FinC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV
1	amaki	1	8.5	D	23.3	47.2	111.4	144.0	3.50	3.00	4.00	2.00	87	20
2	moon	1	9.0	D	47.3	111.3	137.0	150.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.75	90	18
3	true	1	8.5	D	23.3	47.2	111.4	144.0	7.50	5.00	4.00	2.50	87	20
4	kitt	1	8.5	D	23.3	47.2	111.4	144.0	7.00	5.50	6.25	4.00	85	20
5	luck	1	9.0	D	47.3	111.3	137.0	150.1	6.25	3.00	4.50	0.10	93	18

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 8 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$5,200 quad test  
CHAOS FORMULA AND LONGSHOT RATINGS

CHAOS Formula Rating

	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	99%	100%
luck 1	131.57	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+ W
moon 1	131.17	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+ P
amaki 1	130.48	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
true 1	130.32	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
kitt 1	129.93	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+

Long Shot Potential Rating

	94%	95%	96%	97%	98%	99%	100%
luck 1	107.04	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
true 1	106.61	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
kitt 1	106.13	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
amaki 1	106.03	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+
moon 1	99.77	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+	-----+

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 8 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$5,200 quad test  
CHAOS FORMULA POWER AND LONGSHOT RATINGS COMPOSITE

#	NAME	L	POR	R	POH-R	R	LS/P	R	W/W
1	amaki	1	136.49	2	130.48	3	106.03	4	
2	moon	1	137.71	1 ✓	131.17	2 ✓	99.77	5	PLACE
3	true	1	136.49	2 ✓	130.32	4	106.61	2	WIN
4	kitt	1	136.49	2	129.93	5	106.13	3	
5	luck	1	137.71	1	131.57	1	107.04	1	

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 8 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$5,200 quad test  
QUAD-RATER MATCH UP AUTO

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH	R
amaki 1	-0.51	-0.40	3
moon 2	0.00	-0.43	4
true 3	-0.67	-0.31	2
kitt 4	-0.68	-0.46	5
luck 5	-0.28	0.00	1

YM 07-13-1994 RACE 8 DIST 8 SURF D PURSE \$5,200 quad test  
QUAD-RATER MATCH UP AUTO-S

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH	R
amaki 1	-0.34	-0.14	3
moon 2	0.00	-0.48	5
true 3	-0.48	-0.00	1
kitt 4	-0.44	-0.04	2
luck 5	-0.33	-0.15	4

**8th** EIGHTH Race - Purse \$5200. 4yo & up 120-3,6 8,000/7,000 1 Mile

Horse	Jockey	PP	1/2	Str	Fin	Odd
True Enough(P Alvarado)		4	5-2	5-2½	1-NO	6.40
Moonbeam Blurr(M Allen)		3	1-1	1-2	2-1¾	2.50
Amakhi(J Vitek)		1	2-1	2-½	3-¾	5.60
Occasionally Lucky(B Campbell)		6	6-	6-	4-NO	3.20
Errigal Road(G Baze)		2	3-1	3-HD	5-NO	3.00
Kitty's Banker(W Southwick)		5	4-3	4-1	6-	5.90

EXACTA 4-3: \$72.60 (\$28,153.00) WPS: (\$25,988.00)

4 TRUE ENOUGH	14.80	5.20	3.80
3 MOONBEAM BLURR		4.60	3.40
1 AMAKHI			3.80

NO PROBLEM. RIGHT AWAY SHE REDUCES THE FIELD TO FOUR, POSSIBLY FIVE CONTENDERS. HERE WE ALSO SEE TWO EXAMPLES (IN ONE HORSE) OF ONE KIND OF SITUATION THAT PRODUCES LONG SHOTS. TRUE RAN AGAINST THE 2ND FASTEST POR. IT ALSO OVERCAME THE PACE IT RAN AGAINST AND WAS SECOND BEST LONG SHOT POTENTIAL.

JUST WISH GINNY WOULDN'T SEND ME READOUTS ON BLUE PAPER SO I COULD OFFER CLEARER PRINTS.


**If you missed out or failed to capitalize on the Handicapping Revolution of the '80's, we have just one thing to say to you: YOU AIN'T SEEN NOTHIN' YET!**

Utilize the incredible predictive power of the latest development from **the Handicapping Revolution of the 90's...**

**Quad-Rater® with Pace of Race & Pace of Horse Power Ratings and the SPECTACULAR Long Shot Detector!**

You can profit optimally from the new technology employing Chaos Physics to handicapping...  
Be on the cutting edge of tomorrow's reality, NOW.

**THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY PRESENTS  
ITS NEWEST COMPUTER PROGRAM**



**POR/POH  
Quad-Rater®  
with Long Shot Detector**

AVAILABLE FOR IBM/COMPATIBLE ONLY  
3.5 OR 5.25 DISK

**It's a WHOLE NEW ERA!  
The Long Shots coming in each day  
that the "experts" say are un-gettable -**

Copies with a simple 2 stroke command to:  
Synergetic Match Up II, Entropy II and Thoromation...  
a marriage made in Parimutuel Profit heaven-

Downloads a full card in seconds through  
XTOR from a downloading service such as  
BLOODSTOCK RESEARCH

**\$229<sup>00</sup>**

CA residents add 7.75% sales tax

21st Century Handicapping NOW!



Includes full documentation and disk-protecting vinyl album.

**RAVE NOTICES ABOUT QUAD-RATER COME IN DAILY...  
FROM BOTH COASTS, FROM THE MIDWEST AND CANADA.  
HERE ARE THREE:**

" QUAD-RATER is an incredible program... during the first two weeks I used it at tracks ranging from Saratoga, Del Mar and Arlington to Prescott, AZ. I had mutuels ranging from a low of \$7.60 to a high of \$67.20. My AVERAGE mutuel was \$39.80. The time it did NOT win it picked a \$13.60 Place horse. For the first time in my life I'm betting with confidence."  
M.C., Arizona

" Went 5 for 8 at Churchill Downs; 4 for 4 at Laurel. Quad-Rater is Spectacular."  
G.C., Maryland

"Howard, with Quad-Rater, confirmed through EXDC/Thoromation, I've LOST my fear of making big bets. I know it's complicated INSIDE, but OUTSIDE, it's oh-so-simple to use."  
V.B., Washington

**TO ORDER QUAD-RATER®  
FILL OUT THE FORM AND MAIL WITH PAYMENT TO:**

O. HENRY HOUSE, INC., • 1390 E. 6th Street #5 • Beaumont, CA 92223

\$229.00 • CA RESIDENTS ADD 7.75% SALES TAX • ALL ORDERS SHIPPED 1ST CLASS MAIL



**Please Print**

NAME: \_\_\_\_\_

ADDRESS: \_\_\_\_\_

CITY: \_\_\_\_\_ STATE: \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP: \_\_\_\_\_

PHONE: (\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_

Enclosed find my check/money order for  
\_\_\_\_\_ \$229.00 for Quad-Rater®  
\_\_\_\_\_ 7.75% CA sales tax = \$17.75 (CA res. only)  
\_\_\_\_\_ TOTAL ENCLOSED

IBM/compatible only  
Check one disk size  
\_\_\_ 3.5  
\_\_\_ 5.25

Quad-Rater is available to Sartin Clients only.



## MORE ON PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE

SPENCER TONER

Feedback on the process of decision-making described in the last F.U. has been coming in and clients are finding consistency in their winnings. Also, there are questions on the application.

The first part of the process is finding for *yourself* the factors from the particular program or programs you are currently using that are identifying the winners. Many times the question is asked: "Which factors in the new program I 'm using are the factors I can use to get the winner?"

This question can be answered specifically for the user and is probably unique for that individual user. The reason that it is unique is that each of us handicaps and uses the data and programs in a different manner. I've yet to see two users follow exactly the same procedure.

Here's how to find these viable winning factors - record keeping

After *you've* handicapped a race and now know which horse won, based on *your* contenders, *your* pace lines, *your* projections, *your* adjustments and the program *you* are currently using, *you* can now record how the winner ranked in each factor of *your* program.

It really is an individualistic process and what someone else tells you is a hot factor for them is well intended, but can only be verified individually because of the many variables we face in handicapping .

Now to be specific and show some actual records that point to these winning factors. Below are some records of how the winner in each race ranked in the many factors a program presented. I've specifically NOT made the factor known or the name of the program, because I'm sure someone would say I read it in the F.U. that such and such factor is HOT and that factor or factors will make me win.

Let's look at some records from races. This table below shows factors A through S and the respective ranking of the winner in each row. If the ranking is other than 1 through 4 an x is indicated. At the bottom of the table each factor column has a to-

tal of the number of times that the factor has ranked either a 1 or 2. Each + or - is placed to indicate a column of factors that is HOT.

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
1	2	4	3	3	1	2	1	1	3	3	X	2	X	X	X	2	2	2	2
2	1	1	1	4	1	4	4	1	1	2	3	1	X	1	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	4	4	4	1	4	2	1	X	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	4	4	4
4	*	T	H	R	O	W	*	O	U	t	*								
5	1	4	2	4	1	3	1	1	1	2	X	1	X	1	1	1	2	1	1
6	1	4	1	4	2	4	4	1	2	X	X	2	X	X	X	3	4	4	4
7	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	X	X	3	X	3	3	3	3	4	4	4
8	2	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	X	X	X	2	3	2	X	2	3	2	3
9	2	2	1	2	1	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	3	1	2	2	3	2	3
10	1	1	1	4	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	1
11	1	1	1	4	3	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1
12	3	3	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	3	2	X	2	4	4	3	4
13	1	1	1	4	X	4	4	3	3	X	X	1	X	X	X	1	2	1	1
14	*	T	H	R	O	W	*	O	U	T	*								
15	*	T	H	R	4	W	*	O	U	T	*								
16	4	1	1	1	3	4	1	4	3	3	1	X	1	3	X	4	4	4	4
17	3	2	4	2	3	2	2	4	X	X	X	3	2	2	3	2	1	1	1
18	2	2	2	1	1	2	4	3	X	X	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	2	2
19	1	2	1	3	2	3	2	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	4	3	3
20	3	4	3	2	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	4
21	4	3	4	1	4	1	2	4	3	3	1	X	1	X	X	4	2	3	4
	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
	12	9	10	7	12	7	11	11	8	6	6	11	7	8	9	11	9	10	8

Obviously, those columns which represent factors that have -'s rather than +'s are not pointing to winners.

We've all experienced having a 'HOT' factor that seems to not miss picking winners, go away. Then just as mysteriously, another factor gets 'HOT'. By keeping records of the gross factors that are picking winners this *problem* goes away when you are looking for a preponderance of evidence that points to two horses, and obviously ignores the other horses.

One can simply count the number times each horse is ranked a 1 or 2 in *your* selected 'HOT' factors. The more factors observed, the better, however, the factors must be those factors identified from real records, not some random, subjectively selected factor that is not backed-up with hard data from your own records.

It's only common sense that handicappers picking their pace-lines and contenders in a procedural process, that is inconsistent will not get winners. Record keeping will allow the handicapper the opportunity to know where they have been, consequently, get a handle on where they are headed. This eliminates the guess work.

One may say that this sounds well and good, BUT how about: off tracks, turf races, unfamiliar tracks where I have no records? We're not talking about track profiles, we're talking about a way of identifying the many FACTORS that pick winners in *your* races based on *your records*. These races can be won if you have a handle on what works for you, now. Of course if you are still putting too many horses in the computer, you're going to have your 'HOT' factors lost in the confusion caused by the non-contenders


On this subject - coming in FU # 48:

THE SARTIN METHODOLOGY, INC.  
Presents  
**FACTOR EVALUATOR**

## Never insert a floppy disk with a bent sliding door

You'll sometimes notice that a disk just doesn't look right. If the metal protector that slides back when you push the disk into your drive seems even slightly bent, don't insert that disk into your floppy drive. This advice can be frustrating, since you may need the information contained on that disk. However, if a disk with a bent sliding protector, like the one shown in Figure A, becomes stuck, you may accidentally destroy your Mac's internal floppy drive as you attempt to extract the disk. In this article, we'll outline the procedure you should use to recover data from a floppy disk that has a damaged sliding protector door.

Figure A



Bent disk protector door

Never insert into your floppy drive a disk with a bent or loose metal protector.

DOC SARTIN

## Sprinters in Routes/Routers in Sprint

Shane and I have received many questions regarding Quad-Rater's Sprint to Route Projector and its Route/Sprint Extractor. We said to use them when they are *viable* alternatives to best of last three SR (+V), where applicable, at a *comparable* distance. Since "the Hat" is busily updating, among other things, the Projection/Extraction factors in an upcoming 1995 version of **Thoromation II A** (A for advanced), the question will be asked even more.

Here is an example of a Router *begging* for a sprint:

**at 8.5 Furlongs:**

<b>HORSE'S TIMES:</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>45.3</b>	<b>110.3</b>	<b>144.4</b>
<b>BEATEN LENGTHS:</b>	<b>.75</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>.5</b>	<b>9</b>

In Quad-Rater this will become a six furlong sprint with times of:

**23.1   45.1   110.1**

And the BL's will be halved to:

**.375   .25   .25**

Do NOT extract *slow* 3/4 mile Route Times into Sprints. You are looking only at the Route's 3/4 mile times and accept no more than 2 beaten lengths unless the 3/4 time was inordinately fast. If you have trouble determining what constitutes "inordinately" fast at your track(s), it would be wise to go back to the drawing board and gain more understanding of times.

Accept *slower* than average times ONLY if the horse was first at the 3/4 mile point. That's NO beaten lengths and it's virtually a rule. Of course, it should go without saying that you totally DIS-regard the FINAL time or FINAL beaten lengths of the Route itself.

Here are two examples of Sprinters who can win going into a Route:

**at 6 Furlongs:**

<b>(1)</b>	<b>HORSE'S TIMES:</b>	<b>21.4</b>	<b>44.4</b>	<b>109.1</b>
	<b>BEATEN LENGTHS:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>.25</b>	<b>.05</b>

<b>(2)</b>	<b>HORSE'S TIMES:</b>	<b>22.3</b>	<b>45.3</b>	<b>111</b>
	<b>BEATEN LENGTHS:</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.75</b>

Don't hold to the specifics of the above times or BL's. Adapt to the reality of YOUR track(s). My figures represent ONLY the kind of *patterns* you would look for! Always *think* about patterns when using the Sprint-Route Projector or the Route-Sprint Extractor.



Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D

# The Psychology of Winning

## ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDER

---

*...in case you're wondering what the hell this has to do with handicapping, read on and you'll see.)*

Clinically speaking, it would be better if you, at this point, would go directly to the questionnaire at the end, answer the questions and *THEN* read the article.

O

Fifteen million Americans have been diagnosed as having it. Many of them are now in treatment. Until recently it was said to affect males three to one over females. That's because when observed in females it was more easily disguised and more socially acceptable. More recently the ratio has been re-estimated as five males to three females. The medical fraternity says that for everyone diagnosed with any given disorder, anywhere from 3 to 1.5 go non-diagnosed, hence not subject to any kind of treatment.

Over the years it has had many names. Everything from just plain old Stupid, Hyperactivity, Minimal Brain Dysfunction, Brain Injured Child, Organic Driveness, Genetic Brain Damage, Physiologic Hyperactivity, Impulse Control Disorder and, two all-time favorites: A Learning Dis-ability and Sugar or Carbohydrate Imbalance. Some misguided practitioners have even confused it with Dyslexia and/or Autism.

Until just a few years ago it gained media and public exposure only as it was viewed in young school children whose hyperactivity was disruptive to classrooms.

However, beginning in 1980, Psychiatric researchers isolated enough specific data to label it ATTENTION DEFICIT DISORDER. This brought it to the attention of many willing-to-be-enlightened school teachers and administrators so that children

once maligned as being fidgety, bad, disruptive, unruly, inattentive, and undisciplined trouble-makers, were humanely regarded as "victims" of a Medically approved "Illness."

This new found attitude is not yet universal, however. In some areas children with ADD are still treated as delinquents. And what of the minority children who don't go to school or whose teachers, tainted with racism, attribute their behavior to some minority stereotype?

It has been presumed that approximately one-third of the children diagnosed with ADD will outgrow or compensate for it by adulthood. This figure is highly suspect. Adults can fake it or get away with things that children in a classroom setting cannot. As a genetic "disorder" untreated ADD, in some form will exist throughout life.

If approximately ten percent of the population of the U.S. and Canada have been diagnosed with ADD, then "Horseplayers" striving to become Handicappers must, at the very least comprise ten percent of THEIR total. However, because the disorder tends to produce a high rate " Behavior, along with "Dreamer" behavior, I suspect that, using our own client base and observing attendees at Handicapping Expos and other conclaves where I've been a guest speaker, at least thirty percent reveal multiple symptoms of ADD.

On the other side of the Hyper coin, we have those labeled as being Day Dreamers, lost in a fog, Spaced-Out, Lazy. The laid back and the Underachievers who don't seem to really care about much of anything, seemingly oblivious of their surroundings, who also have ADD. For this reason the disorder has been re-named through the auspices of the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: (DSM3A) as ATTENTION DEFICIT HYPER/HYPO ACTIVE DISORDER. (ADHD) This is the same manual that calls all gambling losers as "Pathological Gamblers," so take their terminology, especially "disorder" with many grains of salt.

I dislike the term "disorder" because it indicates a pathology and neither losing nor ADHD are pathological. Losing can be a *RESULT* of having ADHD, as we'll see later, but ADHD results from a Genetic phenomenon. I prefer the term 'syndrome,' meaning multiple symptoms appearing simultaneously, but who am I to fight the AMA or the APA?

One of my most cherished and proficient clients is being treated for ADHD. He sent me the most recent book on the subject: *DRIVEN TO DISTRACTION*, written by two psychiatrists, E.M. Hallowell and J.T. Rately, a pair of MD's who BOTH have ADHD and have overcome through the same kind of therapy we'll discuss

later. Along with Russell Barkley's book; **ATTENTION DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDER**, theirs is a definitive volume whose content is well summarized in this excerpt from the dust jacket.

Millions of children and adults tell themselves or are admonished by others that they will continue to have problems in school, in work, and in social relationships if they don't stop procrastinating, start concentrating, sit still, finish what they started, get organized. But what appears to be a matter of self-discipline is actually, for many, a more complex challenge of coping with an in-born neurological problem: ADD, or Attention Deficit Disorder.

Before my client knew the nature of his problem and began treatment, mostly self-treatment, (never use that phrase within earshot of an M.D.) he used to blaspheme me personally, my manuals and non-documented computer programs, with highly charged expletives, fantasized violence against my aging body and real violence to some furniture and glassware. (sound familiar?) Now he and I are closely allied and the best of friends. He now says that my manuals are quite **SIMPLE** to comprehend and he demonstrably makes a great deal of money from his handicapping aided by **Quad-Rater** and **Thoromation**. He also has and uses an unabridged Webster's Dictionary given to him by his significant other. I'll detail how **HE** overcame a little later.

While media and public focus has been mostly on **CHILDHOOD ADHD** it does not end with the advent of adulthood (or adultery as the case may be). It persists throughout life. It is most observable in persons with **Type A** personalities. Sales, particularly **Automobile sales; Advertising; Thrill Seekers, Inveterate Risk Takers, High-Energy, Action-Oriented, Bottom Line, Gotta-Run types. Procrastinators,** always putting things off because they're 'too busy,' yet at the same time often starting a new project before finishing the first. Untreated, they have a highly limited attention span and often cannot, with true comprehension, finish even a few pages of instructional material; They will not completely read a full book or manuscript except for action-packed thrillers or porno material. Yet they will often di-

rect their full attention to written material that relates directly to "them." Short, cliché laden paperbacks dealing with Pop Psychology, Those kind of Health Books that-any-lay-person-can-understand, Non-Scientific Astrology Forecasts, Fortune Telling and other kinds of material that is obviously self-associative.

In women the "disorder" is found abundantly in fashion models and actresses. Those who fit the vernacular of "Dizzy Broad," are also candidates. Being, in the clinical sense, not the subjective opinion of a husband or boy friend, completely unwilling to listen to or apply reason or common sense logic, nor follow a subject to its conclusion. Persistent nagging and always insisting on getting their own way are also symptomatic.

In BOTH sexes the proverbial flirt who seems to always be in the throes of at least one love affair or sexual dalliance might be suspect ADHD. Such persons are often fascinating to the opposite sex because they are enticingly charming, witty, spirited, adventurous and, above all, unpredictable. But, in the longer view, prime candidates for divorce or dissolution of interpersonal relationships.

*At this point I wish to make it absolutely clear that exhibiting one, most or ALL of the above symptoma does NOT NECESSARILY mean that you have ADHD. I'm not dispensing Frazier Crane, Joyce Brothers or Toni Grant instant diagnosis and cure over the radio here. I'm merely condensing and synthesizing a consensus of psychiatric thinking and literature on the subject in simple terms. If you think my phraseology is confusing, try reading THEM. Several other disorders are manifest through psychological and physiological factors quite similar to what I've been describing.*

Later I'll offer a questionnaire designed by Dr. Halloween that is fairly good test for ADHD. If that, and other aspects of what I've been saying, suggest to you that you may have ADHD, then you might want to consult an M.D. who is qualified to treat it.

In the beginning ADHD was considered exclusively symptomatic of HYPER-active persons. Only within the past few years has the HYPOactive individual been regarded as an equal "victim." The HYPOactive ADHD person often displays characteristics of advanced and imaginative creativity. Mystics, poets, composers, writers, philosophers and highly skilled computer programmers also fall into this realm.

Adult manifestations of ADHD do not necessarily fit any of the above descriptions. Adults with ADHD often over-compensate by being supremely focused, highly attentive and aware, with intellectual capacities of genius or near-genius. In all probability Albert Einstein had ADHD. His famous failure as a student in the early



stages of his public schooling, along with his seemingly dissociated external personality were indicative.

Recommended Treatment of ADHD is dual: Drugs and Psychotherapy. most often methylphenidate (Ritalin) or pemoline (Cyclert) is prescribed. Ritalin is an amphetamine derivative that has little or no negative effect on the heart of adrenals. In the drug culture it's known as "The Old Man's Speed." You may recall that in two different Follow Up articles I suggested that some of YOU who have repeated problems in fully understanding the Methodology or our instructional materials and computer programs, might want to seek your physician's counsel about a prescription for Ritalin. A FEW actually took my advice, received a prescription and subsequently their ability to focus and comprehend radically improved and they started to consistently win.

There are, however, a number of substances from God's own pharmacy: plant and herb extracts that are as good or better than prescription medicine for many disorders. But the FDA, prompted by the AMA, which in turn is being lobbied (often reading: bribed) by the Drug Industry, is working overtime to ban these alternative medicines from the market. Any ingredient that is found in nature cannot be patented. Drug companies rely on patented medicine for their huge profits; thus their campaign to banish from the marketplace anything produced by nature. It's an absurd notion that is almost unique to the U.S. Asian doctors think we're insane. Of course there ARE some highly advertised alternative remedies that are useless, even dangerous. But there are many others producing health benefits that are far superior to and have fewer (if any) side-effects than prescription medicine.

As a good example, my client who has overcome the debilitating effects of ADHD, now takes four 351 mg. Mental Edge 3DMAE and three 1000 mgs. of L-Pyroglutamic daily. Why stimulant drugs for a disorder that is primarily characterized by Hyperactivity? The answer lies in the paradoxical fact that those with ADHD are calmed and made more attentive by the same ingredients that might drive a non-ADHD person up the wall or into outer-space. Balanced use of alcohol and amphetamines can actually be beneficial to those with ADHD. Yet, like most others who over indulge, they tilt the balance. Positive effects from measured amounts alcohol/drugs is one of the chief reasons why an ADHD personality is highly susceptible to addictions; the three foremost being: Narcotics abuse, Alcoholism and Gambling. Persistent risk-taking in general is also a potent sign.

**NOW, we can get down to where ADHD applies to handicapping:**

Whether we like to admit it or not, most persons drawn to playing the horses or handicapping are somewhat monomaniacal on the subject. "Talking horses" is a favored, sometimes obsessive pastime. Obsession and compulsion are the closest of

relatives and imply addiction. Let's face it most of us ARE addicted to Racing. Men who doubt it should ask their wives. Even with well controlled wagering we are risk-takers because ours is a stochastic endeavor.

There is nothing WRONG or improper about this condition so long as one is breaking even or at least NOT losing unaffordable sums. All life is a gamble; all speculation is stochastic. But added to other factors OUR aspirations to make or augment a livelihood from racing is generally considered as socially reprehensible. Going to the races is, in society's eyes okay. But doing for a LIVING?

Our socially acceptable peers draw the line here.

Considering all of the above factors and some others we have mentioned from time to time, "Horseplaying" or handicapping attracts a much larger than average number of persons who qualify under both the HYPER and HYPO polarities of ADHD. Type A personalities, action cravers AND dreamers. Those whose dreams lead them to believe that some magic number will assure them of a lifetime of financial ease while basking in the sunshine at a racetrack; Risk Takers seeking action and the thrill of the chase are BOTH, by the standards of clinical statistics, the most subject to self-delusion through Gambling.

We must now face the facts. If at least thirty percent of the horse playing population has undetected, untreated ADHD, then many of them have been attracted through publicity to our group. If ADHD is the REASON why a small, but highly vocal segment of our group cannot grasp the meaning of our written and/or spoken material, we cannot, even as teachers in the public schools cannot, chastise them for failing to comprehend. In good consciousness we can no longer attribute failure to read and understand our material to laziness, stubbornness, static mind set or even stupidity.

Phil Gowens, Rev. Bert Mayne and I may have to entirely change our approach when addressing psychological problems. Maybe they are NOT attributable to the Mayas or veils that individuals use to conceal or alter reality; reading and hearing all words NOT as written or spoken but as they WISH them to be written or spoken. Internal dialogue that is incongruous with what is actually being said; self-manufactured armor plating against any thoughts or concepts that don't comply with their subjective preconceptions.

It may just be possible that these persons who we are trying so hard to help with reasoning and by example cannot be helped that way. Perhaps they have ADHD.

Please be assured that there's NO SHAME, real or implied, in having ADHD. To prove it I'll tell you that my son had/has it . Before he became known as Shane he

was renowned for being the prototype of Dennis the Menace and was the bane of elementary school teachers in three states. His son, my grandson, has it, takes his Ritalin but still has thrice been either suspended or expelled from grade school for fighting and other disruptions. Since both my wife and daughter exhibit behavior directly counter to that related to ADHD; AND, since it's a genetic disorder most likely carried via the male chromosome, this means that I may HAVE IT, too. So, naturally, my having it makes it okay for you to have it. (my daughter says I shouldn't make jokes like that; someone may take it seriously).

Looking back, I DID have many of the symptoms. I argued with my teachers and was constantly being beaten up by my fellow male students. I always attributed that to them being bullies, but maybe it was me all along. My grade school teachers didn't mind too much about my arguing with them because most of the class was half asleep and I provided some stimulus to their otherwise boring careers. In high school I was, believe it or not, a star member of the tennis and debate teams. My mother told the "coaches" that unless I got NO grade less than a B she'd yank me from the teams. The coaches needed me in order to uphold the school's honor (and to further their careers with jobs at bigger schools), so they cajoled and coerced all my other instructors into giving me A's and B's.

I got through High School with honors and a College Scholarship without ever learning a thing about arithmetic, chemistry, physics, trigonometry, geometry or calculus. As for Algebra, to this day I still don't know the quantity of X. Had I known then the value of Higher Math and Physics in producing profits from horse racing, I might possibly have actually EARNED those A's and B's. This is why I get so much amusement today when clients tell me that they're "not smart in math like me." Ho, Ho Ho!

After High School I was booted out of Divinity College and expelled three times from the SAME university - the last time retroactively- after taking all their courses twice. Their reason: my attitude. Typical ADHD syndrome.

I've never been treated for ADHD or received a prescription for any amphetamine drug or derivative. But I've done a lot of self-therapy and over-compensating in life. When I was younger, even before the rise of Martin Luther King, my personal motto was: I CAN, I WILL OVERCOME! I used to do it even when I didn't know exactly what I wanted to Overcome. In my far away youth I would face my mirror and say that to myself several times a day. I still talk to myself, but nowadays I tend to avoid mirrors.

Bearing in mind that, along with pharmacological therapy, protracted Psychotherapy is the procedure most recommended by ADHD psychiatrist-authors. The ADHD client I spoke of, the one who sent me the book, rigidly and diligently applies

**high determination self-Psychotherapy. He wins consistently because HE WILLs himself to win - TO OVERCOME all debilitating affects of ADHD. He says that Will Power, Determination and ABSOLUTE FOCUS are essential in ameliorating the residue of ADHD.**

**You can pay a psychiatrist \$140 to \$190 an hour or you can work through us for free but, in the end, YOU MUST be self-motivated to, (1) accept the possibility of ADHD; (2) get expert diagnosis and (3) find treatment or self-treatment.**

**And those who respond with "Sartin is full of B.S., there's nothing wrong with me, it's HIM, etc." I feel genuinely sorry for you but I cannot help those who refuse to help themselves. For fourteen years we've religiously limited the number of persons we will accept as clients. For every one of you who drops out putting the knock on me because I refuse to be commercially simplistic, we have about TWO who are waiting to become part of the group; and, as I'm sure you know, I have not advertised for clients in over four years! Now, here is ADHD test developed by Dr. Edward M. Hallowell who states that it is not an entirely definitive test because he and his associates have yet to accumulate a large enough data sampling from control groups. It is fairly accurate however.**

**An answer of "Yes" to more than 50 of the questions indicative of ADHD. If you answer "Yes" to 55 of the questions, you should seek some medical help. If you answer positively to only 35 and STILL can't win with the Method, you should abandon all but recreational handicapping or get with someone who only wants your money and doesn't care about you as a person or your consistent success as a handicapper.**

The following set of questions reflects those an experienced diagnostician will ask. While this quiz cannot confirm the diagnosis, the questions can increase the reader's feel for what ADD is, and offer a rough assessment as to whether professional help should be sought to make the actual diagnosis of ADD.

The more questions that are answered "yes," the more likely it is that ADD may be present. Since everybody will answer "yes" to some number of questions, and since we have not established norms for this questionnaire, it should only be used as an informal gauge.

1. Are you left-handed or ambidextrous?
2. Do you have a family history of drug or alcohol abuse, depression, or manic-depressive illness?
3. Are you moody?
4. Were you considered an underachiever in school? Now?
5. Do you have trouble getting started on things?
6. Do you drum your fingers a lot, tap your feet, fidget, or pace?
7. When you read, do you find that you often have to reread a paragraph or an entire page because you are daydreaming?
8. Do you tune out or space out a lot?
9. Do you have a hard time relaxing?
10. Are you excessively impatient?
11. Do you find that you undertake many projects simultaneously so that your life often resembles a juggler who's got six more balls in the air than he can handle?
12. Are you impulsive?
13. Are you easily distracted?
14. Even if you are easily distracted, do you find that there are times when your power of concentration is laser-beam intense?
15. Do you procrastinate chronically?
16. Do you often get excited by projects and then not follow through?
17. More than most people, do you feel that it is hard for you to make yourself understood?
18. Is your memory so porous that if you go from one room to the next to get something, by the time you get to the next room you've sometimes forgotten what you were looking for?
19. Do you smoke cigarettes?
20. Do you drink too much?
21. If you have ever tried cocaine, did you find that it helped you focus and calmed you down; rather than making you high?
22. Do you change the radio station in your car frequently?
23. Do you wear out your TV remote-control switch by changing stations frequently?
24. Do you feel driven, as if an engine inside you won't slow down?
25. As a kid, were you called words like, "a daydreamer," "lazy," "a spaceshot," "impulsive," "disruptive," "lazy," or just plain "bad"?
26. In intimate relationships is your inability to linger over conversations an impediment?
27. Are you always on the go, even when you don't really want to be?
28. More than most people, do you hate waiting in line?
29. Are you constitutionally incapable of reading the directions first?
30. Do you have a hair-trigger temper?
31. Are you constantly having to sit on yourself to keep from blurting out the wrong thing?
32. Do you like to gamble?
33. Do you feel like exploding inside when someone has trouble getting to the point?
34. Were you hyperactive as a child?

35. Are you drawn to situations of high intensity?
36. Do you often try to do the hard things rather than what comes easily to you?
37. Are you particularly intuitive?
38. Do you often find yourself involved in a situation without having planned it at all?
39. Would you rather have your teeth drilled by a dentist than make or follow a list?
40. Do you chronically resolve to organize your life better only to find that you're always on the brink of chaos?
41. Do you often find that you have an itch you cannot scratch, an appetite for something "more" and you're not sure what it is?
42. Would you describe yourself as hypersexual?
43. One man who turned out to have adult ADD presented with this unusual triad of symptoms: cocaine abuse, frequent reading of pornography, and an addiction to crossword puzzles. Can you understand him, even if you do not have those symptoms?
44. Would you consider yourself an addictive personality?
45. Are you more flirtatious than you really mean to be?
46. Did you grow up in a chaotic, boundariless family?
47. Do you find it hard to be alone?
48. Do you often counter depressive moods by some sort of potentially harmful compulsive behavior such as overworking, overspending, overdrinking, or overeating?
49. Do you have dyslexia?
50. Do you have a family history of ADD or hyperactivity?
51. Do you have a really hard time tolerating frustration?
52. Are you restless without "action" in your life?
53. Do you have a hard time reading a book all the way through?

54. Do you regularly break rules or minor laws rather than put up with the frustration of obeying them?
55. Are you beset by irrational worries?
56. Do you frequently make letter or number reversals?
57. Have you been the driver and at fault in more than four car accidents?
58. Do you handle money erratically?
59. Are you a gung-ho, go-for-it sort of person?
60. Do you find that structure and routine are both rare in your life and soothing when you find them?
61. Have you been divorced more than once?
62. Do you struggle to maintain self-esteem?
63. Do you have poor hand-eye coordination?
64. As a kid, were you a bit of a klutz at sports?
65. Have you changed jobs a lot?
66. Are you a maverick?
67. Are memos virtually impossible for you to read or write?
68. Do you find it almost impossible to keep an updated address book, phone book, or Rolodex?
69. Are you the life of the party one day and hang-dog the next?
70. Given an unexpected chunk of free time, do you often find that you don't use it well or get depressed during it?
71. Are you more creative or imaginative than most people?
72. Is paying attention or staying tuned in a chronic problem for you?
73. Do you work best in short spurts?
74. Do you let the bank balance your checkbook?
75. Are you usually eager to try something new?
76. Do you find you often get depressed after a success?
77. Do you hunger after myths and other organizing stories?
78. Do you feel you fail to live up to your potential?

79. Are you particularly restless?
80. Were you a daydreamer in class?
81. Were you ever the class clown?
82. Have you ever been described as "needy" or even "insatiable"?
83. Do you have trouble accurately assessing the impact you have on others?
84. Do you tend to approach problems intuitively?
85. When you get lost, do you tend to "feel" your way along rather than refer to a map?
86. Do you often get distracted during sex, even though you like it?
87. Were you adopted?
88. Do you have many allergies?
89. Did you have frequent ear infections as a child?
90. Are you much more effective when you are your own boss?
91. Are you smarter than you've been able to demonstrate?
92. Are you particularly insecure?
93. Do you have trouble keeping secrets?
94. Do you often forget what you're going to say just as you're about to say it?
95. Do you love to travel?
96. Are you claustrophobic?
97. Have you ever wondered if you're crazy?
98. Do you get the gist of things very quickly?
99. Do you laugh a lot?
100. Did you have trouble paying attention long enough to read this entire questionnaire?




Now remember five things:

- (1) There is no SHAME attached to ADHD.
- (2) If one DOES have it can be treated.
- (3) Having it is likely to be a deterrent to your success as a handicapper.
- (4) I have NO interest in seeing your answers to the questionnaire. They are Only are for YOUR edification, and possibly a qualified M.D.
- (5) If you scoff at the whole idea, YOU will suffer for it, NOT I.

---

## DNA Nobel winner on lookout for new worlds to conquer

Crick's latest trek into the unknown is the book "The Astonishing Hypothesis: The Scientific Search for the Soul." Its central tenet — that everything we see, feel and think is nothing more than the behaviors of a pack of neurons and molecules — is vintage Crick.



Francis Crick



# VOX POPULI

## THE CLIENTS SPEAK

TO: O. Henry House, Inc.

RE: New POR/POH (Quad-Rater) Program

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed herewith please find my check. Please rush me the new POR/POH (Quad-Rater) Program as I am eager to receive it before the Breeder's Cup this year...

As always, Dr. Sartin's programs are the best to own and use. August 7th I nailed a \$27.00 and \$41.00 winner at Louisiana downs. If you are not a Sartin member then you are a loser and a fool.

See you in the short line,  
K.C.J., Louisiana

Dear Howard,

How are things? Things here are super. Haven't written since July.

Here are my results for the days July 13, 15, 16, 17. I invested in 29 races and won 20 for win percent of 69. My ROI was 1.89 with an average mutuel of 8.25.

... July 20, 22, 23, 24. I invested in 36 races and won 26 for a win percent of 72. My ROI was 2.10 with an average mutuel of 9.64.

... July 29, 30, 31, Aug 1. I invested in 25 races and won 18 for a win percent of 72. My ROI was 1.98 with an average mutuel of 9.41.

... Aug 3, 5, 6, 7. I invested in 28 races and won 18 for a win percent of 64. My ROI was 1.87 with an average mutuel of 8.48.

... Aug 10, 12, 13, 14. I invested in 32 races and won 23 for win percent of 72. My ROI was 2.19 with an average mutuel of 9.60.

... Aug 17, 18, 19, 20, 21. I invested in 38 races and won 27 for a win percent of 71. My ROI was 2.20 with an average mutuel of 10.90.

Howard, after speaking to Spencer about the KGEN program, my results for route races have been incredible. I never used KGEN that often, but one day using it I noticed a dramatic difference from EXDC to KGEN from route races. Spencer said you incorporated a new formula in this program. You are a genius for doing this. Thanks for all the excellent work done.

If there is (any more) research I can do on Quad-Rater for a 5/8 mile track or a Bull ring, please let me know.

Thank You,  
D.M., BC, Canada

Sir/Madam,

Please say hello to Doc and tell him that I came up with Pointocontention 7-23-94, 10th at Hol. by comparing his current workouts with the field, he fits right there.

I then used his "only win" paceline which was nine back "unorthodox" to bring him into contention with field.

Using Adjust 2 and Thoromation I selected my pacelines. Pointa' came out on top, and was one of my top two bets.

I could not believe it and was scared to bet because of the way I selected the paceline, but the Psychology of Winning kicked in with Doc saying "If you chose it, put it in the computer and it says yes, don't try to fix it".

So I made my bet of the day. \$2.00 to win, returning whopping \$172.00.

My third choice would have completed the Exacta for over \$1800.00 but I was happy, very happy with my accomplishments using the Methodology.

Again I want to express my thanks to Doc, Jim, Shane and all other members of the Methodology who have helped me to come this

far and still learning and gaining greater confidence race after race after race.

I remain

Yours Sincerely,

S.R., California

P.S. Anxiously awaiting my Quad-Rater.

**DOC'S COMMENT:** Guideline for winning Exactas is still BOX TOP 3. \$1800 beats \$178, but congratulation anyway!

The following note came with a request for the formula offered through the Sept. American Turf Monthly article and a \$20 check made out to CASH

Dear Howard,

Have a beer on me!

B.O'D, California

**We replied with a Thanks! and a note that his check would be donated to a charity in his name. Nice guy! Not a client.**

Dear Doc,

...I now am doubly indebted to you: financially for the Methodology and physically for putting me on to Dr. Deepak Chopra. On your suggestion, I got his book after I had (a cancer) biopsy which was pronounced positive. Through Dr. Chopra's book I gained a deeper understanding of the body and this helped me get a much clearer picture of its workings for my visualizations during meditation. I guess the bottom line is that the doctors found NOTHING! First they said I had (a malignant growth), then they were concerned with the heart and the b.p. And after all was said and done, they found nothing! Isn't that great? I've been telling everyone I know about Chopra's book, but it's really you I owe a great debt. Thank you again Doc! If there's anything this old body can do for you and yours, Doc, just name it.

A.N., Canada

**DOC'S COMMENT:** Dr. Deepak Chopra's book and audio tape "Quantum Healing" is the best thing going to solve problems: Physical, Spiritual and for Successful Handicapping!

Dr. Sartin,

Here are my first two Thoromation reports. Both are from Atlantic City Race Course. During this period ATL has had 38% favorites winning, so I'm

passing quite a few races where my low-odds pick won't return \$5.00. (won 15 of 20 and 11 of 18 respectively. Average mutuels: \$9.93 and \$15.20)

I attended the Baltimore Seminar and made sure I introduced myself to all of your staff. I was quite surprised how accessible and friendly everyone was. (I already now they and you were knowledgeable) Glen (Connolly) has helped me quite a bit especially with contender selection. Between Glen, the manuals and Follow Up's, it's been hectic but fun.

R.S., New Jersey

Doc,

I have been using APV & Class rankings taken from the distance of PP's. I use all the horses that are ranked 5 or better in both categories. I also enter the top 3 or 4 SR & TV's if they are not included in the APV & Class rankings.

So far I have gotten 94% of wins and 93% of place horses in my contenders. I get 87% of all Perfecta's in my first slate of contenders.

Since I have gotten Quad-Rater I just enter my contenders all in and let it sort then down to the 4 or 5 that I put into EXDC Thoromation. I have had several good days and a few where I have left out a win or place horse but the price more than made up for them.

R.M., W. Virginia

Dear Doc,

I would like to congratulate you on perhaps the finest Follow Up yet! (#46)

I would also like to thank you for your fine words in my behalf from the Fractals report on p. 56-57. I am happy to contribute.

Your recommendation of Huey Mahl's and Pittsburg Phil's books (in Follow Up #45) was excellent, as both reminded me of basics that I will utilize when I start again at Oak Tree.

A major reason for this letter is to let you know that the Psychology of Winning article on Positivity truly reminded me where my evaluation focus should now be. When I first performed the "You Call the Race" exercise at Beaumont last year, I took for granted the success of it....(I was able to get the win & place in the final three). I let the

experience go and moved on. But your article has refocused me:

Doc; thank you very much again.  
Sincerely,  
T.P., California

Dear Dr. Sartin:

I have been using Total Pace (TPR) now for 2<sup>1/2</sup> years. I can consistently win 8 or 9 races per 20 race cycle never losing money but never able to break through to win significant amounts. Always running my bank to about \$1000.00 only to hit the wall and come back down to \$400.00 or \$500.00. May not be an answer for this except more practice; but if you have any suggestions I will listen.

I have often wondered how long it took you and many of the other pros to win significant amounts of money. I own a small real estate office and make a good living. But the horses are my first love. I do play 2 horses to win in every race. Every time I don't do this I get burned. Also what is a good APV? I am committed to making money at this game but sometimes it gets frustrating. Thanks for everything,  
B.E., Oklahoma

**DOC ANSWERS:**

Dear Bill,

I'm sending you back a Xerox of your letter so you'll know exactly what you asked. For openers, a lot of CLIENTS (which you never became) are winning substantial sums to make or augment their living. BUT, none of them are using TPR. AS we said before, it is a procedure open to the general public who bought the book and, as it says in the book, it's a highly simplistic; Phase I version of the Sartin Methodology designed...back in 1975.

You'll never get rich with TPR. On the other hand you won't lose: If everyone broke even there would be no Gambling Problems and a lot of psychiatrists, psychologists and sociologists would be out of work.

I'm sending you an Information Package just in case you decide that the old KISS theory of "Keep it Simple Stupid" is very simple and anyone who thinks he/she can make a good living handicapping "simplistic" is suffering from a delusional belief in magic.

Paul Colwell is one of the more unsung Methodology Teaching members. He recently held a Midwest workshop, inviting Michael King to help. At no charge he also asked Michael to bring along some of the disabled Viet Nam vets he has been helping to supplement through handicapping. Here is a tribute to Paul that they just sent to me...Doc

THANKS PAUL

On a beautiful Saturday, while the eyes of the racing world were focused on Arlington Int'l and its International Festival of Racing, two days that handicappers wait all year to see and to wager, climaxing with the Arlington Million. Far removed from this pageantry, Charter Teaching Member, Paul Colwell was in a room with five Nam Vets teaching them all aspects of Dr. Sartin's Methodology. Using various programs given them free of charge by Dr. Sartin. Each man was taken through his program from the basic (Phase III), to (Synergetic Match Up, Thoromation, Entropy) the new Quad-Rater with many different formulas and adjustments. Condition, contender, pace line selection were all covered on a one-to-one, hands on approach. All knew this part of the Methodology, thanks to the '93 Vegas Audio Tapes (also given to them free of charge by Dr. Sartin). Their confidence was increased when Paul confirmed their selections. Not only did Paul cover the usual match-up, contenders, pace line projections, and adjustments in the various programs, he showed how to make money regardless of the odds on one's selection showing the many benefits of place and show betting, and the wonderful world of Perfecta (Exactas) profits. Paul also covered how to overcome fear in betting, especially losing streaks (three in a row), and to never lose confidence in yourself or the program of your choice. Judging by the number of winners we had on paper, Paul sacrificed many hundreds and probably thousands of dollars to spend the day with us. Thanks again, Paul, and God bless.

John, Carlos, Harold, Jim, and Mike

Dr. Sartin,

When you gave the assignment to test the Fractals program with instructions not to put into the computer a line more than 4 races back, I stumbled on to something so exciting I am scared it is only a mirage.

This assignment reminded me of a lab assignment a few years ago when we emptied 30+ rattlesnakes (preserved) onto lab trays with the assignment to classify them as a field biologist might. I was most careful when examining those heads. Your assignment was easier- no fangs to bite me.

The first thing I was excited about, after I familiarized myself with the program, was how user-friendly it is.

What I have found:

1. On Energy Generator - Raw Screen the first thing I consider is LEX. When these numbers are "equal" (for lack of a more correct word) i.e. 32.00, 32.58, etc. I determined no horse had a late advantage; so I looked for a horse with an advantage somewhere else.

a) The next screen I looked at is Fractals - Raw. If one horse has a two point advantage or more in Fr-1, 102.57 100.35, then there is a strong chance the race will run E on my printouts. I can with some exceptions, find the winner on Fr-E or the Basic Formula Screen. If there is no 2 pt. advantage in Fr-1 then I look away from E, generally to Chaos - Fractal A & Fractal C.

2. When the LEX numbers are unequal i.e. 32.44 31.20 30.04, I determined the race will run sustained on my printouts. I then look to sustained numbers and more important the Chaos screen - Fractal A & Fractal C.

3. This is what I found in a nutshell. It is almost that simple. A friend of my brother is testing it on Synergetic Match Up II and is getting 70-80%. Troy Panzarini & I have had a couple of long phone conversation and he thinks it will work. I plan on testing this process on KGEN using the Kinetic Potential for 1/2 furlong screen

A large part of my summer has been spent with grandchildren and nursing a recently acquired tortoise back to good health. In two weeks I plan to pursue this concept on Thoromation, KGEN and Energy. I cannot believe it should be this easy, but I am not complaining.

I can hardly wait for the final version of Fractals.  
S.P., California

Doc

I just returned from Del Mar using Entropy II

8/31 - 7th - Breakfast Table - \$51.60

8th - Uncaged Fury - \$ 20.00

9/1 - 7th - Kellyko - \$39.80

Note: I only included the \$20+ payoffs

Thank You,

V.P., Tennessee

---

Dear Dr. Sartin

...I know you must be truly surprised to see another letter from me this year but I am duty bound to bring you up to date on my progress. This year has been very good. Even with small fields and low payoffs I am very much ahead. My stats are 63% winners, average mutuel 8.08(!) and ROI of 33% including exotic wagering. I have been perhaps too selective in the races I bet but I have been using a teletheatre and advance wagering so I have no knowledge of late scratches or post time odds. Then again, that may be why I am doing as well as I am.

Warmest regards,

B.D., Ont. Canada

---

Dear Howard,

Enclosed are my reports for Thoromation. I have included sets for both automatic and alternate adjustments. With you permission I would like to keep data on only the adjustment that I choose to use for each race. As a long time Energy user with good success I have a high level of confidence in my ability to choose the correct adjustment...

I am definitely getting a number of winners with higher mutuels than previously. I have had several over \$50.00 which is something that I personally have not experienced much in the past. What a kick!...






Hope you and yours are all doing well!

J.T., Illinois



# Q & A WITH THE DOC

Several People from Coast To Coast asked this one. It deals with TROY PANZARINI'S article in FU #45, Not the last issue, the one before it. The Q's focused on his use of the (2) Alt. adjust when merely Auto (1) adjusting the race got the box car mutuel winner, WILD T 2nd.

	1 WildT
	2 Super
	3 Mosco
	4 AltaB
	5 ShirI

TAN. \$40 WIN  
 ✓  
 TAN

So did Entropy, WILD T'S 10 is right behind Moscow's Low of 8.

ENTROPY SORT DC/U COMPOSITE  
 \*\*\*\*\* Low is Best

Name	Ep/dv	P/dv	Hs/dv	Td/dv	Pw/dv	Total
Mosco	1	1	2	2	2	8
AltaB	2	6	4	4	4	20
ASup	3	5	6	6	6	26
Super	4	4	5	5	5	23
WildT	5	2	1	1	1	10
ShirI	6	3	7	7	7	30
Buyin	7	7	3	3	3	23

Both so far ahead of the rest that many of our long time clients couldn't figure why TROY had to go farther. So I asked him.

Well, seems he bets with that CONNECTICUT outfit which means he has to wager a little heavier to afford the extra cost. So he likes to look at each race every way possible. He did BOTH (1) adjust and (2) adjust it. Since BOTH adjustments got the horse in the TOP 2, that made it the kind of SPOT PLAY we've so often discussed.

For the purposes of the article he wanted the Winner to be on top instead of second. It's a purely cosmetic thing but LOTS of people seem to have a thing about it. Gives them more confidence when they can see a winner at ANY PRICE, on top.

TROY missed ONE very important aspect of that race. It was a TANDEM RACE with WILD T finishing a close third behind MOSCOW and the PLACE horse NOT in today's race. Thus WILD T would have been the other bet, regardless. TROY'S Methodology Education had not, at the time, included the TANDEM MANUAL. It does now.

In short, we should NOT gild the lily, or as Dr. Lloyd Morgan would say, DON'T use penicillin if aspirin will do. The law of Equi-Potential applies to handicapping, too.

Maybe we overdid the number of Long Shot races won with QUAD-RATER combined with ENTROPY, SYNERGETIC MATCH UP AND/OR THOROMATION. A Lot of subscribers asked if it would also get LOWER Paying bread and butter horses that nationwide are winning about two thirds of the races. YES! It sure does. Those who look upon QUAD-RATER only for its LONG SHOT DETECTOR are missing THREE parts of the Quad.

A good example of what I'm talking about comes from CAROLYN ALVARADO, a client from Pasadena who joined the mini-workshop set up by PHIL GOWENS at Stateline, Nev. Using Quad-Rater readouts and confirming them through Thoromation, she won, on Saturday, September 10, six of seven races with no first time starters. On Sunday she not only SWEPT the Del Mar card - leaving out only one race because of some first time starters, SHE also WON SEVEN Exactas boxing three horses. CAROLYN is proof again that Handicapping is NOT "For Men Only."

As much as I hate to use up space on lower odds contenders, they DO dominate these days so we have to WIN THEM ALL. Here are a few samples of races with mixed payoffs.

Howard -

I received my copy of Quad-Rater last Thursday and took it for a test drive yesterday (27Aug94). You've got to be kidding me! No program can be this good. Look at the results I got without even really knowing what I was doing.

Race	Result	Mutuel	Exacta Mutuel	Type
1	won	\$6.60	\$13.20	Claiming
2	won	\$47.70	\$123.20	Maiden claim
3	lost	---	---	Claiming
4	won	\$5.00	\$11.08	Turf stakes
5	lost	---	---	Maiden claim
6	lost	---	---	Alw, NW-1
7	won	\$20.00	\$94.00	Alw, NW-1
8	won	\$7.00	\$25.60	Claiming

Results = 62.5% wins

Net Profit: \$1.69 per dollar bet on win  
\$1.79 per dollar bet on exactas

None of these were confirmed with Thoromation.

With a little practice and some confirmation, I might even do better.

Dear Howard,

\* SAR (Whitney Hcp) Colonial Affair: 25.80 7.80 3.40  
\* DMR (082407): ---- 13.60 3.60  
\* AP (082506): 67.20 70.40 15.00  
\* DMR (082601): 44.20 8.00 3.80  
\* PRE (082610): 43.40 11.20 3.80  
\* PRE (082702): 19.00 9.60 3.40  
\* PRE (082714): 55.40 7.60 5.20

Quite a nice group of pay-offs for the last 4 days, wouldn't you say?!

I keep waiting for the bubble to burst, Howard, but I'm more amazed each day with the awesome capabilities of Quad-Rater. While I'm waiting for delivery of the 4 call EXDC/Thoromation I ordered, I'm keeping it simple with Quad-Rater. All of the horses listed ranked in the top 3 of Long Shot Potential read out.

Using your guidelines for pace line choice and betting on any/all of the high odds horses in the top 3 LS/P, I'm nailing them! I'm betting 2w 4p 8s (and multiples).

It's an incredible program, Howard, and it makes narrowing the field to WPS so easy. For the first time in the many years I've handicapped, I'm developing a sense of confidence.

My hat is off to you for persisting in bringing "the mountain to Mohammed."

Sincerely,

  
ARIZONA

P.S. Dateline last Sunday: Hit WILD ESCAPE in The Hopeful at Saratoga. \$50 - \$11,40 - \$3.20

DOC'S Comment: The bubble won't burst unless you stick a pin in it. So beware of tinkering and fiddling. I know you like Long Shots but the way you're betting will MISS many lower priced horses. But if you don't mind, I don't.

ALSO, I'M VERY HAPPY THAT HE'S GETTING THOROMATION. WITH THOSE PAYOFFS HE CAN SURE AFFORD IT. BUT IT, ALONG WITH SYNERGETIC MATCH UP II, IS ALSO THE BEST COROLLARY PROGRAM TO USE FOR ANXIETY-FREE WAGERING. IN HANDICAPPING, A VIABLE COROLLARY IS PERHAPS THEE MOST ESSENTIAL INGREDIENT.



NEXT; A *COUPLE OF QUESTIONS* from an experienced winner.

I also have a few questions.

1. What is the reason for inputting the speed rating and daily variant? They don't seem to do anything. If a particular distance isn't run at my track, then I can't use the automatic variant feature on some paceslines anyway.
2. What does the Hide feature do? I used it a couple of times but couldn't see any difference anywhere. All it did was serve as a reminder to me by being notated.

**A:** My first question to him would be, "How do you *KNOW* that the Speed Rating and Variant are not used in Quad-Rater? But to answer *HIS* question we'll have to go back to *WHY* he would ask it in the first place. As a seasoned handicapper who was going to the races long before he met me, he probably believes all the negative propaganda about the Daily Racing Form Speed Rating & Variant being useless garbage. So he ignored my data which has been so helpful to those who once had difficulty in picking contenders and pace lines.

(1) Use the **BEST** of the last **THREE** Speed (+V when called for) Ratings at a comparable distance and surface for your contender/Pace Line. For those who have already mastered the art of contender/pace line selection, just keep on doing what you're doing.

(2) We said **ALWAYS** include any horse with an (adjusted today's track 80 SR or better, or **ANY** Speed rating within 3 of the mean SR of the contenders in today's race.

(3) (Most important in getting Longshots) Use **ANY** horse that won or came in within a less than a length in its last applicable race, regardless of SR.

(4) To be wary of **ANY** horse having a high Speed Rating but who appeared to do badly in the beaten lengths department.

(5) **AND..**In areas other than those, like Southern California in the Summer where the DRF Variant counts for little, **ADDING** the DRF Speed Rating **AND** Variant might be a better way. According to the **SPORTSTAT** study, it **IS**. **BUT**, be wary of **ANY** variant in excess of 30 wherever you are; and don't get too excited about **LOW** variants

(under 14) unless the line is more than two back & the horse shows no OTHER good race at a variant over 14.

(6) SO, by VISUALLY seeing the Speed Ratings and Variants you have Entered into QUAD-RATER you can check your entries against reality. Here is a for instance.

HORSE	DRF SPEED RATING	DRF VARIANT	SR+V)	Rank
A	80	21	101	2 (tie)
B	83	17	100	3
C	86	14	99	4
D	90	11	101	2 (tie)
E	76*	17	93	6(out)
F	84	21	105	1
G	72*	24	96	5(out)
H	68*	19	87	7(out)

\*\* Noting the deficiencies in Horses like E, G and H, (UNLESS they qualify under #3 above) has helped many to become winners. They used to enter SLOW horses with no redeeming values and then try to ADJUST them upward. Being able to actually SEE in print comparative Speed Ratings (plus Variant when called for), has eliminated this problem. The biggest problem with adding the variant is that it brings horses into such close proximity. One way to solve the problem is to use a number within one on either side of an average variant of 17 and only benefit or penalize your horse on this basis. It's been in previous FU'S. If you did that the above SR+V Total would be thus:

HORSE	SR+Adj V)	Rank
A:	83	3 (tie)
B:	83	3 (tie)
C:	84	2 (tie)
D:	84	2 (tie)
E:	76	5 ***
F:	87	1
G:	78	4
H:	69	6 ***

Whichever way you choose, it matters little since Quad-Rater will tell you which horses to eliminate and get it down to four or five. Unless G qualified as the # 1 or #2 Longshot Detector horse, it would most likely be a program throw out.

THIS is VERY important, so I'll repeat it. ELIMINATE horses that are NOT at least five ranked on either POR or POH. This factor is KEY! If you leave them in they're likely to turn up on the LS/Detector giving you false hopes because in truth they are not shots they are NON-Shots.

Here is a race where I MADE the mistake of not going back FOUR Races when the procedure was called for. I missed a lucrative Place Horse AND the Exacta because I failed to Follow MY OWN prescription. The above mentioned CAROLYN ALVARADO did exactly as "I Said," not what I did and she won the Exacta.

---

**Q #2: WHY THE HIDE FEATURE IN QUAD-RATER?**

**A:** To note that non-qualifying horses have been HIDDEN and should be IGNORED! Hidden Horses will NOT appear on the Quad-Rater's Final Graphs NOR will they be COPIED to any of our other advanced four call programs like SYN-ERGETIC MATCH-UP, EXDC, KGEN or ENTROPY. Also, it is BETTER than scratching horses you may later on regret having done. The injunction is: DON'T Scratch UNTIL after you see how QUAD-RATER'S next to final screen evaluates your contenders. As for your comment that when using HIDE you couldn't see a difference anywhere: you *MUST* have failed to look at QUAD-RATER'S Most Important screens, known affectionately as the Cigar Graphs. THEY do NOT show Hidden Horses!

And here's a Question I receive, in one form or another, several times a week. Jim "The Hat" hears the same question asked constantly on the phone. I'll quote it word for word:

**Q.** "Dear Doc, I work the races down to the best three or four contenders with the winner IN. But then I ALWAYS play the wrong two. Any suggestions?"

**A.** Yes. Change your betting strategy, start wagering on the one you ALWAYS leave out. Seriously, anyone adept enough to get a race down to three contenders including the winner, is SURELY sharp enough to do a post-mortem and a little research on HIM/HER self to correct the problem through self-talk. If *NOT* read about Counter Energy in THIS issue's FROM THE TOP.

**Del Mar**

**5**

**6 1/2 Furlongs (1:13<sup>3</sup>) CLAIMING, Purse \$22,000. 3-year-olds and upward. Weights: 3-year-olds, 118 lbs. Older, 122 lbs. Non-winners of two races since August 1, allowed 3 lbs. Of a race since August 15, 5 lbs. Claiming price \$25,000; if for \$22,500, allowed 2 lbs. (Maiden or races when entered for \$20,000 or less not considered.)**

**Spartan Order PLACE**

Ow: D'Silva & Fast Lane Fm & Watchers

**SOLIS A (106' 32 33 17 17) \$25,000**

30Jly94-30mr fst 7f 2:21.441 1:084 1:22 3+ Alw 42000N2X  
 19Jun94-1Hol fm 5f 2:12.442 :553 1:021 Alw 46000N3X  
 2Dec93-8Hol fst 7f 2:13.442 1:091 1:22 3+ Alw 33000N2X  
 3Nov93-3SA fst 6f 1:22.444 1:093 1:161 3+ Alw 32000N1X  
 15Aug93-40mr fst 6f 2:22.444 :571 1:10 3+ Md Sp Wl  
 18Jly93-4Hol fst 6f 2:14.444 :571 1:092 3+ Md Sp Wl

WORKOUTS: 20Sep7 Dmr 6f fst 1:12 H 1/20 Aug 31 Dmr 6f fst 1:13 H 5/28 Aug 24 Dmr 6f fst 1:124 H 4/17 Aug 17 Dmr 5f fst 1:003 H 20/56

B. p. 4  
 Sire: American Standard (In Reality)  
 Dam: Sister Belle (Bravest Roman)  
 Br: Newchance Farm (Fla)  
 Tr: Sadler John W (42 11 4 4 26)

**L 117**



HERE'S THE PLACE HORSE. I DIDN'T FOLLOW MY OWN PRESCRIPTION OF USING THE BEST SR OF THE LAST THREE, COMPARABLE DISTANCE & SURFACE. HAD I DONE SO AND GONE BACK FOUR, THIS HORSE WOULD HAVE BEEN A STANDOUT. I LOST THE \$213.40 EXACTA. EVEN THE \$92.80 QUINELLA WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN HARD TO TAKE. CAROLYN ALVARADO AND ALL THE STUDENTS AT HER TABLE (That I'd been working with), DID AS I SAID, NOT AS I DID AND WON IT.

**Fistylee**

Ow: Ferreira Alex D

**BERRIO OA (25 0 3 3 .00) \$25,000**

26Aug94-52mr fst 7f 2:21.444 1:091 1:214 3+ Clm c-16000  
 Claimed from Keller Franklin V, Nelson Harry N Trainer  
 13Aug94-10Bmf fst 6f 2:22.454 :573 1:093 3+ Alw 8000s  
 12Jly94-11Sol fst 5f 2:23.452 :573 1:034 3+ Alw 19600N2X  
 26Jun94-11Pln fst 5f 2:14.453 :573 1:041 3+ Alw 22770N2X  
 11Jun94-6GG fst 6f 2:22.45 :57 1:092 Alw 10000s  
 11May94-3GG fst 6f 2:12.434 :562 1:094 Clm 30000  
 29Apr94-8GG gd 6f 2:22.451 :573 1:104 3+ Alw 26900N2X  
 9Apr94-9GG fst 6f 2:22.452 :573 1:10 Alw 23000N1X  
 19Mar94-7GG fst 6f 2:14.443 :57 1:092 Alw 23000N1X

Lacked room into stretch

11Mar94-6GG fst 6f 2:21.45 :57 1:092 Alw 8000s

WORKOUTS: 20Aug7 Bmf 5f fst :581 H 1/33 Jly 31 BM 5f fst 1:00 H 2/47 Jly 24 BM 5f fst 1:021 H 1/34 Jly 9 BM 3f fst :382 H 16/20

B. p. 6  
 Sire: Island Whirl (Pago Pago)  
 Dam: Fast Lane Gal (Rajab)  
 Br: Ekece H Stable (Fla)  
 Tr: Avila A C (16 0 1 1 .00)

**L 117**

81 7 2 723 843 654 434 Black CA LB 117 7.00 91-08  
 81 6 3 53 63 63 64 Niebla L L 117 f 3.90 93-04  
 81 1 6 64 451 434 43 Mercado P LB 117 f 6.80 92-09  
 81 1 7 674 54 524 411 Mercado P LB 117 f 12.30 88-20  
 88 1 3 414 54 424 423 Miranda V LB 119 f 5.50 89-12  
 82 4 5 443 463 55 31 Mercado P LB 117 11.80 89-11  
 81 7 5 654 43 434 433 Mercado P LB 122 5.30 81-20  
 87 7 8 434 314 2nd 11 Mercado P LB 119 2.90 89-13  
 88 3 7 534 42 42 224 Mercado P LB 119 4.80 89-14

WHY DID A HORSE WITH A 91 SPEED RATING IN ITS LAST RACE, SAME TRACK, COMPARABLE DISTANCE, GO OFF AT 10.2 TO ONE? PROBABLY BECAUSE HE'S BEEN RACING IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA. HENCE DISCARDED BY ALL THE EXPERTS WHO INFLUENCE THE BETTORS. OF ITS LAST LINE OR TWO BACK IT RIGHT UP ON QUAD-RATER COPIED TO THOROMATION.

**5367—FIFTH RACE. 6 1/2 Furlongs. Purse \$22,000. 3-year-olds and up. Claiming price \$25,000-22,500.**

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
5240	Fistylee, O Berrio	117	8	9	9	9	-	62	11	10.20
5030	Spartan Order, A Solis	117	4	4	1hd	1hd	-	11	21 1/2	4.80
(5240)	Sir Gilley, J Vitek	112	7	1	4hd	42 1/2	-	22	3 1/2	4.40
1025	Totally Irish, C Nakatani	117	3	7	6hd	72	-	51	4 1/2	4.10
5276	Mistiva, F Valenzuela	115	6	3	3 1/2	3hd	-	3 1/2	5 1/2	10.20
5293	Pedernales, J Atherton	117	1	8	83	8hd	-	7 1/2	6 1/2	36.60
5201	Logical Gain, P Atkinson	117	5	2	51	6 1/2	-	4hd	78	27.80
4441	Bolger's Lead, K Desormeaux	117	9	5	74	5hd	-	9	82	4.10
5260	Rosky, P Valenzuela	117	2	6	2 1/2	2 1/2	-	8 1/2	9	4.00

**8—FISTYLEE .....22.40 10.00 6.00**  
**4—SPARTAN ORDER .....6.60 4.20**  
**7—SIR GILLEY .....3.40**

Off: 4:11 Time: :21.4 :44.3 1:09 2/5, 1:16. Track: Clear & Fast.

**\$2 EXACTA (8-4) \$213.40 \$2 TRIFECTA (8-4-7) \$1,096.80**  
**\$3 PICK THREE (5-1,7-8,) \$439.50 \$2 QUINELLA (8-4) \$92.80**

DOWNLOADED FROM BRIS VIA XTOR UPGRADE WHICH MAINTAINS THE BEST FEATURES FROM PAST PERFORMANCE PLUS, SUITED TO OUR USAGE.

## Past Performance Plus

2nd bel september 14 7f 12500 14000 cun 7 FURLONGS DIRT thoroughbred open 4 year old  
 s and up claiming. CLAIMING 14000-12000 Purse \$12500 (-1:30) (10)

								1994	12	1	3	2	\$22108	1993	21	3	2	2	\$51879
1	BEN ALI'S BULLAH																		
2	Sep94 BEL ft C1m14000	6.0	22.1	44.4	57	110	3-4.5	3-4	3-3	2-.06	*	87	91-12	CESAR, BEN A, CLEVE, Gamely	11				
	5Aug94 SAR sy C1m25000	6.0	22.2	46.2	58.4	111.1	4-3.5	4-1.75	5-1.75	3-4		87	81-19	OCEAN, PEERL, BEN A, Prior, Steadied	9				
	18Jul94 BEL sy C1m17500	7.0	22.4	45.4	111	123.4	3-2	2-2	2-4	2-9		80	75-20	INSUR, BEN A, PRIOR, Held pla	6				
	21Jun94 BEL ft C1m14000	7.0	22.3	45.4	110.4	123.2	6-2.75	6-1.5	6-2.75	5-5.25		81	81-11	PRIOR, PARAC, ALEX, No late	13				
	10Jun94 BEL ft C1m14000	6.0	22.1	45.3	57.3	110	2-1	2-1	3-2	4-2.25		83	89-09	REAPP, PARAC, TWO V, No late	10				
	26May94 BEL wf C1m17500	6.0	22.4	46.1	58.1	110.4	2-.5	2-.13	2-.13	1-.25		85	87-15	BEN A, FREEZ, SON O, Driving	5				
	15May94 BEL ft C1m17500	6.0	22.4	46.2	58.2	110.4	6-5	5-3	5-3.5	6-9.25		78	78-12	REVOL, FREEZ, SEA B, No threa	8				
	8May94 BEL sy C1m25000	6.0	22.2	45.1	57	109	2-1.5	3-1	3-2	3-3.75		87	92-09	TOP T, NORTH, BEN A, Weakened	6				
	2Mar94 AQU ft C1m17500c	6.0	23.2	46.2	58.3	111.2	7-6.5	7-6.5	7-6	7-7		79	79-20	MIDMI, ALEX, MARAO, Checked	7				
	21Feb94 AQU ft C1m17500	6.0	23.2	47	59.2	112.1	6-4	7-3	5-2.5	2-.08		87	82-20	EXPLO, BEN A, RED H, Finished	9				
2	SAILING ON APRAYER																		
	20Jul94 BEL ft C1m14000	6.0	22.3	46.2	58.4	112	6-4	4-3	5-4	4-3.25		76	78-17	PARAC, REAPP, ESTAB, Broke sl	9				
	10Jul94 BEL ft C1m14000	7.0	23.2	47	112	125	4-2	3-1	3-2	1-.13	*	86	78-21	SAILI, POOR, Q, 'S, Driving	8				
	4Jul94 BEL ft C1m14000	8.5	24	47.3	112	144.4	6-3	6-5	6-6.5	7-3.75		77	74-24	HOLD, BUSHM, HUDLA, No facto	8				
	30May94 BEL ft C1m14000	7.0	22.3	45.2	110.4	124.2	10-6.5	5-4.5	3-5	3-2.75		84	78-16	ALEX, CALLI, SAILI, Stead ea	11				
	21May94 BEL ft C1m25800	7.0	22.1	45.1	110	123.2	4-9	5-6	5-7	4-3.5		82	82-13	GALLA, WONDE, ROMAN, No threa	7				
	4May94 BEL ft C1m17500	7.0	23.1	47	111	123.3	3-1.5	5-2.5	5-2.5	3-.5		87	84-11	PENCI, CALLI, SAILI, Steadied	8				
	17Apr94 AQU ft C1m25000	8.0	22.3	48	109.3	135.1	3-3	3-2.5	4-3.5	5-6.25		87	86-18	MARAO, NORTH, VET J, Tired	9				
	29Mar94 AQU sy C1m25000	8.0	23.1	46.4	112.1	137.3	2-2	2-1	2-3	2-9.5		82	70-27	BAYPA, SAILI, RAISE, Second b	6				
	6Mar94 AQU ft Alw30000n2	8.5	23.1	47.1	111.2	144.3	3-2.5	3-4.5	6-8	7-8.75		83	73-24	BUSHK, ELECT, DRAWP, Gave way	7				
	22Feb94 AQU ft Alw30000n2	8.31	24	48	113	143.1	2-2	2-1.5	2-3	2-3		94	79-28	DREAM, SAILI, DRAWP, Held pla	6				
3	CINNOWEN BAY																		
	4Sep94 BEL fm C1m35000	8.5	23.3	46.4	110.3	141.1	1-.5	1-.5	8-4.75	8-9.5		76	82-10	PRAYE, WORDI, T, BA, Duled, t	12				
	11Aug94 SAR tf Alw30000n1	13.0	47.2	202.4	202.4	240.3	1-.5	2-.13	5-3	5-7.75	*	89	84-13	SULTA, THE W, STAYE, Duled, t	9				
	6Aug94 SAR yl Alw30000n1	8.5	23.4	48.3	113.2	144.4	6-4	10-5.25	10-7.5	9-10.7		78	58-24	DARBY, HENRY, CADEN, Steadied	12				
	9Jul94 BEL tf Alw30000n1	10.0	47.4	112.2	137.1	202.4	3-5.5	4-2	5-3	6-4		84	72-19	PALAC, THE W, BEWAR, Checked	9				
	26Jun94 MTH fm C1m20000	8.5	23.2	47.2	111.3	142.2	7-6.5	6-4	5-4	4-2		88	90-14	GO DU, POLES, FANCI, Late gai	10				
	12Jun94 BEL fm Alw30000n1	8.5	23.1	48	109.3	140.3	5-2	8-4.75	5-4	6-3.75		83	91-08	THREE, GRAND, HERE, Saved gr	12				
	30May94 BEL ft C1m14000	7.0	22.3	45.2	110.4	124.2	2-2	4-4	5-6.5	5-4.25		81	77-16	ALEX, CALLI, SAILI, Tired	11				
	22May94 BEL tf Alw30000n1	8.5	23.3	47.2	112.2	144.2	6-3.5	8-4.25	8-6.5	8-8		79	66-24	ADD T, HERE, Balsa, Steadied	10				
	10Apr94 HIA fm C1m25000	8.5	0	0	0	143.3	12-7.5	12-6.5	6-8.75	6-11.2		73	74-08	SIMIL, STARI, TOUGH, Belated	12				
	19Mar94 HIA fm Alw15000n1	8.5	0	0	0	141.1	2-1.5	2-2	2-3.5	3-4		86	92-07	PETER, BILL, CINNO, Weakened	12				
4	JASON DEAN																		
	2Sep94 BEL ft C1m14000	6.0	22.1	44.4	57	110	8-9	8-10	6-8	8-10		70	81-12	CESAR, BEN A, CLEVE, Pinched	11				
	22Aug94 SAR sy Alw30000n2	6.5	22	45.1	110.2	117	4-8	6-6.75	5-18	F-0		0	0-17	MR. T, MAN'S, SARAT, Tired, ea	6				
	31Jul94 SAR ft Alw30000n2	6.0	22.1	45.2	57.3	110.4	1-.13	2-1	6-4.5	6-9	*	74	78-16	BIRDI, YOUTH, GOLDE, Duled, t	7				
	13Aug93 SAR ft Alw27500	7.0	22.2	44.3	109.4	122.4	6-4.5	6-7	9-6	8-9.5		79	81-07	APPE, WALLE, ALEX, No threa	10				
	22Jul93 BEL ft Alw30500	8.5	23.4	47.4	112.1	143.4	2-.5	1-.13	2-3	3-6		87	77-20	RECOR, ALL M, JASON, Bid, tire	5				
	23Jun93 BEL ft Alw28500	8.5	23.3	47	112.1	144.1	1-1	1-.13	1-2	1-3		90	81-20	JASON, ALL G, DANCEI, Driving	6				
	2Jun93 BEL ft HdSpVt	6.0	22.3	46.1	58	110.3	1-.5	1-.5	1-1.5	1-.5		92	87-12	JASON, SPLEN, SWIND, Driving	6				
	9May93 BEL ft HdSpVt	6.0	22.1	45.2	57.3	110.2	3-2	3-2	2-2	3-2.5		87	85-13	RED R, CARSE, JASON, Bid, weak	11				
	28Apr93 AQU ft HdSpVt	7.0	22.4	45.3	110.4	123.2	3-1.5	3-2	4-1	4-5.5		85	81-19	PLANO, MINE, WESTE, Lacked r	7				
5	PAL'S MEMORY																		
	16Jul94 BEL ft C1m14000	8.0	23.2	46.3	111.4	138.3	3-.5	5-1.5	3-2	4-4		76	66-30	TWO V, PARAC, WAKE, No late	11				
	25Jun94 BEL ft Alw30000n1	9.0	48	110.3	136.4	150.1	5-6.5	6-7	6-4.25	4-5.75		78	74-25	WAJIR, JO RA, SANGR, No threa	6				
	11Jun94 BEL ft Alw28000n1	7.0	22	44.2	109.2	122.2	7-7	7-5.5	4-3.5	3-5	*	87	86-03	MR. T, MEDIC, PAL'S, Mild ral	9				
	3Jun94 BEL ft Alw30000n1	8.0	22.4	46.2	111.2	137	5-5	5-3	4-4	3-4		81	74-20	WALDO, HAWAI, PAL'S, No threa	5				
	24May94 BEL ft Alw30000n1	8.0	22.4	46.1	111	136.2	3-1.5	5-2	4-3.5	4-7.5		85	74-24	POSSI, KERFO, FINAL, Flatten	6				
	30Apr94 AQU ft Alw29000n1	9.0	48.2	112.2	138	151.1	1-.13	2-.13	7-6.5	7-17.2		70	63-16	IRON, HERE, Q, 'S, Reins br	7				
	24Apr94 AQU ft C1m12500	7.0	22.4	45.4	111	124	5-3.5	6-4.5	2-2	2-1.5		84	82-12	PRIOR, PAL'S, STAR, Sharp tr	8				
	30Mar94 AQU sy C1m12500	8.0	22.3	45.3	110.3	138	7-6	5-7	6-3.25	5-6.75		74	71-24	PRIVA, CRAFT, DIAMO, Four wid	11				
	23Mar94 AQU ft C1m17500	8.0	23.2	46.2	112.1	138	4-3	4-.75	4-2.5	7-9.5		78	68-24	GLORY, NORTH, SEA B, Wide, tir	9				
	15Mar94 AQU ft Alw28000n1	9.0	48.2	113.2	140.1	153.2	3-3	6-6.5	5-30	5-31.5		56	37-33	WAJIR, BURLI, FLAMI, Done ear	6				

6 I'LL TAKE A STAND

	1994	9	0	1	1	\$10519	1993	19	3	2	4	\$71290
22Aug94 SAR my C1w25000	7.0	23	46	111	124	4-2.25	4-3.5	4-3.25	5-8.25	81	79-17	GIANT, EXOLE, OCEAN, Tired 7
25Jul94 SAR ft C1w25000	7.0	22	45	110.1	123.2	4-3.5	4-2.5	4-1.25	3-2.5	* 85	85-15	BAYPA, ART H, I'LL, Saved gr 8
18Jul94 BEL sy C1w17500	7.0	22.4	45.4	111	123.4	4-3	4-3.5	5-7.5	5-15.2	71	69-20	INSUR, BEN A, PRIOR, Gave way 6
4Jun94 BEL ft C1w25000	8.5	23	45.4	110.2	142.4	3-4.5	4-2	4-5	5-7	82	81-12	YAROS, AKIKO, CHARM, Lacked r 7
27May94 BEL ft C1w25000	7.0	22.4	45.4	110.3	123.4	3-1	5-1.75	2-1	2-1.25	85	83-15	JUSTP, I'LL, SEA V, Ballied, 5
14Apr94 AQU my C1w35000	7.0	22.2	45	110	123	3-.25	4-2.5	4-3.5	4-5.75	88	83-14	TOP T, PENSI, GIANT, Lacked r 5
8Apr94 AQU gd C1w35000	9.0	47.1	111.2	137.4	151.1	2-1	3-2.5	4-1.5	4-4	86	76-21	ROMAN, CARNE, BAYPA, Tired 8
27Jan94 AQU ft C1w50000	8.5	24.2	49.1	114	146.1	6-5.25	7-11	8-18	8-22.5	79	51-35	JIM'S, CLEVE, ACTIN, Faded 9
5Jan94 AQU ft Alw40000n\$	8.5	23.2	48	112.4	144.4	4-2	4-4.25	4-11	4-15.7	88	65-24	AUTOR, ISLAN, LAND, No facto 5
22Dec93 AQU ft C1w50000	8.31	23.4	47.3	113	144.2	5-2	5-1.5	3-2	1-.5	93	80-28	I'LL, KELLO, ROCKY, Driving 8

7 CALLISTO

	1994	13	2	7	0	\$37590	1993	23	3	8	4	\$57160
23Jun94 BEL ft C1w14000	8.5	22.4	45.4	111	143.4	4-2.5	3-1	2-2.5	2-3.5	84	79-14	ADVAN, CALLI, POLO, Blocked 9
9Jun94 BEL ft C1w17500	8.5	23	46.1	110.3	142.1	6-4	6-4	6-5.5	8-16	70	75-13	SYLVE, HOT S, ADVAN, Faded 11
30May94 BEL ft C1w14000	7.0	22.3	45.2	110.4	124.2	3-2	2-2.5	2-2.5	2-.25	* 87	81-16	ALEX', CALLI, SALLI, Sharp tr 11
14May94 BEL ft C1w14000	8.0	23.2	47.1	112.4	138.2	5-2	5-.5	8-4.75	7-8.75	76	62-29	SULAC, REGAL, ADVAN, In traff 12
4May94 BEL ft C1w17500	7.0	23.1	47	111	123.3	6-3.25	4-1.5	4-2.25	2-.25	88	85-11	PENCI, CALLI, SALLI, Ballied 8
30Apr94 AQU ft C1w12500	8.0	23.2	46.3	110.3	136.2	1-2	1-2.5	1-2	2-.25	89	86-16	DAVE, CALLI, ADVAN, Gamely 8
13Apr94 AQU sy C1w14000	8.0	23.2	46	110.4	136.2	1-13	3-.5	5-4.75	5-14.5	73	71-20	WISH, MCPIN, REGAL, Dued, i 6
22Mar94 AQU ft C1w12500	8.0	23.3	47.4	114	140.3	1-.13	1-.5	1-.13	1-.06	80	65-36	CALLI, REGAL, STAR, Driving 7
24Feb94 AQU sy C1w17500	8.31	24	47.4	112.4	142.2	2-.5	1-.13	2-3.5	2-6	87	80-21	ELECT, CALLI, WINLO, Held pla 7
16Feb94 AQU ft C1w17500	8.31	23.4	48.4	115.1	146.1	3-1	1-1	2-.5	2-2.25	87	65-40	GOLD, CALLI, PEACE, Held pla 8

8 RIDGE ROAD

	1994	6	2	1	0	\$16850	1993	10	1	2	3	\$19380
30Jun94 BEL ft C1w17500	8.5	23.3	46.4	111.2	143	2-13	3-1	5-16	5-28	62	59-21	ADVAN, RED S, ROMAN, Used up 5
2Jun94 BEL ft C1w14000	8.5	23.3	47.3	112.4	144.3	1-.5	1-1	1-4	1-2.75	* 84	79-28	RIDGE, STACK, MAKE, Driving 9
22May94 BEL ft C1w14000	9.0	46.2	111.2	137.1	150.2	1-2	1-1	2-.5	2-2	83	77-18	REGAL, RIDGE, STACK, Held pla 11
10Apr94 AQU ft C1w12500	9.0	49	113	138.3	152	1-1.5	1-1	1-1	1-.5	83	76-21	RIDGE, HODLA, CRAFT, Driving 7
19Mar94 AQU ft C1w14000	8.31	23	47	112.4	144.2	6-8.5	7-6.75	7-10	6-16.2	67	60-30	SULAC, LE FR, MAAST, Done ear 7
8Mar94 AQU wt C1w12500	8.5	23	47.2	112	145.1	3-2	4-8.5	9-17	9-31.7	53	47-18	SKY D, GLORY, SYRIA, Gave way 9
2Dec93 AQU ft C1w25000	8.5	24.1	48.4	113.3	146.1	2-13	2-.13	4-2	7-9.5	82	64-23	CHARM, ELECT, I'LL, Checked, 10
15Nov93 AQU ft C1w14000	9.0	47.3	112.1	139	152	1-.5	1-6	1-6	1-8	93	76-24	RIDGE, CAREE, ARCHT, Kept to 10
7Nov93 AQU ft C1w25000	8.0	22.2	46.4	112	137.3	1-.13	2-.13	6-6.75	7-15.5	75	61-28	LIGHT, RED S, BLAZO, Gave way 9
6Aug93 SAR ft C1w20000	9.0	47.3	111.4	137.1	150	1-1	1-.5	1-.5	3-2.5	87	83-21	DIAMO, CRAFT, RIDGE, Weakened 10

9 PRIORITIZER

	1994	9	2	1	2	\$19445	1993	20	1	2	5	\$36240
15Aug94 SAR my C1w25000	7.0	22.1	45.1	110.3	124.1	10-10	9-9.5	6-4.5	7-6.75	75	77-13	BAYPA, ART H, PANIC, Wide tur 10
18Jul94 BEL sy C1w17500	7.0	22.4	45.4	111	123.4	6-8.5	5-7	3-5	3-11	77	73-20	INSUR, BEN A, PRIOR, Broke sl 6
21Jun94 BEL ft C1w14000	7.0	22.3	45.4	110.4	123.2	12-9.5	8-2.5	1-.5	1-2.75	* 88	86-11	PRIOR, PARAC, ALEX', 6 wide, d 13
5Jun94 BEL ft C1w17500	7.0	22.2	45.1	109.4	123	7-10	7-10	5-5	4-3.75	82	84-11	REGAL, SIX T, REVOL, Belated 7
30May94 BEL ft C1w14000	7.0	22.3	45.2	110.4	124.2	6-4.75	8-7	6-7	4-4.25	82	77-16	ALEX', CALLI, SALLI, Mild gai 11
24Apr94 AQU ft C1w12500	7.0	22.4	45.4	111	124	7-7	5-4	1-2	1-1.5	86	84-12	PRIOR, PAL'S, STAR, Wide, dri 8
14Apr94 AQU my C1w10000	7.0	22.2	45.3	111.1	124.3	3-2.5	4-1.5	2-1.5	2-.5	83	80-14	STAR, PRIOR, UNITE, Checked 8
25Mar94 HIA ft C1w10000	7.0	23	46.1	111.2	124.3	6-11	6-10	5-6	4-9.25	75	77-17	LEGAL, MAJIC, J. MA, Belated 7
18Mar94 HIA ft C1w12500	7.0	23	45.3	111	124.3	10-11	10-9.75	5-7.75	3-2.25	85	84-16	FUNIN, KUETC, PRIOR, 11
29Dec93 AQU ft C1w12500	8.0	23.3	47.2	112.1	142.1	4-3	3-4.5	2-6	2-6	89	76-22	MIDNI, PRIOR, ESPIO, 2nd best 7

10 COURAGEOUS TOBY

	1994	8	0	3	0	\$8690	1993	12	2	3	3	\$46150
10Jul94 BEL ft C1w14000	7.0	23.2	47	112	125	6-4.25	8-4.5	8-15	8-17.5	61	61-21	SALLI, POON, Q'S, Outrun 8
26Jun94 BEL ft C1w14000	8.0	22.2	45.2	111.2	141.2	7-7	5-6.5	2-6	2-.06	* 85	84-16	REAPP, COURA, HODLA, Ballied 7
12Jun94 BEL ft C1w14000	9.0	46	111.1	136.4	149.4	2-.5	2-.13	2-4	2-7.75	79	74-14	STACK, COURA, WORLD, Held pla 8
7May94 BEL ft Alw30000n2	7.0	22.2	44.4	108.4	122	6-6.5	7-9.5	7-10	7-12	82	81-06	FIGHT, DANZI, MORE, Outrun 7
30Mar94 AQU my C1w25000n3	7.0	22.3	45.2	110	123	8-7	7-5	6-4.25	6-2.75	86	86-08	SAND, GULAB, BERSE, Bumped, b 9
14Mar94 AQU ft C1w25000n3	8.31	25	48.4	113.1	142.1	2-1	2-1	2-3.5	2-3.75	89	83-20	MR SL, COURA, BERSE, Held pla 6
20Feb94 AQU ft C1w25000	8.5	23.4	48.1	112.4	146.4	7-5.25	7-7.25	6-9	5-6	82	65-36	BUSEM, CEILI, CARNE, No threa 9
29Jan94 AQU sy Alw30000n2	8.31	23.1	47.2	112.3	142.1	5-3	5-4	5-4.25	5-5.5	91	81-25	EIRE, CRAFT, SALLI, Lacked r 10
17Dec93 AQU ft Alw32000n2	8.31	23.4	47.4	112.4	143.2	7-6	7-3.75	6-6.5	6-7.25	88	78-27	JIM'S, ELECT, DRAVP, Outrun 9
21Nov93 AQU yl Alw32000n2	9.0	48.3	113.1	138.3	151.1	5-4.5	5-3	2-14	6-13.7	79	73-13	BOSS, CHAMP, GREEN, Lacked r 12

HERE'S AN EXAMPLE RACE FROM BELMONT PARK, HANDICAPPED BY SHANE, IN WHICH THE WINNER BARELY QUALIFIES; RANKING NUMBER FIVE IN POR. BUT IT DID AN ADMIRABLE JOB OF OVERTAKING THE SLOWER PACE OF THE RACE IN WHICH IT RAN AND WON. IT WAS ALSO THE #2 LONGSHOT POTENTIAL. MOST IMPORTANT REASON FOR USING IT DESPITE THE SLOWER PACE IS THAT IT WON THE RACE TWO BACK, MAKING IT AN AUTOMATIC CONTENDER. PLEASE NOTE THAT SHANE NEVER WENT FARTHER BACK THAN 3 RACES FOR ANY CONTENDER.

BEL 09-14-1994 RACE 2 DIST 7 SURF D PURSE \$12,500  
 Por/Poh QUAD-RATER

NAME	L	F 1	F 2	F 3	S C	SC/BL	POR R	POH-R R	LS/P R
BEN A	1	59.46	58.41	52.38	58.93		152.55- 2		
6.0 D	POH	58.65	58.50	53.01	58.57	4.00		140.34- 1	
	PCT	98.64	100.15	101.19	99.40				106.23- 3
PAL'S	3	60.00	58.93	52.11	59.46		153.96- 1		
7.0 D	POH	58.73	59.20	52.18	58.96	5.50		139.78- 2	
	PCT	97.88	100.45	100.15	99.16				106.03- 4
PRIOR	3	58.41	56.90	52.68	57.64		148.48- 4		
7.0 D	POH	56.73	58.10	53.06	57.41	2.50		138.94- 3	
	PCT	97.12	102.12	100.76	99.61				109.22- 1
I'LL	2	60.00	57.39	51.56	58.67		150.24- 3		
7.0 D	POH	59.36	57.57	51.56	58.46	2.50		138.37- 4	
	PCT	98.94	100.30	100.00	99.66				105.09- 5
JASON	1	59.46	58.41	52.38	58.93		152.55- 2		
6.0 D	POH	57.84	58.23	52.38	58.03	10.00		137.55- 5	
	PCT	97.27	99.70	100.00	98.48				98.99- 6
SAILI	2	56.41	55.93	52.11	56.17		142.33- 5		
7.0 D	POH	56.07	56.10	52.26	56.09	1.00		135.39- 6	
	PCT	99.39	100.30	100.30	99.85				107.33- 2

NOT TOO PROMISING AT 7F-D

R=Rank W/W=Wire to Wire LS/P=Long Shot Potential

BEL 09-14-1994 RACE 2 DIST 7 SURF D PURSE \$12,500  
 QUAD-RATER MATCH UP AUDIO-S

EP	FINISH R
DREAM RACE	
BEN A 1 -0.47	-0.54- 3
SAILI 2 -1.00	0.00- 1 W
JASON 3 -0.42	-0.58- 4
PAL'S 4 -0.06	-0.94- 5
I'LL 5*0.00	-1.00- 6 P
PRIOR 6 -0.85	-0.15- 2 S

# BELMONT

ELMONT, NY

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 1994

Off Times in Local P.M. Time, Unless Otherwise Noted.

### TRACK FAST

2nd Bel - 7 FURLONGS. 4-year-olds & up. Claiming (\$14,000-\$12,000). Purse \$12,500. Value to winner \$7,500.  
 2-SAILING ON A PRAYER (Graell A 115) 46.80 22.60 7.80  
 6-I'LL TAKE A STAND (Carr D 117) 7.00 3.80  
 9-PRIORITIZER (Chavez J F 117) 2.80

\$2 EXACTA 2-6 PAID \$312.20 \$2 QUINELLA 2-6 PAID \$129.40

Time: :22<sup>3</sup>, :45<sup>3</sup>, 1:10<sup>2</sup>, 1:23<sup>1</sup>. Off: 1:29.

Also ran: Pal's Memory, Callisto, Ben Ali's Rullah, Jason Dean, Courageous Toby, Ridge Road and Cinnomen Bay.

\* Our Chaos Formula produces FEW winners from the O deficiency EP Horse. However, MANY place horses come from the longest line on the thick portion of the "Cigar" graph. This was done to help YOU win more Exactas.

**A SARTIN METHODOLOGY PRICE LIST**

**MOST OF THE FOLLOWING ARE FOR SARTIN CLIENTS ONLY!  
WHEN AVAILABLE FOR NON-CLIENTS, IT IS NOTED.**

**Programs are available on IBM/compatible format ONLY!**

**If ordering be sure to state 3.5 or 5.25 disk size. Calif. residents add 7.75% sales tax  
Thoromation MUST BE APPROVED and CONTRACT SIGNED before shipping.**

**THE FOLLOW-UP - The bi-monthly journal of the Sartin Method**

Now mandatory for all clients! Per year subscription:

\$72 bulk mail /1ST CLASS and Canadian \$87/ Other foreign mail \$100

Available Back issues of the Follow Up @ \$12.50 each.

**SARTIN VIDEOS- 3 VIDEO PACKAGE WITH MANUALS**

**\$89.95**

The six most important symposiums from Sartin Seminars

You may buy these videos individually - call or write for price/subject

**SARTIN AUDIOS - 13 TAPES WITH MANUALS**

**\$99.99**

The entire Las Vegas Spring '93 Seminar with cassette album

Available as set only.

**SARTIN MANUALS**

**THE PACE LINE - with 6 Audio Tapes**

**\$55.00**

**AVAILABLE TO NON-CLIENTS**

Our initial effort in solving the problem. 140 pages covers every aspect of pace and contender selection.

As our computer programs become more sophisticated, contender and pace line selection is the last great stumbling block left on the road to financial independence. This incredible manual comes with eight hours of audio tapes.

**THE BROHAMER MODEL - with 1 Audio Tape**

**\$44.00**

**AVAILABLE TO NON-CLIENTS**

More than just a guide on how to keep a "Brohamer Model", this book also guides you through using the model to handicap several races with one of America's top handicappers. Each step in the entire model making and handicapping process is carefully presented. Not only what to do, but why. Very highly recommended for beginners and experienced users alike. Includes a set of audio tapes answering questions. **AVAILABLE TO NON-CLIENTS**

**BROHAMER LECTURE SERIES**

**\$99.00**

Manual and 14 Audio tapes. **AVAILABLE TO NON-CLIENTS**

**WINNING PACKAGE**

**\$99.00**

3 manuals and 7 audio tapes. **AVAILABLE TO NON-CLIENTS**

**THE MATCH UP**

**\$35.00**

Manual by Jim Bradshaw and Dr. Sartin

This gets to the very heart of the Methodology; the concept used to describe how a horse will respond to the pace of today's race. An absolute must for those who have mastered the basics of the Sartin Methodology.

**EXACTA/QUINELLA PROFITS -THE 55% SOLUTION**

**\$32.00**

Most people are surprised to learn that the second best horse is not the likely place horse. This book is a series of articles written by Dr. Sartin together with more than a dozen of the best players in PIRCO on various aspects of place horse handicapping. Recommended for advanced users ONLY.

**THE TANDEM RACE: HOW TO SPOT IT-HOW TO WIN IT**

**\$29.00**

Dr. Sartin's elegant, counter-intuitive handicapping procedure that can give you the insight to win those "unwinnable" races. After months of study of his own and other PIRCO members losing races, Doc developed this whole new insight into handling horses coming from the same race and facing each other again today. A must for the intermediate and advanced player.

**INTRODUCTION TO WIN THERAPY**

**\$25.00**

Dr. Sartin's presentation paper for the 7th International Conference on Gambling and Risk Taking at the Univ. NV, Reno.

**PSYCHOLOGY OF WINNING**

**\$18.00**

Dr. Sartin's celebrated treatise on the subject that is 80% of winning

**ADJUSTMENTS MANUAL**

**\$14.00**

**ALL SEMINAR WORKBOOKS ARE \$25 - SUBJECT TO AVAILABILITY**




SARTIN COMPUTER PROGRAMS:

PHASE III - A very basic program circa 1982-84 with the old 3 call format- viable still for those wishing to make their own adjustments	\$60.00
SYNERGETIC MATCH UP II Our most popular program - filled with graphs, Stop Action Horse Screens, Sartin Pace Numbers and Odds Line. Often called the bargain basement Thoromation.	\$275.00
NEW MODERN PACE HANDICAPPING SOFTWARE PROGRAM For IBM/Compatible only. Avail for 3.5 HD, 3.5 DD and 5.25 HD only. AVAILABLE TO NON-CLIENTS	\$279.00
MONEY MANAGEMENT IBM/compatible only.	\$30.00
ENGEN - VELOCITY A vast improvement on Pargenerator Plus. A multiple use program for making a complete track profile with secondary benefits showing you how to pick a representative pace line by class, distance and surface.	\$49.00
PARMAKER	\$22.00
Q-SCAN - The basic program for quarter horse racing w/manual	\$99.00
Q-MATION Quarter Horse Handicapping Program with animated horses and Stop Action Horse screens. Will make pace of horse par times for greater accuracy.	\$199.00
ULTRA SCAN PLUS Computer program, Manual, and Audio Tapes - Ultra Scan is Dr. Sartin's truly unique approach to handicapping. It is available only to those clients who have demonstrated their mastery of Phase III. Not a program to be rushed into, it may take a few months to adjust your thinking to this novel handicapping program. Not for beginners.	\$199.00
ENTROPY II - with graphs, summary & odds line To upgrade from original ENTROPY - \$ 69.00 send in old disk after receipt of upgrade	\$149.00
<u>XTOR</u> - Download DRF to your 4 call Sartin Program to upgrade from orig. XTOR to XTOR ver 2.0 XTOR ver. 2.0	\$139.95 \$19.00
THOROMATION - requires contract and good understanding of Sartin concepts and an OK from Dr. Sartin (set up a phone appt. or talk to him at a seminar/workshop To upgrade from early EXDC program - \$69 for 6 horse field / \$89 for 12 horse field send in old disk after receipt of upgrade - SARTIN METHODOLOGY, INC.	\$595.00
TROTOMATION - Thoromation for Harness Racing If you have Thoromation	\$595.00 \$100.00
NEW- SUMMER 1994 QUAD-RATER pace of race/pace of horse and exclusive Long Shot Detector -	\$229.00

All prices are subject to change.

Make check or money order payable to O. Henry House, Inc.

1390 E. 6th Street #5 • Beaumont, CA 92223



# Anatomy of a Problem Race

**Guest Analyst:  
GLEN CONNOLLY**

**NOTE:**

Glen used all 7 entries in this race from best Speed Rating + Variant Last 3 races at a comparable distance. This is why we didn't waste space on copying the PP's from the Racing Form. He went back 5 on WE because it was his only sprint line.

Let's look at the 4th race of the day at Laurel run on July 21, 1994. It was a sprint race run at a distance of 6.0 furlongs for 3-yo fillies with a top price of \$6,500. But first I must say Doc Sartin is truly pushing the frontiers of handicapping with his work on Fractals, POR-POH and the latest version Quad-Rater. These are truly exciting times in the Sartin Methodology. Also add the downloading capability and where else would you want to be in handicapping today! This is where it's at!!!

The Quad-Rater program has a special section to enable us to identify contenders and that's all we are going to do for this race. Check the printout which contains APV and Class ratings plus ratings based on SR+TV and Beyer numbers. We accept 5 contenders (4 and ties) and enter the indicated pacelines for evaluation. Notice that the contender selection process is the one recommended in Follow Up #41, page 24, Judgement needs to be exercised in applying any process, but for this race we're going to expedite the process.

Look at the Energy Generator screen and notice that we could eliminate WE at this point. The ratings used on this screen involve ranking Total Energy and % Median and adding these rankings—low is best. If the ties bother you, add one-half the Total Energy and % Median in which case High is best. The winner usually comes from the best four on this screen. But one cannot ignore either Lex or 3rd columns; always mark the top two for they often produce the winner in routes and longshots in sprints. Also remember the best 3rd fraction horse is the EVEN uxr horse in EXDC and often hits the board regardless of race valence.

The program identifies contenders: the top four POR, the top four POH and the top three LS/P horses. All screens confirm that WE can be eliminated. Notice in the middle of the page the CHAOS Formula Rating identifies the low-odds and high velocity win candidates. The Long Shot Potential Ratings do exactly as advertised. A potential problem arises here because SEXY is the top rated horse. Based on this screen my win bets would be SEXY and DANCE and we would have the winner. The new "cigar" graphs enable us to refine our selections.

Let's look the "cigar" graphs in both AUTO and AUTO-S adjustments. When they agree, it is very comforting. To determine which adjustment is actually called for look at the #1 POR and #1 LS/P horses. DANCE and SEXY have about a 4 point difference, therefore, AUTO-S is needed.

These "cigar" graphs are dynamite. It appears that SKIP will grab the lead based on the EP=0.00 rating, and he did. He held on for a long time too. But DANCE was able to overtake him to win and our other win bet got up for show. These graphs enabled me to discount SEXY as a win bet and use BINT. Since this was the first race in which I ever used the "cigar" graphs, I'm not sure they would take precedence over the LS/P rating in all cases. Much more regression is needed.

Also ran the race through THOROMATION. See the printouts for both 1 and 2 adjustments. They confirm DANCE and BINT as our win bets. SKIP is the horse to catch in both cases as the EP panel clearly shows. SEXY becomes the DPH in the 2 adjust screens and #1 EXDC which explains why he was #1 LS/P.

LRL 07-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3

VISUAL ESP, APV, CLASS, SCR, AND SPEED/BEYER

#	NAME	VESP	APV	R	S/CR	R	LN	SR	TV	TOT	R	BYR	R	AVE	CTR	FIN
1	SPECL	SUS	70.4	7	82.5	6	1	70	12	82	6	22	6	6.0	<u>N</u>	<u>F</u>
2	SEXY	EAR	154.3	3	100.8	4	2	78	16	94	3	54	1	2.0	<u>Y</u>	<u>—</u>
3	BINTL	SUS	164.7	2	102.3	3	1	87	13	100	2	47	3	2.5	<u>Y</u>	<u>S</u>
4	TRUE	E/P	117.9	6	100.8	4	1	74	16	90	5	47	3	4.0	<u>N</u>	<u>—</u>
5	SKIP	E/P	166.4	1	108.4	2	3	70	22	92	4	49	2	3.0	<u>Y</u>	<u>P</u>
6	DANCE	SUS	142.4	4	91.2	5	2	84	10	94	3	44	4	3.5	<u>Y</u>	<u>W</u>
7	WE	PRE	130.1	5	126.3	1	5	75	29	104	1	34	5	3.0	<u>Y</u>	<u>—</u>

CTR=Contender FIN=Actual Finish

LRL 07-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3

PACELINE DATA

#	NAME	L	DIST	S	1stC	2ndC	3rdC	FinC	BL1	BL2	BLS	BLF	SR	TV
1	SEXY	2	5.5	D	22.2	46.2	100.0	107.1	9.00	9.00	7.50	1.75	84	16
2	BINTL	1	5.5	D	22.4	46.3	59.1	105.4	6.00	6.00	4.75	5.00	87	13
3	SKIP	3	6.0	D	21.3	45.2	59.0	113.1	3.50	5.00	3.00	3.50	69	22
4	DANCE	2	5.5	D	22.2	46.1	58.2	105.0	9.00	6.75	7.50	9.00	84	10
5	True	X1	6.0	D	23.0	47.0	59.4	113.1	0.50	0.15	0.15	0.00	74	16
6	WE	5	5.5	D	22.4	47.2	100.4	107.4	6.00	5.50	5.00	6.75	75	28

LRL 07-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3

ENERGY GENERATOR

NAME	Total	Hidden	Fx	Med	Lex	3rd	ESP
SEXY	161.31	4 64.47	65.90	69.63	2 32.15	30.37	EAR
BINT	164.08	2 65.36	66.20	68.45	4 32.62	31.55	PRE
SKIP	163.37	3 62.99	66.21	70.80	1 31.68	29.20	EAR
DANC	165.34	1 65.33	66.23	68.44	5 32.62	31.56	PRE
WE X	158.87	5 64.22	66.17	69.61	3 32.16	30.39	EAR

6  
6  
4  
6  
8 X


LRL 07-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3  
 Por/Poh QUAD-RATER

NAME	L	F 1	F 2	F 3	S C	SC/BL	POR R	POH-R R	LS/P R
DANCE	2	58.93	55.46	52.66	57.14		146.87- 1		
5.5 D		57.32	55.84	52.18	56.58	6.75		134.39- 1	
		PCT	97.27	100.68	99.09	99.02			104.72- 2
BINTL	1	57.89	55.46	51.56	56.65		143.15- 2		
5.5 D		56.84	55.46	51.77	56.15	6.00		133.63- 2	
		PCT	98.18	100.00	100.40	99.12			104.55- 4
SKIP	3	61.11	55.46	47.48	58.15		141.65- 3		
6.0 D		60.46	55.21	47.70	57.84	5.00		133.03- 3	
		PCT	98.94	99.55	100.45	99.46			104.67- 3
SEXY	2	58.93	55.00	47.60	56.90		137.32- 4		
3.5 D		57.32	55.00	48.99	56.16	9.00		131.38- 4	
		PCT	97.27	100.00	102.93	98.71			105.11- 1
WE	X 5	57.89	53.66	48.53	55.70		134.88- 5		
5.5 D		56.84	53.74	48.28	55.29	5.50		128.15- 5	
		PCT	98.18	100.15	99.49	99.27			104.44- 5


R=Rank W/W=Wire to Wire LS/P=Long Shot Potential

LRL 07-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3  
 CHAOS FORMULA AND LONGSHOT RATINGS

CHAOS Formula Rating



Long Shot Potential Rating



7-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3  
 CHAOS FORMULA POWER AND LONGSHOT RATINGS COMPOSITE

#	NAME	L	POR R	POH-R R	LS/P R	W/W
1	SEXY	2	137.32- 4	131.38- 4	105.11- 1	
2	BINTL	1	143.15- 2	133.63- 2	104.55- 4	
3	SKIP	3	141.65- 3	133.03- 3	104.67- 3	
4	DANCE	2	146.87- 1	134.39- 1	104.72- 2	
*5	WE	X 5	134.88- 5	128.15- 5	104.44- 5	

W/W=Wire to Wire LS/P=Long Shot Potential \*Hidden

4=GRAPHICS

# The "CIGAR" Graphs

LRL 07-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3  
 Q U A D - R A T E R MATCH UP AUTO

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH R
SEXY 1 -1.45	[REDACTED]	-2.71- 3
BINTL 2 -1.76	[REDACTED]	-0.56- 2
SKIP 3 0.00✓	[REDACTED]	-2.78- 4
DANCE 4 -1.47	[REDACTED]	-0.00- 1

SW

SKIP FIGURES TO TAKE THE LEAD,  
DANCE & BINTL ARE THE WIN BETS  
 & THEY DUTIFULLY FINISH  
 1<sup>st</sup> & 3<sup>rd</sup>

LRL 07-21-1994 RACE 4 DIST 6 SURF D PURSE \$6,500 C6.5/6.0-F3  
 Q U A D - R A T E R MATCH UP AUTO-S

DREAM RACE	EP	FINISH R
SEXY 1 -0.97	[REDACTED]	-0.96- 3
BINTL 2 -1.96	[REDACTED]	-0.01- 2
SKIP 3 0.00✓	[REDACTED]	-1.96- 4
DANCE 4 -1.96	[REDACTED]	0.00- 1





SW

IT IS COMFORTING WHEN BOTH  
 AUTO & AUTO-S AGREE, TOTAL  
 ENERGY CALLS FOR AUTO-S.













Don't forget to enter  
 "Graphics" or  
 a graphics simulator  
 before entering Quad,  
 Synergetic Matchup or  
 Thoromation.

1 ADJ.

3=Copy













	1 SEXYZ	S P W
	2 BINT1	
	3 SKIP2	
	4 DANC2	

EXERCISE ACCELERATION

			1 SEXYZ	S P W
			2 BINT1	
			3 SKIP2	
			4 DANC2	

EP                          MP                          IP

EXERCISE ACCELERATION

			1 SEXYZ	S P W
			2 BINT1	
			3 SKIP2	
			4 DANC2	

EP                          MP                          IP

DANCE & BINT1 HAVE SUCH HIGH TOTAL ENERGY THE SHOULD NOT BE PUNISHED WITH A 2 ADJ.

[ THE ALT 2 ADJ PROCEDURE GIVES THE SAME TWO HORSES. ]

The results were outstanding:

DANCE	10.60	5.20	2.80
SKIP		10.20	4.20
BINT			2.20
EXACTA	103.20		

The following text is extremely faint and largely illegible. It appears to be a list or a series of notes, possibly related to a methodology or research process. The text is organized into several paragraphs and includes some numbered items.

1. ...  
 2. ...  
 3. ...  
 4. ...  
 5. ...  
 6. ...  
 7. ...  
 8. ...  
 9. ...  
 10. ...


The text continues with several more paragraphs of faint, illegible text, likely providing further details or instructions.



# NOW AVAILABLE!

**SARTIN**  
**VIDEOS**  
**\$89.99**

INCLUDES SEMINAR MANUAL  
& EXPANDED EXPO '93 ENTROPY/CHAOS MANUAL




THE SIX MOST IMPORTANT SYMPOSIUMS FROM A SARTIN SEMINAR  
ON THREE 2-HOUR VIDEO CASSETTES

VIDEO #1 — PACE LINE AND CONTENDER SELECTION  
UNIQUE APPLICATIONS INDIGENOUS TO THE SARTIN METHOD

VIDEO #2 — RECORD KEEPING  
INSPIRATION VS INFORMATION

VIDEO #3 — ENERGY • ENTROPY • DECELERATION  
READOUT INTERPRETATION

**OR RECEIVE INDIVIDUAL VIDEOS AT \$29<sup>99</sup> EACH.**  
**ACCOMPANYING MANUALS \$25<sup>00</sup> ADDITIONAL (WITH VIDEO PURCHASE ONLY)**



**SARTIN**  
**AUDIOS**  
**\$99.95**


The entire Spring '93 Las Vegas Seminar  
on 13 audio tapes in album with manuals.

**Learn while you drive, exercise, relax!**

# NOW AVAILABLE!

**SARTIN**  
**VIDEOS**  
**\$89.99**

INCLUDES SEMINAR MANUAL  
& EXPANDED EXPO '93 ENTROPY/CHAOS MANUAL




THE SIX MOST IMPORTANT SYMPOSIUMS FROM A SARTIN SEMINAR  
ON THREE 2-HOUR VIDEO CASSETTES

VIDEO #1 — PACE LINE AND CONTENDER SELECTION  
UNIQUE APPLICATIONS INDIGENOUS TO THE SARTIN METHOD

VIDEO #2 — RECORD KEEPING  
INSPIRATION VS INFORMATION

VIDEO #3 — ENERGY • ENTROPY • DECELERATION  
READOUT INTERPRETATION

**OR RECEIVE INDIVIDUAL VIDEOS AT \$29<sup>99</sup> EACH.**  
**ACCOMPANYING MANUALS \$25<sup>00</sup> ADDITIONAL (WITH VIDEO PURCHASE ONLY)**



**SARTIN**  
**AUDIOS**  
**\$99.95**

The entire Spring '93 Las Vegas Seminar  
on 13 audio tapes in album with manuals.

**Learn while you drive, exercise, relax!**