



ISSUE: 34

## A Look At Beyer Numbers

### Table of Contents

Publisher's Column .....	1
Editor's Column .....	5
A Look at Beyer Numbers .....	7
Self Control for Self Control .....	17
East vs. West DC/Pars .....	21
Q and A with the Doc .....	26
Book Review by Tom Dowse .....	31
Stop Winning and Losing Money by Randall Curtis .	32
Improper Use of ESP .....	35
Money Management .....	42
The Psychology of Winning .....	44
Managing Stress by Robert Anthony .....	48
Thoromation Record Keeping .....	51
The Problem Races .....	53

*The Follow Up* is published six (6) times a year by PIRCO Inc. in conjunction with the Inland Empire Institute. Subscription price is \$72.00 per year. If you have any problem with your subscription, or have a change of address, please contact our editorial office, address below. All material in this publication is for informational purposes only.

Copyright 1992 PIRCO Inc.



All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Please.

Past Performance and Results charts copyrighted by *Daily Racing Form*, Inc.

O. HENRY HOUSE  
BANNING, CALIFORNIA

*The Follow Up* editorial offices are located at:

1215 Alma St.  
Glendale, CA 91202  
(818) 546-8978

Please address all correspondence in response to any article or to express any opinions to this address. If you wish to submit material for consideration or wish a reply, please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope.


Richard Schmidt  
Editor

The Inland Empire Institute and PIRCO are located at:

1390 E. 6th St. #5  
Beaumont, CA 92223  
(714) 845-5907

Please address any questions or comments you wish to make directly to Dr. Sartin to this address. Please include a self-addressed, stamped envelope if you wish a personal reply. Any books, manuals or computer programs should be ordered directly from PIRCO.

Howard G. Sartin, PH.D.  
Founder and Chairman of the Board



# A NOTE FROM THE PUBLISHER.

---

After doing a superb teaching job at the Las Vegas Seminar, Glen Connolly went back to Maryland for a triple bypass. Perhaps now you can all appreciate how much these seminars take out of us. Glen got up and finished third, however. We'll look forward to seeing him again at the Saratoga Seminar in Albany Aug. 21-24.

Here's some news that should be of special interest to Southern California clients. Teaching Member Tom Renner has moved up from the desert to a new home in Beaumont. Tom has volunteered to give special remedial teaching sessions for those clients who still haven't reached prescribed win proficiency and profit levels. Of course these classes are open to all who wish to make the trek.

Tom's sessions will be both one on one and in small groups. A prerequisite for attendance is that you bring the past performances of some losing races, your pace lines underlined, together with your readouts and the result charts. These sessions will be held for the most part on dark days - Monday-Tuesday - because Tom makes his living solely from handicapping.

Another caveat. Tom is a no-nonsense, crusty kind of guy. He learned to win with the Methodology hard way after losing a leg in an airplane accident. Don't try to get away with a lot of subjective reality nonsense when dealing with him and don't expect him to coddle you. He is totally up front. A very decent person who wants you to win as much as I do. If you come, come to learn, not to be wet nursed. Call the office to let us know you'd like to join in one or more of these sessions. We'll get back to you with times that fit Tom's schedule. Tom is a great asset to this Methodology. I suggest that you use his services.

And speaking of Teaching Members, we have a new one in Louisiana. Our former teacher there got a little too hung up on par times and simple velocity to truly teach the Sartin Methodology. He was a good as far as he was willing to go and we appreciate his past services. Our new teacher has no rigid handicapping hang-ups. We learned of him because he was extolling the Methodology when giving free handicapping lessons to patrons of the Fairgrounds. We kidded him about that at a New Orleans Seminar but later Tom Brohamer took the trouble to learn more about him and recommended him as a Teaching Member. I feel certain he'll work out well which will give Tom a bating average of .500.

Yes, I know that Tom believes in par times and all that. But, unlike so many others of that ilk, Tom knows how to effectively utilize the par time concept and he is willing to open his mind to new horizons and sail uncharted seas.

Our new Teaching Member's name is:

Howard B. Kaplin  
P.O. Box 55490, Metairie, LA 70055-5490

We will give out a phone number when he okays it. He is also a lawyer, a deterrent he vows to overcome. However, with a name like Howard, he can't be all bad. Welcome Howard Kaplin!

Here is his letter of acceptance:

Dr. Howard Sartin  
1390 E. Sixth Street, Suite 5  
Beaumont, California 92223

Dear Dr. Sartin:

I wanted to thank you for a most enjoyable seminar in Las Vegas. The last seminar I attended was approximately one year ago in Kansas City and I was very pleased that the Las Vegas seminar was not a repeat of the previous seminar. I thought the information presented was extremely useful and have returned to New Orleans with renewed energy.

I would be most pleased to offer any assistance that I can to the methodology in this area. To have Tom Brohamer recommend me to you is highly flattering. Through the methodology, my handicapping has improved dramatically in the past two years. However, I still have a bit to go to reach the level that I wish to reach with my handicapping. I can think of no better way to reach that professional level than to surround myself with those who have already attained that level.

As I told Tom at the seminar, I took to heart his statement that the best way to learn something is to teach it. That is why I agreed to conduct the seminars at the Fair Grounds and agreed to teach a course on horses at the University of New Orleans. It is reinforcing everything that I am learning.

Again, thanks for a great seminar and with best wishes, I remain,

Very truly yours,

  
HOWARD B. KAPLAN

Despite my *Follow Up* article about kinesis and kinesthesia, a lot of you still seek to enter past performance lines from a data base via modem. Most of you have balked at the price Bloodstock charges for such a service. As much as \$18 a day. We have found an alternative source that looks like it will provide what you want at a much lower cost. We're in the process of working out an arrangement where his data base can be loaded on our advanced programs. The source kind of jumped the gun on us by sending out a mailer announcing that he was now serving Sartin Users. I urge you to wait until we are sure that what he has agreed to provide meets our standards. When this eventuates we'll inform you.

\* \* \* \* \*

New York, New York, here we come! It's back to Albany and Saratoga in August. (see details this issue.) Last year's sojourn to Baltimore found us in a hotel that could care less about serving us as contracted. They were in the midst of a management change. Our Albany-Saratoga hotel is the Desmond Americana which as always given us first class accommodations and service and the best meeting hall in the U.S. The cost of the seminar has been recession-reduced to \$275. Bert has planned an entertainment filled banquet and a day at the Queen of North American tracks, Saratoga via special bus and seating arrangements repeat with a lavish buffet.

\* \* \* \* \*

Was it there all the time or are we just seeing it so much now because of our own focus? I'm talking about the plethora of newspaper and magazine articles, along with books, about winning being at least 80% psychological. I've received articles about that subject written by famous athletic coaches, archery devotees, hunters, fishermen, even stock and commodities market analysts. It's mostly in the mind, they all say. Seems like psychology crap is catching on.

Speaking of which, Dr. Robert Anthony has penned another of his little think books. This one is called *Think Win!* Can hardly wait. Robert has written an article for us in this issue. It is just three pages long; but at his usual fee per page, about \$575, that adds up to \$1,725 worth. A sizable contribution from the good doctor to our common welfare. At that kind of a price, you better read it over several times.

\* \* \* \* \*

We need to come up with another word for bias. I capitalize we because I need your help. The present use of the term in racing stems from Beyer and post Beyer authors referring chiefly to inside post or closers on the outside speed bias. Whenever I see the term bias used in a racing article it invariably carries those connotations.

In *Follow Up* 33 we reprinted an article by Dick Schmidt called "Bias against Bias," in which he exposed the fallacy of mainstream thinking, and

even some of ours, regarding the subject. Jim "The Hat" says that certain tracks have "distance bias" that cause certain phenomenon by track by given distances.

In the dictionary "bias" is defined as: (1) "A leaning, prejudice or partiality" and (2) "Any systematic error contributing to the difference in a statistical value and a sample drawn from it." Good definitions but the "hossy" meaning has been distorted from either of those definitions. So we need a new one. I thought of "predilection" and "predisposition,:" but we need something catchy, something ours alone.

For the subscriber who comes up with the mot juste, and gives us a 250 word written documentation, (typed please) we'll send a one year free extension of your *Follow Up* subscription together with a check for \$28. A \$100 value. Put your creative thinking caps on.

\* \* \* \* \*

Bonanza in Arizona. Mary Sr. and I took a ten day June vacation in Arizona and we learned what racing's future will be. Now there is off-track wagering throughout the state in even the smallest communities. Peyson, Lake Havasu City, Kingman, etc. Bars, bowling alleys, cafes with legal off-track facilities for wagering on Arizona racing plus selected big time events. This is what it will come to nationwide. Oregon also has a similar law that allows franchising to small businesses. Racing will soon be available to those who never thought about going to a track. The sport will grow, not diminish, mark my words.

## Editor's Column

by Dick Schmidt

First off, my favorite time of year is rolling around again, kicked off by my favorite seminar of the year, Saratoga. Once again, Bert Mayne has produced a winner with all his hard work setting up this seminar. As always, it will take place at the wonderful Desmond Americana Hotel in Albany. Year in, year out, this is the finest facility we visit. The meeting room is the finest, the hotel is gracious and the whole atmosphere is just perfect.

If you can possibly make it, this is the seminar to attend. As you can see in the flyer enclosed with this issue, we will follow our usual time schedule of Friday night, Saturday all day and Sunday morning. Howard has some new features planned to try out, so it should be fun for the teachers as well as the audience.

As always, Bert has arranged two special features. On Sunday evening, we will have a banquet. This optional feature is one of the highlights of the year for us. The bar is open, the food is great and Bert sings songs. The cost is \$30 per person, and of course the entire family is very welcome to join us. After a long hard seminar, and most likely Sunday at the track or OTB, how better to unwind? What more could you ask for?

Well, you could ask for all of this, with Saratoga thrown in. That's right, on Monday, we feature our traditional trip to the track. Bert has arranged for deluxe motor coaches (read buses) to whisk us in complete comfort from the hotel to the Queen of Tracks. There we will gather in our own pavilion (read tent) right beside the saddling enclosure. You can watch the horses saddled under the trees, and then see the races on TV. The pavilion features a very nice buffet and our own private betting windows. All the PIRCO hotshots will be there, and you can observe the best in action, shoulder to shoulder with you in the betting trenches. Now this is living. The cost of the bus is about \$15, with the tickets to the track and pavilion running about another \$20. Bert will have full details on Friday night. Plan to cap off our best seminar with a wonderful day of racing.

\* \* \* \* \*

Speaking of seminars and such, by the time you read this, Tom Hambleton and I will be back from Florida, where we will have held a Total Pace (Phase I) workshop at Calder. Once we announced this workshop in the Miami area, we had people calling us from all over wondering if we were coming to their area. The answer is always the same: make it happen and we'll come!

The reason we chose the Miami area for our first Total Pace only workshop was that longtime client Phil McAllister made it happen. Phil found us a deluxe resort hotel, got us a special rate, arranged for *Racing Forms*, made arrangements with Calder to open early just for us on Sunday

and in general made our lives much easier. Now, if you want a workshop in your area, you don't need to go to quite the lengths Phil went, but we do need a local representative to check out hotels and advise us of the best alternatives.

So, all you folks who say that we never come to your part of the country, here's your chance. Give me a call and then go out and check out a few hotels. Of course, you'll be our guest at the workshop, and will learn all about hotels and catering in your area at the same time. What fun. So make it happen!

\* \* \* \* \*

A couple of issues ago, I wrote about the new Sharp computer that was in the process of being announced. After several delays, it's here. Called the PC-3000, this is a complete IBM compatible "palmtop" computer that will run any of our programs and is about the size of a video cassette. It has a full 80 column screen and the keyboard is almost big enough to type on.

What it doesn't have is a built in floppy disk drive. Therefore, if you use one of our copy protected programs (ie. ENERGY!, Thoromation, Deluxe Total Pace) then you would have to purchase the detachable 3.5" floppy drive to run your software. You could load your program at home and take it to the races without turning off the computer, but this is not an ideal solution. However, if you use one of our older programs, they can easily be transferred to the PC-3000 using the built in transfer software.

I've played with this machine for several hours, and find it to be almost ideal for most of our purposes. It will run 20 or 30 hours on AA batteries, the screen is legible even in bright sunlight (though not in dark rooms, as it isn't backlit. It is about as easy to see as one of the little Sharp handhelds we've used for years) and it is fast enough to churn through even our biggest programs in a couple of seconds.

Retail is \$1000, but it should be discounted down to \$800 or so in the near future. If this doesn't meet your needs (ie, you want a disk drive) stay tuned, as several more palmtops and sub-notebooks are due out this year, and one may overcome this limitation.

\* \* \* \* \*

I'm out of things to say before I'm out of page, so I'll fall back on some favorite quotes about life.

Even if you're on the right track, you'll get run over if you just sit there.

- Will Rogers

If at first you don't succeed you're running about average.

- M. H. Alderson

Alas, I know if I ever became truly humble, I would be proud of it.

- Benjamin Franklin



# Utilizing the *Racing Form's* "Beyer Numbers" Results of Ongoing Tests

by Doc Sartin

Author credits for this report should also go to Le Roi (Mrs.) Bradshaw and several members of the Oklahoma Mafia for their ongoing tests of the "Beyer" numbers. We tested both their efficacy in getting viable contenders and the win potential of the top two figures.

In order for any testing procedure to be useful or even reasonably accurate we must first set a standard of measurement. Then we need what is called a control. A set of values that is already known. We used two different standards in this test.

Mrs. Bradshaw: average three Beyer ratings.

My test: total two Beyer ratings. (The way Andy did in his book)

The control. *Racing Form* speed rating plus variant.

We knew from a similar test when the numbers were in the *Racing Times*, that merely using the last two, or three, Beyer numbers as a rating procedure was ineffective. To give them a real chance we had to find a selective way to incorporate two or three "Beyer Numbers" or ratings.

We decided to take the (two or three) most recent races at a similar distance structure, (route-sprint) and surface (turf-dirt, slow-fast, etc.) This way the numbers were given an optimal chance to prove their effectiveness. First off, there was almost no difference in the results from averaging three races as there was from totaling two.

So the rest of this article and the accompanying examples will reflect the average of two Beyer numbers by the above criteria. Remember also, that our control is a comparison between the Beyer numbers and the *Daily Racing Form* speed rating + variant for the same races.

We chose this control for two reasons. First, we have several years of documentation regarding the *Racing Form* speed rating and variant. Second, when Glen Connally gave his preliminary report on the Beyer numbers at the Las Vegas Seminar, several of our people went off half cocked and started using the best of the last two Beyer numbers to pick their horses. This procedure won two races in a row for one client. He was ecstatic.

When I pointed out to him that by adding the speed rating + variant he would have also won those races, he turned a deaf ear. This was something new. Eureka! He had found it! Even though it was already there in the good old speed rating + variant. Unfortunately those were the only two races where the top Beyer numbers won on that card.

First, let's review the *Daily Racing Form's* explanation of the Beyer Numbers, together with their unusually hyperbolic claims for them. (c) *Daily Racing Form*.

## Explanation of Beyer speed figures

The Beyer Speed Figures, which appear in all Daily Racing Form past performances, measure how fast a horse has run in each of his previous starts. They permit easy and accurate comparisons of horses' performances, even if they occurred at different tracks and different distances.

These numbers are based on the principles enunciated in Andrew Beyer's "Picking Winners," the 1975 book which helped create the popularity of speed handicapping today. The figures are solidly grounded in logic and mathematics, and they are personally calculated by Beyer, his partner Mark Hopkins and five associates.

These calculations produce a highly accurate track variant (which measures the speed of a racing strip on any day) which is incorporated into a single number—unlike the separate speed rating and track variant with which Daily Racing Form readers are familiar. This single number reflects a horse's level of ability—his true class.

The highest Beyer Speed Figures will be in the 120s, and these rarities will belong to champions or potential champions. (Black Tie Affair earned a 120 winning the 1991 Breeders' Cup.) A figure of 110 will be good enough to win most stakes races for older horses. A horse who earns a 100 can win stakes at medium-grade tracks, and allowances in New York and California. A figure of 90 would win the average \$25,000 claiming race for older horses; a figure of 80 would win an average \$10,000 claimer; a figure of 70 would win a \$5,000 claimer.

These are typical winning figures for older males. Two-year-olds and 3-year-olds run slower because horses will almost always run faster as they mature. (Best Pal, for example, earned a 102 in his top race as a 2-year-old, and steadily improved over the next two seasons to earn a 121 as a 4-year-old in the Santa Anita Handicap.)

The Beyer Speed Figures are based purely on the times of races (with no consideration for class, weight, etc.) just as are the traditional Daily Racing Form speed ratings and track variants. Otherwise there is no relationship between the two types of numbers. Readers should be aware of several important differences:

- X A** ● One of the main advantages of the Beyer Speed Figures is that they permit accurate comparisons of horses at different tracks. The traditional method gives a horse a rating of 100 for equaling the best three-year time. A horse who misses Santa Anita's six-furlong best time by one-fifth of a second gets a 99; a horse who missed Delta Downs' 4 1/2-furlong best time by one-fifth of a second is a 99, too—even though he is surely inferior to the Santa Anita horse. By contrast, the calculations behind the Beyer system insure that a figure means the same regardless of where it is earned. A horse who has earned a 99 at Delta Downs is indeed the equal of one with a 99 at Santa Anita.
- X B** ● The Beyer Speed Figures compare times at different distances with mathematical precision. A horse who runs a figure of 90 at six furlongs has delivered the same quality of performance as one who earns a 90 in a route. Of course, few horses are equally effective at all distances; when a sprinter is stretching out to a longer distance, it is a question of handicapping judgment whether he can equal or improve upon his sprint figures.
- In the Beyer Speed Figures, one point has varying significance at various distances. As a rough rule of thumb, 2 1/2 points equal one length in sprint races; two points equal one length in routes.

How are the Beyer Speed Figures best used as a handicapping tool? There is no simple answer or pat formula. The most logical way to approach a race is to look for the horse with the best figure in his most recent start. Does he seem likely to duplicate it under today's conditions? Are there any horses in the field who might have an excuse for recent poor showings, but who have earned figures in previous starts which might be good enough to win today?

**X C** Some of the most solid betting situations occur when one horse has earned numbers which are consistently better than his rivals—say, when his last three figures are 80, 82, 79 and nobody else has a recent race better than a 75. The most lucrative situations arise when a horse has lost his last race by a large margin, but still has earned a figure which makes him competitive with the rest of the field.

Many speed-figure devotees become so entranced by their numbers that they ignore the fundamentals of handicapping; this is a mistake. The Beyer Speed Figures are best used as part of a comprehensive approach to the game. They don't magically predict the future; they only measure what a horse has done in the past. But there has never been a handicapping tool that measures past performances so accurately.

The claim (A) that these numbers are already adjusted to permit accurate comparisons track-to-track, is subject to extensive argument. Be wary here. The jury is still out.

The claim (B) that the Beyer figures compare times at different distances with mathematical precision, is not accurate.

Here is a case in point where I won a race because I refused to believe this false hype:

Here are 9 of the 11 horses entered in this 2nd at Belmont, Monday, June 15, 1992. The other two did not rate at all. (I invested at the Edgewater in Laughlin, NV,)

\* \* \* \* \*

This is a 7 furlong sprint. The qualifiers:

**Major:** Last two Beyer numbers equal 152. Last SR+V = 94. Equating GS speed ratings with Belmont, the GS race gets even less than 94. In light of the quality of the true contenders, Major is out. The Beyer numbers did the job of discounting the GS race here. Give them credit for that.

**War Music:** is not a sprinter and has no fast internal fractions. out.

**Kellock:** here is where the Beyer figures lead us astray and where they do not equalize distances. The horse earns a Beyer total (last two route races) of 156. Using its last two sprint races, the Beyer total is 162. Pretty good but Beyer has four other horses with higher ratings than Kellock's two sprints. If we believe that the Beyer numbers actually "compare times at different distances with mathematical precision," we throw Kellock out. But wait. Look at its 6 furlong time within its last race at 8.5 furlongs. 110:3! Absolutely the fastest 6 furlong time of any horse in the race!

Never mind knocking off any fifths. This time earns a Beyer rating of at least 174. Its two best recent SR+V ratings are 99 and 95. Average, 97.

**Fagers:** Andy's numbers like it better than Kellock with a 166. It gets an average SR+V of 96. One less than Kellock.

**Sound Investment:** Both Beyer and SR+V like this horse best. Just won by 6 lengths at the same distance. Won two in a row. Those looking for a numerical standout, here it is. I put it in my exacta, figuring it as the logical place horse.

**Burning, Organizer & Our Country** did not figure by distance or surface. They're out.

**Loyken:** SR+V average 96.5. 3rd best. Beyer total 168. 2nd best.





This was my other win horse. It showed.

Beyer bets: Sound & Loyken. Result: show.  
My bets: Kellock & Loyken. Result win & show.  
My 3 horse exacta: Kellock, Sound, Loyken. Result: win.

Kellock paid over \$12 to win. \$2 exacta \$40+.

So far we see that the Beyer numbers are excellent for both place and show. But the name of Andy's big seller is *Picking Winners*. The top 2 SR+V numbers placed and won.

Another reminder. I handicapped and bet these races using the designated numbers only. No computer in my luggage.

Okay, here's the 1st race at Belmont, same day.

\* \* \* \* \*

This race is one of the examples of how I got a 66.6% win proficiency when The Beyer numbers and the SR+V numbers agreed.

**Bless:** is high on both. It won. Paid very little but it won. The show horse, Sabal is 2nd best by both rating procedures. The place horse, Bravely is last using Beyer numbers. It is 4th best using its last SR + V.

Now a final example of how I found the Beyer numbers work best. That is when they agree with the SR + Variant numbers. For this examples we go to Hollywood Park, Sunday, June 14. Race 3.

\* \* \* \* \*

Both rating procedures favor Doncet Two. It won and paid: \$11.60. Second choice was Holly Dena, The second favorite. It placed for \$4.20 and keyed a \$2 Exacta worth \$40.20. Note that I knocked out a middle Beyer number in both cases and added the two recent best.

\* \* \* \* \*

A lot of people have said that the Beyer numbers incorporate class. According to the *Racing Form* description (Paragraph 6) they do not. Note the paragraph I have marked (C). What it states is elementary in any rating procedure. When one contender has a tremendous numerical advantage over the rest, of the field, of course is a "solid betting situation." (At least for place as with sound). What else is new? Dick Schmidt makes huge profits from applying this reasoning to the Phase I numbers. (Which incidentally are proving far superior to Beyer numbers; and they also show energy bias. Beyer numbers do not)

Now let's look at some bottom line figures:

1



7 FURLONGS. (120?) ALLOWANCE. Purse \$27,000. Fillies and Mares, 3-year-olds and upward which have never won a race other than maiden claiming or starter. Weights, 3-year-olds 114 lbs. Older 122 lbs. Non-winners of a race other than claiming since June 1 3 lbs. Of such a race since May 15, 1992, 5 lbs.

Coupled—All Hallows and Bravely.

Tiffany Hall

Table for Tiffany Hall race including owner (ANTLEY C W), trainer (Corr John), and race results from 28Apr92 to 20Feb92.

E Ticket Ride

Table for E Ticket Ride race including owner (CHAVEZ J F), trainer (Lundy Robert A), and race results from 28Apr92 to 20Feb92.

One Duplicing

Table for One Duplicing race including owner (KRONE J A), trainer (Dabrow Richard E), and race results from 28Apr92 to 20Feb92.

All Hallows

Table for All Hallows race including owner (BAILEY J D), trainer (Miller Mack), and race results from 28Apr92 to 20Feb92.

Sabal Way

Table for Sabal Way race including owner (MAPLE E), trainer (Hough Stanley M), and race results from 28Apr92 to 20Feb92.

Bravely

Table for Bravely race including owner (BAILEY J D), trainer (Miller Mack), and race results from 28Apr92 to 20Feb92.

Bless Our Home

Table for Bless Our Home race including owner (MILLIONE R), trainer (Wakelied Farm), and race results from 28Apr92 to 20Feb92.

3



**6 1/2 FURLONGS.** (1.142) CLAIMING. Purse \$20,000. Fillies and mares. 4-year-olds and upward. Weight, 121 lbs. Non-winners of two races since April 26 allowed 3 lbs.; a race since then, 5 lbs. Claiming price \$25,000; if for \$22,500 allowed 2 lbs. (Races when entered for \$20,000 or less not considered.)

**LASIX—Chalk Box, Megan Type, Doctor Wendie, Lake Shore—Mx, Holly Dena.**

**Chalk Box**  
 STEVENS G L (140 21 29 24 .15) **196**  
 Own.—Kuepper Harold C  
 23May92-7Hol fst 6f :214 :443 1:10  
 13May92-5Hol fst 6f :214 :444 1:103  
 28Apr92-4SA f 6f :22 :454 1:121  
 13Mar92-4SA fst 6f :211 :442 1:10  
 1Mar92-4SA fst 6f :214 :442 1:154  
 24Jan92-3SA fst 6f :214 :444 1:164  
 2Jan92-5SA fst 6f :211 :44 1:094  
 7Dec91-3Hol fst 1 1/4 :464 :113 1:443  
 3Nov91-3SA fst 6f :212 :441 1:091  
 2Nov91-3SA fst 6f :222 :451 1:164  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 7 Hol 5f fst 1:013 H

B. m. 4, by White Fir—Study Time, by Grace Time  
 \$25,000 Br.—Forest Acres (Wash)  
 Tr.—Shane Gerald M (17 2 2 3 .12)  
 75 8 3 33 33 25 473 Atkinson P  
 79 5 5 54 57 74 77 Pincay L Jr  
 20Apr92-5SA f 6f :22 :454 1:121  
 13Mar92-4SA fst 6f :211 :442 1:10  
 1Mar92-4SA fst 6f :214 :442 1:154  
 24Jan92-3SA fst 6f :214 :444 1:164  
 2Jan92-5SA fst 6f :211 :44 1:094  
 7Dec91-3Hol fst 1 1/4 :464 :113 1:443  
 3Nov91-3SA fst 6f :212 :441 1:091  
 2Nov91-3SA fst 6f :222 :451 1:164  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** May 31 Hol 4f fst :50 H

**116**  
 Lifetime 1982 7 1 1 0 \$16,725  
 1991 16 2 2 3 \$46,980  
 \$272,055 Turf 5 0 0 1 \$6,250  
 Wet 4 2 0 0 \$31,250  
 83-13 Beverly Z 1119 Fine Talk 1164 Princely Hug 116 Wide trip 11  
 88-14 Launch A Star 1174 Lots Of Gas 1177 Fine Talk 117 Wide trip 8  
 72-21 Lk Shore-Mx 1192 Rps Ls 115 Tyzwal 116 4-wide stretch 8  
 84-13 Nikki's B by 1154 Simp Surpris 1154 Gun Trill 116 Wide trip 8  
 85-10 Shm On Normn 1154 TEHC 1174 Miss Inn Zn 114 Weakened 8  
 86-16 Chk Box 1151 Lk Shore-Mx 1159 Junior Zen 116 Driving 12  
 84-11 Tirdncer 1142 Chk Box 1172 Chip's De Mer 114 Good effort 9  
 87-17 Lybrd's Song 1164 Jewel 11163 Drone's Sun 114 Faltered 7  
 88-12 Fxy Envy 1121 Miss Fr Dncr 1162 Mtd Sing 115 Drifted out lane 6  
 88-16 Chk Box 1154 M's Silie 1151 Northern Gince 116 Ridden out 6  
 May 5 Hol 4f fst :59 H Apr 26 SA 5f fst 1:011 H

**Megan Type**  
 PINCAY L JR (153 N 22 N .N) **116**  
 Own.—Carr Tracy  
 17May92-9Hol fm 1 :0:462 1:102 1:342  
 7May92-1Hol fst 6f :22 :451 1:101  
 16Apr92-5SA fst 1 :453 1:11 1:37  
 1Apr92-4SA sly 1 :463 1:131 1:41  
 20Mar92-5SA sly 6f :212 :443 1:17  
 23Feb92-2SA fst 1 :461 1:104 1:363  
 25Jan92-3SA fst 1 1/4 :472 1:114 1:443  
 7Dec91-9Hol fst 6f :222 :462 1:114  
 14Dec91-6Hol fst 6f :214 :454 1:131  
 3Nov91-7Hol fst 1 1/4 :48 1:134 1:422  
 Shod1-Originally scheduled on turf  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 11 Hol 4f fst :483 H Jun 3 Hol 4f fst :473 H

R. m. 6, by Zen—Embassy Type, by Buffalo Lark  
 \$25,000 Br.—Hamen Albert S Estate (Ill)  
 Tr.—Salazar Marco P (8 0 1 1 .08)  
 63 10 10 1020 1015 916 9163 Solo J F3  
 75 8 7 88 863 85 22 Alvarado F J  
 71 5 7 611 64 67 673 Alvarado F J  
 74 4 7 611 53 2nd 1st Alvarado F J  
 73 4 10 1018 1015 911 253 Alvarado F J  
 70 7 9 942 109 1091 916 Alvarado F J  
 88 5 5 551 551 221 1st Pincay L Jr  
 80 4 4 771 76 44 45 Rivera H Jr  
 65 5 8 812 84 57 44 Diaz J L  
 72 7 5 513 43 313 333 Diaz J L  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 11 Hol 4f fst :483 H Jun 3 Hol 4f fst :473 H

**116 98**  
 Lifetime 1982 7 2 2 0 \$30,650  
 1991 16 4 3 2 \$78,442  
 \$213,831 Turf 3 0 0 0 \$750  
 Wet 11 3 2 2 \$44,767  
 76-12 Jol Mmor-En 1164 HHCrm 1163 Slt Sw 116 7-wide stretch 8  
 87-08 Prncly Hug 1177 Mgn Tpl 1193 Unstrad Shrv 116 5-wide stretch 8  
 74-21 Corier 1164 Cindy Jhe 1154 Picnic In The Park 115 Wide trip 7  
 82-31 Mgn Typ 1154 Cndy Ja 1154 Dctr Crck 1117 5-wide stretch 7  
 79-16 Mollyw 1154 Megan Typ 1174 Junior Queen 115 Wide trip 10  
 73-15 Andle 1151 Moll Swing 1154 Lrvy Music 117 7-wide stretch 10  
 80-16 Megan Typ 1174 So Adorbie 1163 Seville-Ch 115 Wide trip 7  
 77-27 Inrun 1164 Fubulous Mxk 1143 Liquid Fll 114 Late, wide bid 7  
 72-25 Annie's Echo 1211 Fabulous Mark 1144 Inrun 116 Late run 8  
 88-18 Dry Dncr 1182 P choff 1113 Mgn Typ 121 4-wide into lane 7

**Doncette Two**  
 NAKATANI C S (161 19 19 28 .12) **153**  
 Own.—Pacific West  
 4Jun92-8Hol fm 1 1/4 :0:48 1:121 1:413  
 9Apr92-3SA fst 6f :212 :443 1:10  
 1Apr92-4SA fst 6f :214 :442 1:154  
 5May91-30mr fst 6f :22 :452 1:104  
 14July91-1Hol fst 7f :222 :45 1:30  
 22Jun91-4Hol fst 6f :221 :444 1:164  
 11May91-7Hol fst 6f :221 :45 1:103  
 3Mar91-7SA fst 6f :212 :444 1:161  
 Web91-7SA fst 1 :471 1:121 1:373  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** May 28 Hol 5f fst 1:142 H

B. f. 4, by Orbit Dancer—Myrtle de De, by Rambaz  
 \$25,000 Br.—Duhon J R (Tex)  
 Tr.—Spawr Bill (40 8 5 6 .16)  
 71 3 4 33 53 64 65 Stevens G L  
 70 3 4 33 53 64 65 Stevens G L  
 72 8 2 78 78 81 88 Flores D R  
 67 1 7 73 75 83 34 McCarron C J  
 69 7 1 53 44 46 34 McCarron C J  
 79 7 3 53 53 32 2nd McCarron C J  
 73 6 7 74 53 25 24 McCarron C J  
 64 8 2 74 74 79 712 Garcia J A  
 74 1 2 23 42 42 43 Garcia J A  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** May 21 Hol 5f fst 1:014 H

**116 99**  
 Lifetime 1982 4 0 0 1 \$3,000  
 1991 7 1 2 2 \$41,225  
 \$70,425 Turf 1 0 0 0  
 88-11 Cozier 113 Launch A Star 1174 Carmel Desert 117 No rally 8  
 81-47 Sprs Hws 1173 Ros Window 128 M And Molly 117 Gave way 3  
 82-19 Mss Inn Zn 1163 Ustrd Sw 1152 Oct 1115 Wide backstretch 6  
 83-10 Shm On Normn 1154 TEHC 1174 Miss Inn Zn 114 Wide trip 8  
 88-15 Drish Tn 1151 Shrd Vxn 1174 Dcct Tw 115 4-wide stretch 7  
 --- Minnu 1174 Silverlake St 1144 Doncette Two 116 Wide trip 8  
 88-11 L Msc 1164 Dncr 1116 Mss Inn Zn 116 Wide backstretch 7  
 83-13 Welcome Mssgr 1194 Doncet Two 1164 Quir 117 Mild rally 8  
 77-14 Zm Hmnr 1193 Jprtn Ld 1193 Ch Appl 119 Acted up gate 8  
 76-22 Boots 1152 Scorpio Marjorie 1161 R's Troop 115 No mishap 7  
 Apr 26 SA 5f fst 1:021 H Apr 28 SA 6f fst 1:153 H

**Doctor Wendie**  
 ALVARADO F J (83 6 6 .86) **122**  
 Own.—Galarraga Janice & Phillip J  
 23May92-1Hol fst 6f :22 :452 1:162  
 28Jan91-8Hol fm 1 1/4 :0:482 1:12 1:491  
 3Jan91-6Hol fst 6f :214 :443 1:093  
 10May91-7Hol fm 1 :0:464 1:11 1:352  
 22Apr91-5SA fm 6f :0:214 :444 1:144  
 18Jan91-7SA fst 1 1/4 :464 1:133 1:504  
 Web91-Originally scheduled on turf  
 21Dec90-7Hol fm 1 :0:463 1:104 1:344  
 10Dec90-8Hol fm 1 :0:463 1:11 1:351  
 3Nov90-1Hol fm 1 1/4 :0:47 1:104 1:482  
 26Oct90-7SA fm 1 1/4 :0:461 1:103 1:473  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 8 SA 5f fst 1:023 H Jun 1 SA 4f fst :484 H

Ch. m. 5, by Journey At Sea—Heavenly Flight, by Bald Eagle  
 \$25,000 Br.—Moore B G DVM (Fla)  
 Tr.—Berkman Leonard (11 1 0 1 .08)  
 57 4 1 21 313 593 515 Alvarado F J  
 78 2 1 313 213 593 Baze R A  
 65 7 4 84 74 74 612 Pincay L Jr  
 86 6 2 21 2nd 42 42 Baze R A  
 77 8 2 1 12 14 21 Baze R A  
 61 1 6 58 65 613 613 Black C A  
 72 2 5 62 62 54 54 Davenport C L S  
 73 1 6 6 54 63 75 Velasquez J  
 70 6 8 84 74 63 75 Velasquez J  
 80 7 8 87 84 74 54 Carral J R  
**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 8 SA 5f fst 1:023 H Jun 1 SA 4f fst :484 H

**116 88**  
 Lifetime 1982 1 0 0 0 \$550  
 1991 1 1 1 0 \$6,380  
 \$34,725 Turf 6 0 1 0 \$12,475  
 75-13 Rl's SH 1172 Shm On Normn 1194 Drish Tn 117 Husted early 6  
 77-15 Alinda 1214 Adorable Vice 1274 A Hez Mldy 121 Weakened 8  
 88-11 Tiny Kristin 1123 Fowda 193 D Or Ruckas 115 Wide trip 7  
 85-12 Rch And Fms-Fr 1194 Ar Fld 1164 Ar Mldy 119 Weakened 8  
 84-15 GToto 1281 Doctor Wad 1281 Cmbn Jr 128 Overtaken late 9  
 88-23 Sh Sd Myb 1171 Y Y All Sng 1174 Wd Cn 117 4-wide stretch 6  
 82-12 Nbl And Nc 1213 Sh Sd Mb 1151 Shrvs 115 Lacked room 3/8 11  
 83-11 Eys Romnc 1151 Shrv Torq 1174 Adrb Vcl 116 Steaded 7/8 10  
 77-14 Qc Stp 1154 Csr Rcl 1194 Adrb Vcl 116 Broke out, bumped 10  
 81-13 Sng Sty 1147 Mls Dily 1121 Qc Stp 114 Wide stretch 8  
 May 18 SA 5f fst 1:023 H May 11 SA 4f fst :483 H



**Lake Shore-Mx**

**TORRES H (M 8 2 7 JT)**  
 Own.—Achar Victor  
 4Jan92- 1Hol fst 7f :214 :443 1:22  
 16Apr92- 3SA fst 6f :213 :444 1:10  
 28Mar92- 4SA sl 6f :22 :454 1:121  
 18Feb92- 2SA fst 7f :224 :461 1:233  
 24Jan92- 3SA fst 6f :214 :444 1:164  
 12Jan92- 3SA fst 7f :223 :452 1:24  
 12Dec91- 3Hol gd 6f :224 :464 1:121 3f  
 30Nov91- 4Hol fst 7f :23 :463 1:242 3f  
 12Oct91- 3SA fst 6f :213 :45 1:094 3f  
 27Sep91- 11Fpx fst 6f :212 :443 1:17 3f

Ch. m. 3, by Double-Comepot, by First Amendment  
 \$25,000 Tr.—Lopez Patricia (2:0 0 1 JM)  
 Br.—Achar Victor (Max)  
 ©Cim 32000 64 4 6 54 64 79 79 Torres H LB 117 21.00  
 ©Cim 40000 71 6 63 44 54 53 Martinez F F LB 110 6.00  
 ©Cim 32000 76 5 8 74 43 21 12 Torres H LB 110 13.00  
 ©Cim 32000 70 5 9 68 63 34 44 Soles A LB 115 4.00  
 ©Cim 25000 77 4 7 53 43 43 21 Nakatani C S LB 115 10.70  
 ©Cim 32000 74 6 7 94 85 65 62 Stevens G L LB 115 14.00  
 ©Cim 32000 78 4 1 21 41 42 22 Valenzuela P A LB 117 3.70  
 ©Cim 25000 75 0 1 11 11 34 21 Nakatani C S LB 116 3.00  
 ©Cim 40000 72 5 1 21 21 43 45 Valenzuela P A LB 117 4.50  
 ©Cim 40000 45 7 7 53 58 46 57 Nakatani C S LB 116 2.00

Lifetime 1982 6 1 1 0 \$19,050  
 1991 8 4 2 0 \$43,050  
 \$72,314  
 116-96 Wet 2 2 0 0 \$16,850  
 04-11 Cozier 115 Launch A Str 117 Crmel Desert 117 Gave way 8  
 00-16 HtnBt 116 Nrlhwn Tprss 115 L L Of Cs 115 Lugged out 6  
 75-21 LkeShor-Mx 110 Rogr's Lss 115 Tyzown 116 Wide early 9  
 00-14 Astromtrc Str 114 Rsq Affr 114 Sky Wst 116 Rough start 9  
 04-16 Chk Box 115 LkShor-Mx 115 Jw Dn 116 4-wide stretch 12  
 01-14 Nat's Sallie 115 Jewel Ball 115 Cozier 116 Wide trip 12  
 77-21 Mdnht Ltr 115 LShr-Mx 117 Ltrs Of L 117 Always close 6  
 01-14 Mdnht Ltr 115 LShr-Mx 116 Prclm 116 Sharp effort 8  
 01-11 Shm Dn Nrmn 116 Mt Sn 116 Nrlhwn Glnc 111 Weakened 7  
 04-14 Miss Fr Dncr 116 Mt Swng 116 Sh Sd Myb 111 No mishap 8

**LATEST WORKOUTS**

**Holly Dena**

**FLORES B R (76 23 28 24 13)**  
 Own.—BCL Racing Stbl Leong & Mulaney  
 17May92- 1Hol fst 6f :222 :453 1:104  
 17May92- Claimed from Digange Joseph J, Scott Ronald Trainer  
 30Apr91- 1SA fst 1 1/4 :471 1:121 1:512 3f  
 16Oct91- 3SA fst 1 1/4 :47 1:12 1:374 3f  
 25Sep91- 10Fpx fst 1 1/4 :471 1:124 1:45 3f  
 7Sep91- 10Mx fst 1 1/4 :47 1:121 1:501 3f  
 21Aug91- 30Mx fst 7f :224 :451 1:221 3f  
 5Aug91- 10Mx fst 1 1/4 :454 1:11 1:433 3f  
 18July91- 5Hol fst 6f :221 :45 1:103  
 18July91- 5-wide stretch, ridden out  
 3July91- 1Hol fst 6f :223 :453 1:17  
 13Jan91- 5Hol fst 6f :214 :45 1:104

Ch. m. 1, by P Vix—Ocean to Ocean, by Transworld  
 \$25,000 Tr.—Digange J J (Cal)  
 Br.—Livermore Russel B (-)  
 ©Cim 36000 78 9 11 129 124 93 11 Torres H LB 111 23.70  
 ©Cim 25000 60 6 9 911 94 89 711 Torres H LB 112 27.00  
 ©Cim 25000 74 6 6 75 74 44 21 Torres H LB 110 6.70  
 ©Alw 35000 74 4 8 84 75 79 65 Torres H LB 112 7.00  
 ©Hcp 10000 78 1 7 79 77 55 57 Alvarado F J LB 119 5.00  
 ©Alw 33000 80 5 3 54 57 45 37 Torres H LB 113 1.20  
 ©Cim 20000 84 5 7 67 42 11 14 Torres H LB 111 5.20  
 ©Cim 14000 80 4 5 64 64 54 11 Torres H LB 103 3.40  
 ©Cim 10000 74 3 6 75 75 43 11 Torres H LB 111 23.10  
 ©Cim 10000 65 6 9 10 11 910 53 30 Mena F LB 116 46.90

Lifetime 1982 1 1 0 0 \$7,700  
 1991 10 3 1 2 \$37,700  
 \$79,015  
 116-96 Wet 1 0 0 0  
 06-11 Holly Dena 1111 Shorouk 116 Lady Kite 116 Wide trip 12  
 61-16 Bountful Niv 116 Vnrl 116 Bld Ring 116 Wide into drive 12  
 77-23 Sweet Led 116 Holly Dn 116 Str Child 113 6-wide stretch 7  
 78-18 T B Dng 114 Qcs Sstr 112 Prsn In Sprng 115 4-wide into lane 10  
 76-17 Rnbk 113 Elh Dh Drv 113 Scrmng S 115 Wide backstretch 7  
 82-12 African 116 Princess Joyce 114 Holly Dena 113 No bid 5  
 01-16 Holly Den 1114 Rrarrng 116 Ally Top 114 Wide backstretch 8  
 07-13 Holly Dena 116 Guard Your Pocket 116 Alley Top 114 6  
 07-11 Holly Dn 1118 Bffs Hbtony 116 Throgosmy 116 Rough start 7  
 80-15 RnLghtly 116 Wngwd 116 Hly Dn 116 Off slowly, wide 11

**LATEST WORKOUTS**

Jan 9 SA 5f fst 1:00 H Jun 2 SA 5f fst 1:01 H May 27 SA 4f fst :49 H Apr 28 Fpx 3f fst :35 H

**1289 THIRD RACE. 6 1/2 furlongs. Four year olds & up. Fillies & mares. Claiming price \$25,000-\$22,500. Purse \$20,000.**

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1035	Doncette Two, Nakatani	116	3	5	3 1/2	3 1/2	1hd	1 1/2	4.80
(1101)	Holly Dena, Flores	116	6	3	5 1/2	5 1/2	4 3/4	22	2.50
1135	Chalk Box, Stevens	116	1	4	22	2hd	2hd	3 1/2	2.00
9759	Lake Shore, Torres	116	5	1	11	11	3 1/2	4 1/2	9.20
1129	Doctor Wendie, Alvarado	118	4	2	4 3/4	4hd	5 1/2	5hd	8.10
1100	Megan Type, Pincay	117	2	6	6	6	6	6	4.30

3—DONCETTE TWO.....11.60 4.80 2.60  
 6—HOLLY DENA.....4.20 2.60  
 1—CHALK BOX.....2.40  
 Time—21 3/5, 44 4/5, 1.09 3/5, 1.16 1/5. Cloudy & fast. Winner—b.f.88 Orbit Dancer—Myrtle's De De. Trained by B.M Spawr. Mutuel pool—\$307,599. Exacta pool—\$355,491. Daily Triple pool—\$190,113.

\$2 EXACTA (3-6) PAID \$40.20  
 \$3 DAILY TRIPLE (8-9-3) PAID \$1,939.50

Results: (my test only)

Days of test:.....5 1/2.

Number of races tested by me:..79.

Number of tracks.....6. Belmont, Pha.Park, Pimlico,  
Arlington, Hollywood, Golden Gate.

Results: Two dollar bets, top two horses as selected by the standard  
of measurement described herein: (79 races).

Top 2 Beyer numbers: 26 winners, 32.91% win, \$26 loss.

Top 2 DRF SR+V numbers: 37 winners, 46.83% win \$8.40 won.

When top 2 Beyer & top 2 DRF SR+V agreed:

Of 79 total events 27 races qualified by this standard: 18 winners:  
66.6%. \$128 won.

This was all done with no computer. The handicapping judgments  
involved were mine alone. The wagers were a flat \$2 on each of the top 2  
horses to win. As you can see I won most when there was a corollary  
between the top 2 Beyer numbers and the top 2 DRF SR+Variant.

So far, the old *Racing Form* ratings have proved better. But, this  
was only a preliminary 79 race test. We'll continue testing and reporting.

If any of you wish to join in, please do. But don't give me  
subjective or emotionally charged results like the client in Las Vegas.  
Follow exactly the measurement standard and the control from this article,  
and send supporting documentation.

# Self Control For Self Control

by Dick Schmidt

Remember back in junior high school when they made you write essays about things like "What America Means to Me," or "Why Freedom is Important." Usually the class was called civics or social studies. And you could never think of anything to write. You went to the library and copied some stuff and hoped for the best.

Questions like these are hard for someone raised in our society to answer in anything other than brief cliches. We take almost all of the benefits of Western democratic society for granted. Some of us focus on the negatives, others just ignore the whole thing. Very few are aware of how unique our society actually is. If you want to find someone who appreciates the positive aspects of life in our society, ask someone who wasn't raised in it. A person from the outside many times has a much greater appreciation of both the strengths and weaknesses of any society.

To turn this same logic on our more immediate concerns within the Methodology, I sometimes think that I, and others on the "inside" have lost our appreciation of just what we are experiencing every day. We have grown to accept, and then ignore the power we have, and occasionally focus on the negative. Power can be funny stuff that way.

In politics, everyone wants more power, more control. This is not necessarily evil. They may want more power to help the homeless, or stop whaling, or ban strip mining. Whatever. Local officials want to move up to the big time at the State level. State people want to get to Washington, where the real action is. Congressmen want to become Senators, because that is where each vote is really important and influence can be brought to bear. Senators and governors want to be President. He runs it all. And the President curses that all the real power is in the hands of Congress and the States, and he can do very little. At each level power is defined by what cannot be done.

I feel it is very human to accept whatever amount of power we have as "barely adequate" and to see ourselves defined by what we can't do, not what we can. We focus on the boundaries of our power, the limits, and never notice the vast potential of what we do control. Few of us ever accept that there are always limits, always things we cannot do. We perceive only the limitations, not the abilities under our control.

Elizabeth the First of England, as complete and secure an autocrat as ever lived, spent much of her time dwelling on the shortcomings of her own and her nation's military and political power. She was especially bitter because mere commoners were sometimes "permitted" to live longer than royalty. Instead of focusing on her long life and her ability to shape an entire culture, to leave her stamp on a nation for centuries, she ranted against her limitations. She died bitter and a failure in her own eyes. Yet she was as powerful as any woman who ever lived.

I'm not writing this to point out human foibles, or to demonstrate how short sighted we all can be. Nor am I writing this for the readers.

I'm not sure that there will ever be any readers. This is not intended to change or instruct, assuming I ever do show this to anyone. I'm writing this to bring into sharp focus for myself the degree of power and control the Sartin Methodology has granted me in one very specialized, almost insignificant arena of human endeavor and struggle.

The power that the Methodology grants me to control my fortunes at a racetrack are considerable. The power that it grants me to control my life is almost limitless. And I fear that I have begun to take it for granted. I think many of us accept as normal that we can walk into a racetrack and pick winners. I also see many of us who focus on the limits of the power of the Methodology, not on the strengths. Picking winners isn't good enough any more. They must predict who will run second. Who will win three in a row. Six in a row. Nine in a row.

By focusing on the limits of our power, we ignore the power that we do control, and don't see the control that we can exert on all aspects of our lives. I take myself as example, because I cannot presume to speak for others on this subject. For me, the Methodology is no longer about winning a race, or 100 races. It has become about self control. I now have control over myself. By that, I mean quite simply that I can control my own life to a degree that pleases me.

We none of us have absolute control. We all have limits, if only in sharing Elizabeth's ultimate fate. But we can take control of most of our life. We can shake off the fear of losing a job we hate by learning that we no longer need it to survive. We can leave a city we dislike and live where we please. In a society that prides itself on being the "Land of the Free," we can be among the freest. And yet many of us do not see the potential for freedom. We see only the limitations we place upon ourselves.

As a PIRCO Charter Member, I have the privilege of speaking to a broad spectrum of the Institute's clientele. I get calls from clients who are in their second day, and others who have been with the Institute for years. I meet those who are confused about which is the second call in a route, and I go to the races with other Charter Members. From this broad perspective, I can occasionally step away from my involvement and privileged position and see the power granted me from the viewpoint of an outsider.

It doesn't matter if the barrier is real or exists only in our own perception of reality. The imagined limitation stops us just as surely as any other. So often, this barrier is our own perception of what success is. Define success as a 20% return on investment over a 100 race investment cycle and you have one set of perceptions. Define it as doubling any bankroll in less than 10 races and the rules change. Success is many times what we say it is. Acceptable performance is whatever approaches our own established limits.

We all know someone who is obsessive about something. I have a friend who feels his manhood is threatened by the 55 mile per hour speed limit. He constantly refers to it in conversation. The mention of any journey is accompanied by the observation that of course with a "realistic" speed limit, we could get there in half the time. He can talk for hours

about the glories of the German Autobans and the idiocy of having a speed limit at all. He avoids trips of any lengths, because they take so long.

I thought of him when I was reading a book recently about the historical forces that lead up to the American Civil War. One of the real contributors to that war, whether you know it or not, was the development of railroads. The quote that caught my eye was from an enthusiastic journalist of the early 1800's, who was obviously quite taken by this then new and wonderful technology.

He wrote that "Someday it may be possible for people in all aspects of life to undertake journeys in comfort that today are inconceivable. It may well be possible to develop a system of transportation that can carry both passengers and cargo 50, 60, even 100 miles in a single day."

The contribution of the railroad to the Civil War was shattering the limits on and patterns of movement of people and goods imposed by previous methods of transportation. ". . . even 100 miles in a single day." Remember, the author was being bombastic, and indulging in a wild claim to make the others seem more reasonable. As I read, I could only picture that long dead reporter sitting down with my friend and listening to his invective about being limited to a mere 55 miles "in a single hour."

My friend allows the perceptions of limitations on his freedom of movement to impair his ability to move. He won't drive to Las Vegas, because the police enforce a speed limit that makes the journey take 4 or 5 hours. So he stays home. When my mother moved from Colorado to California in 1924, that part of the journey took 2 days. Twenty years before that, it took two weeks. Real limits have been overcome, yet my friend still sees only those that remain, and never considers the limits of a horse and wagon on an unimproved road. For him, Las Vegas might as well be 2,000 miles away, because he allows his own limits to constrict his ability to go there.

How many of us share similar attitudes about the power of the Methodology? I can distinctly remember the disbelief I shared with Mark Cramer and others when I first heard of the Methodology. We were used to seeing preposterous claims made for systems, but this was so far into the realm of fantasy that it took the breath away. 63% profitable races? We literally considered it to be physically impossible. Outside our limits.

Yet at night, when I permit myself to dream the impossible dreams as I fall asleep, I would fantasize about 63%. What if it were really possible? I can remember one night getting up, literally in a fever to know, and spending half a hour of precious sleeping time (back then I had to get up early to go to work every morning) calculating the return on investment a 63% winner would enjoy. 63% seemed a reasonable goal for a crystal ball, not a real world handicapping method.

Today, clients complain that they can't seem to get above 65%. I had clients commiserate with me when I mentioned in a class that I had recently had a cycle of 58% winners. I had made it very clear that I had made quite a lot of money during that period. In fact, that was my point; you can make money without winning a very high percentage of investment opportunities. Yet many of them considered my performance to be a failure.

In truth, I had second thoughts about sharing my performance with them, even though it covered a period of only two weeks. The vivid dreams late at night now center around 85 or 90% Why? My perceived limits have changed. The sad part is that many of us now see as failure what I once viewed as fantasy.

We all need dreams, but we can't afford to let our dreams bar us from using the power we have. Dream of winning the lottery, or a huge Pick 6 if you will, but don't let those dreams spoil the reality of winning 63% of your bets. Even an average mutuel of \$6.50, a figure so low as to be almost as impossible to maintain as an average of \$26.50, will generate sufficient income to keep any of us in comfort for life. All that stands between us and that dream is our own perceptions of success and failure. Our own limits.

We are failures if we don't double our bankrolls every 20 races. We are failures if we don't cash 55 or 60% of our exacta bets. We are failures if we can't approach those goals we set in our dreams. We see ourself as limited. Mired in winning only 63%.

The last time I went to Las Vegas, I remember having a frustrating day. I was barely holding my own while Hambleton and Pizzolla were cashing tickets every damn race for big money. But when I got back to my room that night, I looked at my betting records and saw that I had actually won 54% of my bets and had a solid 37% return on investment. I was perceiving myself a failure while garnering results that once would have kept me awake at night spinning dreams. I now see the limits as 80% or more, and anything not approaching those limits is failure. I had forgotten where I started from; forgotten my own dreams.

\* \* \* \* \*

I wrote this article almost two years ago, and decided not to print it. Just too personal. Since then, I've obviously changed my mind. In the past two years, I've enjoyed great financial success at the races, and am no longer quite as full of self doubt as I once was. Oh, I still have doubts, but I no longer fear that the "magic" will go away.

Anyway, the point that I was trying to make back then, and still try to make all the time to clients who call me, is that many times we let our perceptions of what we "should" be doing blind us to the fact that what we are doing is excellent indeed. By letting others set what may be unrealistic goals for us, we may well fail to see that we are capable of making money at the races right now, without making any changes.

While it is a good thing to always have a goal just out of reach that we strive to attain, we each must set that goal for ourselves. If your buddy wins 75% of his bets and averages a 150% R.O.I., that in no way belittles your accomplishment if you make money at the races. Maybe you only have a 10 or 15% R.O.I. So what? I don't make as much as the president of General Motors, but I manage to enjoy life. Maybe more than he does, given how well Chevies are selling. Just as I don't let the fact that I don't make several million a year bother me, you shouldn't let not meeting some artificial goal set by someone else bother you. After all, our real goal is self control.

## Eastern vs. Western Thinking - DC/Pars

by Doc Sartin

A great deal of interest has been aroused in our DC/Pars concept through the research and subsequent writings and seminar presentations of Bruce Jorgenson and Sam Wada.

In past articles I have enunciated the gulf between Eastern and Western philosophy and thinking processes. By East/West, I refer to Asiatic, Hindu-Taoist-Buddhist mind set and European, Anglo-American, Judeo-Christian frames of reference. Never, in our small esoteric world of handicapping has the difference been so clearly demonstrated as in the approaches taken by these two excellent handicapper-teachers. Both of them adopted procedures for employing DC/Pars that were highly successful even though each followed a pathway far removed from the other.

Bruce Jorgenson is as American as one can get. Of Scandinavian descent, he is a retired Colonel in the U.S. Army. He was schooled in traditional cause-effect, Newtonian, Aristotelian learning processes. He earned an advanced degree beyond his college B.A. As a professional soldier he is keenly aware of rank along with its privileges and accompanying responsibilities and duties; of the clear delineation between soldier and officer. His application of DC/Pars reflects this background.

More about his use of DC pars in a moment.

But first, a short sketch of Sam Wada. A patriotic and loyal American of Japanese parentage who was raised in an American "prison camp." A kind of Manzanar in Arizona. He holds no grudge. Those of us who were around in 1941 realize that the volatile, intensely racist temperament of the American public at that point in time virtually ordained some kind of protective detention of Americans of Japanese origin or descent. I know that sounds brutal in retrospect, but you had to be there to fully comprehend.

Sam was schooled in the ancient traditions of Japan. To him rank is the relationship between a samurai and his sensei. A sensei is more than just a commanding officer by military definition; more than merely one who trains the pupil in martial arts and physical skills. The sensei is also a spiritual, philosophical guru who instructs the samurai in the wisdom of the ages and implants a deep sense of honor and loyalty. Not simply the kind of loyalty and honor taught in our own military and in our code of Western values. But something far deeper and more mystical. The inherent philosophy is one of wholeness wherein the whole is not a tangible sum of component parts, as in conventional Western thought, but a metaphysical whole that cannot be reduced to any Western code or formula.

I draw no distinction of superiority-inferiority between the disparate backgrounds of Bruce and Sam. I cite them only to prepare you

for an analysis of their approach to DC/Pars and the Methodology in general; again with the reminder that the different approaches taken by Bruce and Sam both proved to be eminently successful. Both demonstrated the power of the concept.

When Bruce received his DC/Pars program, he focused on the word: pars. His research involved developing par values by track, surface class and distance. This became quite an involved process wherein he had to run a bevy of results charts for both Yakima Meadows and Longacres in order to establish correlative win par numbers. He also had to keep ongoing records to keep him abreast of any changes in the various par structures. Bruce is astute. He works fast. So, while the task is somewhat time consuming, he does not make it a full time job.

As a result of his efforts he is able to instantly eliminate most of the contenders in a race, get it down to no more than three horse and with no other handicapping program win over 65% of his races. During certain periods his win percentage exceeded 80%, and a few isolated times he swept an entire card.

When Sam Wada received his DC/Pars program he focused on the phrase: DC. DC stands for deceleration. He knows innately that his handicapping Sensei is quite absorbed by the concept of deceleration in horseracing and less than enthusiastic about pars as defined by conventional wisdom. Keenly aware of the concept, call it "theory" if you must, that dream race fractions create parameters that are more reflective of reality than pars established through averaging of times, Sam set about to test the various DC/Par values as established by the dream race without reference to average time equivalents. Sam did no research from results charts and kept no record of winning times, yet his results duplicated Bruce's almost exactly.

Western thought in general, and in handicapping specifically, dictates that the future can best be predicted by the present and that the present is a reflection of the past. Hence, virtually every handicapping system or method throughout the years has been based on predicting the future, i.e. the outcome of a race, from past performance lines and by the use of fixed numerical values derived from those lines.

Our own feet-per-second ratings, along with our Phase I ratings, reflect this paradigm of conventional Western thought. Regardless of the contender mix in a race a 45 second 2nd call earns a 58.66 f-p-s rating or a 95 EPR number. Only through some man-made application of variant or other adjustment can these figures be altered. In Western thought, man-made is not a pejorative. It is considered a tribute to cognizance, logic and man's triumph over nature. In Eastern thought, "Man-Made" is considered a trivial interference with holism, with cosmic reality. The future is not a projection of the present or past but a part of the whole which is seen as reflective of neither past nor present.

In our advanced programs there are no static numbers. The horses entered create an Energy field and all subsequent numbers and readouts are derived as a result of the matchup within that field. As an example, a horse's 3rd fraction numerical rating will be one figure in a certain matchup, but will be different when one of the entrants is scratched. This is what happens in reality. Horses run differently according to the horses



they run against. Those of you who seek fixed numbers and numbers derived from a formula that you can "do by hand," should, along with those seeking fixed rules, stick with our basic programs. You should also stick to trying to predict future events (races) that are by mainstream standards, "Formful." Since the future event (the next race) has not yet happened, at least by human cognition, the more complex ones most often cannot be predicted by fixed numbers or concepts. Our unique concept: the dream race, likewise, has not happened. It probably will never happen because it is a virtually impossible composite of what could happen holistically.

Therefore, by Eastern standards it is far more logical to predict the future from something unknown than from that which is known. The typical Western mind will find it virtually impossible to accept this train of thought. In the West we are taught that reason conquers all. Man's reason is an extension of his ego. Or perhaps his ego is an extension of his reason. Anyway, they are inseparably meshed and one cannot be distinguished from the other. Reducing the principle to a mathematical formula:

In Western thought, multiples of knowns add up to a known.

In Eastern thought, multiples of unknowns add up to a known.

All handicapping systems and methods reflecting Western thought are based on the principle that the more one knows from past happenings, (we call it information) the more chance for accurate prediction. Further, the more one can use cognition to tamper with or augment that information, (adjustments) the more accurate the prediction.

Because of this kind of reasoning all of our own linear handicapping tools have been pirated unmercifully. On the bright side, this kind of reasoning has left our more advanced, non-linear concepts, relatively unscathed by the pirates.

Since handicapping success is always based not on public awareness of a procedure but on the paucity of public knowledge, where do you think the future lies?

I have heard our Methodology called many things (what an opening for an editor comment): "Feet per second method, pace method," etc. In truth it is a Match-Up Methodology. Jim "The Hat" Bradshaw took my initial writings on the Match-Up phenomenon and developed the concept far beyond the horizons of conventional Western thought.

Despite the Cowboy hat and boots, Jim is innately Cherokee. Raised by the mystical and philosophical values of the American Indian. (Don't ever call a real Injun a "Native American.") As such his thought processes are more in tune with Eastern Culture than Western. Back when the colonists started coming to the New World, the Indians had some very bad immigration laws and because of that many were assimilated, those we didn't slaughter, by the white man. Less so were the Cherokee, the most philosophically advanced and highly educated of all the tribes.

Bradshaw sees a horse race and its result as stemming from a unique inter-personal Match-Up between the behavioral characteristics of the

individual contenders in a race. While, like any Western thinker, he can see what each horse did against a different and known matchup, he seeks an unknown to predict how each animal will do against today's unknown: the outcome of the next race.

When I first described the Dream Race concept to him at a 1983 seminar, Jim became ecstatic. To him this was the solution: formulae that, from known quantities, produced an impossible unknown to be used to predict another unknown. As a result Jim is one of the most spectacularly successful handicappers in history. His win percentage with only one horse is higher than many excellent clients wagering on two.

Sam Wada shares Bradshaw's philosophical mysticism. His own cultural inheritance gives him the dimension to see beyond the realm of Western cognition. To both it is quite logical to use the unknown to predict the unknown. To those of you who cannot accept such logic, the DC/Par concept is highly productive when applied through Western logic the way Bruce Jorgenson has done. I will not try to impose upon anyone a philosophical doctrine that defies their own sense of reason. In this Methodology there must be something for everyone.

This does not mean that we will not incorporate Eastern thought and Non-Linear formulae in our advanced programs. We will merely hide them from view and make their output seem linear. In the new physics of chaos, which has captured both my imagination and scientific fancy, Western scientists are merging their perception of the universe with long standing Eastern concepts wherein all things in the universe are of the whole as opposed to being distinct and separate entities making up the whole.

In psychology Carl Jung broke away from Freudian Reductionism, topography and compartmentalization to embrace Eastern and Gnostic thinking. His writings were a precursor of the holistic principles which are beginning slowly to have a great influence on medical thinking and healing.

There is a revolution going on out there. It's not just in politics or handicapping, it's a revolution in all phases of thought, philosophy, and science. A new paradigm is arising. And to re-quote chaos physicist and author James Gleik (from FU #33) "A New paradigm arises when the old has reached a dead end."

Far sighted handicapping experts, like Mark Cramer, who has been mistakenly identified with the mainstream because of his passive association with some mainstream experts, is truly a leader and, as yet unsung hero of the handicapping revolution. Maybe he's like Nathan Hale, who was in the British camp spying for General Washington. In the latest issue of The Cramer Olmstead Report, Mark has this to say:

If I have to trust anybody else's numbers, it would be someone who understands that one number doesn't have one fixed meaning.

From *Pace Makes the Race*, written by the Sartin guys, the Doc himself addresses this issue. Two of the myths he confronts are:

**Myth:** There is such a thing as a Universal Par Time chart.

**Reality:** Not even in heaven.

**Myth:** Keeping daily variants is an absolute necessity.

**Reality:** About 20% of daily variations are caused by weather and vagaries of loam and tide. The other 80% is attributable to the inter-related energies and chemistry of the horses in today's matchup."


In the education profession, there is a big move to get rid of the so-called objective tests, which were considered objective simply because they were numerical. Like Sartin and Pine, the folks who have a true love for the numerical are aware that, embedded in a number, are a profusion of overtones and meanings.

As for par times, a few successful bettors I've observed simply will not bet a horse who has not been able to run to par. Successful players with the opposite posture argue that, par or no par, there is still a fastest horse in the race, and if this can be projected, the pars are not necessary. This seems like a good issue on which to solicit some reader response. Are both these opposite logics valid? What value is par for the horse? --Mark Cramer

Cramer writes for *WIN* magazine and co-edits the excellent *C & O Report*. Because both of these periodicals are designed essentially for an eclectic approach rather than for a specific manifesto, his words are often tempered by pragmatism. But turn him loose on his own and Mark is as dynamic a champion of a handicapping revolution as any writer in the history of handicapping literature.



A N D



## With the Doc

---

As a result of my Psychology of Winning column last issue, I received several queries about my reference to endorphins and neuro-peptides. One writer said that he thought endorphins were always a positive influence: built-in analgesics and/or mood elevators. Kind of like a systemic narcotic on which to get high. He's correct, to a degree.

I used the term in discussing how persons whose response to unfamiliar vocabulary and seemingly complex subject matter, is to release endorphins that cause the brain to relax its cognitive capability and, as under the influence of certain morphic drugs, just not give a damn. In this way endorphins release eased pain and diminishes stress.

Endorphins and neuro-peptides are the body's natural pain killers and healers; drugs released into the system by command from the brain just as powerful as any prescription medicine. Yet, like other drugs they can dull the senses and distort reality. This is a subject I've never seen discussed before as it relates to handicapping failure or success. The psychiatric fraternity has done some clinical studies on the effects of Serotonin and other biological substrate levels in compulsive or pathological gamblers, but not on endorphins or neuro-peptides.

Virtually all the other questions crossing my desk over the past two months dealt with my article on Chaos and with DC/Pars. On the Chaos question many readers were confused by the comments of Editor Schmidt's Physicist friend who led Dick to write:

"Those of you who think that the whole concept of 'Modeling Chaos' is nonsense and, by definition, impossible are correct."

It would appear that controversies within the community of Physicists have been carried over into *The Follow Up*. All of my reading on the subject of Chaos has to do with scientific attempts to model chaos; and when it can be done, all the authors say, "a new scientific paradigm will arise completely erasing the old."

This new paradigm will go far beyond the range of physics alone. It is already become a part of the psychotherapeutic discipline. Dr. Vernon Woolf has produced a book called *Holodynamics* which outlines a whole new approach to psychotherapy based on the holistic concepts of the new science of chaos. Jungian psychotherapist Dr. June Singer, has just produced a work called *Seeing Through the Visible World*, subtitled, *Jung, Gnosis and Chaos*. Here is a brief excerpt:


The more difficult way is to face the reality of chaos and to ask of disorder in nature the same question that Jung asked concerning the minds of disturbed people: Can some kind of order be hidden deep within chaotic systems? James Gleick writes in the prologue to his book *Chaos* (1987), "Where chaos begins, classical science stops. For as long as the world has had physicists inquiring into the laws of nature, it has suffered a special ignorance about disorder in the atmosphere, in the turbulent sea, in the fluctuations of wildlife populations, in the oscillations of the heart and brain. The irregular side of nature, the discontinuous and erratic side—these have been puzzles to science, or worse, monstrosities" (p. 3).

I was excited when I read those words. They suggested to me that as contemporary scientists probe ever more deeply into the abyss of chaos, they might discover a common ground between the physical sciences and the human sciences.

She goes on to illustrate how researchers in all fields of human endeavor from the scientific to the philosophical, to the arts, are seeking new paradigms to bring order and to model what is now perceived as Chaos. Should predicting the outcome of a horse race, certainly a chaotic event, by construed as less worthy than predicting the weather or socio-economic trends? I say no.

The chaos physicists, together with Doctors Woolf and Singer, deal with the concept and reality of holism. They view all things as being of the whole, the cosmos, not as individual components within a system as do the Newtonians. This holism is in keeping with ancient Hindu tradition as enunciated by Dr. Depak Chopra, along with Einstein and his quantum mechanics disciples.

In this light our acknowledged unique approach to successful handicapping takes on added significance. Against an often angry sea of protesters I have always maintained that information alone, no matter how brilliantly conceived or inspired, is not the answer to consistent, prolonged handicapping success. In a yin yang circle,



information is but one half, inspiration is the other half. My use of the term inspiration incorporates the intuitive, the spiritual and the visceral elements essential to success. In the philosophy of ancient Mandarin China, the yin yang symbolized the complementary forces of the universe. In the Safeway logo, I suppose it means that you can purchase everything edible under one roof.

It took physics over 5,000 years to catch up and incorporate Asian concepts into a contemporary paradigm.

My thesis has always been that if one's spiritual yin is not in harmony with the informational yang, no Methodology, no computer program, simple or complex, can open the door to consistent success. For a while, perhaps, but not for long.

\* \* \* \* \*

The questions I've received regarding DC/Pars were inspired by the brilliant reports from Sam Wada and Bruce Jorgenson as written in *The Follow Up* and presented at the Seattle and Las Vegas Seminars. Other clients have also contributed to the research and development of the DC/Pars concept, as I reported last issue. Most notable among these is David Sabo who has DC/Par records of every Southern California race run over the past several months. He uses a program called Reflex, a great data base program, a copy of which he sent me as a gift. David has discovered some amazing corollaries in DC/Pars and is preparing a written report for a future *Follow Up*.

Ironically, I began research into the genesis of what we've been calling DC/Pars about eight years ago, long before I had heard or read about the fascinating subject of chaos. I did know a little about entropy as it applied to the second law of thermodynamics. That law deals with unavailable energy and dissipation of energy and refutes the old idea that an energy force can be self sustained or prolonged, but requires periodic new input. As I discussed last issue, Newtonian theory says that a perpetual motion machine is a possibility. The second law of thermodynamics, quantum physics, says it is not because of entropy.

What is entropy in horseracing. I'll risk the wrath of Schmidt's physicist friend and say, that as far as we're concerned it is:

#### DECELERATION

Generations of handicapping authors have dealt with the reverse of deceleration, call it velocity, speed, or whatever. No one has delved into ratios of deceleration relative to velocity. Why haven't they? Well, to quote Mark Cramer's observation:

"Like all great discoveries, this one makes you ask, why wasn't it discovered years ago? Great discoveries usually have struggled through complexity in order to uncover simplicity. This one is no exception."

Now, in collaboration with the computer programming skills of Jim Bradshaw, comes the metamorphosis of DC/Pars into a new program called Entropy.

We have altered the old DC/Pars terminology to fit the new paradigm. Here is a look at the new format using an old race from Remington Park. It

is one of "The Hat's" all time favorites because the winner paid over one hundred dollars.

The Entry screen:

#	NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
1	GRAIN	6.0	21.3	44.2	109.4	0.15	0.15	0.00	0.00
2	QUACK	6.0	21.4	44.3	109.3	2.50	2.00	2.00	0.75
3	SMOKY	6.5	21.1	43.2	115.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.15
4	NORM	6.5	21.2	43.3	115.1	2.50	1.50	0.00	0.00
5	YARD	6.0	21.4	44.4	110.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

The other readouts:

DEC / PARS  
\*\*\*\*\*

Race: quack. Dist. = 6.0 FURLONGS Comments: MANUAL ADJ

Name	Total	2ndCall	Par Med	Name	Total	Median	Dte
SMOKY	57.86	60.74	0.852	SMOKY	57.77	70.13	0.988
GRAIN	56.99	60.14	0.876	NORM	57.76	69.73	0.988
YARD	56.50	60.08	0.877	QUACK	57.70	68.91	0.986
NORM	57.77	60.27	0.870	GRAIN	57.69	69.46	0.986
QUACK	57.02	59.51	0.902	YARD	57.65	69.38	0.986

DEC / PARS  
\*\*\*\*\*

DREAM RACE DECELERATION PARS

Name	Ep/p	He/p	Td/p	Pm/p
Face	0.959	0.900	0.863	0.884
SMOKY	0.996	0.969	0.965	0.965
GRAIN	0.989	0.999	0.988	0.992
YARD	0.989	1.000	0.990	0.993
NORM	1.016	0.977	0.993	0.985
QUACK	1.006	1.017	1.023	1.021

DREAM RACE VELOCITY PARS

Name	F 1	F 2	F 3	S C
SMOKY	1.000	0.996	0.965	1.000
GRAIN	0.994	0.983	0.982	0.990
YARD	0.992	0.982	0.982	0.989
NORM	0.984	1.000	0.977	0.992
QUACK	0.977	0.984	1.000	0.980

# ΣNTROPY



A sneak preview of the new composite screen:

Name:	EP/DCV	P/DCV	HE/DCV	TD/DCV	PM/DCV	Result
QUACK	98.99	97.98	100.61	100.79	100.74	W
SMOKY	99.73	98.78	98.30	98.03	98.03	
GRAIN	98.47	97.63	99.21	98.93	99.03	P
NORM	100.42	99.25	99.96	99.46	99.11	S
YARD	98.18	97.33	98.96	98.78	98.77	

The above screen is a composite of each contender's Velocity/Deceleration (DC/V) relationship to the dream race. Of course there will always be those who will enter a par time race in lieu of the dream race. We wish them well. The beauty of the intricate formulae that incorporates deceleration ratios with velocity is that they are less subject to time variations reflected through the chaos of strange attractors (variants, etc.). In short, Entropy is more consistent in horse racing than acceleration, energy, velocity, speed or whatever you wish to call it.

Shane and I, along with numerous other testers, have put several hundred races through this program. In all cases we used the dream race as a paradigm, never par times. The results produced a very strange phenomenon. The horse with a composite line score that was second best won a significant percentage of the races. The horse with the best composite line score showed inordinately often. The place horse was in the top 3 a truly amazing number of times but most often it ranked third! In this race you'll note that's how the win, place and show horses order of finish were ranked on a composite line score: (low is best) Norm was a 1-1-2-2-2 = 8. Quack: 3-3-1-1-1 = 9. Grain: 4-4-3-3-3 = 17. Smoky: 2-2-5-5-5 = 19 Yard: 5-5-4-4-4 = 22.

Until we've tested at least 1,000 races from a representative assortment of North American tracks, we'll avoid giving you any specific statistics on the effectiveness of this program. We will also need to have a large number of clients using various insights to help us in the test. The fact that a select few of us with highly developed skills can produce phenomenal results is of little consequence. A program is no better than its user. A fact ignored by all too many of our contemporaries when boosting their wares.



TOM DOWSE, a FIRCO member and Thoromation user, is an Investment Manage living in Napa, Ca. I am flattered that he was sufficiently interested in my FU #33 Chaos article to respond with his own insights.

## The Ordered Dynamics of Chaos

*Does God Play Dice? The Mathematics of Chaos* by Ian Stewart  
Basil Blackwell Publications,  
\$10.95, paperback

*Revised by Tom Dowse*

**H**ow come our favorite technical indicators periodically do not work? Could it have something to do with what is called "chaos"? The subject of chaos as it is evolving in mathematics is discussed for the lay reader by Ian Stewart, who is a Professor of Mathematics at the University of Warwick in England. Chaos has relevance to market analysis because the

stock market is a creation of humans which are part of the natural order of things.

Chaos is defined by Warwick as: stochastic behavior occurring in a deterministic system. The deterministic system is ruled by exact and unbreakable natural laws. Stochastic behavior is the opposite: lawless and irregular, governed by chance. So chaos is "lawless behavior governed entirely by law". If the stock market is a deterministic system, then there are probably lawless and irregular sub-components operating within it that sabotage simple projections of the past into the future.

The most interesting research discussed in the book is the so-called Taylor-Couette cylinder experiments.


In these experiments, the space between two cylinders is filled with fluid and the inner cylinder is rotated while the outer cylinder remains fixed (see figure 1). As the inner cylinder is rotated, the fluid goes round and round with the cylinder, fast in the middle and slow on the outside. As the rotation of the inner cylinder is speeded up, the fluid stops going round and round smoothly and breaks up into pairs of flows or vortices at the center of a whirlpool. There is, in effect, a second periodic motion created to go with the first. The pattern of the second flow changes but it is still regular, although different from the first. The variety of pattern formation effects are apparently enormous. The vortices can become wavy. The waves can go up and down. There are twisted vortices and braided vortices. There

are spiral patterns like a barber pole, wavy spirals, modulated wavy spirals and interpenetrating spirals. At high speeds the system becomes turbulent. Turbulence is defined as "wild or disorderly, full of violent motion". Does this sound like the evolution of a complete stock cycle from the regular movements during the base-building and markup phases to the increasing disorder of the late-topping and early-markdown phases?

Mathematicians are now looking for patterns within these turbulent or advanced stages of the Taylor-Couette system. In other words, they are looking for patterned disorder. Apparently, a true description of chaos is "deterministic chaos", that is, hidden structure in apparently random data. The theory does not imply that everything that looks chaotic must have a hidden explanation, only those things that have a deterministic cause.

The author concludes by saying that the movements of commodity prices, stocks and other aspects of the world economy provide a fascinating area for future work on the application of chaos. Technical analysts already use mathematical methods. Maybe chaos can offer a few improvements.

I have donated this book to the TSAA library and it is available for circulation.



Apparatus for the Taylor-Couette experiment (schematic). The space between two cylinders is filled with fluid, and the cylinders are rotated. The gap between the cylinders is here exaggerated for clarity: It is usually 10-20 per cent of the radius of the outer cylinder.

STOP WINNING RACES AND LOSING MONEY

by

Randall Curtis

This article is intended for the handicapper who is fed up with winning races and losing money. I figure I qualify because I won races and lost money for years, but I completely stopped doing this since March of this year. It's made a world of difference, because I have been in the black ever since. Right now, I'm still making peanuts, but my bankroll is increasing 9.35% per week, and by August, I won't be making peanuts anymore. In short, I have come into my own, and because this transition is new with me, I thought I would share it with you now so you might benefit and do the same.

Obviously, you already know how to win races, but do you win the money? If this sounds cryptic, let me explain. When you focus too much on getting the winner due to an emotional need to win you lose the overall picture of the race. In other words, your emotional need distorts your awareness and keeps you from being calm and wagering to your full advantage. When you are too emotionally involved in a race, you are looking too much to winning, and this can be your downfall. I affirm that losing handicappers are too attached to the outcome of a race to evaluate it properly. So, the secret is to become detached. How do you become detached? Let me tell you what I discovered:

First Discovery

I first discovered the cold reality that I was never going to make any money if I didn't change something! I began a serious search to determine what my true obstructions were. I sat down and put them on paper. I saw that one primary hindrance was that I made promises to myself then managed to break them. The interesting thing is that I discovered that I forgot I had even made the promise to myself! I saw that because of this self-deception I always came back to the same point and condition of winning races, losing money, and re-deciding not to bet that way again. I also saw that I unconsciously lied to myself about how I was betting, e.g. a little bet here and there really didn't matter that much. I saw that nothing was changing. So I decided to embrace the truth. I wanted to know for sure how I was deceiving myself. It hurt to lose, but I saw I was hurting myself even more by not becoming totally real and honest about how I was going about losing money. I was still self-destructing. So I wrote my promises down on paper and told myself that I would honor and keep them. This way they would always remain clear in my mind and I could not deceive myself. The promises I made were there before me in the form of a written agreement. The major promise I made that turned me around for good was go to the track, wager the way Dick Schmidt said, bet my selections and leave. I gave up all interest in the horse

itself, and went solely for the profit. I set up a spartan approach of going to the races. I was tired of the emotional yo-yo of getting high and low after winning and losing and never showing a growing profit. I took on a very **detached** attitude toward winning races. My success as a "winner" was not my focus. Only a profit mattered. I was determined to make a 10% growth of current bank per week. If I had any doubt that I would not make a profit, I would not play. I became detached from the desire to "play." My only motive was to make a profit and forgot about the emotional involvement in trying to be a winner. I took on the attitude that the only real winner is the one who makes a profit. All else are liars. I saw that if I could do this, I would be a true winner. The profit would be the proof. You have to understand that this was a very matter of fact decision and exercise. There was no great intelligence required. It was like agreeing to do pushups! Of course, I had to get the winning horse to make a profit, but I couldn't just get the winner. I had to **use** my wins creatively with the place and show horses. What I ended up doing was going to the track on Saturday and Sunday, making my wagers **before** the races and not even staying to watch. (Of course I could not take advantage of the odd changes just before the race, but remember, this was an exercise in detachment.) This way, I saved a lot of time that would have been wasted at the track. After all, my only reason for being there was to make a profit. So I made my investments and left. I watched them later that night on TV and studied how I did. This attitude of detachment about the horserace itself enabled me to use better judgment and notice the finer aspects of decision making. For example, after a few days with my past performance track profile, I saw that the Golden Gate winners from most all distances were coming from my top 2 early pace rankings (EPR) and no sprinter had won with less than a 78 FFR. The betting was clear. Almost every time, one of the top 2 early horses won. This information became deadly -- a guarantee of a new profit I fully embraced. I have been in the black ever since making these changes in my approach to the game. This brings us to my next discovery.

### Second Discovery

I also discovered that my average mutuel was just too low -- around \$6.00. Then I saw where Dr. Sartin describes in the Bay Area Seminar Manual how we can increase our average mutuel by going back to more predictive pacelines. I saw how important it was to fully understand his principle of **condition cycle** vs. **behavior cycle**. I did what he said to do and discovered the real meaning of the Xs and Os. I went back to them and my average mutuel shot up immediately. My pacelines are now producing an average mutuel of \$10.80. What a difference!

How often have we heard the successful PIRCO members say that it is all in the attitude? That used to annoy me, because I felt that I had a good attitude, but still couldn't get the money. Then I discovered that they weren't really talking about

a "positive" attitude. I saw that it was much more than that. The attitude they are really talking about is how you approach the game. It is simply a very determined attitude to do all the work with great diligence until your real flaws pop to the surface like splinters through your heart. The shock of the real truth may hurt, but it will also set you free. It is this attitude of diligence that will finally reveal to you what is really wrong. This knowledge will bring you true self respect and free you from your unconscious limitations. It really works. Why not take this cure once and for all? To delay, is to postpone your own happiness. It is very close. Right there, within your grasp.

A great handicapper is a person who seeks the truth. Self-deception is intolerable to him because he knows it will cost him in the end. So you must embrace the truth of what your real attitudes are (not those you imagine) and discover how to correct them. You can do it. It took me six years, but I finally did it. At last, all the suffering has come to an end.

# Losing Through Improper Interpretation of E.S.P.

by Doc Sartin

Since we introduced the concept of trip handicapping via the *Racing Form*, the track profile and determining early-late bias via E.S.P. (Early-Sustained-Presser) all too many clients are misusing these tools.

While the 1992 Spring-Summer Hollywood Park meet will be my case in point, the same principles apply to any track. Clients investing at Pimlico and Belmont, to cite but two examples, are also suffering the identical misconceptions.

One of our earliest dissertations on this subject came a number of years ago after Swale won the Belmont wire-to-wire, yet was designated as a Sustained Pace horse. Many clients, some of them quite astute handicappers, still confuse position calls with Early or Sustained E.S.P. My son, Shane even suffers at times from such error. Recently several clients have come to me reporting a bevy of losses because they perceived that Hollywood Park had "turned" from favoring Sustained Pace horses to favoring Early. A number of others wrote of similar problems encountered at Pimlico and Belmont among other tracks.

I took results from these tracks to demonstrate their erroneous thinking. For this article, let's just look at one day's results from Hollywood Park. First, the result charts by distance:

## 5.5 Furlongs:

<b>1383</b> —FOURTH RACE, 5½ Furlongs, Purse \$17,000, Maiden Claiming, Fillies, 2-year-olds, Claiming price \$2,000-28,000										
Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	¼	½	¾	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1272	Jamie Nicole, E Delahoussaye	117	8	3	31½	32½	-	35	1¾	90
1170	Majestic Guess, L Pincay Jr	117	3	2	21	21	-	2½	2¼	12 20
---	Stalwart Princess, D Sorenson	117	2	1	15	14	-	12½	3½	4 50
---	Me And Myun, D Flores	117	7	8	7½	5hd	-	4	45	5 30
---	Suspicious Lover, G Stevens	117	1	9	9½	8½	-	62½	5½	40 30
---	Sidepocketsue, A Solis	117	10	5	4½	44	-	51	6¼	24 60
---	Becky Who, H Torres	115	9	6	84	92½	-	83	71	75 30
1170	Caritaba, C Nakatani	117	4	7	53½	63½	-	72	82½	12 50
---	Intermel, D Velasquez Jr	112	5	10	10	10	-	10	9¼	77 20
---	Glowing Pleasure, K Desormeaux	117	6	4	61	7½	-	9½	10	10 10
Scratched—Coosa Two, Imperfect Society, Proxy Vote										
10—JAMIE NICOLE				3.80		3.20		2.60		
5—MAJESTIC GUESS				8.00		6.00		4.00		
3—STALWART PRINCESS				4.00						
Off: 2:34 Time: :21.4:46:59.1 1:06 Track: Clear & Fast, Winner-b.1.90 Native Prospector-Fancy Fogarty Tr-Mike Mitchell, Mutuel Pool \$200,686 Exacta Pool \$193,128.										
<b>\$2 EXACTA (10-5) PAID \$34.00</b>										

6 furlongs:

**1384**—FIFTH RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$32,000, Allowance, Fillies & Mares, 3-year-olds and up, Cal-breds.

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	¼	½	¾	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1293	Forest Haven, G Stevens	114	6	1	5 <sup>5</sup>	3 <sup>3</sup>	-	3 <sup>5</sup>	11 <sup>¼</sup>	5.10
1201	DH-Shooting The Moon, McCrm.	118	2	4	2 <sup>2</sup>	2 <sup>1½</sup>	-	2 <sup>1½</sup>	2	.40
(1184)	DH-Pride And Power, A Sofis	118	3	3	1 <sup>1½</sup>	1 <sup>½</sup>	-	1 <sup>½</sup>	22	11.50
(1163)	Nick's Goddess, C Nakatani	113	5	6	6	6	-	4 <sup>1</sup>	44 <sup>1½</sup>	6.20
(1254)	Cozy Cozette, D Flores	118	1	5	4 <sup>1½</sup>	5 <sup>1</sup>	-	8	5 <sup>3</sup>	15.10
5115	Chip Ahead, K Desormeaux	118	4	2	3 <sup>½</sup>	4 <sup>1½</sup>	-	5 <sup>1</sup>	6	15.20

Off: 3:03 Time: :21.3 :45 :57.2 1:10 2/5. Track. Clear & Fast. Winner-dbb 189 Pirate's Bounty-Limber Timber Tr-Herbert Bacon. Mutuel Pool \$190,271 Exacta Pool \$237,095.

\$2 EXACTA (7-3) PAID \$12.40  
\$2 EXACTA (7-4) PAID \$47.00

**1386**—SEVENTH RACE, 6 Furlongs, Purse \$20,000, Claiming, Fillies & Mares, 4-year-olds and up, Claiming price 25,000-22,500

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	¼	½	¾	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1232	Mobile Phone, C Nakatani	118	7	1	1 <sup>2½</sup>	1 <sup>3</sup>	-	1 <sup>4</sup>	1 <sup>8</sup>	3.80
1289	Chalk Box, G Stevens	118	4	3	3 <sup>3</sup>	3 <sup>2½</sup>	-	2 <sup>1½</sup>	22 <sup>½</sup>	12.20
1271	Vive Le Torch, C McCarron	115	8	2	2 <sup>hd</sup>	2 <sup>1½</sup>	-	3 <sup>1½</sup>	33 <sup>½</sup>	8.30
1232	Simple Surprise, A Sofis	118	2	7	5 <sup>hd</sup>	5 <sup>1½</sup>	-	4 <sup>2</sup>	42 <sup>¾</sup>	4.80
2320	Aries' Charm, L Pincay Jr.	117	1	6	4 <sup>hd</sup>	6 <sup>1½</sup>	-	5 <sup>½</sup>	5 <sup>nk</sup>	28.40
1101	Midnight Interlude, D Flores	118	6	4	6 <sup>2</sup>	4 <sup>½</sup>	-	6 <sup>1½</sup>	6 <sup>½</sup>	11.40
1289	Lake Shore (Mex), H Torres	118	3	9	9	7 <sup>1½</sup>	-	7 <sup>1½</sup>	74 <sup>½</sup>	21.80
1293	Devish Tune, K Desormeaux	116	5	5	7 <sup>hd</sup>	8 <sup>hd</sup>	-	8 <sup>½</sup>	8 <sup>1</sup>	2.80
---	Nice To Know You, Delahussy	116	9	8	8 <sup>½</sup>	9	-	9	9	4.20

Off: 4:08 Time: :21.4 :44.4 :56.4 1:09 1/5. Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-b.f.88 Phone Trck-Madam Ask Us Tr-Bill Spawr. Mutuel Pool \$229,968 Exacta Pool \$232,038.

\$2 EXACTA (7-4) PAID \$79.60

6.5 Furlongs:

**1381**—SECOND RACE, 6½ Furlongs, Purse \$17,000, Maiden Claiming, 3-year-olds and up, Cal-breds, Claiming price 32,000-28,000

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	¼	½	¾	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1226	My Private Prayers, C Lopez Jr.	112	2	3	1 <sup>hd</sup>	1 <sup>1</sup>	-	1 <sup>hd</sup>	1 <sup>1½</sup>	10.50
---	Courageous Hypa, M Pedroza	117	3	5	3 <sup>2</sup>	2 <sup>1½</sup>	-	2 <sup>3½</sup>	2 <sup>3</sup>	6.60
---	Faceto, A Lopez	117	8	2	4 <sup>hd</sup>	3 <sup>1</sup>	-	3 <sup>1½</sup>	3 <sup>1</sup>	50.50
1213	Shamana's King, E Delahoussaye	117	6	10	8 <sup>½</sup>	4 <sup>½</sup>	-	4 <sup>hd</sup>	4 <sup>1½</sup>	2.00
1213	Ringstone, C Nakatani	120	10	1	7 <sup>hd</sup>	5 <sup>1½</sup>	-	5 <sup>3½</sup>	5 <sup>4</sup>	5.50
1213	Turf Adler, M Linares	115	9	7	9 <sup>2½</sup>	8 <sup>1½</sup>	-	6 <sup>1</sup>	6 <sup>1½</sup>	62.00
1210	Mark's Mistake, G Stevens	117	5	9	10	9 <sup>3</sup>	-	7 <sup>3½</sup>	74 <sup>½</sup>	1.60
---	Slew Persuasion, D Sorenson	120	4	8	5 <sup>1½</sup>	7 <sup>hd</sup>	-	9 <sup>7</sup>	8 <sup>hd</sup>	58.80
---	Night Spy, H Torres	115	1	4	2 <sup>1½</sup>	8 <sup>hd</sup>	-	8 <sup>hd</sup>	9 <sup>15</sup>	22.60
1070	Cause For Fight, D Velasquez Jr.	112	7	6	6 <sup>hd</sup>	10	-	10	10	119.80

No Scratches  
2—MY PRIVATE PRAYERS .....23.00 12.40 7.80  
3—COURAGEOUS HYPA .....8.60 6.00  
8—FACETO .....18.60

Off: 1:32 Time: :21.4 :45.3 1:11 2/5, 1:18 Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-b.g 89 Royal Prayer-Private Season Tr-Kevin Cochrane. Mutuel Pool \$192,165 Exacta Pool \$193,728 Daily Double Pool \$138,139.

\$2 DAILY DOUBLE (1-2) PAID \$109.60  
\$2 EXACTA (2-3) PAID \$142.80

**1388**—NINTH RACE, 6½ Furlongs, Purse \$17,000, Maiden Claiming, 3-year-olds and up, Cal-breds, Claiming price 32,000-28,000

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt.	PP	ST	¼	½	¾	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1241	Ringaroundthefort, Delahussy	120	5	4	2 <sup>½</sup>	1 <sup>½</sup>	-	1 <sup>3</sup>	16 <sup>½</sup>	2.90
1270	Cold N' Cool, K Desormeaux	117	7	3	3 <sup>1</sup>	3 <sup>hd</sup>	-	3 <sup>3½</sup>	2 <sup>¾</sup>	1.40
1265	U.S. Forever, D Flores	115	9	1	1 <sup>½</sup>	2 <sup>1½</sup>	-	2 <sup>1½</sup>	32 <sup>½</sup>	10.20
1268	See Paster Fly, C McCarron	120	8	2	5 <sup>½</sup>	5 <sup>½</sup>	-	4 <sup>1½</sup>	4 <sup>4</sup>	5.10
---	Belle Grande, F Alvarado	117	10	5	8 <sup>½</sup>	4 <sup>2½</sup>	-	5 <sup>hd</sup>	5 <sup>1</sup>	4.90
4343	Wingalong, J Soto	115	1	9	9 <sup>8</sup>	9 <sup>8</sup>	-	7 <sup>2½</sup>	6 <sup>½</sup>	27.90
1210	Maderise, M Linares	117	3	8	4 <sup>1</sup>	6 <sup>1</sup>	-	6 <sup>1½</sup>	7 <sup>3</sup>	30.50
8002	White Plume, C Lopez Jr.	115	4	7	8 <sup>hd</sup>	8 <sup>hd</sup>	-	8 <sup>3</sup>	8 <sup>3½</sup>	101.30
1314	February Flyer, D Sorenson	120	6	6	7 <sup>3</sup>	7 <sup>3</sup>	-	9 <sup>8</sup>	9 <sup>8</sup>	60.60

No Scratches  
8—RINGAROUNDTHEFORT .....7.90 3.80 2.60  
7—COLD N' COOL .....2.80 2.40  
9—U.S. FOREVER .....3.00

Off: 5:08 Time: :22.2 :45.1 1:10 1:18 1/5. Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-b.g 88 Fort Calgarey-Social Ring Tr-Noble Threewitt. Mutuel Pool \$222,872 Daily Double Pool \$115,143 Exacta Pool \$179,417. Trifecta Pool \$320,601 Daily Triple Pool \$177,210.

\$2 DAILY DOUBLE (2-5) PAID \$64.40  
\$2 EXACTA (5-7) PAID \$18.80  
\$2 TRIFECTA (5-7-9) PAID \$122.00  
\$3 DAILY TRIPLE (7-2-5) PAID \$404.10

8.5 Furlongs:

**1380**—FIRST RACE, 1 1/16 Miles. Purse \$22,000. Claiming. Fillies, 3-year-olds. Claiming price 25,000-22,500

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
9342	Miss Ladak, G Stevens	116	1	3	2 1/4	2 1/2	2 3/4	12 1/2	13 1/2	4.50
1209	Perky Wonder, D Flores	115	5	1	3 1/4	3 3/4	3 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	3.60
(1190)	Mema'am, C Nakatani	115	3	2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	3 3/4	3 1/2	1.20
1247	Sand Danco, L Pincay Jr	117	4	4	4 3/4	4 1/2	4 1/2	4 3/4	4 3/4	2.40
8214	Broad Beach, A Solis	115	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	17.30

Scratched — Swoonature  
 Claimed — Miss Ladak-Jarry Hoffendorfer & Kjell Ovale-22,500  
**1—MISS LADAK**..... 11.00 5.00 2.20  
**5—PERKY WONDER**..... 4.40 2.40  
**3—MEMA'AM**..... 2.10

Off: 1:00 Time: :23:46.4 1:11 4/5, 1:38 1:44 3/5. Track: Clear & Fast. Winner-ro.1.89  
 Timeless Moment-Northern Darter Tr-David LaCroix. Mutuel Pool \$120,591 Exacta Pool \$91,246.

**\$2 EXACTA (1-5) PAID \$40.60**

**1382**—THIRD RACE, 1 1/16 Turf Purse \$37,000. Allowance. Fillies & Mares, 4-year-olds and up. Note: stretch start - rail up

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1276	Surprise News, C McCarron	118	3	1	1 1/4	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/4	3.30
(4054)	Southern Truce, C Nakatani	115	1	2	2 1/4	4 1/2	3 1/2	2 3/4	2 3/4	3.80
1180	Rose Of Mull, A Solis	115	2	4	5	5	5	4 1/2	3 1/2	8.10
1180	Rosebitt, E Delahoussaye	116	5	3	3 1/4	2 1/2	2 1/2	3 1/2	4 5/8	3.50
(1227)	Royal Blue Bell, L Pincay Jr	118	4	5	4 1/2	3 1/2	4 1/2	5	5	1.40

Scratched — Shrewd Vixen  
**3—SURPRISE NEWS**..... 8.80 4.20 3.20  
**1—SOUTHERN TRUCE**..... 4.20 3.80  
**2—ROSE OF MULL**..... 4.80

Off: 2:02 Time: :23.2 :47 1:10 3/5, 1:34 2/5, 1:40 4/5. Track: Clear & Firm. Winner-dbb 1.88 Forli-Important Message Tr-David Hofmans Mutuel Pool \$189,769 Exacta Pool \$185,928 Daily Triple Pool \$103,348.

**\$2 EXACTA (3-1) PAID \$30.40**  
**\$3 DAILY TRIPLE (1-2-3) PAID \$897.60**

**1387**—EIGHTH RACE, 1 1/16 Turf Purse \$41,000. Allowance. Fillies & Mares, 4-year-olds and up. Note: stretch start - rail up

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1267	Cara Carissima, A Solis	115	2	1	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	1 1/2	4.70
(1180)	Gumphier, E Delahoussaye	117	6	6	6	6	6	4 1/2	2 3/4	3.30
1267	Fantastic Ways, K Desormeaux	115	3	2	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2	3 1/2	3.00
(1072)	Border Mate (Ira), P Valenzuela	117	5	4	5 1/4	5 1/4	5 1/2	3 1/2	4 1/2	1.60
1174	Nat a Lea, C McCarron	115	1	5	4 1/4	4 1/4	4 1/4	5 1/2	5 1/2	18.00
3548	Ms. Aerosmith, L Pincay Jr	117	4	3	3 1/2	3 1/2	3 1/2	6	6	9.50

No Scratches  
**2—CARA CARISSIMA**..... 11.40 5.40 2.80  
**6—GUMPHIER**..... 4.80 3.00  
**3—FANTASTIC WAYS**..... 2.60

Off: 4:37 Time: :23.3 :47.1 1:10 3/5, 1:34 1/5, 1:40 2/5. Track: Clear & Firm. Winner-b.1.88 Caro-Reine Imperiale Tr-D Wayne Lukas. Mutuel Pool \$269,750 Exacta Pool \$253,700.

**\$2 EXACTA (2-6) PAID \$71.00**

9 Furlongs:

**1385**—SIXTH RACE, 1 1/4 Miles. Turf Purse \$30,000. Maiden. 3-year-olds and up. Note: stretch start - rail up

Index	Horse and Jockey	Wt	PP	ST	1/4	1/2	3/4	Str.	Fin.	To \$1
1151	Ocean Dawn, K Desormeaux	116	2	7	4 1/4	5 1/2	4 1/2	1 3/4	1 4	4.10
9837	Caro's Whisper, G Stevens	116	1	8	10	9 1/2	9 1/2	4 1/4	2 1/2	3.20
1086	Swiss Mirage, D Sorenson	116	5	9	8 1/4	6 1/2	6 1/2	2 1/2	3 1/2	37.90
1086	Marlamatic, D Flores	116	7	2	3 1/4	4 1/2	5 1/2	3 1/4	4 1/2	4.10
1243	Can I Be Slow, P Atkinson	116	9	5	7 1/2	8 1/2	7 1/2	5 1/2	5 12	30.90
1235	Bekweed Fantasy, C McCarron	116	3	6	9 1/2	9 1/2	8 1/2	8 1/2	6 1/4	27.80
1151	Annurges, A Solis	116	10	10	5 1/4	3 1/2	3 1/2	7 1/2	7 4	37.10
1093	Noble Honor, C Nakatani	116	6	1	1 1/2	1 1/2	2 1/2	6 1/2	8 1/2	76.50
1270	Majestic Twoleaven, J Solo	117	8	3	8 1/2	7 1/2	10	9	9	50.20
9637	Bachal, Q Alkaid	116	4	4	2 1/4	2 1/4	1 1/4	Lost	ridw	1.40

**2—OCEAN DAWN**..... 10.20 8.80 4.40  
**1—CARO'S WHISPER**..... 4.40 3.50  
**5—SWISS MIRAGE**..... 10.00

Off: 3:35 Time: :23.2 :47.2 1:11 1/5, 1:35 2/5, 1:47 4/5. Track: Clear & Firm. Winner-c.89 Tsunami Slew-Grey Dawn Girl Tr-Edwin Gregson. Mutuel Pool \$205,179 Exacta Pool \$162,064 Trifecta Pool \$237,235. Daily Triple Pool \$137,775.

**\$2 EXACTA (2-1) PAID \$53.00**  
**\$2 TRIFECTA (2-1-5) PAID \$1,011.00**  
**\$3 DAILY TRIPLE (2,4,10-7-2) PAID \$346.50**

Look over these charts. How do you perceive the E.S.P. of each winner? Jamie's victory at 5.5 furlongs is easy, you say. It was behind the pace by 6 lengths at the first call and by 5 at the second. A Sustained Pace victory, possibly even late. Besides most 5 and 5.5 furlong races do run Sustained, right? Yes, they do, but not this one. Look:

NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
JAMI	5.5	21.4	46.0	106.0	6.00	5.00	3.00	0.00
Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	Med	Lex	3rd	ESP Type	
JAMI	54.89	52.61	54.97	69.33	30.67	50.50	Early	

Surprise. Most 5.5 furlong races, 90% in fact, are won by Sustained Pace. Jamie is an Early horse. It only exerted 30.67% of its Energy in the 3rd fraction. Its Median Energy was 69.33%. It ran an average/slow 3rd fraction of 19 seconds. 18 is fairly fast. A 19 earns a Phase I FFR rating of only 83. Its 46 2nd call, beaten 5 lengths, rates an 85. A slow race, yes, but not a win by a Sustained or Late pace horse. Jamie was Early.

Let's go to the next distance, 6 furlongs and look at the 5th race won by Forest. Came from off the pace, right. Behind 2 lengths at the 2nd call. Slow times. Certainly not an Early horse. Look:

NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
FORST	6.0	21.3	45.0	110.2	4.50	2.00	2.00	0.00
MOBIL	6.0	21.4	44.4	109.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

#### RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	Med	Lex	3rd	ESP Type
FORST	56.47	54.56	56.28	68.87	31.13	52.28	E/P Presser
MOBIL	57.35	55.74	57.32	68.82	31.18	54.10	S/P Presser

Forest is an Early Presser. If you said non-early, you were half correct. Next, the other 6 furlong race, #7, won by Mobile, wire-to-wire, surely an Early horse.

Nope, Mobile is a Sustained Pace horse. Look at the 54.10 f.p.s. 3rd fraction. 24.2 seconds. That would earn a Phase I Rating of 88. Average is 25 second or a rating of 85. 3 points is a lot in Phase I. Mobile is definitely a Sustained Pace horse.



Now for 6.5 furlongs. Two races, the 2nd and the 9th. Wire-to-wire in the 2nd and wired from the 2nd call on in the 9th. Early, you say. Look:

NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
MY P	6.5	21.4	45.3	118.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RING	6.5	22.2	45.1	116.1	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00

### RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	Med	Lex	3rd	ESP Type
MY P	55.65	53.19	55.74	69.17	30.83	50.93	Early
RING	56.68	55.60	56.03	69.01	30.99	53.23	S/P Presser

You would be correct in the case of My Private's maiden claiming victory. Maidens typically win early. Ah but not Ringaround. Behind only a half length at the first call, then 1st the rest of the way. Yet, a Sustained Pace horse. A 31 54.10 f.p.s. final fraction. Fast. And if your figuring it by hand, remember, in our advanced programs, we don't designate the value of all lengths as ten feet.

Okay, how about the routes? 8.5 furlongs. The 1st and 8th races.

NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
MIS L	8.5	46.4	111.4	144.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CARA	8.5	47.1	110.3	140.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	Med	Lex	3rd	ESP Type
MIS L	53.17	51.55	53.36	67.60	32.40	50.30	E/P Presser
CARA	55.90	55.89	55.65	67.81	32.19	55.37	Sustained

Miss L looks sort of Early, doesn't she? Her 3rd fraction was certainly slow for Hollywood: 32:3. Well, that's half right. She's essentially a Presser, but an Early Pace Presser.

Finally 9 furlongs. Just one event today, the 6th race:

NAME	DIST.	1ST CALL	2ND CALL	FIN. CALL	1st B/L	2nd B/L	Stretch B/L	Final B/L
OCEAN	9.0	47.2	111.1	147.4	2.60	2.10	0.00	0.00

### RAW ENERGY FACTORS

Name	Total	Hidden	Fx	Med	Lex	3rd	ESP Type
OCEAN	55.06	54.97	54.82	67.08	32.92	54.39	Sustained

Nobody can mistake the E.S.P. in this one. The Energy yield and the visual match. Ocean is a Sustained Pace horse.

So, on this day when several clients perceived Hollywood Park as having gone from Sustained to Early and consequently lost several races, we had only two races that were designated Early. Both were maiden claimer.

I just refuse to count that one because I've never made any claims for the Methodology's proficiency in maiden races. Maidens most frequently win Early, regardless. All of the other races were won by Presser or Sustained contenders.

Remember, please, what I said long ago about the Early/ Presser, Sustained Presser designations. They should have read: Presser/Early and Presser/Sustained. But that wording sounds clumsy. But the word Presser is the dominant one.

Hollywood Park does not consistently run Early. Regardless of race position, Hollywood Park has, for the past four years, had demanded very consistent 3rd fraction win parameters at all distances. The clients who lost by insisting that ESP is best determined by position call and thereby deem Hollywood as an Early track, are still clinging to the mainstream notion that Early and Late are determined by running line position. They are not.

\* \* \* \* \*

### Editor's addenda

I could grow to hate ESP if it weren't so damn useful. You see, every time we print anything about ESP, I get lots of calls asking: "But I thought you looked at running position . . ." or "But that's not what my EnGen program says . . ." The problem (at least as I see it) is that there are two "schools" of ESP; the Brohamer School and the Sartin School. Since we now have so many readers using Total Pace who have no way of generating the numbers Howard talks about in this article, I thought I'd try to short circuit some of the calls.

Tom Brohamer, who started this whole ESP thing (don't think I've forgotten, Tom. Someday . . .) when he presented early, midpack and late as labels for running style. What Tom is talking about is based on a visual inspection on the horse's past performances, noting where it likes to run. This is entirely visual, or linear-visual if you will. What you see is what you get. In *Pace Makes The Race*, I described it like this:

The running style of a horse is very difficult for a jockey or trainer to change or control. Obviously, a rider can control a horse's speed most of the time, or no one would ever ride a horse. However, horses are animals with herd instincts. A race, from the point of view of a horse, is a herd on the move. We call a horse early if it likes to run at the front of the herd, a sustained horse likes to hang to the back of the bunch. If horses ran one at a time against a clock, none of this would matter. Speed numbers would be king. However, most of the horses in a race are taking their speed cues from the others around them, and the mix of horses is what determines the overall speed of the herd. Early, presser and sustained are all descriptions of horses' herd instincts, and as such are deeply ingrained and not subject to change easily.

Animal behavioralist Desmond Morris, in his book *Horsewatching* explains that herd behavior has much greater significance than might be imagined. When a herd of wild

horses is on the move, the safest place to be is in the center, where predators can't get at the horses safely tucked behind a wall of their fellows. Many young maidens especially are reluctant to leave the safety of the middle of the pack. Running on the lead is a sign of dominance, a position usually held by the stallion of the herd. Horses that trail off the back are fair game to whatever is chasing the herd (in nature, horses rarely run unless they are being chased by something), and few healthy horses like to lose contact with the herd.

This behavior may explain why there are more pressers than any other type of horse, why dedicated front runners will exhaust themselves to get the lead, and why sustained horses are notoriously unreliable. Food for thought, at any rate.

Now, back to handicapping. After labeling each horse, see how many of each type there are and begin to develop a mental picture of the running of the race. For instance, if most of the races at your track are being won by horses that are less than five (5) lengths behind at the second call and are in the front one half of the field, you would look at your E, EP, and P horses for the logical win contenders. This does not mean that the Sustained horses are to be totally ignored. Rather, it means that in order for a Sustained horse to be taken seriously, it must have really superior credentials when compared to the field it is facing. With ESP designations, you are able to take a look at a unique herd of horses and see how each individual horse's participation in the race ultimately effects all the other runners.

In this article, Doc is talking about the much more esoteric concept of Energy distribution, which was a part of the Methodology long before Tom started labeling horses. In Howard's view, how a horse distributes its Energy is far more important than where it runs in a race. A horse on the lead yet uses more than half its Energy in the third fraction of a race, such as Swale cited in the article, is Sustained. ESP is determined by a horse's habitual Energy distribution and this way of thinking is an integral part of ENERGY! and Thoromation.

OK, I know how your little handicapper's minds work. Right this second you're asking: "So which is better?" The answer is, of course, both of them are quite useful and you should pay attention to both. For a period of 35 days this spring at Santa Anita, not one 6 or 6.5 furlong sprint was won by a horse that was further back than 2 lengths at the second call, Energy distribution notwithstanding. On the other hand, Howard is absolutely correct when he says that currently at Hollywood, you need a certain amount of third fraction Energy or you don't win, no matter where the horse usually runs on the track.

Both world views have their points, and both can be extremely useful. If you use Total Pace (Phase I) exclusively, what you want to track is balance and FFR minimums. I find them a fair substitute for Energy. A complete description of Howard's use of balance can be found in his Advanced Concepts chapter in the book.

# Money Management for Place/Show Wagering

by Doc Sartin

I am constantly amazed at the number of clients who still come to me for counseling on how to bet. Certainly Dick Schmidt has explored this subject in *The Follow Up* and in minute detail at seminars. We have also produced *Follow Up* articles from Huey Mahl and Dick Mitchell, both of whom are highly expert in this area. Dan Doran wrote an ongoing series of articles specifically on money management. I have also outlined my own personal wagering plan, which Huey Mahl condensed for us in issue #26. We have also offered computer programs that will perform virtually any kind of task relative to wagering strategy.

So the basics of money management have been well explored right here in *The Follow Up*. However, there is one area where we might add some additional insights, place and show wagering. The so-called sissy bets which so often, for me at least, make the difference between a break even day and a winning day.

I must confess that, except to test it, I've never used our money management computer program. It requires constant entries from the tote board and I'm too busy handicapping the next race to take the time to be a tote watcher. So, I use what bookies have used since the earliest days of racing: a standard extension table.

This version is revised and updated to reflect current reality. It is simple to use and is usually accurate within a range of ten to twenty cents, depending on the relative odds of the first and second favorites. The only time it may let you down is when some "mad plunger" makes a huge show bet on a favorite.

ODDS	\$2M
7-5	4.80
3-2	5.00
8-5	5.20
9-5	5.60
2	6.00
5-2	7.00
3	8.00
7-2	9.00
4	10.00
9-2	11.00
5	12.00
6	14.00
7	16.00
8	18.00
9	20.00
10	22.00
11	24.00
12	26.00
13	28.00
14	30.00
15	32.00
16	34.00
17	36.00

A lot of us, me included, sometimes have difficulty in correlating odds with the payoff per \$2 wager. So here is a little chart that begins with 7-5, the lowest place or show price anyone should ever consider. It goes on up to 17 to 1. After that no one should have any problem doubling the amount and adding \$2 for a total return. On the reverse side of this page are your expected place and show odds based on the various levels of win odds. It's really quite a simple and amazingly accurate way to figure your potential place/show payoffs.



# Sartin Methodology, Inc.

## Wagering Strategy

### PROBABLE PLACE-SHOW ODDS BASED ON WIN ODDS

WIN	PLACE	SHOW
1.2		
3.5		
4.5		
1.1		
6.5		
3.2		
9.5		
2.1		
5.2		
3.1		
7.2	7.5	
4.1	8.5	
9.2	9.5	
5.1	2.1	
6.1	5.2	
8.1	3.1	3.2
10.1	4.1	2.1
12.1	5.1	5.2
15.1	6.1	3.1
20.1	8.1	4.1
30.1	12.1	6.1
40.1	16.1	8.1
50.1	20.1	10.1
60.1	25.1	12.1
80.1	30.1	15.1
100.1	40.1	20.1

# The Psychology of Winning

Howard G. Sartin, Ph.D.

The venerable turf writer Kelso Sturgeon provides the inspiration for this month's column. Kelso has been writing snappy articles on thoroughbred racing and handicapping for longer than many of us can remember. As a part of my initial handicapping education when I did my first studies in 1975 I sent for a bevy of back issues of *American Turf Monthly*. Sturgeon was a featured writer. So, he must have started very young or he is even older than I am. He is now a feature writer for *Racing Action*.

His writing over the years has always been stimulating, often quite informative and providing valuable handicapping tools. Of late he has turned into something of muck raking curmudgeon of the Ambrose Bierce school of journalism. He often steps on the toes of both the horse racing and the handicapping industry. This shows courage on his part and even when he errs it is good for racing. Kelso has become so controversial that his *Racing Action* editors always place a caveat at the end of his contributions: "The views expressed are not necessarily the views of *Racing Action*. We welcome opposing viewpoints."

I've had some occasion to oppose some of Sturgeon's viewpoints but that's what makes his writing admirable. He stimulates thought through controversy. So I never had any real motivation to express an opposing viewpoint. Until the May 28-June 3 edition of *Racing Action*. Here Sturgeon let his flamboyant writing style exceed his field of expertise. He presumed to do a commentary on the psychological aspects of winning and losing. Unlike his writings on horse racing and handicapping where he is stimulating and controversial, his approach to the psychology of "horseplayers" was erroneous, banal, demonstrably ignorant of proven psychological reality and seriously detrimental to the mental health of the very people his writing is supposed to serve. Like Andy Beyer going on a network television (48 Hours) to prove that professional "horseplayers" are not pathological gamblers and then proceeding to lose all 9 races on the day's card. My self-righteous "abstinence" peers in the psychiatric community are still having a field day with that fiasco.

So I wrote a letter to *Racing Action* for the right to reprint Sturgeon's column. Here was the reply from Kelso himself.

## Racing Action

18 Industrial Park Drive, Port Washington, NY 11050

June 17, 1992


Mr. Howard Sartin  
Pirco  
1390 E. 6th Street #5  
Beaumont, CA 92223

Dear Mr. Sartin:

Jack Cohen forwarded to me your letter of May 28 asking for permission to reprint my "Backstretch" column from the issue of *Racing Action* dated May 28-June 3.

Permission granted. Please make certain that both I and *Racing Action* receive credit anytime you reproduce it.

Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely,  
  
Kelso Sturgeon

## Backstretch

## Beware of Scamsters Pitching Advice

□ By Kelso Sturgeon

**F**rom this day forth, the following statement should be printed in italics above this column: *Never take handicapping advice from anyone who is trying to tell you how to become a millionaire while walking around with holes in the bottom of their shoes.* This is my battle cry for 1992 — and for as long as it takes to make a point.

I understand last week's column, which pointed out the average turf writer's racing IQ is a couple of points lower than his shoe size, offended a lot of people — and made more extremely angry. Just call it a \$20 million to \$30 million shot to the jaw, for that is the amount these airheads caused the public to lose on Arazi in the Kentucky Derby.

In 1992, horse racing has become a fertile ground for people on the scam — respected folks with magic formulas for winning millions of dollars at the pari-mutuel windows. All you need to do to get rich is to follow their system, or bet their "numbers," or follow their methodology. Since 99 percent of the people promoting such ventures walk around broke and live from one trip to the post office box to the next, I have become extremely suspicious of them.

It was recently called to my attention that one prominent handicapping authority — a man who publishes his own books and who conducts seminars from coast to coast — opens up each of his gatherings with a touching speech about how to win and avoid the guilt of it.

One of his paid stooges, wearing a Robert Hall suit and a pair of broken eyeglasses, tells people: "You're going to win with us, and we don't want you to feel guilty about it. We know when you win, you feel badly about it, because you're taking other bettors' money. That's just the way the pari-mutuel system works. You win your friend's money, while he loses it."

Give me a break, for heaven's sake. This guy is telling these supersensitive horseplayers to not feel guilty about winning — that it's O.K. Doesn't the speaker realize that these are the same horseplayers who have an almost uncontrollable urge to punch some poor jockey for not beating the holy hell out of some horse which was eased after popping a stifle? Feel bad about winning?

Yet, sitting on the edges of their chairs, and listening intently to every word are a hundred or so diehard racing fans — all of who paid \$300 to attend this two-day seminar. And it goes on day after day, all over the country — slick con men who claim the key to the kingdom.

I know winning, and I know losing and, more important than these, I know horse racing, the horse and the classical approach one must make to handicapping and winning. Yet, I still win and I still lose, which makes me pretty average.

It causes me a great deal of pain and sometimes even rage when I listen to the self-proclaimed experts of this business tell people how it is done. These could be helpful and informative encounters if those who preach that they know how winning happens would not try to lead people to believe that they, and they alone, have finally figured it all out. Think about it: Millions before have tried to find the absolutely perfect handicapping system and failed, but these people have suddenly succeeded. One man among millions? How wonderful.

## RA Truth Squad?

There are so many outrageous things being said and written about horse racing today, I may ask the publisher of *RACING ACTION* to put a "truth squad" on the road to hold racing seminars which simply deal with the facts of handicapping. Yes, I mean that. Horseplayers are being led to financial oblivion by the ignorammuses and scamsters, and someone needs to stop it.

It is easy to be misled by the expert with the loudest voice, or the biggest following, simply because everyone is looking for an easy answer to what winning is all about. I wish it was that simple, but it is not, nor will it ever be.

For a man or woman to be successful handicapping race horses, they need only get to the basics, which are a requirement to understand the race horse and what makes it tick. The successful handicapper comes to grips with a horse's conditioning cycle and knows its high and low points, has at least a workable knowledge of the "conditions" of each race, is able to understand current form and recognizes the impact a trainer and a jockey has on the success or failure of the horse.

As I said last week: *Listen to yourself and trust yourself.* In most cases, your opinion is every bit as good, as valid and as sound, as those who claim to be experts. If you do your basic homework, the chances for a winning day at the races improves drastically. ■

*The views expressed by the author are not necessarily the views of RACING ACTION. We welcome opposing viewpoints.*

Let's go down to the third paragraph that begins with, "It was recently called to my attention . . ." While I am not the only so-called "handicapping authority" who publishes his own books and conducts seminars from coast to coast, I am the only one who opens gatherings with a touching speech on how to win and avoid guilt. So I have to believe I am the intended victim of this diatribe. If I'm not, I'll defend the person who is.

I do not have stooges. (Editor's Note: we try!) I have associates, none of whom owns a Robert Hall suit. In fact none of them even remembers when there were Robert Hall suits. I asked all of our teaching members if they ever wore a pair of broken eyeglasses. They all pleaded innocent. As for talking about guilt as the primary cause for losing I am not innocent. I'm the guy he's berating.

In my 17 years of working therapeutically and educationally with over 1400 persons aspiring to become professional handicappers, my records show that 87% of those who experienced short cycles periods of winning followed by losses that obliterated those winnings, were suffering from a deep sense of guilt about being involved in racing. This was not a casual subjective perception of mine but a hard fact revealed over and over in a therapeutic setting by the subjects themselves.

I dubbed this phenomenon the "Sisyphus Syndrome" after Greek mythology where Sisyphus rolls a rock almost to the top of the mountain only to have it roll back on him just as he reaches the top. Anyone whose wins and losses has a rhythmic consistency, typified by two wins, one loss, three wins two loss, etc. etc., has overcome their guilt produced erraticism. Those who win six of seven one day and then lose fourteen in a row, or experience similar inconsistencies, invariably reveal when they finally enter into Win Therapy, that they are plagued by the kind of guilt that Mr. Sturgeon decries as bunk.

Kelso seems to think (paragraph 6) it incomprehensible that someone feeling guilt would have an uncontrollable urge to punch out some jockey for not whipping the "hell" out of his horse. Doesn't he know that guilt and violence are closely linked?

Our thesis is not so much that we feel guilt about taking other people's money, although Dr. John Bolander's speech on that very subject received a lot of applause and agreement from the audience at our San Francisco Bay area seminar. Perhaps Mr. Sturgeon's informant, the one who "recently called the matter to his attention," has never won any one else's money at the track and would have no reason to feel guilty about it. Perhaps this is true of many of Kelso's associates.

Our prime thesis is that the typical "non-winner" guilt stems not so much from guilt feelings about taking money from "others" via the pari-mutuel system, but from a subconscious guilt complex resulting from those negative injunctions imposed on us from earliest childhood by parents and other authority figures imparting the message that "gambling is evil and all gamblers lose."

I have now conducted over 100 Handicapping-Win Therapy seminars, if you count the Beaumont workshops. In each one I have asked the assembly whether or not they, as children, received and were affected by such injunctions. Never more than one individual per group has said no. Often even that person recants at a later date and recalls such a message. Incidentally while its nice to know that our die-hard racing fan clients were sitting on the edge of their chairs listening intently to my every word, none of my seminars or workshops ever cost the \$300 Sturgeon refers to.

Yes, Mr. Sturgeon, many handicappers do feel guilty about winning. "Gimme a break for heaven's sake," you say in discounting me. The persons I'm describing show



you one face, their macho horseplayer face. My job is to pierce that facade and discover the real cause of handicapping failure. It lies in the fact that these persons, this 87% of the total, have ignored the primary injunction: "gambling is evil." Primary injunctions are usually ignored even though they are absorbed into the subconscious. It's the secondary injunction: "all gamblers lose" that is acted upon. To alleviate their guilt for ignoring the first injunction, those who perceive handicapping as gambling will purposefully lose. Not consciously. But purposeful just the same.

Oh, they will deny it, especially to you. They will debunk the concept even more loudly than you, Kelso. "Psychology crap!" they shout. Yet when they finally tire of losing, those with the courage to change will come to me and openly admit their guilt feelings. How they are ashamed to tell friends and family about their handicapping aspirations. About their uncontrollable urges to plunge their winning back into hopeless exotic combinations. About how they cannot stand to have winnings "burning a hole in their pockets." Burning a hole in their conscious, is what they're really saying. So they go into therapy.

There they reveal that the reason they mis-read, misinterpreted or totally ignored the content of viable instructional material was a projection of their guilt: a kind of death wish. They confess uneasiness when they win and an almost compulsive need to suffer a loss even when it means going counter to the methodological procedure they have espoused.

Through their own insights and a little direction they come to realize that, approached properly, handicapping is not gambling; so the early guilt injunctions do not apply. The pari-mutuel system is the fairest of all economic ventures. Profit and loss can be plotted with near precision. It is a noble business if one will make it a business!

Mr. Sturgeon says, in paragraph 3, that 99 percent of us who promote our numbers or methodologies "walk around broke"; or, as in paragraph 1. "with holes in the bottoms of our shoes." Who is this 99%? Certainly not the person he writes about in this column, the one he calls a "slick con man." Certainly not Dick Mitchell with his Cadillacs and million dollar home. Nor Mark Cramer or James Quinn whose book royalties, newsletter and seminar fees greatly exceed any sum that *Racing Action* pays its writers. (I know, they made me an offer once).

Is he referring to those nefarious fly by night mail order system sellers? Ah, but they don't conduct \$300 (or thereabouts) coast to coast seminars year after year. Just who is causing Kelso Sturgeon the "rage and pain" he talks about in paragraph 9? Self-proclaimed experts, he says. Yet he has been proclaiming himself an expert while writing sage handicapping advice for over a generation. He has done so for almost all of the racing periodicals. *American Turf Monthly*, *Turf Digest* (now defunct) and *Racing Action*.

He calls for a *Racing Action* Truth Squad to go on the road giving a series of seminars dealing with the "facts." Who would conduct them? Mr. Sturgeon giving his facts? Would they cost \$300? Above all, what kind of suit would he wear? Certainly not Robert Hall. Bring on your Truth Squad, Kelso. Let's hear those facts. But first look at some of the "expert advice" offered by yourself and the publications you have written for. Take stock of some of those ads and claims that dominate the pages of *Racing Action* itself. Better tell your Truth Squad to check its own pages before throwing stones. Better yet, Kelso, stay in your own field of expertise where you're a top notch professional. But based on the article reprinted here, as a psychologist, you're a hell of a journalist.

## RECOGNIZING AND MANAGING PARI-MUTUEL WAGERING STRESS

By  
Robert Anthony Ph.D.

It's three minutes to post time, and your eyes are frantically darting back and forth between the odds board, your program and the betting windows. You hear the announcement — "*Two minutes to post time*". As if on cue, you instinctively move toward the monitors. Your pulse quickens. Last minute thoughts run through your head. "The #4 or #6 should win this race. The #3 horse, my fourth pick, is down to 8/5. Did I overlook something? Maybe I should put him in an Exakta with my top three, just in case."

"*One minute to post time. The horses are approaching the starting gate.*" You jockey for position in front of the monitor. Your heart pounds with anticipation. "*They're in the gate... They're off!!!*" As you witness a speed dual coming into the turn, your horse and the 8/5 horse are fighting for the lead. The guy next to you is shouting for the 8/5 horse to come in. "Come on #3, show some late speed. Let's go, pass those quitters." Even though you don't shout back in the guy's face to shut up, deep down inside it is hard not to tell him where he can put his 8/5 horse.

Within one minute and ten seconds, the fate of your bet has been determined. If your horse wins, you feel a sense of satisfaction and exhilaration. If this unshaven jerk in the polyester plaid pants is right, your emotions may range from anger, to disappointment, or even self-hate, especially if you just lost the last two races. This scenario repeats itself race after race, day after day, and week after week. At many tracks there are 10 races a day, 5 days a week. Even if you only go to the track 6 months a year, that's over 1200 races! With all those races, you are bound to encounter an incredible amount of stress.

Modern medicine estimates that 50% – 90% of all disease originates because of stress. Since horse racing is such a stress-filled activity, it is critical to recognize the dangers that pari-mutuel wagering stress can create. Without realizing it, the positive and negative stresses associated with pari-mutuel wagering can take their toll on both the mind and the body.

To better understand how pari-mutuel wagering affects us, we must understand why we do what we do. The primary appeal of any type of gambling is the risk/reward structure. Most other "work" activities have a labor/reward structure. When a person works at a "regular" job, they trade their freedom for a fixed monetary reward or the security of a paycheck. When a person is a pari-mutuel investor, he or she is risking *previously* earned monetary rewards for a chance at increasing those rewards. The risk element, with potential loss, makes earning money in this fashion all the more satisfying. As Paul Newman says in *The Color of Money*, "Money won is twice as sweet as money earned."

Winning can be so satisfying that it produces what psychologists refer to as a *gambler's high*. There is actually a chemical reaction in the brain. When the bettor experiences the thrill of winning, the pituitary gland releases endorphins. The pleasure center of the brain reacts to the releasing of the endorphins much the same way as it would to a chemical stimulant. This type of high is a positive one because, unlike other drugs, there are no residual side effects such as the destruction of brain cells, or the introduction of carcinogens into the body.

The down side of this is that the unconscious quest for this high can turn a winning investor into a losing player. Instead of our main goal being *profits*, it becomes an addiction to the *action* that we crave. For this reason, it is important that we examine our primary motivation for being involved in this endeavor. Our primary motivation always determines our actions. So the question remains — Are you focused on the pleasure of *winning*, or the pleasure of making a *profit*?

If you are focused on gaining pleasure from winning, or its opposite, avoiding the pain of losing, you will be more concerned with cashing tickets than making a profit. I am sure you know many players who win races, but don't make a profit. For some players, winning is a validation of self-worth. With winning comes bragging rights. Your friends, family, and even strangers, will give you positive strokes for being a winner.

This can become a two-edged sword. If each race is a validation of our self-worth, this leads to the emotional stress of being invested in each race to the point where winning or losing determines how we feel about ourself moment to moment, race to race and day to day. The end result is that these mood swings cause us to become emotionally attached to each wager.

The solution can be summed up in one word — DETACHMENT. If we want to succeed as pari-mutuel investors and still retain our psychological, physical and emotional well-being, we **MUST** learn to detach ourself from both the emotions of winning and losing. Easier said than done, but necessary to our survival. Anything less, and we will end up a losing player.

Let's face it, the race track environment would challenge the detachment of a Buddhist monk. The track announcer counting down to post time, the collective consciousness of the crowd, our unpaid advisors telling us what to bet and what not to bet, our dwindling or expanding bankroll, all contribute to increasing our emotional attachment to each race. As pari-mutual investors, it is necessary to recognize the unique stress we put ourself under at the track.

Learning to detach ourselves from every single race requires putting things into perspective. No one puts it into perspective better than Dr. Sartin when he says, "Look at it this way. What's the worst that can happen? You will lose the race. If you do, another race will be along in 20 minutes; and you'll have another chance at *winning*". Your reply might be, "That's fine for you, but I can't afford to lose". Then you shouldn't be going to the track. It's as simple as that.

Betting on four-legged animals with money you need for food and rent is the sure road to the poor house. You must have adequate funds to take you through occasional losing streaks. We all have them, whether we deny it or not. My personal bankroll is 20 times my average wager. If I bet \$100 to win per race, I have a \$2,000 bankroll. This allows me to withstand a losing streak without worrying about running out of money.

If you can't afford to lose a few races without it affecting you financially and emotionally, then you are in over your head. A good solution would be to review Dr. Sartin's *Psychology of Winning* and start from the beginning with Dick Schmidt's *Money Management Strategy*. Both will substantially reduce the stress of pari-mutuel wagering.

Here are some additional strategies that will help in reducing pari-mutual wagering stress.

1. **IF YOU ARE LOSING — FIND OUT WHY!** There is always a logical reason why we are losing. **NEVER** try to solve the problem by increasing your bets or adding to your bankroll. Chasing after losses is the downfall of 99% of all horseplayers. There is no shame in losing; but shame on us, if we don't find out why and correct it.
2. **FOCUS ON R.O.I.** If your R.O.I. is \$1.57; keep in mind, it also means that you get back \$1.57 on your **LOSSES**, as well as your **WINS**. R.O.I. is Return On Investment — **WIN** or **LOSE**. So when you lose, you are still earning a profit as long as your **AVERAGE R.O.I.** remains consistent.

3. **EVALUATE ON 20 RACE CYCLES.** We all know that we are to evaluate our performance on 20 races cycles. Do you DO that, or are you into the good day/bad day syndrome? At least give yourself a break, until the 20 race cycle is completed.
4. **BET WITHIN YOUR COMFORT LEVEL.** Any winning investor will turn into a losing player, if he or she bets over their comfort level. We all have a "choke point". For some, it's \$20 a race; and for others, it's \$500 a race. Find out what it is for you and bet 25% below your comfort level. Put simply, **BET WITH YOUR HEAD — NOT OVER IT.**
5. **WATCH OUT FOR NIOPS.** (Negative Influence of Other People). Other people are well meaning, but usually ignorant. We must have our own opinions and stick by them. I don't care how strong you are emotionally or psychologically, you must watch out for NIOPS. Their negative influence will reduce your self-confidence to the level of a loser.
6. **NO SIDE BETS.** This includes *hunch* bets, *action* bets and *insurance* bets. The problem with side bets is that winning is just as destructive as losing. If you win, you have a false sense of security. This will encourage a repeated pattern that will eventually reduce your profits, or worse, cause you to go broke. If you lose, you will hate yourself for wasting the money. Let the losers make those bets. These are the people who will put money into your pocket. Don't be one of them.
7. **USE INSTANT PRE-PLAY.** Not only the one on your computer screen, but the one in your head. Visualize yourself under pressure and see yourself calmly handling the pressure. Take Bert Mane's *Silva Mind Program*.
8. **CHANGE YOUR LIFE PATTERNS.** The race track is a microcosm of your personal life. I can guarantee if you are not doing well at the races, you are also having problems in other areas of your life. You will find the reasons for the stress and inability to win at the races are the same ones that cause your life to be a struggle. These are just repeated patterns. Change your life patterns, and you will change your winning and losing patterns at the track.
9. **TAKE A BREAK.** Get away from horse racing altogether. Believe it or not, there is another world outside of racing. You will be surprised how sharp you will be, when you take a break and return to your handicapping.

It is interesting how most handicapping authors whine on and on about overcoming the track "take out". Personally, I don't care what the track takes out. I base my decisions on what's left over — the odds for my horse(s). The "take out" I am most concerned with is the day-to-day stress that horse racing "takes out" of my psychological, physical and emotional well-being. I have come to the conclusion that it is not enough to be a good handicapper and money manager. Our failure or success will ultimately be determined by how we handle and manage the day-to-day "take out" of pari-mutuel wagering stress — *in particular, our ability to detach ourselves from the outcome of every race.*

The first step to changing anything is awareness or observation. Observation is the key to transformation. Take time to observe how pari-mutuel wagering stress may be effecting your ability to succeed. Every profession has stress related activities. If you are a good handicapper and money manager, the final step to achieving the success you truly desire may lie in your ability to handle and manage the day-to-day stress of pari-mutuel wagering. Hopefully, some of the ideas in this article will make the way easier.

# Thoromation Record Keeping Simplified

by Doc Sartin

Many clients confuse advanced programs with the need for advanced knowledge and even more complex modeling and record keeping. Actually the reverse is true. Advance programs are designed to do for you what most clients simply will not do on their own. Things like keeping a track profile, an advanced Brohamer Model, Median Energy, Turn Time and 3rd fraction win parameters, etc. Client Bill Bishop has solved his profile and modeling with a very simple little chart. Here are his comments:

Dear Dr. Sartin,

I have enclosed a form. Everyone has contributed to the methodology, it seems, but me. I hope this simple little form will help others as much as it has helped me.

It's simple enough. Just write the names of the two top horses from screen-one under "Win Energy". Under the race number indicate if it is "EXDC" or "SP". Mark the top two horses on screen-two under "Counter Energy" and mark the first two finishers using Thoromation.

Rather than study, re-study and revise...simply bet the horses you listed under "Win Energy" and put them together, in the exotics, with the horses listed under "Counter Energy". If a strong track bias shows itself, then reverse them. Validate with Thoromation.

Any horse whose name appears on the sheet and is going off at high odds, BET. How? You decide. Place, Show, Quinella, Exacta. They won't always hit the board; but they will make there often enough to make it profitable.


After the race, mark in the space provided, a "W", "P", or "S". You'll have an instant model. You'll see very quickly where the winners are coming from.

There you have it. All the winners on one sheet. I use the margins for other notes about the way the race was handicapped or "unknown horses or running lines" or other important aspects of the race.

If you can use this "form", please do. It's helped me and maybe it will help others too.

Thanks for all your help and for all the winners.

Sincerely,



Bill Bishop

I have slightly altered his sequence, putting the animated Thoromation readout first, the win EXDC second and the counter energy EXDC last. This is far less complex than the form we use for your Thoromation report. If you keep ongoing records and save them in a file the way Bishop suggests, you'll have both a working model and a profile. In addition, you'll know a lot more about your own handicapping. You may find that your winners are coming more often from the screen that is counter to the variegated suggested by the Thoromation screen. This invariably means you're putting in a "bad" horse. Yet, with this form as a modeling device you can still win by capitalizing on what the "counter" screen is showing. Try it.

*Handicapping Sheet for Soft 17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100*

HANDICAPPING SHEET FOR \_\_\_\_\_

	Thoremation	Win EXDC	Counter EXDC
Race 1			
Race 2			
Race 3			
Race 4			
Race 5			
Race 6			
Race 7			
Race 8			
Race 9			

\* denotes DESIGNATED PLACE HORSE

# ANALYSIS OF A



# PROBLEM

# RACE

by Dick Schmidt

With Thanks to Marty Jacobs

This issue, we have another double dose of problem races. These were among the races that long time client Marty Jacobs sent to Tom Hambleton and myself to help us get ready for our Miami workshop. Marty has been having some serious health problems, and we really appreciate his taking the time to help out in spite of it all. Thanks again, Marty.

Even though we use Total Pace, which is simple and universal, one of the real problems we face when we do workshops around the country is that we come into town with no idea of how the track is running, if there is a bias or not, what track-to-track adjustments are needed and how big a club to use on horses moving from sprints to routes. Yet we are expected to stand up in front of the group and win lots of races and money. It's much easier when we have some idea of what's going on.

When he got these races, and all the others from a week of racing, Tom Hambleton sat down and started to work. The first thing he noticed was that Calder is spelled E-A-R-L-Y, especially in sprints. He put together a track-to-track chart (which you won't need for these races) and did the work it takes to develop a sprint to route adjustment. What he did was to go through all the races in that week and note all the horses who had both sprints and routes in their recent form cycles at Calder. Then he did TPR's on them and noted the differences.

If a horse runs a 170 in a sprint, and next time out runs a 145 in a route, the change is -15. If it runs a 160 in a route and moves to a sprint in 168, the difference is -8. Tom did all that, then as a final check handicapped several races using the number he developed, making sure that the adjustment put the horses more or less in line. When all was said and done, a sprint to route adjustment of -9 seemed to work.

Our first race is pretty much a standard problem race. Solve the problems, win the race. The second is harder and pays more. You may need that sprint to route number if you use Phase I. As always, handicap the races completely, writing down your bets, before you read my analysis or look at the results charts. Go get 'um.

9



**6 1/2 FURLONGS. (1.17) ALLOWANCE. Purse \$14,000 (Plus \$1,500 FOA). 3-year-olds and upward which have never won a race other than Maiden or Claiming. Weight, 3-year-olds 115 lbs. Older 122 lbs. Non-winners of \$8,100 twice since May 6, allowed 2 lbs. \$9,000 since May 25, 4 lbs. \$7,200 since April 20, 6 lbs. (Races where entered for \$25,000 or less not considered in allowances.)**

**LASIX—Man o' Smoke, Anzig, Smooth Crossing, Hi Wheels, Anew.**

**Man o' Smoke**

**Own.—Tucker Paula J**  
 13Jun92-7Crc sly 6f :22 :454 1:123 Clm 25000  
 6Jun92-6Crc fst 6f :214 :451 1:12 3+Alw 15500  
 24May92-8Crc fst 7f :224 :454 1:25 3+Alw 15500  
 28Jun92-9GP fst 6f :222 :46 1:11 Alw 17000  
 8Jun92-1GP fst 6f :223 :461 1:121 Alw 20600  
 10Dec91-8Hia fst 1 1/4 :473 1:124 1:522 Alw 17500  
 11Dec91-8Hia fst 7f :223 :45 1:241 Alw 17500  
 23Nov91-4Hia fst 7f :231 :461 1:241 Md Sp Wt

**LATEST WORKOUTS** May 21 Crc 4f fst :50 B

Dr. h. or br. c. 3(May), by Medieval Man—Vell o' Smoke, by L'Aligon

Br.—Freeman Keyes Trust (Fla)

Tr.—Virvian David A (30 6 4 3 20)

80 7 3 31 21 21 1/2 Vasquez J  
 74 2 6 54 44 44 4 1/2 Vasquez J  
 75 9 2 32 31 23 5 1/2 Vasquez J  
 62 12 2 42 41 57 6 1/2 Velez J A Jr  
 81 12 1 4 4 1/2 2 1/2 31 Velez J A Jr  
 64 8 2 22 2nd 2nd 6 3/4 Velez J A Jr  
 77 11 1 54 2 1/2 2nd 4 1/2 Velez J A Jr  
 74 5 3 2 2nd 1 1/2 1 1/2 Velez J A Jr

**LATEST WORKOUTS** May 15 Crc 5f fst 1:03 B

111

Lifetime 1992 5 1 0 1 \$8,810  
 8 2 0 1 1991 3 1 0 6 \$11,750  
 \$21,560

Wet 1 1 0 0 \$7,100  
 88-12 Mn'Smk1162GvThmTHG1165CntrDrV111 Driving 8 wide 8  
 85-12 DcsnMr1162GrhCrer110NhrMn112 Pshd outward st 8  
 83-11 SunnyCook111Anw110JohnnyBush105 Faded 4 wide 9  
 76-15 AfterTheBeep112AlwaysSilver113CptnBer112 Tired 12  
 81-16 Tahiti Boy114Cobar115Mano'Smoke115 4 wide early 12  
 83-26 SilentEnsign117SirPinder122PecfulBb122 Faltered 11  
 81-18 BddngProud117JohnnyBush117Mnscs122 4-Wide, tn 12  
 82-15 Mno'Smk1222Bts'nBck1223KndrgdnChmp122 Driving 7  
 May 7 Crc 3f fst :38 Bg Apr 29 Crc 5f fst 1:03 B

**Nowhere Man**

**Own.—Matthews Karen**  
 6Jun92-6Crc fst 6f :214 :451 1:12 3+Alw 15500  
 28May92-7Crc fst 6f :214 :444 1:113 3+Alw 15500  
 15May92-8Crc fst 6f :221 :453 1:182 Clm 40000  
 15May92-Disqualified and placed sixth  
 18Apr92-7Crc fst 7f :224 :461 1:253 Clm 40000  
 31Mar92-8Crc fst 6f :222 :461 1:193 Alw 17200  
 19Mar92-7Crc fst 6f :222 :46 1:123 Clm 50000  
 21Feb92-1GP sly 6f :221 :452 1:12 Md 25000  
 10Dec91-5Hia fst 7f :23 :46 1:234 Md Sp Wt

**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 23 Crc 4f fst :50 B (d)

Ch. g. 3(Apr), by Fountain of Gold—Sister Apple, by Great Above

Br.—Matthews Karen & P (Fla)

Tr.—Potter Gordon R (17 3 4 2 10)

81 4 4 12 1st 3 1/2 3 1/2 Douglas R R  
 89 5 3 1 2nd 2nd 2 1/2 Douglas R R  
 76 5 2 1 1 1 2 1/2 Squartino R A  
 72 7 2 1 1 1st 3 1/2 7 1/2 Velez J A Jr  
 62 6 1 2nd 2nd 5 1/2 7 1/2 Lester R N  
 76 4 4 3 1/2 3 1/2 4 1/2 3 1/2 Lester R N  
 80 12 1 1 1 1 1 1/2 Lester R N  
 35 3 9 8 1/2 6 1/2 7 1/2 7 1/2 Lester R N

**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 16 Crc 4f fst :51 B (d)

109

Lifetime 1992 7 1 1 2 \$13,370  
 8 1 1 2 1991 1 M 0 0 \$130  
 \$13,500

Wet 1 1 0 0 \$6,000  
 88-12 DcsnMr1162GrhCrer110NhrMn112 Broke inward st. 8  
 82-10 Bruce'SFolly110NowhereMn112Amiguito109 Gamely 7  
 88-11 Poulind'Or1204Fdo120NowhrMn116 Drifted in 1/8 p 6  
 84-08 Poulind'Or1161NewGme1124FrnchPrmr114 Gave way 7  
 — OhMJ1123PrprBdr115GrhCrer112 Came out, brshd 7  
 — ThitiBoy116ElkRiver116NowhrMn116 Speed,evenly 6  
 83-19 NowhrMn1201TotlDvoton118StudyDoRght120 Held on 12  
 61-17 BkckQuestion1222EstrnBrv1171TriExp'dng122 Brushed 9  
 Jun 5 Crc 3f sly :38 B (d) May 24 Crc 4f fst :49 B

**Anzig**

**Own.—Queensland Farm**  
 6Jun92-6Crc fst 6f :214 :451 1:12 3+Alw 15500  
 16May92-9Crc fst 1 1/4 :473 1:13 1:45 3+Alw 15000  
 4Aug91-9RD yl 1 :462 1:104 1:363 Second Wind  
 27July91-8EIP fst 1 :471 1:12 1:37 Alw 17300  
 16July91-8EIP fst 6f :223 :464 1:173 3+Alw 16500  
 4July91-8EIP my 1 :481 1:141 1:412 3+Alw 17300  
 23Jun91-3CD fst 6f :224 :462 1:182 Alw 28060  
 18Jun91-6CD fst 6f :223 :462 1:111 3+Alw 28060  
 13Apr91-3Kee my 6f :224 :463 1:172 Md Sp Wt  
 5Apr91-2Kee fst 1 1/4 :471 1:114 1:43 Md Sp Wt

**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 25 Crc 3f sly :37 B (d)

Ch. c. 4, by Danzig Connection—Dorothy Gaylard, by Sensitive

Br.—Rose Hill Farm (Ky)

Tr.—Richards Robert J Jr (18 0 3 4 00)

60 6 3 6 1/2 6 1/2 8 1/2 7 1/2 Valles E S  
 45 6 4 7 1/2 7 1/2 7 1/2 7 1/2 Velez J A Jr  
 63 9 6 6 1/2 7 1/4 7 1/4 8 1/2 Buckley P R  
 78 3 1 1 1/2 2nd 2 1/2 3 1/2 McKnight J  
 79 7 3 2 1/2 2 1/2 2 1/2 2 1/2 McKnight J  
 68 6 3 4 2 4 1/2 5 1/2 3 1/2 Espinoza J C  
 66 5 2 3 2 1/2 2 1/2 3 1/2 3 1/2 Antley C W  
 65 1 5 1 1/2 2 1/2 2 1/2 4 1/2 Espinoza J C  
 75 2 3 3 1/2 1st 1st 1st Antley C W  
 43 12 3 2 3 5 1/2 8 1/2 8 1/2 Antley C W

**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 14 Crc 5f fst 1:06 B

116

Lifetime 1992 2 0 0 0 \$290  
 14 1 2 4 1991 12 1 2 4 \$28,739  
 \$29,029

Wet 2 1 0 1 \$14,210  
 88-12 DcsnMkr1162GrhM'sCrckr110NhrMn112 No factor 8  
 81-15 Ponche1104DashingViking112Anw119 Stopped badly 7  
 78-08 HevnyLcy1147MgstrlCh11913SnblAvi114 No threat 12  
 86-22 FoolishPolto1211TrpElvs1211Anzig118 Pace, weakened 7  
 86-16 Safe and Legal1132Anzig1131StreetRumor106 2nd best 7  
 82-29 Lucky Phone104 Dual Question114Anzig111 Mil bid 8  
 83-14 Jokester1211Rear Kelo1152Anzig121 Flattened out 2.30  
 84-12 CshRd1103RnBchM114Chl'sP112 Dueld, weakened 7  
 83-10 Anzig1204 Leesburg1205 King's Uproart120 Drift out 10  
 82-19 NwrkIPly11719CrntLght1179Unqw117 Swerve in start 12  
 May 14 Crc 4f fst :50 B May 6 Crc 5f fst 1:02 H

**Smooth Crossing**

**Own.—Benton B M & Berry M**  
 11Jun92-8Crc fst 7f :221 :453 1:252 3+Clm c-10000  
 11Jun92-Claimed from Novak Stacy G, Novak Stacy G Trainer  
 31May92-5Crc fst 6f :22 :46 1:121 Clm 10000  
 24May92-8Crc fst 7f :224 :454 1:25 3+Alw 15500  
 2May92-5Crc fst 7f :223 :454 1:25 Clm 12500  
 22Apr92-6Crc fst 7f :221 :444 1:243 Clm 20000  
 24Mar92-10Crc my 7f :23 :454 1:252 Clm c-10000  
 24Mar92-Claimed from Monarch Stable Inc, Daniels Edward J Jr Trainer  
 30Apr92-4GP fst 7f :222 :452 1:241 Clm 7500  
 28Feb92-3GP fst 7f :223 :46 1:232 Clm 8500  
 8Feb92-1GP fst 7f :223 :462 1:252 Clm 7500  
 25Jan92-11GP fst 1 1/4 :48 1:13 1:453 Clm 12000

Ch. h. 5, by Journey At Sea—Excellenty, by Crozier

Br.—Hooper Fred W (Fla)

Tr.—Calasibetta Joseph (26 8 6 4 31)

78 6 1 2 1 1 1 1/2 1 1/2 1 1/2 Bracho J A 5  
 77 1 7 6 1/2 4 2 2 1/2 Suckie M C  
 74 6 3 6 1/2 6 1/2 5 1/2 7 1/2 Suckie M C  
 85 7 1 2nd 2 1/2 3 1/2 4 1/2 Suckie M C  
 79 11 1 7 1/2 6 1/2 8 1/2 8 1/2 Moore B G  
 87 5 3 3 1/2 2 1/2 2nd 1 1/2 Lee M A  
 75 10 2 4 2 3 1/2 2nd 2nd Lee M A  
 67 8 7 4 2 3 1/2 3 1/2 3 1/2 Lee M A  
 76 2 6 4 1/2 4 1/2 2nd 1 1/2 Lee M A  
 62 2 3 2nd 3 1/2 6 1/2 7 1/2 Lee M A

**LATEST WORKOUTS** Jun 14 Crc 5f fst 1:06 B

1115

Lifetime 1992 11 3 3 1 \$15,745  
 30 5 5 1 1991 12 1 2 0 \$7,936  
 \$32,011

Turf 1 0 0 0 \$291  
 Wet 1 1 0 0 \$3,900  
 88-10 SmoothCrossing1111PitCC1071KystonKid112 Driving 8  
 86-08 OnMrWr1114SmithCrssn1167BnsMnHn112 Brk in air st 7  
 82-11 SunnyCookie111Anw110JohnnyBush109 No threat 9  
 82-04 TrueLove1141Rear Domino1141FittoSail109 Weakened 7  
 82-08 Mankato1161Big Sal1201Anw116 Showed little 11  
 — SmithCrssng1162DrnMn1163ADymndGrm116 Clear late 12  
 85-13 BHGlor112SmoothCrssng115BrngOk100 Lead, edged 12  
 77-20 DrDomno1163ShrpdLck1123SmoothCrssng120 Brushed 10  
 78-16 SmithCrssng1121VldPrspct1122SprSlt116 Sted ins 5/16 11  
 88-18 PrctStr1163DaDd1121PrgrssHgh116 Tried to get out 11



### Moon Over Tresco

Dr. h. or br. c. 3(Apr), by Lord Gayford—Maggie Balm, by Raja Balm

Lifetime 1992 2 1 0 0 \$3,985  
2 1 0 0 1991 0 M 0 0

Own.—Reynolds David P

Br.—David P. Reynolds (Md)

109

13Jun92- 1CrC fst 6f :221 :462 1:123 3+ Md 18000  
4Jun92- 4CrC fst 6f :222 :462 1:123 3+ Md 38000  
LATEST WORKOUTS Jun 22 CrC 5f fst 1:013 H

Tr.—Kelly Larry (33 3 3 4 .80)

76 3 3 2nd 12 14 14 Lee M A 115 \*1.20  
39 5 1 1st 31 51 51 1/2 Lee M A 114 3.70  
May 28 CrC 5f fst 1:04 Bg  
May 12 CrC 5f fst 1:03 B  
May 5 CrC 5f fst 1:032 Bg

### Hi Wheels

Ch. g. 3(Jan), by Commodore C—Pleasure On Hi, by Foolish Pleasure

Lifetime 1992 1 0 0 0 \$150  
3 1 1 0 1991 2 1 1 0 \$11,100  
\$11,250

Own.—Graer & Plesa Mmes

Br.—Graer Esther (Fla)

109

21Mar92- 8CrC fst 6f :22 :454 1:12 Alw 15000  
1Sep91- 4CrC fst 6f :222 :471 1:132 Md 40000  
24Aug91- 4CrC fst 7f :224 :463 1:264 Md Sp Wt  
LATEST WORKOUTS Jun 19 CrC 5f fst 1:01 H

Tr.—Plesa Edward Jr (61 6 10 10 .10)

60 5 6 95 89 811 610 1/2 Martin C W L 113 12.90  
69 3 5 32 2nd 11 1/2 1st Martin C W L 117 \*2.30  
67 2 6 32 1/2 51 32 22 Martinez W L 116 16.00  
Jun 12 CrC 5f fst 1:013 H  
Jun 5 CrC 5f sly 1:04 B (#)  
May 28 CrC 3f fst :363 H

### Jaimie's Boy

Dr. h. or br. c. 3(Apr), by Doctor's Orders—Harry's E, by Princely Pleasure

Lifetime 1992 8 1 1 3 \$17,080  
8 1 1 3 1991 0 M 0 0 \$17,000

Own.—Seinfeld M

Br.—Milton Seinfeld & Sylvia Elzman (Fla)

113

11Jun92- 7CrC fst 6f :223 :461 1:173 3+ Md Sp Wt  
28May92- 6CrC fst 7f :214 :443 1:261 3+ Md Sp Wt  
19May92- 6CrC fst 6f :223 :46 1:191 3+ Md 50000  
10May92- 5CrC fst 6f :222 :454 1:131 3+ Md Sp Wt  
2May92- 1CrC fst 7f :223 :461 1:264 3+ Md Sp Wt  
19Apr92- 3CrC fst 7f :224 :461 1:261 3+ Md 40000  
11Apr92- 1CrC fst 6f :214 :452 1:14 Md Sp Wt  
28Mar92- 4CrC fst 6f :214 :453 1:113 Md Sp Wt  
LATEST WORKOUTS Jun 23 CrC 4f gd :494 B (d)  
Jun 7 CrC 4f sly :522 B

Tr.—Blake Ronald C (6 1 1 2 .17)

94 7 1 12 11 13 14 Nunez E O b 115 \*90  
89 2 5 1st 11 14 22 Nunez E O b 114 6.50  
71 1 5 31 31 31 32 1/2 Suckie M C b 114 \*1.50  
69 1 3 1st 1st 1st 32 Suckie M C b 114 4.30  
68 7 2 11 13 13 31 1/2 Suckie M C b 114 5.60  
66 6 3 52 1/2 52 1/2 57 46 Nunez E O 113 8.00  
44 1 10 810 710 79 6 1/2 Acevedo D A 120 11.30  
58 8 1 44 1/2 45 1/2 511 712 1/2 Acevedo D A 120 15.30

### Anew

Dr. h. or br. g. 4, by Native Charger—Spanglebeau, by Lord Rebeau

Lifetime 1992 9 0 3 3 \$11,730  
21 1 6 6 1991 6 1 2 1 \$7,065  
\$23,505

Own.—S Selon Cohen Stables Inc

Br.—Cresci Dorothy E (Fla)

116

17Jun92- 9CrC fst 7f :23 :46 1:24 3+ Alw 15000  
24Jun92- 8CrC fst 7f :224 :454 1:25 3+ Alw 15000  
16May92- 9CrC fst 17f :473 1:13 1:45 3+ Alw 19000  
5May92- 5CrC fst 6f :223 :461 1:182 3+ Clm 25000  
22Apr92- 6CrC fst 7f :221 :444 1:243 Clm 25000  
18Mar92- 8GP fst 1 1/2 :47 1:112 1:45 Alw 21000  
22Feb92- 1GP gd 7f :223 :452 1:24 Clm 16000  
1Feb92- 1GP fst 6f :224 :454 1:182 Alw 20600  
19Jan92- 7GP fst 7f :222 :45 1:232 Alw 20600  
21Dec91- 4Hia fst 7f :232 :463 1:233 Clm 18000  
LATEST WORKOUTS Jun 11 CrC 5f sly 1:033 B (d)

Tr.—White William P (53 6 8 7 .11)

88 3 3 51 31 1st 2nd Lee M A Lb 116 \*80  
90 4 5 54 42 33 2nd Lee M A Lb 118 \*2.10  
82 2 6 61 64 47 35 Castillo H Jr Lb 119 7.90  
85 2 7 47 36 35 33 Castillo H Jr Lb 116 15.30  
84 5 5 64 75 77 32 Castillo H Jr Lb 116 26.90  
71 9 6 77 79 64 58 Castillo H Jr L 113 18.60  
82 2 6 52 41 32 23 Castillo H Jr L 116 34.40  
65 9 8 84 811 89 84 Castillo H Jr L 112 40.40  
78 5 3 21 53 56 65 Castillo H Jr L 112 25.10  
72 7 1 31 41 44 36 Castillo H Jr L 117 16.10

### Adjustment

B. g. 3(Feb), by Capelan—Regulate, by Crozier

Lifetime 1992 10 2 4 1 \$17,025  
15 2 7 1 1991 5 M 3 0 \$6,245  
\$23,270

Own.—Martinez B

Br.—Hooper Fred W (Fla)

109

12Jun92- 2CrC fst 7f :231 :464 1:263 Clm 10000  
29May92- 7CrC fst 6f :221 :461 1:131 3+ Md 15000  
21May92- 5CrC fst 17f :482 1:153 1:48 3+ Md 25000  
14May92- 2CrC fst 6f :224 :471 1:133 3+ Md 20000  
29Apr92- 2CrC fm 1 1/2 :492 1:134 1:49 3+ Md Sp Wt  
16Apr92- 6CrC fst 1 1/2 :492 1:134 1:49 3+ Md Sp Wt  
9Apr92- 3CrC fst 7f :223 :462 1:264 Md 25000  
2Apr92- 1CrC fst 7f :223 :46 1:262 3+ Md 40000  
24Mar92- 1CrC fst 7f :223 :46 1:272 Md 25000  
15Mar92- 1GP fst 6f :223 :462 1:131 Md 25000

Tr.—Medina Angel M (40 6 4 5 .15)

66 7 1 1st 11 13 15 Rodriguez P A b 118 \*1.40  
71 7 11 2nd 12 14 16 Rodriguez P A Lb 114 \*2.00  
58 1 1 12 12 2nd 21 Douglas R R b 113 \*1.60  
53 3 3 52 33 37 27 Bracho J A 7 b 108 2.10  
14 7 1 3rd 7 15 7 7 Cortez A C b 113 15.40  
71 3 2 21 34 37 47 Cortez A C b 112 5.90  
62 2 5 33 32 32 33 Fires E b 120 \*1.10  
63 7 2 21 21 21 23 Fires E b 114 3.00  
57 2 6 62 75 74 53 Gavidia W b 120 \*1.40  
64 5 4 56 65 42 21 Gavidia W b 120 6.40

9



**1 1/2 MILES.** (150) CLAIMING. Purse \$11,000 (Plus \$1,200 FOA). Fillies, 3-year-olds. Condition Eligibility. Weight, 122 lbs. Non-winners of two races at a mile or over since June 4 allowed 2 lbs. Such a race since May 21, 4 lbs. Two such races since April 18, 6 lbs. Claiming price \$25,000; for each \$2,500 to \$20,000, 2 lbs. (Races where entered for \$18,000 or less not considered.)

**LASIX—Truely Medieval, Island Tammy, Pens Pens Pens, Hoocheegirl, Best Foot, Acty.**

**Truely Medieval**

Dr. h. or br. f. 3(Feb), by Medieval Man—Truely Supreme, by True Knight  
 Lifetime 1982 7 0 0 1 \$2,750  
 11 1 0 1 1991 4 1 0 0 \$4,780

\$25,000 Br.—Corwin Joyce S (Fla)

Own.—Nazarenko W

10Jun82-8Crc fst 7f	:224	:461	1:251
6May82-5Crc fst 6f	:233	:473	1:204
26Mar82-5Crc fst 6f	:222	:461	1:122
17Mar82-5Crc fst 7f	:23	:462	1:263
26Feb82-2GP my 1 1/2	:474	1:124	1:49
13Feb82-3GP fst 1 1/2	:482	1:132	1:44
29Jan82-3GP fst 7f	:23	:46	1:243
28Dec81-3Hia fst 7f	:231	:463	1:27
8Dec81-4Hia fst 7f	:23	:46	1:252
20Nov81-4Hia fst 6f	:223	:463	1:124

Tr.—White William P (55 6 8 7 .11)

60 8 5 54 67 79 71 113	Douglas R R	Lb 113	56.10
47 8 1 83 75 89 71 113	Chapman J K	Lb 116	15.60
55 4 5 77 77 79 69 1	Ramos W S	b 116	9.50
63 7 5 54 53 32 55	Penna D	b 113	13.00
52 4 6 64 54 56 53 1	Fires E	116	4.30
64 5 5 52 53 44 35	Ramos W S	116	4.90
66 2 7 52 63 55 42 1	Fires E	114	13.70
54 7 10 96 109 54 13	Ramos W S	119	4.60
53 9 11 115 54 54 43	Castillo H Jr	119	4.60
41 1 11 83 74 65 55	Castillo H Jr	119	15.10

1115  
 Lifetime 1982 2 0 0 0 \$20  
 11 3 3 8 1991 2 1 0 0 \$4,630  
 \$28,470  
 Turf 3 0 0 0 \$440  
 Wet 1 0 1 0 \$2,200

77-12 SpinDrem112**Alliswooder142BlncheBMin112	Stopped 8
77-13 UptnSh162**MscrLshs116**MddNm120	Brk outwd st 8
— FortunChrom116**BrngingThrought116**FCg116	Wide str 7
— BrezyMistrs117**AldOurBst115**WdlOut117	Weakened 9
63-33 Cpot'sPrncss116**DottMc116**PnsPnsPns120	5 wide str 7
76-20 IsndTmmy114**CgedHrt116**TrulyMdiv116	4 wide str 7
80-80 Boldnz116**StrtimeExprss116**SpinDrm116	Late gain 7
68-21 TruelyMdiv119**MlBrdToot119**Mrdnws119	Drew away 12
70-19 Stoly119**SeYulnCourt119**BridOfKntucky119	Even fin 12
73-16 WestSideSecret119**SuperFortunt119**b119	No threat 12

LATEST WORKOUTS Jun 2 Crc 5f fst 1:03 Bq

**Island Tammy**

B. f. 3(May), by Tom Rolfe—Island Chimes, by Ask Ask

\$20,000 Br.—Mazelon Kimberley (Ky)

Own.—Mazelon Kimberley

14Jun82-11Crc sty 1 1/2	:48	1:14	1:483
14Jun82-Originally scheduled on turf			
30May82-5Crc fst 1 1/2	:473	1:131	1:442
23Apr82-7Crc fst 1 1/2	:47	1:121	1:451
7Apr82-5Crc fst 1 1/2	:483	1:14	1:46
21Mar82-7Crc fm 1 1/2			1:47
12Mar82-6GP fst 1 1/2	:473	1:124	1:454
13Feb82-3GP fst 1 1/2	:482	1:132	1:44
31Jan82-5GP fst 1 1/2	:473	1:13	1:454
17Jan82-6Hia fm 1 1/2			1:503
15Dec81-8Hia fm 1 1/2			1:45

Tr.—Zndie Ralph (80 11 14 2 .10)

75 3 6 64 53 31 24	Rodriguez P A	L 112	2.40
66 3 3 45 45 46 41 11	Suckie M C	L 108	*2.00
76 1 6 51 64 41 21 1	Lee M A	L 110	*1.40
72 3 6 52 1 1 1 1	Lee M A	L 112	*1.30
70 10 6 65 64 913 813	Lee M A	L 112	11.00
66 8 8 910 9 973 77	Lee M A	L 112	7.50
73 1 2 41 4 2 1 1 1	Hernandez R	L 114	*1.00
78 4 6 57 32 2 1 2	Lee M A	L 112	4.70
58 8 2 33 3 3 1 512	Lee M A	L 116	11.30
57 3 4 55 64 910 911 1	Rodriguez P A	114	15.10

112  
 Lifetime 1982 9 2 0 2 \$23,830  
 11 3 3 8 1991 2 1 0 0 \$4,630  
 \$28,470  
 Turf 3 0 0 0 \$440  
 Wet 1 0 1 0 \$2,200

81-13 HshBobb113**IsndTmmy112**BstFoot100	Rld, 6-wide 8
79-08 PrncssMdge109**FstFleetie107**Alliswood113	Faded 7
90-12 PensPnsPns100**IsndTmmy110**SkipStr107	2nd best 7
80-15 IsndTmmy112**MBrdTo113**Cot'sPrncss116	Brk in air 6
— WhitCorms117**TimlyKris112**Chicksh120	Brushed st 10
76-17 CrystlSteeper117**SkipStr114**SiestSun107	7-wide str 12
81-20 IsndTmmy114**CgedHrt116**TrulyMdiv116	Clear late 7
83-10 Sr.Frr112**IsndTmmy112**SpnnnCnn112	Wide fnl tn 9
73-10 Link toPleasure116**ToyMaker116**RoyalLinen116	Tired 12
62-19 SazzDz119**HdB119**Sny'sSdck114	Dropped back 12

LATEST WORKOUTS May 25 Crc 5f fst 1:023 B

**Pens Pens Pens**

Gr. f. 3(Feb), by Morning Bob—Majestic Lightning, by Majestic Light

\$25,000 Br.—Farnsworth Farm & M & M Bloodstock (Fla)

Own.—Kramer J & Kandy

11Jun82-9Crc fst 1 1/2	:474	1:124	1:441
29May82-9Crc fst 1 1/2	:473	1:13	1:474
9May82-7Crc fm 1 1/2			1:483
23Apr82-7Crc fst 1 1/2	:47	1:121	1:451
20Mar82-8Crc my 1 1/2	:492	1:144	1:493
26Feb82-2GP my 1 1/2	:474	1:124	1:49
13Feb82-3GP fst 1 1/2	:482	1:132	1:44
19Jan82-2GP fst 1 1/2	:483	1:132	1:472
1Jan82-8Hia sty 7f	:231	:46	1:24
8Dec81-7Hia fst 1 1/2	:474	1:122	1:531

Tr.—Radice Gene (19 1 3 2 .06)

78 1 6 64 56 55 40	Cortez A C	Lb 112	8.40
67 1 7 612 511 40 30	Douglas R R	Lb 108	2.80
58 6 5 815 810 810 7113	Cortez A C	Lb 110	6.10
79 4 7 614 54 20 11	Cortez A C	Lb 108	8.00
62 3 4 71 37 44 4 1	Matutes L S	Lb 116	5.50
54 7 7 716 716 45 32	Matutes L S	Lb 120	9.40
54 7 7 65 65 57 511	Matutes L S	Lb 120	7.50
67 7 9 911 77 21 11	Matutes L S	b 116	31.10
45 3 4 70 814 715 718	Martinez R R 5	Lb 111	32.20
47 5 8 810 810 811 612	Ramos W S	b 114	18.50

116  
 Lifetime 1982 9 2 0 2 \$25,910  
 17 3 0 3 1991 8 1 0 1 \$6,615  
 \$32,525  
 Turf 2 0 0 0 \$330  
 Wet 4 0 0 1 \$3,150

84-08 WhyBeHorn117**SeGzer117**PrncssMdg110	Mild bid 6
79-16 P.J.Extrvgz118**Hghs110**PnsPnsPns108	Rallied 7
80-84 PrimeValue119**Firforbd112**VilLondon119	No factor 8
92-12 PensPnsPns110**IsndTmmy110**SkipStr107	Driving 7
— Sndbrod116**BllyCh116**CgdHrt116	Wide fnl tn, str 10
65-33 Cpole'sPrncss116**DottMc116**PnsPnsPns120	Rallied 7
70-20 IsndTmmy114**CgedHrt116**TrulyMdiv116	5 wide str 7
75-23 PnsPnsPns116**Sndbrod112**RoylLinn116	Wide fnl tn 12
64-16 Favored,dy12**VldCrnub121**Alliswooder116	Outrun 9
50-30 Stticelectricity116**Delois119**HfTimeDncr119	Outrun 8

**Truly Unreal**

Ch. f. 3(Apr), by Unreal Zeal—Tald and True, by Tai

\$25,000 Br.—Siegel Jan & M & Samantha (Fla)

Own.—Silver Bell Stables

28Jun82-10Crc fst 1 1/2	:471	1:132	1:45
24Apr82-2Crc fst 1 1/2	:482	1:143	1:463
24Apr82-10Crc fst 1 1/2	:482	1:132	1:471
19Apr82-6Crc fm 1 1/2			1:454
3Apr82-5Crc sty 1 1/2	:493	1:144	1:484
28Feb82-5GP fst 6f	:223	:463	1:122
23Feb82-5GP fst 6f	:221	:453	1:103
7Feb82-5GP fst 6f	:223	:461	1:123
23Jan82-5GP sty 6f	:221	:462	1:142
15Nov81-4Hia fst 7f	:23	:463	1:264

Tr.—Mazelon Nick Jr (10 1 1 1 .00)

66 5 2 1 52 70 711	Daigle E T	b 110	72.00
68 8 1 11 11 12 12	Daigle E T 7	b 106	10.30
36 8 1 1 913 915 923	Cortez A C	b 112	4.60
12 11 7 1217 1220 1233 1230	Lee M A	b 110	25.70
73 3 1 1 1 20 22 312	Nunez E O	b 112	9.40
55 7 7 75 75 75 77 7	Krone J A	114	6.80
43 1 4 3 70 71 716	Day P	112	14.30
62 8 1 5 43 32 23	Krone J A	112	5.00
60 3 4 3 3 1 1 2 0	Krone J A	116	5.50
38 1 6 1 1 3 64 66	Felix J E	112	*1.00

1115  
 Lifetime 1982 9 2 1 1 \$10,680  
 15 3 3 1 1991 6 2 1 0 \$11,715  
 \$22,395  
 Turf 1 0 0 0 \$150  
 Wet 3 0 1 1 \$3,155

77-14 SuperDor117**SilntGrnss110**PrncssMdg110	Faltered 9
80-12 Truly Unreal106**Sweet and Rexy116**SoHot112	Driving 8
67-89 FstFleetie105**Slew'sD112**SistrNin112	Stopped badly 9
67 — Iowa112**Always Nettie107**Crystal Bald113	Outrun 12
81-19 CgdHrt116**Hchgr112**TrlyUnrl112	Edged for second 7
74-14 GoldenBimr116**TrsFins114**FortuntGin120	Wide trip 8
74-14 FrtnFryFr112**Shmyrcs113**MystcHk113	Brief speed 7
77-23 FrtnGin114**TrlyUnrl112**KghtEncntr104	Bore out late 9
71-20 FstCourtshp116**TrlyUnrl116**TrsFass116	Just failed 8
63-20 PremrG110**CurvyCupid114**Thrtms110**113	Faltered 10

LATEST WORKOUTS May 17 Crc 4f fst :491 H

Hoocheegirl

Own.—Colles Joyce & K

14Jan92-11Crc sly 1 1/4 :48 1:14 1:48
14Jan92-Originally scheduled on turf
5Jan92-10Crc sly 1 1/4 :49 1:13 1:52
5Jan92-Originally scheduled on turf
22May92-10Crc fm 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:45
9May92-6Crc fst 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:45
24Apr92-10Crc sly 1 1/4 :48 1:13 1:47
3Apr92-5Crc sly 1 1/4 :49 1:14 1:44
15Mar92-5Crc fst 1 1/4 :48 1:15 1:52
21Feb92-6GP sly 1 1/4 :46 1:14 1:46
28Jan92-3GP fst 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:45
12Jan92-1GP fst 7/8 :23 .46 1:26
LATEST WORKOUTS May 6 Crc 5/8 fst 1:54 B

Dr. h. or br. f. 3(Apr), by Hooched—Honey Kool, by Split Initiative

\$25,000 Br.—Corry Don (Fla)

Tr.—Hatchett James (40 5 6 4 .13)
©Cim 3000 70 1 8 8 11 9 4 6 4 4 1 Douglas R R Lb 120 7.50
©Cim 3500 75 2 6 6 4 4 4 3 4 1 Valles E S Lb 116 7.00
©Cim 2500 69 1 7 7 3 4 4 1 1 1 Douglas R R Lb 116 9.00
©Cim 2500 48 6 2 2 2 3 4 6 10 7 2 Velez J A Jr Lb 116 9.00
©Cim 2000 47 6 5 5 4 7 8 8 10 8 1 Velez J A Jr Lb 116 9.00
©Cim 2000 73 1 3 2nd 2nd 32 2 1 Beitia A O Lb 112 8.10
©Cim 1000 59 6 8 8 15 8 11 5 4 3 2 Castillo H Jr Lb 116 6.20
©Cim 1000 46 2 8 8 10 9 6 6 1 3 Castillo H Jr Lb 116 11.00
©Cim 1000 41 7 6 6 11 6 6 4 6 5 7 Castillo H Jr b 118 4.70
©Cim 1500 45 8 8 11 10 11 9 11 7 10 Castillo H Jr b 116 11.00
May 5 Crc 4/8 fst :53 B

Lifetime table for Hoocheegirl with columns for years 1982-1987 and various performance metrics.

74-13 HushBobbie11324IsldTmmy1124BstFoot109 Mid bid 8
84-16 Fizzarene1123Sendabroad116\*TelkaGte116 Lacked rally 9
85-10 Hoocheegirl11634FcCieg116\*TruthfulPride116 Driving 8
86-12 Acty10916 Fast Fleetie109 1/2 Slew's Deal118 Gave way 8
74-88 FastFleetie105 1/2 Slew's Deal128 SisterNina112 Gave way 9
81-18 CgdHrt116 1/2 Hoocheegirl112\*TrulyUar112 Tried hard 7
--- Snowdrop101 J. C.'s Miss112 1/2 Hoocheegirl116 Brshd st 10
84-23 SecrySwt116\*SoHot116 1/2 Hoocheegirl116 Brshd, 4 wd str 10
85-26 SpainnCanon112 1/2 Mkyourbs116\*PamHtl116 No factor 8
85-15 HckstrRos115 1/2 OhMyShyBrns112\*Uwrt112 No factor 12

Ensignette

Own.—Rorrealha G

13Jan92-6Crc fst 1 1/4 :48 1:14 1:44
10Jan92-1Crc fst 7/8 :23 .47 1:27 1
4Jan92-8Crc sly 7/8 :23 .46 1:28 1
13May92-2Crc fst 6/8 :22 .46 1:13 4
5May92-4Crc fst 6/8 :22 .46 1:13 3

Ch. f. 3(Apr), by Sateety—Dumors Sail, by Sail On—Sail On

\$25,000 Br.—Riddle W E Jr (Md)

Tr.—Alexandre Edson (20 5 2 2 .10)
©Cim 1000 64 6 7 7 3 8 5 4 5 2 0 Cortez A C b 116 23.50
©Cim 12500 62 6 5 10 3 6 2 2 2 1 Cortez A C Lb 115 4.10
©Cim 2500 50 9 9 9 6 7 4 6 6 7 2 Douglas R R 113 41.50
©Cim 2000 35 2 5 5 5 5 7 5 5 5 3 Douglas R R 114 7.50
©Cim 1000 42 1 8 6 3 5 5 7 4 8 4 Douglas R R 113 9.70

Lifetime table for Ensignette with columns for years 1982-1987 and various performance metrics.

81-10 Slew's Deal116\*Ensignette116\*FoolishRealty116 Rallied 10
79-12 Ensignette115 1/2 KatieFort108 1/2 Gar's G1115 Driving 8 wide 12
71-16 StrdyLightng114\*GrsPrncss115\*Ankrn115 No threat 12
73-12 CissyPistol105\*Dod'sDity110\*MydstnctvStr114 Evenly 8
76-87 OrGelMffy114\*Mywnldnty114\*CissyPstl107 Late rally 12

Best Foot

Own.—McKay Frances C

14Jan92-11Crc sly 1 1/4 :48 1:14 1:48
14Jan92-Originally scheduled on turf
3Jan92-7Crc fst 1 :48 1:14 1:42
22May92-10Crc fm 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:45
7May92-10Crc fst 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:45
23Apr92-7Crc fst 1 1/4 :47 1:12 1:45
2Apr92-8Crc fm 1 1/4 :48 1:13 1:46
12Mar92-1GP fst 7/8 :23 .47 1:26
7Feb92-4GP fst 7/8 :23 .46 1:26
17Jan92-5GP fst 7/8 :23 .46 1:25
7Jan92-7Hia fst 6/8 :22 .46 1:12
LATEST WORKOUTS May 2 Crc 4/8 fst :50 B

Dr. h. or br. f. 3(Feb), by Hold Your Peace—Opera Diva, by Diamond Prospect

\$25,000 Br.—Wald Keith (Fla)

Tr.—Hatchett James (40 5 6 4 .13)
©Cim 3000 71 4 7 7 6 6 4 5 3 3 5 Diaz M R Lb 109 7.60
©Cim 2000 72 3 5 5 4 5 5 3 5 1 Martinez R R Lb 111 6.60
©Cim 2500 60 7 4 4 1 6 6 4 7 4 4 1 Morales C E Lb 116 4.00
©Alw 1700 59 1 7 7 12 6 14 6 15 6 11 Morales C E Lb 109 7.40
©Alw 1700 70 6 4 3 7 3 6 3 1 5 5 Morales C E Lb 109 10.40
©Alw 1000 70 3 7 7 3 11 4 10 6 9 1 Beitia A O Lb 112 44.30
©Md 3000 60 9 4 1 1 1 12 12 13 Beitia A O Lb 120 5.70
©Md 4000 42 5 7 11 5 10 9 9 9 2 Douglas R R b 120 10.50
©Md Sp Wt 50 3 6 1 1 1 1 4 2 1 2 St Leon G b 120 4.50
©Md c-3000 43 6 4 6 7 5 5 5 10 2 2 St Leon G b 117 4.40

Lifetime table for Best Foot with columns for years 1982-1987 and various performance metrics.

79-13 HshBobb11324IsldTmmy1124BstFoot109 Late rily, 7-wd 8
84-22 BstFl111\*BlnchBm116\*SmrnDPrty109 Dryng 4 wide 8
79-10 Hoocheegirl116 1/2 FcCig116\*TruthfulPrid116 Belated bid 8
77-19 Skip Star108 1/2 Ile d'Or113 1/2 DuckRuler114 Showed little 8
86-12 PeasPensPns100 1/2 IsldTmmy110 1/2 SkipStr107 Faltered 7
87-88 InView112 1/2 Kris'DearDeby112\*TimelyKris112 Wide str 11
74-10 Best Foot128 1/2 Toscaourse116\*FoolishRealty120 Driving 12
84-23 ANTKedUp128 1/2 DyCdy120 1/2 BstBelle116 Bobbled st 12
87-19 ChocoltSort128 1/2 BryzMistrs120\*QuNncy120 Gave way 9
88-22 HoldToFashion112 1/2 BstFoot117 1/2 Hef110 4 Wide str 9

Acty

Own.—Burgund L & Stirrup Hill Farm

14Jan92-11Crc sly 1 1/4 :48 1:14 1:48
14Jan92-Originally scheduled on turf
30May92-5Crc fst 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:44
14May92-6Crc fm 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:43
14May92-Placed fifth through disqualification
9May92-6Crc fst 1 1/4 :47 1:13 1:45
24Apr92-10Crc fst 1 1/4 :48 1:13 1:47
24Apr92-Claimed from Trizis Steve, White William P Trainer
10Apr92-6Crc fst 1 :48 1:13 1:43
24Mar92-8Crc my 1 1/4 :48 1:14 1:49
10Mar92-6GP fst 6/8 :21 .45 1:12
5Feb92-8GP sly 7/8 :22 .44 1:24
5Feb92-Grade II
LATEST WORKOUTS Jun 23 Crc 3/8 pd :36 Bg(d)

Ch. f. 3(Apr), by Acaroid—Flag Reality, by In Reality

\$25,000 Br.—Mangurian Mr.—Mrs H T Jr (Fla)

Tr.—Sterling Kent H (—)
©Cim 3000 63 5 3 3 3 3 1 4 3 6 9 Daigle E T L 109 7.50
©Alw 1500 52 4 1 1 1 2nd 5 4 5 1 9 Lee M A L 110 2.20
©Cim 4000 66 7 3 3 3 2 1 5 7 6 1 1 Bracho J A 7 L 109 4.50
©Cim 2500 89 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 Bracho J A 7 L 109 5.70
©Cim c-1000 56 7 4 4 1 3 1 1 6 7 1 1 Ferrer J C 112 6.10
©Cim 1000 61 11 7 5 3 2 2 2 2 1 Beitia A O 116 13.80
©Cim 2500 59 6 9 10 10 7 3 6 9 5 Ybarra R E 7 109 50.40
©Cim 2500 46 7 7 9 6 8 4 7 4 7 4 Castillo H Jr 116 32.70
©Forward Gal 49 4 2 5 1 4 5 1 6 5 1 6 Daigle E T 112 37.90

Lifetime table for Acty with columns for years 1982-1987 and various performance metrics.

74-13 HushBobbie11324IsldTmmy1124BstFoot109 Gave way 8
71-08 PrincesseMdge105 1/2 FstFlet107 1/2 Alliswoodr113 Faltered 7
85-10 AboutPrfction112 1/2 Sssy'nProud116 1/2 Sndbrod116 Faded 11
90-12 Acty109 1/2 Fast Fleetie109 1/2 Slew's Deal118 Driving 8
79-09 Fast Fleetie105 1/2 Slew's Deal124 SisterNina112 Faltered 9
--- Livid Lass116 1/2 Acty116 1/2 Curvy Cupid114 Brshd st 12
--- Sendbrod116 1/2 Bellychin'116 1/2 CgdHrt116 Broke tardily 10
74-18 TresFinns116 1/2 FortunWnd116 1/2 PnmHtl116 Sted insd 1/4 12
88-20 SpnngRound110 1/2 Ptty'sPrncss116 1/2 SuprDor118 Trailed 5

Now, this first race wasn't so hard, was it? Forgive me if I sound a bit glib. I didn't really handicap these races, so of course they seem easy to me. I worked them knowing they had already been run and I couldn't lose (or win) them no matter what. Of course, come to think of it, so did you. Anyway, let's look at the horses one at a time.

**Man o' Smoke** - Third time's a charm, though he had to drop to claiming to get it done. He never repeats a good race and is back in allowance today, so I'll stick him with the second back. 88/73 162.

**Nowhere Man** - Second race back is a killer in this company, but the top race is today's class and distance structure. I can see no reason to do him any favors and go back one. I'll use the top line. 92.5/72.5 165.

**Anzig** - Ugg. Top line. 83/73 156.

**Smooth Crossing** - Making a big step up in class and seems to be a 7 furlong specialist. However, any horse that wins its last is to be respected, or at least given a rather long look. His top line score of 90/75 164.5 is backed up by an 81/80 161 in the second back. Not a good sign, but I'll use the top line and keep my fingers crossed.

**Moon Over Tresco** - Now what did your mother tell you about playing with horses that just won a wire to wire maiden with no pressure? That's right, careful. The top line rates a 86/78 164.5, while the other is a dreadful 83/67 151. Again, top line, but with fingers crossed.

**Hi Wheels** - Layoff horse. For the most part, any type of pace analysis on horses off this long is futile. You can do the numbers, but they don't really tell you anything. In this case, the last line is an 80/77 157 using a 12 variant, which seems typical for the track. The line before that, from the old surface at Calder, is an 83/78 161. Since it is unlikely that the horse will run as well as it best race showing, and since that race doesn't make the horse a contender, we'll use the 161 and move on.

**Jaimie's Boy** - Nice win last out, but what did mother warn against? That's right, another big maiden winner. He ran an 87/84 171 last time, which blows this field away, but his two prior efforts were 158 and 160. Not a horse to fill one with confidence. I chose to use the third race back as more typical for the horse. It's an 87/73 160.5.

**Anew** - Much more like it. A solid 86/85 171 last time with an 87/80 167 backing it up. Either race make the horse our top number so far. I'll use the top line.

**Adjustment** - That top line looks rather fancy until you run it through the program. 84/75 159 are not ratings to inspire confidence. One back is 88/74 162. I'll use the second back in complete confidence that the numbers aren't good enough to make him a bet.

Ho-kay, let's see what we got. Anew has a 6 point advantage in TPR, and even though he is deficient Early, I'll still bet a 6 point horse every time I get the chance. As far as TPR is concerned, we have three horses tied for second place. Breaking the tie is easy enough, if you know how

RACE: PHASE I REPORT DIST: 6.5

EARLY PACE RANKING

NAME	EPR		FFR		T P R	
NOWHERE	92.4	1	72.4	9	164.8	2
SMOOTH	89.7	2	74.8	4	164.5	3
MAN O'	88.4	3	73.4	7	161.8	6
ADJUST	88.0	4	74.5	5	162.5	5
JAIMIE	86.7	5	73.8	6	160.5	8
MOON	86.4	6	78.1	3	164.5	3
ANEW	86.2	7	85.2	1	171.4	1
ANZIG	83.1	8	73.1	8	156.2	9
HI WHEE	82.6	9	78.4	2	161.0	7

RACE: PHASE I REPORT DIST: 6.5

FINAL FRACTION RANKING

NAME	EPR		FFR		T P R	
ANEW	86.2	7	85.2	1	171.4	1
HI WHEE	82.6	9	78.4	2	161.0	7
MOON	86.4	6	78.1	3	164.5	3
SMOOTH	89.7	2	74.8	4	164.5	3
ADJUST	88.0	4	74.5	5	162.5	5
JAIMIE	86.7	5	73.8	6	160.5	8
MAN O'	88.4	3	73.4	7	161.8	6
ANZIG	83.1	8	73.1	8	156.2	9
NOWHERE	92.4	1	72.4	9	164.8	2

RACE: PHASE I REPORT DIST: 6.5

TOTAL PACE RANKING

NAME	EPR		FFR		T P R	
ANEW	86.2	7	85.2	1	171.4	1
NOWHERE	92.4	1	72.4	9	164.8	2
MOON	86.4	6	78.1	3	164.5	3
SMOOTH	89.7	2	74.8	4	164.5	3
ADJUST	88.0	4	74.5	5	162.5	5
MAN O'	88.4	3	73.4	7	161.8	6
HI WHEE	82.6	9	78.4	2	161.0	7
JAIMIE	86.7	5	73.8	6	160.5	8
ANZIG	83.1	8	73.1	8	156.2	9

the track is running. Nowhere Man has a decided advantage Early, with a three point bulge in EPR. Nowhere becomes your second win horse. Just to show how truly Early Calder was running this week, Smooth Craig, our number two EPR horse, ran second, with Anew completing the \$310 trifecta.

As usual, the "problem" in this race concerns decisions we make during the handicapping process, not in interpreting the numbers. I let Moon Over Tresco have the advantage of a wire to wire maiden win and all it did was confuse the issue. Had I made the same mistake on Jaimie's Boy, the issue would have been even more clouded. With Jaimie's Boy, I had other decent races to use; Moon required me to go back to a terrible race and I wasn't willing to do that. Luckily for me, it didn't hurt me, as the strong bias cleared up the picture nicely.

Now, let's take a look at our second race. We are looking at a \$60 horse in this race, and it requires some faith to get at the horse. Faith in your own work and the numbers, that is. Let's go through the horses one by one.

**Truely Medieval** - Truely awful. However, committed as I am to the TPR philosophy of "rate 'em all," I'll use the top line with a -9 sprint to route adjustment for a rating of 74/72 146. Normally, I'd go back and check those two routes back in February, but I don't have track-to-track figures for Gulfstream and don't want to take the time to develop them (remember, I'm not betting). Besides, this horse has been going so poorly recently, who cares about 6 months ago.

**Island Tammy** - That's better, just finished second one level up. A quick look at the numbers gives us a 70/74.5 144.5. Whups, this horse is seriously slow. My Southern California eyes are betraying me again. We just never see 144's. Another consideration that needs to be addressed is that this horse is one of 4 coming out of a Tandem. I decided to accept the tandem in this case, as the race one back is even worse and the third back is the horse's best effort showing.

**Pens Pens Pens** - Top line is 72/73 145 and second back is 68/75 144. Nothing to get excited about, but solid numbers in this race. I used the top line.

**Truly Unreal** - Here's where Total Pace really shines. Most people looking at those last two lines would say that the horse really declined or want to forgive the last and go back. In reality, the top line is 75/76 142 and the second is 70/73 143.5. Almost the same race, and neither very good even in today's company. I used the second back.

**Hoocheegirl** - The second horse from the tandem. The top line is 63/79 141.5. If you go back one, you find a 68/80 147.5. Normally, I like to treat all the horses coming out of a tandem the same. Either I use the tandem for them all, or I go back on them all. In this case, however, I violated my own rules and accepted the second back. I was wrong.

**Ensignette** - Top line is 66/77 143 and I can see no reason to go beyond it.

RACE: PHASE I REPORT DIST: 9.0

EARLY PACE RANKING

NAME	EPR	FFR	T P R
TRUELY	74.0 1	71.7 7	145.7 3
ACTY	72.3 2	65.2 8	137.5 8
PENS	72.3 2	73.2 5	145.5 4
BEST	70.5 4	81.0 1	151.5 1
TRULY	70.5 4	73.0 6	143.5 6
ISLAND	70.1 6	74.5 4	144.6 5
HOOCH	67.8 7	79.9 2	147.7 2
ENSIGN	66.2 8	77.3 3	143.5 6

RACE: PHASE I REPORT DIST: 9.0

FINAL FRACTION RANKING

NAME	EPR	FFR	T P R
BEST	70.5 4	81.0 1	151.5 1
HOOCH	67.8 7	79.9 2	147.7 2
ENSIGN	66.2 8	77.3 3	143.5 6
ISLAND	70.1 6	74.5 4	144.6 5
PENS	72.3 2	73.2 5	145.5 4
TRULY	70.5 4	73.0 6	143.5 6
TRUELY	74.0 1	71.7 7	145.7 3
ACTY	72.3 2	65.2 8	137.5 8

RACE: PHASE I REPORT DIST: 9.0

TOTAL PACE RANKING

NAME	EPR	FFR	T P R
BEST	70.5 4	81.0 1	151.5 1
HOOCH	67.8 7	79.9 2	147.7 2
TRUELY	74.0 1	71.7 7	145.7 3
PENS	72.3 2	73.2 5	145.5 4
ISLAND	70.1 6	74.5 4	144.6 5
ENSIGN	66.2 8	77.3 3	143.5 6
TRULY	70.5 4	73.0 6	143.5 6
ACTY	72.3 2	65.2 8	137.5 8

**Best Foot** - Our third horse from the tandem. The top line gives us a mediocre 70/72 142, while the second back is an outstanding (for this bunch) 70/81 151. Again, I chose to go back one. And again I was wrong.

**Acty** - Last two are 137 and 133. Ugg.

So let's look at our printouts and see what we get. If we just bet the top two TPR horses, we lose the race with the pacelines I selected. However, Howard has been chiding us all for years not to let our third placed horse go off at high odds without at least a small bet on it. In this case, Truely is 30 to 1.

Even more important, there are a couple of ways to win outright. First of all, you could stick to the last paceline on the Tandem horses and win in a walk. Then Truely Medieval is your top TPR horse by a small margin. Much more important is to note that Truely has a two point advantage in EPR, even using these pacelines, and as the only sprinter in the race should have no trouble cruising to a lead. A glance at the charts shows that Truely got an easy lead and wired the field. When you have a strong bias working, don't forget that it can override every other handicapping factor.

Here, we have a \$63 horse sitting clearly on top Early, and potentially on top in TPR if I were paying more attention. Even with these pacelines, you're not betting alertly if you let Truely Medieval go out without a few dollars on him. I know that he's a truly ugly little pig in the *Racing Form*, but that is why we tell you to run at least the top line on every horse in every race. The only really tragic mistake you can make in this race is to leave Truely Medieval out of your computer.

**NINTH** — \$14,000; 6½ fur.; 3&UP which hv nvr won a race other thn mdn or clg. allow. (plus \$1500 foa); winner \$9900; time 22 1/5, 45 2/5, 1:18 2/5; winner ch g 1988 by Fountain of Gold—Sister Aggie; trainer, Gordon R. Potter; owner, Karen Matthews.

PP-Horse, Weight	St	¼	Str	Fin	Eqv
2-Nowhere Man, 112	5	1½	1-1½	1-1	4.60
4-Smooth Crsng, 111	7	4-3	2-1	2-3	8.50
8-Anew, 116	2	5-3½	5-5	3-no	1.50
1-Man o'Smoke, 114	8	3-h	4-1½	4-1	6.20
7-Jamie's Boy, 113	3	2-2	3-2	5-4	2.40
6-Hi Wheels, 113	9	9	7-3	6-2	38.30
9-Adjustment, 109	1	6-2	6-h	7-2½	22.40
5-Moon OvrTrsc, 109	4	7½	8-3½	8-2½	28.30
3-Anzig, 116	6	8-h	9	9	45.90

2-Nowhere Man (Douglas)	11.20	6.00	3.00
4-Smooth Crossing (Bracho)		8.40	3.60
8-Anew (Lee)			2.60

PERFECTA (2-4) paid \$89.80  
TRIFECTA (2-4-8) paid \$310.80

Scratched: Headin The Clouds, Sir Alf.

**NINTH** — \$11,000; 1¼ ml.; fil. 3-YOs; clg (plus \$1200 foa) \$25,000-20,000; winner \$7800; time 23 2/5, 47 4/5, 1:13 2/5, 1:54 2/5; winner dk b or br f 1989 by Medieval Man—Truely Supreme; trainer, William P. White; owner, W. Nazarenko.

PP-Horse, Weight	St	¼	Str	Fin	Eqv
1-Trly Mdvl, 111	1	1-2½	1-3	1-2	30.90
2-Island Tmy, 112	2	3-h	2-3	2-2½	1.40
5-Hoocheegirl, 120	5	5-h	3-3½	3-4	6.00
7-Best Foot, 120	7	4-1½	4-3	4-2	6.50
3-Pns Pns Pns, 116	8	6-h	6-1	5-h	3.70
6-Ensignette, 116	6	8	7-2½	6-2	11.90
4-Trly Unreal, 111	4	2½	5-h	7-7½	14.00
8-Acty, 116	3	7-1	8	8	5.50

1-Truely Medieval (Brch)	63.80	13.80	6.60
2-Island Tammy (Rodriguez)		3.60	2.60
5-Hoocheegirl (Douglas)			4.00

PERFECTA (1-2) paid \$123.80  
TRIFECTA (1-2-5) paid \$830.20



## WINNERS and LOSERS

THE WINNER is always a part of the answer;  
THE LOSER is always a part of the problem;

THE WINNER always has a program;  
THE LOSER always has an excuse;

THE WINNER says "Let me do it for you"  
THE LOSER says "That's not my job"

THE WINNER sees an answer for problems;  
THE LOSER sees a problem in every answer;

THE WINNER sees a green near every sand trap;  
THE LOSER sees 2 or 3 sand traps near every  
green;

THE WINNER says "It may be difficult, but it's  
possible";  
THE LOSER says "It may be possible, but it's  
too difficult."

BE A WINNER!



The Inland Empire Institute

