

Dear Howard,

I'm sending you my analysis of the BL/BL printouts and results charts. I hope this analysis (final three pages of an 11-page article) will be of use to you. I won't burden you with the rest, but needless to say, it speaks highly of you and the BL/BL. Glad to see you're now one of the "value boys."

I am aware that your corollaries involve subtle handicapping nuances and are valid tools. However, I also believe that the BL/BL can be used MECHANICALLY.

(1) First, I would only consider the top three BL/BL choices, the rare exception being when the fourth choice has an equal rating as the third.

(2) I would bet all horses that have toteboard odds 100 percent or more above their BL/BL odds. I'd take a horse rated even on BL/BL if he was going off at at least 2-1. I'd take a 2-1 BL horse if he's going off at 4-1 or up. For uneven numbers like 8-5, I'd double the odds in my mind (16-5) which means above 3-1, so I'd require 7-2.

Here's what I'd be interested in doing for a sequel article for C&O #11 IF you have the person to do it.

I'd like to give him/her/them \$100 of my money and do the same 50-bet experiment, telling them to follow the rules as if they were McDonalds employees.

(1) Consider ONLY the top three BL/BL horses;

(2) Bet \$2 win on the horse or horses that are going off at AT LEAST DOUBLE THEIR BL/BL ODDS. This could be anywhere from one to three win bets per race. Bet between 4 and 3 minutes before post time.

(3) Don't worry if odds change at the last minute to slightly below the required odds.

Howard, I'm not interested in making a personal investment or in making money. I only know that research with real money in a real-betting situation has greater validity.

If I were to win, you could return my \$100 and send the profits to my favorite charity: Maryknoll Brothers in Ossining, New York. (They are religious Catholic missionaries who risk their lives in difficult countries doing non-religious projects than enfranchise people. What would the Maryknolls think if a donation came from horse racing?)

If I lost, you could send me my balance.

If you have the person who can do this, fax me and I'll send you the money. If there is no such person among your legions, no problem. The help you've already given me is very much appreciated, and I hope the well-deserved publicity brings some good people to you.

The BL/BL is intellectually exciting to me, and this second phase of research could be useful not only to my readers but to your clients as well.

Thanks again! *Cheers, Mark*

\*It may be mechanical to Mark, but for us, the Corollaries count just as much as BL/BL, especially the Final Rankings of both the PRIME & SECONDARY Corollaries. A lot of clients, among them Dean Millward, once tried using BL/BL only, thinking it was all they needed. Granted, they made SOME money, but after I bulldozed them into using the Corollary Superscreen from the new TrackMaster download, their R.O.I has almost doubled.

Aline Best's handicapping and overlay betting may soon become legend. In early March I received a letter from Mark Cramer. Here is the salient portion:

Here's my idea. I'm enclosing a check of \$100 for 50 \$2 research bets. What I hope you can do is, using the Bottom Line/Betting Line program, invest \$2 on each overlay that comes up on your program, for a total of 50 bets. This includes multiple win betting, of course. For example, if the top and third choices are overlays but the second program choice is an underlay, then my C&O research money would be bet on the first and third computer choices. Or if only a second choice were an overlay, then I'd have \$2 to win on that horse. You'd use the parameters that are found in your instructions.

We're taking a chance, since 50 races might be too short a sample. But I'm 95% convinced that it will show a profit. Even if the profit is only 10%, it's an automatic bet, something that horse investors long for,

Your friend and fan,



Mark Cramer

Mark intends to write a two part article for the C&O Report about the results of TWO such experiments, so I won't scoop him beyond telling you a few basic facts.

First I endorsed Mark's \$100 check over to Aline and let her do the work in carrying out Mark's research. I wouldn't abandon my principles by encouraging ANYONE to bet just ONE horse so, as I explained to Mark, he'd only get 25 races @\$4 for his \$100.

I received his check - he was in Bolivia at the time - on March 4.

Aline started her betting on March 7. By March 17, she had made back Mark's \$100, plus \$265 profit. She was still about 6 races short of 25. After a couple of day at San Bernardino she added \$81 profit to the pot. A net profit of \$346 or 345%.

Bear in mind THIS research had to be confined to TWO DOLLAR Bets on OVERLAYS ONLY, as per Mark's instructions in the letter.

